IDEALS IN HEYTING SEMILATTICES AND OPEN HOMOMORPHISMS

Jorge Picado_ Ales Pultr Anna Tozzi

Abstract. Subfitness and its relation to openness and completeness is studied in the context of Heyting semilattices. A formally weaker condition (c-subfitness) is shown to be necessary and sufficient for openness and completeness to coincide. For a large class of spatial frames, c-subfit = subfit.

Preliminaries. Recall that a Heyting semilattice (also implicative semilattice [11], or Brouwerian semilattice [10]) is a

(meet-)semilattice with the (Heyting) operation satisfying

and sc (a sb + c

We study ideals and complete ideals (see Definition 2.1 below) in these objects, show that some standard facts about subfitness (an important concept of topology and logic) hold in this general context, and discuss the question of openness confronted with completeness.

One of the motivations comes from modelling open continuous maps in pointfree topology. The condition that images of open sublocales under a frame homomorphism $h: L \rightarrow M$ are open reduces to the existence of a map $\varphi: M \rightarrow L$ such that

$$x \wedge q(a) = y \wedge q(a) \text{ iff } h(x) \wedge a = h(y) \wedge a. \text{ (Open)}$$

From this equivalence one can easily deduce the famous theorem by Joyal and Tierney ([9]) stating that

h: L M is open iff it is a complete Heyting homomorphism,

that is, if it preserves all joins, all meets and, moreover, also the Heyting operation (always existing due to the frame distributivity).

The condition (Open) can be viewed as a comparison of two frame congruences

$$E = \{(x, y) \mid x \land q(a) = y \land q(a)\} \text{ and } F = \{(x, y) \mid h(x) \land a = h(y) \land a\}.$$

Now under a certain condition (called fitness, weaker than the point-free variant

of regularity), frame congruences coincide iff the classes of the top element do, and

(Open) further reduces to

$$x \wedge \varphi(a) = \varphi(a) \text{ iff } h(x) \wedge a = a,$$

that is,

 $q(a) \le x$ iff $a \le h(x)$.

Hence, h is here open iff it is complete, the Heyting part being automatic.

In fact, fitness is not really necessary, and the question naturally arises how far it can be relaxed. It turns out that for every complete homomorphism h : L→M being Heyting, it suffices that L is subfit (in spaces, a condition weaker than T1).

We prove a necessary and sufficient condition (formally weaker than subfitness, but a dividing example is still lacking).

Working in the context of Heyting semilattices makes the results substantially more general. Our main aim is to prove as much as possible without using infinite joins or meets (completeness, for instance, is expressed by the existence of a Galois adjoint, not by preserving arbitrary meets – which do not have to exist at all).

We use the standard notions and notation for posets (partially ordered sets) as e.g. in [3]. We write $M = \{x \in X \mid x , m \in M\}$ and similarly M for $M \in X = (X, s)$. The least (resp. largest) element, if it exists, will be usually denoted by 0 (resp. 1).

Monotone maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ are (Galois) adjoint (f on the left, g on the right) if $f(x) \in y$ iff $x \in g(y)$.

It is a well-known fact that

(*) left (resp. right) adjoints preserve all the existing suprema (resp. infima).

Definition 1.1.

A (meet-)semilattice is a poset $L = (X, \xi)$ such that every couple $\{x, y\} \subseteq X$ has an infimum, usually called meet and denoted by $x \nearrow y$. If all the $\{x, y\} \subseteq X$ also have suprema these will be denoted by $x \nearrow y$ and referred to as joins; L is then said to be a lattice.

Convention. We use the symbol $\sup\{x, y\}$ (as opposed to xvy) in the cases where the suprema do not have to exist. Thus, " $\sup\{a, b\} = c$ " states that $\sup\{a, b\}$ exists and is equal to c; or, if 1 exists, " $\sup\{a, b\}$ *\delta* 1" states that a and b have a common upper bound c < 1.

If there are suprema and infima of all subsets one speaks of a complete lattice.

Here one has the converse of the (*) above, namely

a monotone map between complete lattices preserving all the suprema (resp. infima) is a left (resp. right) adjoint.

