

# Neighborhoods with respect to a closure operator

E. Giuli and J. Šlapal

## 1 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a finitely complete category with a proper  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -factorization structure for morphisms. For simplicity, we assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is stable under pullbacks along  $\mathcal{M}$ -morphisms and that multiple pullbacks of arbitrary large families of  $\mathcal{M}$  morphisms with a common codomain exist. Given an  $\mathcal{X}$ -object  $X$ , we denote by  $\text{sub}X$  the (complete) subobject lattice of  $X$  and by  $o_X$  the least element of  $\text{sub}X$ . We assume that  $f^{-1}(o_Y) = o_X$  whenever  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -morphism. For any  $m \in \text{sub}X$ ,  $\bar{m}$  denotes the pseudocomplement of  $m$  - provided it exists.

We suppose there is given a concrete category  $\mathcal{K}$  over  $\mathcal{X}$  with the corresponding underlying functor  $|\cdot| : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . We write  $f$  instead of  $|f|$  whenever  $f$  is a  $\mathcal{K}$ -morphism and we also write briefly  $\text{sub}K$  and  $o_K$  instead of  $\text{sub}|K|$  and  $o_{|K|}$ , respectively, whenever  $K$  is a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object. The category  $\mathcal{K}$  is assumed to have finite concrete products and by a (not necessarily finite) product in  $\mathcal{K}$  we always mean a concrete one.

We assume there is given a *closure operator*  $c$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  (with respect to  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ ), i.e., a family of maps  $c = (c_K : \text{sub}K \rightarrow \text{sub}K)_{K \in \mathcal{K}}$  with the following properties that hold for each  $\mathcal{K}$ -object  $K$  and each  $m, p \in \text{sub}K$ :

- (1)  $m \leq c_K(m)$ ,
- (2)  $m \leq p \Rightarrow c_K(m) \leq c_K(p)$ ,

(3)  $f(c_K(m)) \leq c_L(f(m))$  for each  $\mathcal{K}$ -morphism  $f : K \rightarrow L$ .

The closure operator  $c$  is called

- (a) *grounded* if  $c_K(o_K) = o_K$  for each  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,
- (b) *idempotent* if  $c_K(c_K(m)) = c_K(m)$  for each  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and each  $m \in \text{sub}K$ ,
- (c) *additive* if  $c_K(m \vee p) = c_K(m) \vee c_K(p)$  for each  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and each  $m, p \in \text{sub}K$ ,
- (d) *hereditary* if, whenever  $m : M \rightarrow K$  is an embedding in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $c_M(p) = m^{-1}(c_K(m \circ p))$  for each  $p \in \text{sub}M$ .

Given a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object  $K$ , a subobject  $m \in \text{sub}K$  is said to be *c-closed* (respectively, *c-dense*) provided that  $c_K(m) = m$  (respectively,  $c_K(m) = \text{id}_K$ ). A  $\mathcal{K}$ -morphism  $f : K \rightarrow L$  is called *c-preserving* if  $f(c_K(m)) = c_L(f(m))$  whenever  $m \in \text{sub}K$ . Thus, if  $f$  is *c-preserving*, then it maps *c-closed* subobjects to *c-closed* subobjects, and vice versa provided that  $c$  is idempotent.

## 2 Neighborhoods

**Definition 2.1** Let  $K$  be an  $\mathcal{K}$ -object. A subobject  $n \in \text{sub}K$  is called a *c-neighborhood* of a given subobject  $m \in \text{sub}K$  if  $n$  is pseudocomplementable (in  $\text{sub}K$ ) and  $m \wedge c_K(\bar{n}) = o_K$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{N}(m)$  the class of all *c-neighborhoods* of  $m$ . A subclass  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(m)$  is called a *base* of *c-neighborhoods* of  $m$  if, for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}(m)$ , there exists  $p \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $p \leq n$ .

