

Profinite Relational Structures

Manuela Sobral

(joint work with George Janelidze)

Workshop in honour of the 60th birthday of

J. Adámek and W. Tholen

Coimbra, October 26-28, 2007

Profinite = Inter-clopen

- ▶ For preorders.

Profinite = Inter-clopen

- ▶ For preorders.
- ▶ The same is true for equivalence relations.

Profinite = Inter-clopen

- ▶ For preorders.
- ▶ The same is true for equivalence relations.

This can either be deduced from results of Carboni, Janelidze and Magid in *A note on the Galois correspondence for commutative rings*, J. of Algebra 183 (1996) 266-272, or seen as a special case of our Theorem 2.4.

Profinite = Inter-clopen

- ▶ For preorders.
- ▶ The same is true for equivalence relations.
- ▶ The same is not true for orders, but gives a new characterization of profinite orders, namely:
 $(\text{profinite order}) = (\text{profinite preorder}) + (\text{order})$.

Profinite = Inter-clopen

- ▶ For preorders.
- ▶ The same is true for equivalence relations.
- ▶ The same is not true for orders, but gives a new characterization of profinite orders, namely:
 $(\text{profinite order}) = (\text{profinite preorder}) + (\text{order})$.

These suggest to investigate relational structures in general.

Stone and Priestley Spaces

Extending Stone duality, Priestley duality is an equivalence

$$\mathcal{DLat}^{op} \sim \mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{Ord})$$

Extending Stone duality, Priestley duality is an equivalence

$$\mathcal{DLat}^{op} \sim \mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{Ord})$$

Stone spaces are the compact topological spaces in which every two distinct points can be separated by a clopen subset.

Extending Stone duality, Priestley duality is an equivalence

$$\mathcal{DLat}^{op} \sim \mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{Ord})$$

Stone spaces are the compact topological spaces in which every two distinct points can be separated by a clopen subset.

Priestley spaces are the ordered compact topological spaces in which every two distinct points can be separated by a clopen decreasing subset.

As shown by Margarida Dias in
Priestley spaces: the threefold way, Preprint 07-45, DMUC,
this can be repeated for preorders as follows:

Theorem 1.1. The following conditions on a preordered topological space (X, \leq) are equivalent:

Theorem 1.1. *The following conditions on a preordered topological space (X, \leq) are equivalent:*

(a) (X, \leq) is a limit of finite topologically-discrete preordered spaces;

Theorem 1.1. *The following conditions on a preordered topological space (X, \leq) are equivalent:*

(a) (X, \leq) is a limit of finite topologically-discrete preordered spaces;

(b) X is a Stone space in which for every two points x and x' with $x' \not\leq x$, there exists a clopen decreasing subset U in X such that $x \in U$ and $x' \notin U$.

Theorem 1.2. *A preordered topological space (X, \leq) belongs to $\mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{Preord})$ if only if X is a Stone space and \leq is inter-clopen.*

Theorem 1.2. *A preordered topological space (X, \leq) belongs to $\mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{Preord})$ if only if X is a Stone space and \leq is inter-clopen.*

Proof:

Theorem 1.2. *A preordered topological space (X, \leq) belongs to $\mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{Preord})$ if and only if X is a Stone space and \leq is inter-clopen.*

Proof:

If $(X, \leq) = \lim_{i \in I} (X_i, \leq_i)$, with (X_i, \leq_i) finite preorders, then $\leq = \bigcap R_i$ where the R_i 's are the inverse images of \leq_i under the induced maps $X \times X \rightarrow X_i \times X_i$.

Theorem 1.2. *A preordered topological space (X, \leq) belongs to $\mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{Preord})$ if only if X is a Stone space and \leq is inter-clopen.*

Proof:

Conversely ,

- if \leq is clopen, for each $x \in X$, $\downarrow x = \{u \in U \mid u \leq x\}$ is a clopen decreasing subset: it is the inverse image of \leq under the continuous map $X \rightarrow X \times X$ sending u to (u, x) .