A pseudocomplement of an element a of a semilattice L with a least element is an a*L such that

$$x \wedge a = 0$$
 iff $x \leq a^*$.

A non-empty semilattice is a Heyting semilattice if there is a binary operation satisfying

Note that

if a Heyting semilattice has a least element 0, it has pseudocomplements, namely $a^* = a \rightarrow 0$.

Lattices with an operation → satisfying (H) are called Heyting algebras.

In the sequel, the use of (H) is mostly automatic.

Proposition 1.2. (A few Heyting formulas.) In a Heyting semilattice H we have:

- (1) a ≤ b → c iff b ≤ a → c,
- $(2) a \rightarrow (b \land c) = (a \rightarrow b) \land (a \rightarrow c),$
- (3) there is a largest element 1 and $a\rightarrow a = 1$ for all a,
- (4) a b = 1,
- (5) a ≤ b → a,
- $(6) a \rightarrow b = a \rightarrow (a \wedge b),$
- $(7) a \wedge (a \rightarrow b) = a \wedge b$
- (8) $a \wedge b = a \wedge c \text{ iff } a \rightarrow b = a \rightarrow c$,
- $(9) (a \land b) \rightarrow c = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c).$

If H is a Heyting algebra we have, furthermore, that

- (10) H is a distributive lattice, and
- (11) for every a, b \in H, b = (b \vee a) \wedge (a \rightarrow b).

Proof. (1) follows immediately from (H) and the commutativity of ^.

- (2) since $a \rightarrow (-)$ is a right adjoint (recall the beginning of Section 1).
- (3): x \(\epsilon \) a iff x \(\approx \) a \(\epsilon \) a, that is, always.
- (4): $1 \le a \Rightarrow b \text{ iff } a = 1 \land a \le b.$
- (5) since a b \ a.
- (6): by (2) and (3).
- (7): $a \land (a \rightarrow b) \leqslant b$ since $a \rightarrow b \leqslant a \rightarrow b$, and $a \land b \leqslant a \land (a \rightarrow b)$ by (5).
- (8) follows from (2) and (7).
- (9): $x \in (a \land b) \rightarrow c \text{ iff } x \land a \land b \leq c \text{ iff } x \land a \leq b \rightarrow c \text{ iff } x \leq a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c).$
- (10): (-) a is a left adjoint and hence preserves all the existing suprema.
- (11): $b \le (b \cdot a) \land (a \cdot b)$ by (5); by (10) and (7), $(b \cdot a) \land (a \cdot b) = (b \land (a \cdot b)) \lor (a \land (a \cdot b)) \le b$.
- 2. Ideals in Heyting semilattices. There are two main reasons for working with Heyting semilattices. First, the central notion of ideal fits to this structure rather than to Heyting algebras. Second, in the facts about subfitness (Section 3, applied in Section 5) the join does not play any role. Some specific facts concernine Heyting algebras are discussed in Section 4.

The system of Heyting semilattices can be viewed as a variety of algebras (if we use the suggestive + for $^{\land}$ and \cdot , or just a juxtaposition, for \rightarrow , it can be determined by the equations

$$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$$
, $a + b = b + a$, $a + a = a$,
 $a(b + c) = ab + ac$, $a + b(a + b) = a$, $a + ab = a + b$;

since one of the equations is the one-sided distributivity law the definition of ideal below is natural: it is a non-void subset $S \in H$ closed under + and such that for $s \in S$ and any $a \in H$, as $\in S$).

Definition 2.1. (1) A non-void subset S of a Heyting semilattice H is an ideal if

(I1) $s, t \in S \Rightarrow s \land t \in S$, and

(I2) a € H & s € S ⇒ a → s € S.

(2) We speak of a strong ideal if, moreover,

(Istr) the embedding $j_s: S \in H$ is a right adjoint, that is, there is a

monotone mapping ⅓: H→S such that

Va €H, s €S, 1/3(a) s iff a € s

(in other words, $\frac{1}{3}$ js = id, and js $\frac{1}{3}$ id).

(3) A complete ideal has, furthermore, a left adjoint φ_s to φ_s (hence φ_s is both a right and a left adjoint), that is

(Icpl) there is a monotone mapping $\mathfrak{P}: S \rightarrow H$ such that

¥ a ∈ H, s ∈ S, 9(s) ∈ a iff s ∈ 3(a)

(in other words, 39; id, and 93; id).