**Proposition 2.2** Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object and  $m, p \in \text{sub}K$ . Then

- (1)  $\text{id}_K \in \mathcal{N}(m)$  if  $c$  is grounded,
- (2)  $\mathcal{N}(o_K) = \{n \in \text{sub}K; n \text{ is pseudocomplementable}\}$ ,

- (3) if  $m > o_K$ , then  $n > o_K$  for each  $n \in \mathcal{N}(m)$ ,
- (4)  $n \in \mathcal{N}(m)$  implies  $m \leq n$  provided that (a)  $m$  is an atom or (b)  $\bar{n}$  is pseudocomplementable with  $\bar{\bar{n}} = n$  and either (i)  $c_K(\bar{n})$  is pseudocomplementable or (ii) both  $m$  and  $\bar{m}$  are pseudocomplementable,
- (5) if  $n \in \mathcal{N}(m)$  and  $p \in \text{sub}K$  is pseudocomplementable with  $p \geq n$ , then  $p \in \mathcal{N}(m)$ ,
- (6)  $p \leq m \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(m) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(p)$ ,
- (7) if  $m > o_K$  and  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathcal{N}(m)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ), then  $m \wedge n_1 \wedge n_2 \wedge \dots \wedge n_k > o_K$ ,
- (8) if  $m > o_K$  and  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathcal{N}(m)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ), then  $n_1 \wedge n_2 \wedge \dots \wedge n_k > o_K$ ,
- (9) if  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{N}(m)$ , then  $n_1 \wedge n_2 \in \mathcal{N}(m)$  provided that  $c$  is additive and  $\text{sub}K$  is a Boolean algebra.

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $f : K \rightarrow L$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -morphism,  $m \in \text{sub}K$  and  $n \in \mathcal{N}(f(m))$ . Then  $f^{-1}(n) \in \mathcal{N}(m)$ .

**Proposition 2.4** Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object and  $m, p \in \text{sub}K$ ,  $m > o_K$ , and let  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(m)$  be a base of  $c$ -neighborhoods of  $m$ . If  $m \leq c_K(p)$ , then  $n \wedge p > o_K$  for each  $n \in \mathcal{B}$ , and vice versa provided that  $m$  is an atom of  $\text{sub}K$  and  $p, \bar{p}, c_K(p)$  are pseudocomplementable with  $\bar{\bar{p}} = p$ .

**Definition 2.5** Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object and  $m \in \text{sub}K$ . Then  $m$  is said to be *open* (w.r.t.  $c$ ) if  $m \in \mathcal{N}(m)$ .

If  $c$  is grounded, then the openness is weaker than the  $c$ -openness (recall that a subobject  $m \in \text{sub}K$  is said to be  $c$ -open if  $m \wedge c_K(p) \leq c_K(m \wedge p)$  for every  $p \in \text{sub}K$ ). If  $c$  is grounded and additive and  $\text{sub}K$  is a Boolean algebra, then the openness and  $c$ -openness coincide.

**Proposition 2.6** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object and  $m \in \text{sub}K$ . If  $m$  is open, then  $\bar{m}$  is  $c$ -closed, and vice versa provided that  $m$  is pseudocomplementable.*

**Corollary 2.7** *Let  $f : K \rightarrow L$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -morphism,  $n \in \text{sub}L$ . If  $n$  is open, then  $f^{-1}(n)$  is open too.*

### 3 Separation and compactness

**Definition 3.1** A  $\mathcal{K}$ -object  $K$  is said to be

- (a) *separated* (with respect to  $c$ ) provided that, whenever  $m, p \in \text{sub}K$  are different atoms, there are  $n \in \mathcal{N}(m)$  and  $q \in \mathcal{N}(p)$  with  $n \wedge q = o_K$ ,
- (b) *compact* (with respect to  $c$ ) if  $\bigwedge \mathcal{T} > o_K$  for every centered class  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \text{sub}K$  of  $c$ -closed subobjects of  $K$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object such that, for each atom  $r \in \text{sub}K$  and each  $n \in \mathcal{N}(r)$ ,  $\bar{n}$  and  $c_K(n)$  are pseudocomplementable with  $\bar{\bar{n}} = n$ . If  $r = \bigwedge \{c_K(n); n \in \mathcal{N}(r)\}$  for each atom  $r \in \text{sub}K$ , then  $K$  is separated, and vice versa provided that  $\text{sub}K$  is atomistic.*

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object such that  $\text{sub}K$  is a complete Boolean algebra. Then  $K$  is compact if and only if, for every subclass  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \text{sub}K$  consisting of  $c$ -open subobjects, from  $\bigvee \mathcal{S} = \text{id}_K$  it follows that there is a finite subset  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  with  $\bigvee \mathcal{T} = \text{id}_K$ .*

