

Pseudo-commutativity of lax-idempotent 2-monads

Ignacio López Franco

University of Cambridge

CT 2011, Vancouver
July 2011

Plan

1. Pseudo-closed categories.
2. Pseudo-commutativities.
3. Lax-idempotent 2-monads.
4. Lax-idempotent 2-monads are pseudo-commutative.
5. Few comments on finite colimits.

Motivation

Given A, B \mathbb{V} -categories with finite colimits, \exists a *tensor product* that classifies $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ rex in each variable.

$$A \otimes B \rightarrow A \boxtimes B$$

induces

$$\mathbf{REX}(A \boxtimes B, C) \simeq \mathbf{REX}(A, B; C)$$

$A \boxtimes B$ is defined only up to *equivalence*.

- ▶ How to get \boxtimes with better properties? (relate to Deligne's tensor product of abelian categories).
- ▶ Need: algebraic formulation of commutation of colimits with colimits.

Motivation

Given A, B \mathbb{V} -categories with finite colimits, \exists a *tensor product* that classifies $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ rex in each variable.

$$A \otimes B \rightarrow A \boxtimes B$$

induces

$$\mathbf{REX}(A \boxtimes B, C) \simeq \mathbf{REX}(A, B; C)$$

$A \boxtimes B$ is defined only up to *equivalence*.

- ▶ How to get \boxtimes with better properties? (relate to Deligne's tensor product of abelian categories).
- ▶ Need: algebraic formulation of commutation of colimits with colimits.

Motivation

Given A, B \mathbb{V} -categories with finite colimits, \exists a *tensor product* that classifies $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ rex in each variable.

$$A \otimes B \rightarrow A \boxtimes B$$

induces

$$\mathbf{REX}(A \boxtimes B, C) \simeq \mathbf{REX}(A, B; C)$$

$A \boxtimes B$ is defined only up to *equivalence*.

- ▶ How to get \boxtimes with better properties? (relate to Deligne's tensor product of abelian categories).
- ▶ Need: algebraic formulation of commutation of colimits with colimits.

Pseudo-closed 2-categories

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{SymmMonCat} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Strict symmetric monoidal categories} \\ \text{braided monoidal functors} \\ \text{and monoidal transformations} \end{array} \right. \\ &= \mathbf{S-Alg} \text{ for a 2-monad } S : \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat} \end{aligned}$$

Remark

- ▶ $\mathbf{S-Alg}$ has internal homs: braided monoidal functors $A \rightarrow B$ form a *symm. strict monoidal category* $\llbracket A, B \rrbracket$.
- ▶ This is not a closed structure: $\llbracket S(1), B \rrbracket \simeq B$ *not* an isomorphism.

Pseudo-closed 2-categories

Definition (Eilenberg-Kelly)

A *closed* 2-category is \mathcal{K} with

- ▶ $K : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$.
- ▶ Internal homs $\llbracket -, - \rrbracket : \mathcal{K}^{op} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ s.t.
 $K\llbracket -, - \rrbracket = \mathcal{K}(-, -)$.
- ▶ An object $I \in \mathcal{K}$.
- ▶ Transformations
 - ▶ $id : I \rightarrow \llbracket A, A \rrbracket$.
 - ▶ $comp : \llbracket B, C \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \llbracket A, B \rrbracket, \llbracket A, C \rrbracket \rrbracket$.
 - ▶ Isomorphisms $\llbracket I, B \rrbracket \cong B$.

+ axioms.

Pseudo-closed 2-categories

Definition (Hyland-Power)

A *pseudo-closed* 2-category is \mathcal{K} with

- ▶ $K : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$.
- ▶ Internal homs $\llbracket -, - \rrbracket : \mathcal{K}^{op} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ s.t.
 $K\llbracket -, - \rrbracket = \mathcal{K}(-, -)$.
- ▶ An object $I \in \mathcal{K}$.
- ▶ Transformations
 - ▶ $id : I \rightarrow \llbracket A, A \rrbracket$.
 - ▶ $comp : \llbracket B, C \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \llbracket A, B \rrbracket, \llbracket A, C \rrbracket \rrbracket$.
 - ▶ **Retract equivalences** $i_B \dashv e_B : \llbracket I, B \rrbracket \simeq B$.