Observations 2.2. (1) Each ideal contains the top 1 (indeed, let $s \in S$; then $1 = s \rightarrow s \in S$).

(2) Ideals in H are Heyting sub-semilattices of H.

Obviously, the intersection of any system $S_{\mathfrak{c}}$, $i \in J$, of ideals is an ideal. The complete lattice of ideals of H will be denoted by Idl(H).

Note that the least element in this lattice is $O = \{1\}$.

Proposition 2.3. The join of two ideals in Idl(H) is given by $S \vee T = \{s \wedge t \mid s \in S, t \in T\}.$

Proof. Obviously any ideal U containing S, T contains S >T which is an ideal (as

 $a \rightarrow (s \land t) = (a \rightarrow s) \land (a \rightarrow t)$ by Proposition 1.2(2)).

Proposition 2.4. If S, T are strong ideals then SvT is strong.

Thus, the system

Idl(H) of strong ideals is a sub-join-semilattice of Idl(H).

Proof. Set $\sqrt[4]{x} = \sqrt[4]{x}(x) \wedge \sqrt[4]{x}(x)$. Thus, for a general $x, x \leq \sqrt[4]{x}(x)$, and for $x \wedge t$

 $(s \in S, t \in T)$ we have $J(s \wedge t) = J_s(s \wedge t) \wedge J_s(s \wedge t) = s \wedge J_s(t) \wedge J_s(s) \wedge J_s(s)$

Proposition 2.5. Idl(H) is a distributive lattice.

Proof. Let S1, S2, T be ideals. Then trivially $(S1 \land S2) \lor T \in (S1 \lor T) \cap (S2 \lor T)$.

Now let $x \in (S1 \lor T) \cap (S2 \lor T)$. Then $x = s, \land t = s, \land t$ with $s \in Si$ and $t, t \in T$

7. Set t = t, Δt_2 . Then $x = (s, \Delta t_1) \Delta (s_2 \Delta t_2) \leq s \Delta t \leq x$ and we have

 $s_{A}t = x = s_{A}t$, and by Proposition 1.2(8) $t \rightarrow s_{A} = t \rightarrow s_{A}t$. Thus $t \rightarrow s_{A}t = x = s_{A}t$. Thus $t \rightarrow s_{A}t = x = s_{A}t$.

and we have $x = (t \rightarrow s_i) \land t \in (S1 \land S2) \lor T$.

Note. It has been pointed out to us by P.T. Johnstone that the first short proof of the distributivity in similar vein, for the

case of sublocales in frames, is due to Dana Scott – see also [8].

Proposition 2.6. Let S, T be ideals (strong ideals, complete ideals, resp.) in H and let S \in T. Then S is an ideal (strong ideal, complete ideal, resp.) in T.

Proof. The statement for ideals is straightforward. Now for the strong case, let is: Seh, i: Set.

and it: TEH be the embeddings and let is it

be the

adjoints. Thus,

Set = id, Then = id, is is id and sold = id, and if is is an order embedding), and hence (as in is an order embedding), id.

For the complete case consider the left adjoints of the idea.

We have

We have 3 + 3 + 3 = id, 3 + 3 = id, 3 + 3 = id. Set 3 + 3 = id. Then 3 + 3 = id and 3 + 3 = id

is standard).

2.1. Open (principal) ideals. The operation \rightarrow distributes over meets on the left, and $(-\infty)=(-\infty)=(-\infty)$ (recall Proposition 1.2(9)). Thus, we have the principal ideals in H \bullet (a) = {a \rightarrow x | x \bullet H}

(in the $(+,\cdot)$ -notation at the beginning of Section 2 of the distributivity a(x+y) =

ax+ay and the equation y(ax) = a(yx) we have $\sigma(a) = \{ax \mid x \in H\}$). Because of their role in point-free topology we speak of them as of open ideals. Observe that

 $\sigma(a) = \{x \mid a \rightarrow x = x\}$ (2.7.1)
reposition 1.2(9)) Each $\sigma(a)$ is a complete idea