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $c$  be hereditary and let  $m : M \rightarrow K$  be a  $c$ -closed embedding in  $\mathcal{K}$ . If  $K$  is compact, then  $M$  is compact too.*

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $f : K \rightarrow L$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -morphism. If  $K$  is compact and  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $L$  is compact too.*

**Theorem 3.6** (Absolute closedness) *Let  $c$  be grounded, additive, idempotent and hereditary. Let  $m : M \rightarrow K$  be an embedding in  $\mathcal{K}$  where  $M$  is compact,  $K$  is separated and  $\text{sub}K$  is an atomistic Boolean algebra. Then  $m$  is  $c$ -closed.*

**Corollary 3.7** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  have embeddings and  $(\mathcal{E}, \text{Emb}_{\mathcal{M}})$ -factorization structure and let  $c$  be grounded, additive, idempotent and hereditary. Let  $f : K \rightarrow L$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -morphism where  $K$  is compact and  $L$  is separated with the property that  $\text{sub}L$  is an atomistic Boolean algebra. Then  $f$  is  $c$ -preserving.*

**Remark 3.8** (Maximality) *Let the assumptions of Corollary 3.7 be satisfied and let  $\mathcal{K}$  have the property that each  $\mathcal{K}$ -morphism which is a  $c$ -preserving  $\mathcal{X}$ -isomorphism is a  $\mathcal{K}$ -isomorphism. Then  $f$  is a  $\mathcal{K}$ -isomorphism whenever it is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -isomorphism. Moreover, let  $|K| = |L|$  and suppose that  $c_K \leq c_L$  implies that  $\text{id}_{|K|}$  is a  $\mathcal{K}$ -morphism  $\text{id}_{|K|} : K \rightarrow L$ . Then  $c_K \leq c_L$  (i.e.,  $c_K(m) \leq c_L(m)$  for each  $m \in \text{sub}K = \text{sub}L$ ) implies  $c_K = c_L$  by Corollary 3.7 (putting  $f = \text{id}_{|K|}$ ). Thus, given an  $\mathcal{X}$ -object  $X$ , in the class of all  $c_K$  with  $K$  a separated  $\mathcal{K}$ -object such that  $|K| = X$ ,  $c_K$  with  $K$  compact are maximal (provided that the class is nonempty).*

**Corollary 3.9** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be stable under pullbacks and  $c$  be idempotent. Let  $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i$  be a product in  $\mathcal{K}$  such that all projections  $\text{pr}_i : K \rightarrow K_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , belong to  $\mathcal{E}$ . If  $K$  is compact, then  $K_i$  is compact for each  $i \in I$ .*

**Theorem 3.10** (Tychonoff's Theorem) *Let  $c$  be idempotent and let  $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i$  be a product in  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\text{sub}K_i$  is atomic for each  $i \in I$  and all atoms of  $\text{sub}K_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , have the same domain (up to isomorphisms). Let every centered class  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \text{sub}K$  have the property that  $t$ ,  $\bar{t}$  and  $c_K(t)$  are pseudocomplementable with  $\bar{\bar{t}} = t$  for each  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ .*

Finally, whenever  $x_i \in \text{sub}K_i$  is an atom for each  $i \in I$ , let the atom  $x = \langle x_i; i \in I \rangle$  fulfill the following condition:

There exists a neighborhood base  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that, for each  $p \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is a finite subset  $I' \subseteq I$  with  $p = \bigcap_{i \in I'} \text{pr}_i^{-1} n_i$  where  $n_i \in \mathcal{N}(x_i)$  for each  $i \in I'$ .

If  $K_i$  is compact for each  $i \in I$ , then  $K$  is compact too.

Recall that a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object  $K$  is called

- (a) *c-separated* if the diagonal morphism  $\delta_K : |K| \rightarrow |K| \times |K|$  is *c-closed*,
- (b) *c-compact* if the projection  $\text{pr}_L : K \times L \rightarrow L$  is *c-preserving* for every  $\mathcal{K}$ -object  $L$ .