Theorem 1.2. *A preordered topological space (X, \leq) belongs to $\mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{Preord})$ if only if X is a Stone space and \leq is inter-clopen.*

Proof:

Conversely ,

- if \leq is clopen, for each $x \in X$, $\downarrow x = \{u \in U \mid u \leq x\}$ is a clopen decreasing subset: it is the inverse image of \leq under the continuous map $X \rightarrow X \times X$ sending u to (u, x) .

- if $(X, \leq) = (X, \bigcap_{i \in I} R_i)$ then it is a limit of clopen preorders (on Stone spaces): the limit of the diagram formed by all identity maps $(X, R_i) \rightarrow (X, X \times X)$.

Profinite Orders via Preorders

Theorem 1.3. *An ordered topological space (X, \leq) belongs to $\mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{Ord})$, i.e. is a Priestley space, if only if X is compact and the relation \leq is inter-clopen (as a preorder).*

Theorem 1.3. *An ordered topological space (X, \leq) belongs to $\mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{Ord})$, i.e. is a Priestley space, if only if X is compact and the relation \leq is inter-clopen (as a preorder).*

Proof: We just need to show that, whenever \leq is inter-clopen, every two distinct points x and x' in X can be separated by a clopen decreasing subset, or, equivalently, by an inter-clopen subset: if $x' \not\leq x$, using again the map

$$X \rightarrow X \times X$$

sending u to (u, x) , gives the separating inter-clopen subset as the inverse image of \leq .

Theorem 1.3. *An ordered topological space (X, \leq) belongs to $\mathit{ProFin}(\mathit{Ord})$, i.e. is a Priestley space, if only if X is compact and the relation \leq is inter-clopen (as a preorder).*

Proof: We just need to show that, whenever \leq is inter-clopen, every two distinct points x and x' in X can be separated by a clopen decreasing subset, or, equivalently, by an inter-clopen subset: if $x' \not\leq x$, using again the map

$$X \rightarrow X \times X$$

sending u to (u, x) , gives the separating inter-clopen subset as the inverse image of \leq .

(profinite order) = (profinite preorder) + (order)

Whenever an ordered topological space can be presented as a limit of finite topologically-discrete preordered spaces, it can also be presented as a limit of finite topologically-discrete ordered spaces.

Whenever an ordered topological space can be presented as a limit of finite topologically-discrete preordered spaces, it can also be presented as a limit of finite topologically-discrete ordered spaces.

Theorem 1.3 might suggest considering “order-inter-clopen” order relations,

Whenever an ordered topological space can be presented as a limit of finite topologically-discrete preordered spaces, it can also be presented as a limit of finite topologically-discrete ordered spaces.

Theorem 1.3 might suggest considering “order-inter-clopen” order relations,

i.e. those order relations that are intersections of clopen order relations.

Whenever an ordered topological space can be presented as a limit of finite topologically-discrete preordered spaces, it can also be presented as a limit of finite topologically-discrete ordered spaces.

Theorem 1.3 might suggest considering “order-inter-clopen” order relations,

i.e. those order relations that are intersections of clopen order relations.

But, there are no such relations!

Ordered Topological Spaces

The following four conditions on an ordered topological space (X, \leq) are equivalent:

1. \leq is an inter-clopen subset in $X \times X$;
2. \leq is an clopen subset in $X \times X$;
3. \leq is an open subset in $X \times X$;
4. X is discrete as a topological space.

A first order (finitary and one-sorted) language \mathbb{L} is determined by the set $\mathcal{F} = F(\mathbb{L})$ of its functional symbols and the set $\mathcal{P} = P(\mathbb{L})$ of its set predicate symbols.

A first order (finitary and one-sorted) language \mathbb{L} is determined by the set $\mathcal{F} = F(\mathbb{L})$ of its functional symbols and the set $\mathcal{P} = P(\mathbb{L})$ of its set predicate symbols.