(use Proposition 1.2(9)). Each \diamond (a) is a complete ideal. It is strong (set \diamond (a)(x) = \diamond \diamond

a x; then $\lambda_i(x) = \omega \rightarrow x = x$ and $\lambda_i(x) = \omega \rightarrow x$, and we have $\lambda_i(x) = a \wedge x$ adjoint to $\lambda_i(x) = a \wedge x$

Proposition 2.7. (1) $a \le b$ iff $o(a) \le o(b)$ (2) $o(a \land b) = o(a) \land o(b)$

Proof. (Proposition 1.2(9) used repeatedly)

(1) If $a \le b$ and $x = a \rightarrow y \in o(a)$ we have $b \rightarrow x = b \rightarrow (a \rightarrow y)$ $= (b \land a) \rightarrow y = a \rightarrow y = x \in o(b)$

Let o(e) \(\cap (b)\) then a \(\cap (a \cap b)\) is in o(b) and hence a \((a \cap b)\) = b \((a \cap (a \cap b) \cap (a \c

and finally $a \le b$.

(2) Trivially $o(a) \cap o(b) \ni o(ab)$ Now let $a \in o(a) \cap o(b)$. Then and hence $a \in a \rightarrow a = b \rightarrow a = b \rightarrow a = a \rightarrow a = a$.

Proposition 2.8. For any open ideal $\sim_s(\sim)$ in an ideal $\leq \leq H$ we have $\sim_s(\sim) = \sim(\sim) \cap S$. Consequently, an open ideal in an open ideal in H is itself an open ideal

in H.

Proof. The first is obvious: we have os(v) =

 $= \{ m \mid n \in S, n \rightarrow n = n \}.$ Now in particular $\sigma_{\sigma(b)}(e) = \sigma(e) \cap \sigma(b) = \sigma(e) = \sigma(e)$ by Proposition 2.7(2) (and e by Proposition 2.7(1)).

Proposition 2.9. An ideal S \subseteq H is open iff it is complete and p preserves the Heyting operation.

Proof. Let $S = \emptyset(2)$ be open. We already know it is complete. Now for 0 = 0 we have 0 = 0 we have 0 = 0 and 0 = 0 and 0 = 0 and proceed, by Proposition 1.2(9), ... =

Conversely, let S be complete and let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{S}$ preserve the Heyting operation.

Set $a = \varphi_s(A)$ Then we have $\lambda_s(a \rightarrow a) = \lambda(\varphi(a)) \rightarrow \lambda(a) = 1 \rightarrow \lambda(a)$ and hence $a \rightarrow a \leq \lambda(a)$

On the other hand, $1 = \lambda(n) \rightarrow \lambda(n) = \lambda(\lambda(n)) = \lambda$

Corollary 2.10. Principal ideals are retracts in the category of Heyting semilattices.

The Closed ideals are

c(e) = 10 = { ~ | ~ 7/0}

then (by Proposition 1.2(5))

Observation 4.1 below.

For an ideal S set

Observations 2.11. (1) In the strong case, $m(s) = \lambda_s^{-1}(1)$

(2) For closed ideals, $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{a})) = \{x \mid \sup\{a, x\} = 1\}.$

Lemma 2.12. If $a \in n(S)$ then for every $s \in S$, $a \rightarrow s = s$

Proposition 2.13. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) S < 0 (a)
- (2) 35 5 30(0)
- (3) $o_s cm(s)$ (in the strong case, $o_s(o) = 1$)
- (4) 2(4) 05 = 0

Proof. (1) is trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let $a \le s \in S$. Suppose $s \notin m(s)$, that is, $s \in S$. Then $s \in S \cap (s)$ and

there is an x < 1 such that $s \in m = 0 \rightarrow \infty$, hence $a = s \land 0 \in \infty$ and $m = 0 \rightarrow \infty = 1$,

a contradiction. For the statement on the See Observation 2.11.

(3)(4) is just a reformulation.

(3) follows from Lemma 2.12.

Comparing (1) and (4) in Proposition 2.13 we immediately obtain

Corollary 2.14. o(4) is the pseudocomplement of -c(4) in Idl(H).

Proposition 2.15. (Properties of 3.)