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object such that  $\text{sub}(K \times K)$  is atomistic and both  $\delta_K$  and  $c_K(\delta_K)$  are pseudocomplementable. Let, for every atom  $m \in \text{sub}(K \times K)$ , both the projections  $\text{pr}_i : |K| \times |K| \rightarrow |K|$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , fulfill  $\text{pr}_i \circ m \in \mathcal{M}$  and let from  $p \in \mathcal{N}(\text{pr}_1 \circ m)$  and  $q \in \mathcal{N}(\text{pr}_2 \circ m)$  it follows that  $p \times q \in \mathcal{N}(m)$ . If  $K$  is separated, then it is *c-separated*.*

**Theorem 3.12** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object such that all atoms of  $\text{sub}K$  have the same domain (up to isomorphisms) and  $\delta_K$ ,  $\overline{\delta_K}$ ,  $c_{K \times K}(\delta_K)$  are pseudocomplementable with  $\overline{\overline{\delta_K}} = \delta_K$ . Let, for any pair of atoms  $p, q \in \text{sub}K$ , from  $n \in \mathcal{N}(\langle p, q \rangle)$  it follows that  $\text{pr}_1 \circ n \in \mathcal{N}(p)$  and  $\text{pr}_2 \circ n \in \mathcal{N}(q)$ . If  $K$  is *c-separated*, then it is separated.*

**Theorem 3.13** *Let  $c$  be additive and  $\text{sub}L$  be an atomic Boolean algebra for each  $\mathcal{K}$ -object  $L$ . Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object satisfying the following condition:*

Given a  $\mathcal{K}$  object  $L$ , an atom  $y \in \text{sub}L$  and a subobject  $m \in \text{sub}(K \times L)$  with  $\text{pr}_L(c_{K \times L}(m)) \wedge y = o_L$ , for each

atom  $x \in \text{sub}K$  there are subobjects  $u_x \in \text{sub}K$  and  $v_x \in \text{sub}L$ ,  $u_x$   $c$ -closed, such that  $u_x \wedge x = o_K$ ,  $c_L(v_x) \wedge y = o_L$ , and  $c_{K \times L}(m) \leq \text{pr}_K^{-1}(u_x) \vee \text{pr}_L^{-1}(v_x)$ .

If  $K$  is compact, then it is  $c$ -compact.

**Theorem 3.14** *Let  $c$  be idempotent and  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object with the properties that  $\text{sub}K$  is a Boolean algebra and for any centered subclass  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{sub}K$  of  $c$ -closed subobjects of  $K$  there exist a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object  $L$  and a  $c$ -dense subobject  $m : |K| \rightarrow |L|$  of  $L$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $\text{sub}(K \times L)$  is atomic.
- (2) For any atom  $z \in \text{sub}(K \times L)$ , from  $p \in \mathcal{N}(\text{pr}_K(z))$  and  $q \in \mathcal{N}(\text{pr}_L(z))$  it follows that  $p \times q \in \mathcal{N}(z)$ .
- (3) There exists a subobject  $y \in \text{sub}L$  with  $y > o_L$ ,  $y \wedge m = o_L$ , and  $y \vee m(s) \in \mathcal{N}(y)$  for each  $s \in \mathcal{F}$ .

If  $K$  is  $c$ -compact, then it is compact.

## 4 Convergence

For each  $\mathcal{X}$ -object  $X$  we denote by  $\mathbf{R}_X$  the conglomerate of all centered subclasses of  $\text{sub}X$ . Given a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object  $K$ , we write briefly  $\mathbf{R}_K$  instead of  $\mathbf{R}_{|K|}$ .

**Definition 4.1** Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object,  $m \in \text{sub}K$  and  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$ .

- (a) We say that  $\mathcal{R}$  converges to  $m$ , in symbols  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$ , if, for each  $p \in \text{sub}K$  with  $o_K < p \leq m$  and each  $n \in \mathcal{N}(p)$  there exists  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $r \leq n$ .
- (b)  $m$  is called a *clustering* of  $\mathcal{R}$  provided that  $m \leq c_K(r)$  for each  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  (i.e., provided that  $m \leq \bigwedge_{r \in \mathcal{R}} c_K(r)$ ).