Let $Mod(\mathbb{L})$ be the category of models:

A first order (finitary and one-sorted) language \mathbb{L} is determined by the set $\mathcal{F} = F(\mathbb{L})$ of its functional symbols and the set $\mathcal{P} = P(\mathbb{L})$ of its set predicate symbols.

Let $Mod(\mathbb{L})$ be the category of models:

objects are $A = (A_0, (F_A)_{\mathcal{F}}, (P_A)_{\mathcal{P}})$

A first order (finitary and one-sorted) language \mathbb{L} is determined by the set $\mathcal{F} = F(\mathbb{L})$ of its functional symbols and the set $\mathcal{P} = P(\mathbb{L})$ of its set predicate symbols.

Let $Mod(\mathbb{L})$ be the category of models:

objects are $A = (A_0, (F_A)_{\mathcal{F}}, (P_A)_{\mathcal{P}})$

and morphisms all maps $f : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ such that

$$fF_A = F_B f^n \text{ and } f^n(P_A) \subseteq P_B$$

for all natural n , n -ary F in $F(\mathbb{L})$, and n -ary P in $P(\mathbb{L})$.

The Forgetful Functor

The forgetful functor $U_{\mathbb{L}} : Mod(\mathbb{L}) \rightarrow Alg(\mathbb{L})$ is a fibration.

The forgetful functor $U_{\mathbb{L}} : Mod(\mathbb{L}) \rightarrow Alg(\mathbb{L})$ is a fibration.

For A in $Alg(\mathbb{L})$, B in $Mod(\mathbb{L})$, and a morphism $f : A \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{L}}(B)$, the cartesian lifting $f^*(A) \rightarrow B$ has:

The forgetful functor $U_{\mathbb{L}} : Mod(\mathbb{L}) \rightarrow Alg(\mathbb{L})$ is a fibration.

For A in $Alg(\mathbb{L})$, B in $Mod(\mathbb{L})$, and a morphism $f : A \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{L}}(B)$, the cartesian lifting $f^*(A) \rightarrow B$ has:

- ▶ $f^*(A)_0$ and all $F_{f^*(A)}$ with $F \in F(\mathbb{L})$ are as in A ;

The forgetful functor $U_{\mathbb{L}} : Mod(\mathbb{L}) \rightarrow Alg(\mathbb{L})$ is a fibration.

For A in $Alg(\mathbb{L})$, B in $Mod(\mathbb{L})$, and a morphism $f : A \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{L}}(B)$, the cartesian lifting $f^*(A) \rightarrow B$ has:

- ▶ $f^*(A)_0$ and all $F_{f^*(A)}$ with $F \in F(\mathbb{L})$ are as in A ;
- ▶ $P_{f^*(A)} = (f^n)^{-1}(P_B)$ for all natural n and each n -ary P in $P(\mathbb{L})$.

A Suitable Subcategory

When B is terminal we write A^* instead of $f(A^*)$. We have that $P_{A^*} = (A_0)^n$, for all natural n and each n -ary P in $P(\mathbb{L})$.

When B is terminal we write A^* instead of $f(A^*)$. We have that $P_{A^*} = (A_0)^n$, for all natural n and each n -ary P in $P(\mathbb{L})$.

\mathcal{C} will denote a full subcategory of $Mod(\mathbb{L})$, which is closed under limits and cartesian liftings.

When B is terminal we write A^* instead of $f(A^*)$. We have that $P_{A^*} = (A_0)^n$, for all natural n and each n -ary P in $P(\mathbb{L})$.

\mathcal{C} will denote a full subcategory of $Mod(\mathbb{L})$, which is closed under limits and cartesian liftings.

This makes the forgetful functor $U_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Alg(\mathbb{L})$ a *topological functor*, a concept first introduced by H. Herrlich in *Topological functors*, General topl. and Appl. 4 (1974), 125-142.