(1) For every $x \in H$ and $s \in S$, $x \rightarrow s = \lambda_s(x) \rightarrow s$

(2) x & /(x), /(/s(x))= /(2)

(3) Ds(axy)= Ps(a) x Ps(y)

Proof. (1): $y \le n \to s$ iff $m \le y \to s$ iff (by (I2)) $y \le (n) \le y \to s$ iff $y \le y \le (n) \to s$

(2) is in condition (Istr) in Definition 2.1.

(3): $m \wedge y \in \mathcal{I}(m \wedge y)$, hence $m \in y \to \mathcal{I}(m \wedge y)$, hence $\mathcal{I}(m) \in \mathcal{I}(m \wedge y)$, hence $y \in \mathcal{I}(m) \to \mathcal{I}(m \wedge y)$, $\mathcal{I}(m) \to \mathcal{I}(m \wedge y)$, $\mathcal{I}(m) \in \mathcal{I}(m \wedge y)$ and finally

The other inequality is trivial.

Note. The properties in (2) and (3) are the properties of a *nucleus* as considered in the case of complete Heyting algebras (frames).

3. Subfit Heyting semilattices. A Heyting semilattice is subfit (cf. [6], conjunctive in [14], [15]) if we have the implication

(Recall the Convention in Definition 1.1(1): " $\sup\{a, c\} = 1 \neq \sup\{b, c\}$ " says that a, c do not have a common upper bound in H other than the top while b, c do.)

Theorem 3.1. Let H be a Heyting semilattice. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) H is subfit.
- (2) For a strong ideal $S \in H$, $S \setminus \{1\}$ is cofinal in $H \setminus \{1\}$ only if S = H.
- - (4) Each open ideal \bullet (a) is the supremum in Idl(H) of the system $\{ \bullet(x) \mid \sup\{x, a\} = 1 \}$.
 - (5) Each open ideal in H is a supremum in Idl(H) of a system of closed ideals.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let b \(\text{H} \) and \(\alpha \cdot \frac{1}{3} \) (b). Let \(\sup \{ a, b \} = 1 \) and let x < 1 be

such that x > b, c. By the cofinality there is an $s \in S$, s < 1. Then s > b and being in S, it is c a. Thus s > a, c, hence s = 1, a contradiction proving that c and c

(4) \Rightarrow (5) is trivial.

(5) \Rightarrow (1): If a \neq b we have \sim (b) \neq (a) and hence \sim (b) \neq (b) If \sim (c) is a supremum of a system of closed ideals there is a c such that \sim (c) \in \sim (a), so that $\sup\{a, c\} = 1$, while \sim (a) \neq (b) and consequently $\sup\{c, b\} \neq 1$.

From Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 3.1(5) we immediately obtain

Corollary 3.2. An open ideal in a subfit Heyting semilattice is itself subfit.

Proposition 3.3. Let S be a complete ideal in a subfit Heyting semilattice. Then S is open. Thus, completeness yields in the subfit case the automatic preservation of the Heyting peration. Proof. Set $\alpha = \beta_s(A)$. Then, first, $\beta_s(\alpha) = \beta_s(\alpha) \beta_s(\alpha)$ and hence, by Proposition

2.13,

We will show that $S \times \{1\}$ is cofinal in $\mathfrak{G}(a) \times \{1\}$. Indeed, if $1 \neq x = a \rightarrow y$

is an element of $\sigma(\omega)$ if then $\alpha \neq y$, hence $\alpha \wedge \alpha \neq y$ and $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \neq \alpha \Rightarrow y = x$ so that $1 \leq \Im(x)$ that is, $\alpha \leq \Im(\alpha) \neq 1$. Thus, by Corollary

3.2 and Theorem 3.1(2), S= 0(2)

Note. In the previous proof, in fact, the subfitness was not used in formally the full strength. It would have sufficed to replace (subfit) by the formally weaker

(c-subfit) for a complete ideal $S \in H$, $S \setminus \{1\}$ is cofinal in $H \setminus \{1\}$ only if S = H.

Or, in other words, by

(c-subfit') for a complete ideal S H, \sqrt{s} (1) = {1} only if S = H.