**Proposition 4.2** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object. Then*

- (1)  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow o_K$  for each  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{N}(m) \rightarrow m$  whenever  $m$  is an atom of  $\text{sub}K$ .
- (3) For any  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  and any  $m \in \text{sub}K$ , from  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$  it follows that  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow p$  for each  $p \in \text{sub}K$ ,  $p \leq m$ .
- (4) Let the lattice  $\text{sub}K$  be atomic, let  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  and let  $m \in \text{sub}K$ . If  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow a$  for each atom  $a \in \text{sub}K$  with  $a \leq m$ , then  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$ .
- (5) For any  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  and any  $m \in \text{sub}K$ , from  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$  it follows that  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow m$  whenever  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  is finer than  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- (6) If  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  is a stack on  $\text{sub}K$  and  $m \in \text{sub}K$ , then  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}(p) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  for each  $p \in \text{sub}K$  with  $o_K < p \leq m$ .
- (7)  $o_K$  is a clustering of every  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$ .
- (8) Let  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  and  $m, n \in \text{sub}K$ . If  $m$  is a clustering of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $n \leq m$ , then  $n$  is a clustering of  $\mathcal{R}$  too.

**Proposition 4.3** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object,  $m, p \in \text{sub}K$ , and let  $m$  be an atom of  $\text{sub}K$ . If  $m \leq c_K(p)$ , then there exists  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  such that  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$  and  $n \wedge p > o_K$  for each  $n \in \mathcal{R}$ , and vice versa provided that  $\text{sub}K$  is a Boolean algebra.*

**Proposition 4.4** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object such that  $\text{sub}K$  is a Boolean algebra, let  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  be a stack on  $\text{sub}K$  and let  $m \in \text{sub}K$  be a join of atoms. If there exists  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  with  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow m$ , then  $m$  is a clustering of  $\mathcal{R}$ , and vice versa provided that  $\text{sub}K$  is atomic,  $c$  is additive and  $\mathcal{R}$  is a filter.*

**Corollary 4.5** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  be an object such that  $\text{sub}K$  is a Boolean algebra, let  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  be a stack and let  $m \in \text{sub}K$  be a join of atoms. If  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$ , then  $m$  is a clustering of  $\mathcal{R}$ .*



**Corollary 4.6** *Let  $c$  be additive,  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object such that  $\text{sub}K$  is an atomic Boolean algebra, and let  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  be an ultrafilter. Then  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$  if and only if  $m$  is a clustering of  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

**Theorem 4.7** *Let  $f : K \rightarrow L$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -morphism,  $m \in \text{sub}K$  and  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$ . If  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$ , then  $f(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow f(m)$ .*

Let  $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i$  be a product in  $\mathcal{K}$  and let  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$ . By Theorem 4.7, given  $m \in \text{sub}K$ ,  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$  implies  $\text{pr}_i(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \text{pr}_i(m)$  for each  $i \in I$ . If the converse implication is also valid, we say that the centered class  $\mathcal{R}$  is *convergence-compatible* with the product  $K$ .

**Proposition 4.8** *Let in  $\mathcal{X}$  the non-trivial objects be stable under products and let all projections in  $\mathcal{K}$  belong to  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i$  be a product in  $\mathcal{K}$  and, for each  $i \in I$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_i \in \mathbf{R}_{K_i}$ ,  $m_i \in \text{sub}K_i$  and  $\mathcal{R}_i \rightarrow m_i$ . If  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{R}_i \in \mathbf{R}_K$  is convergence-compatible with  $K$ , then  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{R}_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} m_i$ .*

**Theorem 4.9** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object. If  $K$  is separated, then from  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow m$  and  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow p$  it follows that  $m = p$  whenever  $m, p \in \text{sub}K$  are atoms and  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$ , and vice versa provided that  $c$  is additive and  $\text{sub}K$  is a Boolean algebra.*

**Theorem 4.10** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -object. If every  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  has a clustering different from  $o_K$ , then  $K$  is compact, and vice versa provided that  $c$  is idempotent.*

**Remark 4.11** The introduced concept of convergence may be strengthened by saying that  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbf{R}_K$  converges to  $m \in \text{sub}K$  if  $\mathcal{N}(p) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  for each  $p \in \text{sub}K$  with  $o_K < p \leq m$ . Then all statements concerning convergence remain valid (and this is true even if the assumption that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a stack is omitted wherever it occurs).