We will also consider:

- ▶ the category $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ whose objects are objects A in \mathcal{C} equipped with a topology on A_0 , making F_A continuous for each F in $F(\mathbb{L})$;
- ▶ the full subcategory $\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ with objects all A in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ with finite discrete A_0 .
- ▶ the full subcategory $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ defined as the limit completion of $\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.1

For an object A in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$, we say that

For an object A in $\mathcal{Top}(\mathcal{C})$, we say that

(a) A is closed if P_A is a closed subset in P_{A^*} , for each P in $P(\mathbb{L})$;

For an object A in $\mathcal{Top}(\mathcal{C})$, we say that

- (a) A is closed if P_A is a closed subset in P_{A^*} , for each P in $P(\mathbb{L})$;
- (b) A is open if P_A is an open subset in P_{A^*} , for each P in $P(\mathbb{L})$;

For an object A in $\mathcal{Top}(\mathcal{C})$, we say that

- (a) A is closed if P_A is a closed subset in P_{A^*} , for each P in $P(\mathbb{L})$;
- (b) A is open if P_A is an open subset in P_{A^*} , for each P in $P(\mathbb{L})$;
- (c) A is clopen if it is closed and open at the same time;

For an object A in $\mathcal{Top}(\mathcal{C})$, we say that

- (a) A is closed if P_A is a closed subset in P_{A^*} , for each P in $P(\mathbb{L})$;
- (b) A is open if P_A is an open subset in P_{A^*} , for each P in $P(\mathbb{L})$;
- (c) A is clopen if it is closed and open at the same time;
- (d) A is inter-clopen if A^* has a set \mathcal{S} of clopen subobjects, such that

$$S_0 = A_0, \text{ for all } S \in \mathcal{S}, \text{ and } P_A = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} P_S$$

for each P in $P(\mathbb{L})$.

Profinite implies Inter-clopen

Lemma 2.2. *Every object in $\mathcal{ProFin}(\mathcal{C})$ is inter-clopen.*

Lemma 2.2. *Every object in $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ is inter-clopen.*

Proof: Let A be the limit of $D : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ with the limit projections $p_x : A \rightarrow D(x)$, $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.2. *Every object in $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ is inter-clopen.*

Proof: Let A be the limit of $D : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ with the limit projections $p_x : A \rightarrow D(x)$, $x \in X$.

For each object x in X , let $A[x]$ be the object in \mathcal{C} defined via the cartesian lifting $A[x] \rightarrow D(x)$ of $U_{\mathcal{C}}(p_x) : U_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{C}}D(x)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Every object in $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ is inter-clopen.*

Proof: Let A be the limit of $D : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ with the limit projections $p_x : A \rightarrow D(x)$, $x \in X$.

For each object x in X , let $A[x]$ be the object in \mathcal{C} defined via the cartesian lifting $A[x] \rightarrow D(x)$ of $U_{\mathcal{C}}(p_x) : U_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{C}}D(x)$.

Assuming $A[x]$ to be equipped with the topology of A , we just take \mathcal{S} to be the set of all such objects $A[x]$, ($x \in X$).

Condition 2.3:

Condition 2.3: If A is a clopen object in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$

Condition 2.3: If A is a clopen object in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$

with A^* in $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$

Condition 2.3: If A is a clopen object in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$

with A^* in $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$

(or, equivalently, with $U_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ in $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{A}lg(\mathbb{L}))$),

Condition 2.3: If A is a clopen object in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$

with A^* in $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$

(or, equivalently, with $U_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ in $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{A}lg(\mathbb{L}))$),

then A belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$.