We do not know whether this formally weaker condition is really weaker than (subfit). It seems to be likely, but we will show that in an important class of Heyting algebras these two conditions coincide; see Definition 5.4 below.

Proposition 3.4. A Heyting semilattice is subfit (resp. satisfies (c-subfit)) iff for a strong ideal (resp. a complete ideal) S and any open ideal **(a)**

Proof. \Leftarrow is obvious: apply the formula for a = 1, that is, $\bullet(\bullet) = H$.

 \Rightarrow : By Proposition 2.13, $\leq co(a)$. Since co(a) is subfit (see Corollary 3.2), and since co(a) by Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.5. A Heyting semilattice satisfies (c-subfit) iff every complete ideal in H is open.

Proof. We already know that the condition suffices. Now let each complete ideal be open. By Proposition 3.4, to show that it is necessary it suffices to prove that $\partial \sigma(\omega) = 0$ (b) implies a = b. Now if the first holds we have $\partial_{\sigma(b)} = 1$ iff $\partial_{\sigma(a)} = 1$, that is, $b \rightarrow x = 1$ iff $a \rightarrow x = 1$, that is $a \leq x$ iff $b \leq x$.

The case of Heyting algebras. In this section we will discuss Heyting algebras H instead of Heyting semilattices (recall Definition 1.1(4)).

In this case every strong ideal S is again a Heyting algebra, with the binary join being $\lambda_s(x,y)$ and the mapping λ_s a lattice homomorphism.

If H is a complete Heyting algebra (frame) then the fact that is has a left adjoint says precisely that S & H is closed under arbitrary meets, so that the condition (Istr) is in fact an extension of (I1) to all meets. S is then again a frame, with the joins given by (Va), and Is a frame homomorphism (a sublocale, modelling a generalized subspace if a frame is viewed as a generalized space; the o(a) resp. c(a) then model the open resp. closed ones). If S is complete, is a complete lattice homomorphism. Frame homomorphisms (preserving finite meets and general joins) model continuous maps, and complete Heyting homomorphisms model the open continuous ones (see also the beginning of Section 5 below).

Observation 4.1. If H is a Heyting algebra then each c(a) is a strong ideal, with $\sqrt{2}$

Proposition 4.2. If H is a Heyting algebra then $\bullet(\bullet)$ and $\bullet(\bullet)$ are complements to each other in Idl(H) (and in Idl(H)). Proof. If $x \in \bullet(\bullet) \cap \bullet$ then x > a and $x = \bullet \rightarrow \bullet = 1$. If $x \in H$ is general, then, by Proposition 1.2(11), $x = (x \lor a) \land (a \to x) \in \bullet$

Proposition 4.3. Let $S \in H$ be a strong ideal in a Heyting algebra. Then $S = \bigcap \{c(x) \lor o(x) | s(x) = s(y)\}.$

Proof. Let $a \in S$ and let $J_{S}(x) = J_{S}(y)$. Then $x \to a = J_{S}(x) \to a$ $= J_{S}(y) \to a = y \to a$ and (recall Proposition 1.2(11)) $a = (a \lor x) \land$ $(x \to a) = (a \lor x) \land (y \to a) \in c(x) \lor o(y)$ (as $y \to (y \to a) = y \to a$). Let a be in the intersection. Then for any x, y with $J_{S}(x) = J_{S}(y)$, $a \in c(x) \lor o(y)$. In particular, $a \in c(J_{S}(a)) \lor o(a)$ so that $a = J_{S}(y)$, $a \in c(x) \lor o(y)$. In particular, $a \in c(J_{S}(a)) \lor o(a)$ so that $a = J_{S}(y)$, $a \in c(x) \lor o(y)$. In particular, $a \in c(J_{S}(a)) \lor o(a)$ so that $a = J_{S}(y)$.

A Heyting algebra is fit (cf. [6]) if we have the implication $a \le b \Rightarrow \exists c, a \lor c = 1, c \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b$ (fit)

Recall the $a \le b \Rightarrow a \lor c = 1, c \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b$ (fit)

Theorem 4.4. Let H be a Heyting algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) H is fit.
- (2) For a strong ideal S and an ideal T,
- (3) For each strong ideal $S \in H$, $S = \bigcap \{ o(\alpha) | J_k(\alpha) = 1 \}.$
- (4) For each closed ideal, $\uparrow a = \bigcap \{o(x) \mid a \lor x = 1\}.$

Remark. Note that in (2) one will not use even the (I1). Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let Preof. and let $\text{b} \in T$, $\text{b} \neq 1$. Set a = Ps(b).