Profinite = Inter-clopen

Theorem 2.4. Under Condition 2.3, the following conditions on an object A in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent:

Theorem 2.4. *Under Condition 2.3, the following conditions on an object A in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent:*

- (a) A belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$;

Theorem 2.4. *Under Condition 2.3, the following conditions on an object A in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent:*

- (a) A belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$;
- (b) A^* belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ and A is inter-clopen;

Theorem 2.4. *Under Condition 2.3, the following conditions on an object A in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent:*

- (a) A belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$;
- (b) A^* belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ and A is inter-clopen;
- (c) $U_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{A}lg(\mathbb{L}))$ and A is inter-clopen.

Theorem 2.4. *Under Condition 2.3, the following conditions on an object A in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent:*

- (a) A belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$;
- (b) A^* belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ and A is inter-clopen;
- (c) $U_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{A}lg(\mathbb{L}))$ and A is inter-clopen.

Proof:

Theorem 2.4. *Under Condition 2.3, the following conditions on an object A in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent:*

- (a) A belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$;
- (b) A^* belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ and A is inter-clopen;
- (c) $U_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{A}lg(\mathbb{L}))$ and A is inter-clopen.

Proof:

(a) \Rightarrow (c) follows from Lemma 2.3;

Theorem 2.4. *Under Condition 2.3, the following conditions on an object A in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent:*

- (a) A belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$;
- (b) A^* belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ and A is inter-clopen;
- (c) $U_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{A}lg(\mathbb{L}))$ and A is inter-clopen.

Proof:

(a) \Rightarrow (c) follows from Lemma 2.3;

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c) is obvious;

(b) implies (a)

Being inter-clopen, A is the intersection of some clopen S with the same underlying space.

Being inter-clopen, A is the intersection of some clopen S with the same underlying space.

This intersection is the same as the limit of the diagram formed by all morphisms $S \rightarrow A^*$ ($S \in \mathcal{S}$) that are the identity maps of the underlying spaces.

Being inter-clopen, A is the intersection of some clopen S with the same underlying space.

This intersection is the same as the limit of the diagram formed by all morphisms $S \rightarrow A^*$ ($S \in \mathcal{S}$) that are the identity maps of the underlying spaces.

A^* is profinite by assumption. Therefore, all S are profinite by Condition 2.3.

Being inter-clopen, A is the intersection of some clopen S with the same underlying space.

This intersection is the same as the limit of the diagram formed by all morphisms $S \rightarrow A^*$ ($S \in \mathcal{S}$) that are the identity maps of the underlying spaces.

A^* is profinite by assumption. Therefore, all S are profinite by Condition 2.3.

Since $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$ is closed under limits in $\mathcal{T}op(\mathcal{C})$, this implies that A belongs to $\mathcal{P}ro\mathcal{F}in(\mathcal{C})$.

Under a very reasonable assumption of having the forgetful functor U_c topological, the problem reduces to Condition 2.3. which is to be studied further.

Under a very reasonable assumption of having the forgetful functor $U_{\mathcal{C}}$ topological, the problem reduces to Condition 2.3. which is to be studied further.

Condition 2.3 holds for preorders

Under a very reasonable assumption of having the forgetful functor $U_{\mathcal{C}}$ topological, the problem reduces to Condition 2.3. which is to be studied further.

It also holds for equivalence relations and, in Stone spaces, the inter-clopen equivalence relations are exactly the effective equivalence relations.

Under a very reasonable assumption of having the forgetful functor U_c topological, the problem reduces to Condition 2.3. which is to be studied further.

Finding good sufficient conditions for Condition 2.3 seems to be an interesting problem.

Under a very reasonable assumption of having the forgetful functor $U_{\mathcal{C}}$ topological, the problem reduces to Condition 2.3. which is to be studied further.

Finding good sufficient conditions for Condition 2.3 seems to be an interesting problem.

The concept of topological functor, is relevant here. It is exactly the relevant difference between preorders and orders: the forgetful functor from \mathcal{Preord} to \mathcal{Set} is topological and the one from \mathcal{Ord} to \mathcal{Set} is not.