Suppose av c = 1; if $b \lor c \leqslant s \leqslant S$ we have a $\leqslant s$, hence $s \gt a \lor c = 1$. Thus, $b \lor c \nleq \delta S$ and hence $b \lor c \thickspace c \thickspace c \gt b$. We have, by Proposition 1.2(11), $b = (b \lor c) \land (c \to b)$ so that $b \lor c \lessdot (c \to b) \to b \in T$, and $(c \to b) \to b = 1$. Hence $c \to b \leqslant b$, that is, $c \to b = b$. Thus, $a \leqslant b$, and $b = \flat (b) \leqslant S$.

(2) (3): Set $T = \mathbb{N}\{ (x) | (x) = 1 \}$. By Proposition 2.13, $S \in T$. Now let $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a $t \neq 1$, $t \in T$ and $a \leq t$. Since $t \in T$ we have $x \to t = t$ whenever f(x) = 1. Suppose f(x) = 1. Suppose f(x) = 1 and f(x) = 1

(4) (1): If $fa = \emptyset\{o(a) \mid a \lor x = 1\}$ then if $c \rightarrow b = b$ for all c such that $a \lor c = 1$ we obtain $a \leqslant b$ and H is fit.

Proposition 4.5. Let H be a subfit Heyting algebra and let S be a strong ideal in H that has a complement in Idl(H). Then S is subfit.

Proof. Obviously if S, is a strong ideal in S and if S is a strong ideal in S then S, is one in S. Now let S, S be cofinal in S \{1}. Let S \(T = H \) and S \(T = \{1} \).

Compare Proposition 4.5 with Corollary 3.2. Also, recall Proposition 3.4 and compare it with

"for any two strong ideals S, T, $\Im S = \Im T$ implies S = T" that holds for fit Heyting algebras by Theorem 4.4(2). We have here a similar statement for a special T. It is natural to ask how special the T has to be. Now, a particular feature of the open ideal o(a) is that it is complemented, and hence subfit whenever H is. This may lead to the conjecture that something like the complementarity might be the required special property. But this statement does not hold even for closed ideals. Indeed, consider a Heyting algebra H that is subfit but not fit, and such that intersections of strong ideals are strong (for instance, the lattice of the open sets of a T1-space that is not fit). Then there exists a closed ideal f a such that c(a) = f a $\neq S = f$ $\{c(a) \mid x \lor a = 1\}$. We will prove that, however, $\Im(a) = \Im S$, or, in other words, $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ iff $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} = 1$. First, obviously $3 \le 2 \le \sin x$ since $1 \le S$. Hence if $3 \le x \le 1$ then $x \vee a = 1$. On the other hand, let $x \vee c = 1$ and let $x \leqslant t \in S$. Then in particular $s \in \sigma(x)$, that is, $x \rightarrow s = s$. As $x \leq s$, $x \rightarrow s = 1$, and $\partial_{s}(x) = 1$.

The case of spatial frames. Recall the beginning of Section 4. A typical frame is the lattice O(X) of open sets of a topological space X, and if $f: X \to Y$ is a continuous map then $O(f) = (U \mapsto f'(U))$ is a frame homomorphism $O(Y) \to O(X)$. Frame homomorphisms give a good representation of continuous maps since for a large class of spaces such $h: O(Y) \to O(X)$ are precisely the O(f). For more about frames see, e.g., [7] or [12].

Open homomorphisms $h: L \rightarrow M$ between frames are characterized, among the frame homomorphisms, by the existence of a map $\mathbf{q}: M \rightarrow L$ such that for all $a \in M$ and $x, y \in L$

 $x \wedge \mathbf{q}(a) = y \wedge \mathbf{q}(a)$ iff $h(x) \wedge a = h(y) \wedge a$, (Open) or equivalently

 $x ^q(a)$ iff $h(x) ^a$ h(y) (Open') from which, by setting x = 1 we immediately infer that q is a left adjoint to h. In general the existence of a left adjoint does not suffice. From (Open') one easily infers

Theorem 5.1. (Joyal & Tierney, [9]) A frame homomorphism h : L→ M is open iff it is a complete homomorphism preserving the Heyting operation.

(Compare with Proposition 2.9.)

Frame congruences on L (that is, equivalence relations preserving all joins and finite meets) can be equivalently represented by ideals $S \in L$ resp. their nuclei $S \in L$, namely

 $\partial_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(x) = \partial_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(x) = V(y \mid yEx), S_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \partial_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}[L].$

If the congruence preserves, furthermore, all meets we speak of a complete congruence.

The open ideals **(a)**above correspond to the open congruences

The formula (Open) above says, hence, that

$$\triangle_{\mathbf{Q(a)}} = \{(x, y) \mid h(x) \land a = h(y) \land a\} = E.$$

Thus, requiring that a complete congruence be open is expressed by the implication

Da(a) 1 = E1 =) Da(a) = E

Applying Proposition 3.5 we obtain

Proposition 5.2. For a frame L, the open homomorphisms coincide with the complete frame homomorphisms iff (c-subfit) for complete congruences E on L,

$$E1 = 1 \Rightarrow E = \{(x, x) \mid x \in L\}.$$

The condition (subfit) assumes this for any frame congruence. We do not have a dividing example.

Note. Up to isomorphism, if L is a frame, the $\frac{1}{3}$: L \rightarrow S are precisely the onto frame homomorphisms. Thus, the condition above characterizes the L for which all the complete onto homomorphisms are open, too. This is explained by the Following

Observation 5.3. Each complete one-one frame homomorphism is open (without any condition on the frames involved).

(Indeed, for the left adjoint \mathbf{q} to be a one-one homomorphism $h: L \rightarrow M$ we have $\mathbf{q}h = id$ and $h\mathbf{q} > id$. Consider the condition (Open') in 5.1. If $x \land \mathbf{q}(a) \le y$

then $h(x) ^ a h(x) ^ h(q(a)) h(y)$, and if $h(x) ^ a h(y)$ then $x ^ q(a) = q(h(x)) ^ q(a) q(h(y)) = y.$

Definition 5.4. A subset A of a space X is quasiopen (see [5]) if for every open U the set \uparrow (U \land A) (\uparrow in the specialization order $x \notin y \equiv x \in \{\overline{y}\}$) is open.

Proposition 5.5. ([4]) The congruence $UE_AV \equiv U_0A = V_0A$

is complete iff A is quasiopen.

Recall that a space X is TD (see, e.g.,[1]) if for every $x \in X$ there is an open U \ni x such that U \setminus {x} is open. We will need a weaker condition

(*) if there is an x such that $\{x\} \neq \{x\}$ then there is an a such that $\{a\} \neq \{a\}$ and an open U a such that U \ \{a\} is open. The role of (*) in the following theorem is basically in localizing the fact that in a TD space $E_{\mathbf{A}} = E_{\mathbf{B}} \Rightarrow A = B$ ([13], [2]).

Theorem 5.6. Let L = O(X) and let X satisfy (*). Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) A frame homomorphism $h : L \rightarrow M$ is open iff it is complete.
- (2) L is subfit.
- (3) X is T1.

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial and (2) \Rightarrow (1) we already know.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Let X not be T1; choose a as in (*) and set $A = X \setminus \{a\}$. Then A is not open, but it is quasiopen: if $U \in X$ is open then $U \cap A = U \setminus \{a\}$ and either $U \setminus \{a\} = U \setminus \{a\}$ and it is open itself, or there is an x in $\{a\} \cap U \cap A$ and $\{U \cap A\} = U$. Now if UE, X then $U \setminus \{a\} = X \setminus \{a\}$ and hence U = X (since it contains $X \setminus \{a\}$ and $X \setminus \{a\}$ is not open). Hence E, X is trivial and if (1) holds then the whole of E, is trivial, by Proposition 5.2. But this is a contradiction since for the U from (*) we have $U \in \{U \setminus \{a\}\}$).