+ axioms (associativity of composition, compatibility of the retract equivalences with identities and composition, etc.).

Pseudo-commutativities

Definition (Kock)

A \mathbb{V} -monad $T : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ is *commutative* when

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} TX \otimes TY & \xrightarrow{t} & T(TX \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{Tt'} & T^2(X \otimes Y) \\ \downarrow t' & & & & \downarrow \mu \\ T(X \otimes TY) & \xrightarrow{Tt} & T^2(X \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T(X \otimes Y) \end{array}$$

where $t : X \otimes TY \rightarrow T(X \otimes Y)$ is the strength corresponding to the enrichment $T : [X, Y] \rightarrow [TX, TY]$.

Example

$S : \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ free symm. strict monoidal category 2-monad.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_1), (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \cong \\ & & ((x_1, y_1), (x_1, y_2), (x_2, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \end{array}$$

Pseudo-commutativities

Definition (Hyland-Power)

A *pseudo-commutativity* on a 2-monad $T : \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ is an invertible modification satisfying some axioms.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} TX \times TY & \xrightarrow{t} & T(TX \times Y) & \xrightarrow{Tt'} & T^2(X \times Y) \\ & & \cong \uparrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ & & & & T(X \times Y) \\ t' \downarrow & & & & \\ T(X \times TY) & \xrightarrow{Tt} & T^2(X \times Y) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T(X \times Y) \end{array}$$

Example

$S : \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ free symm. strict monoidal category 2-monad.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_1), (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \cong \\ & & ((x_1, y_1), (x_1, y_2), (x_2, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \end{array}$$

Pseudo-commutativities

Definition

A *pseudo-commutativity* on a \mathcal{W} -monad $T : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is an invertible modification satisfying some axioms.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} TX \otimes TY & \xrightarrow{t} & T(TX \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{Tt'} & T^2(X \otimes Y) \\ \downarrow t' & & \cong \uparrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ T(X \otimes TY) & \xrightarrow{Tt} & T^2(X \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T(X \otimes Y) \end{array}$$

Example

$S : \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ free symm. strict monoidal category 2-monad.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_1), (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \cong \\ & & ((x_1, y_1), (x_1, y_2), (x_2, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \end{array}$$

Pseudo-commutativities

Definition

A *pseudo-commutativity* on a \mathcal{W} -monad $T : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is an invertible modification satisfying some axioms.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} TX \otimes TY & \xrightarrow{t} & T(TX \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{Tt'} & T^2(X \otimes Y) \\ \downarrow t' & & \cong \uparrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ T(X \otimes TY) & \xrightarrow{Tt} & T^2(X \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T(X \otimes Y) \end{array}$$

Example

$S : \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ free symm. strict monoidal category 2-monad.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_1), (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \cong \\ & & ((x_1, y_1), (x_1, y_2), (x_2, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \end{array}$$

Pseudo-commutativities

Theorem (Hyland-Power)

If T is pseudo-commutative then $T\text{-Alg}$ is pseudo-closed.

Under mild assumptions there is a corresponding tensor product

$$T\text{-Alg}(A \boxtimes B, C) \simeq T\text{-Alg}(A, \llbracket B, C \rrbracket)$$

$A \boxtimes B$ classifies “multilinear maps” $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$.

$$- \boxtimes B = \left(T\text{-Alg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)'} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{J} \end{array} T\text{-Alg}_s \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\llbracket B, - \rrbracket} \end{array} T\text{-Alg}_s \xrightarrow{J} T\text{-Alg} \right)$$

Pseudo-commutativities

Theorem (Hyland-Power)

If T is pseudo-commutative then $T\text{-Alg}$ is pseudo-closed.
Under mild assumptions there is a corresponding tensor product

$$T\text{-Alg}(A \boxtimes B, C) \simeq T\text{-Alg}(A, \llbracket B, C \rrbracket)$$

$A \boxtimes B$ classifies “multilinear maps” $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$.

$$- \boxtimes B = \left(T\text{-Alg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)'} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{J} \end{array} T\text{-Alg}_s \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\llbracket B, - \rrbracket} \end{array} T\text{-Alg}_s \xrightarrow{J} T\text{-Alg} \right)$$

Pseudo-commutativities

Theorem (Hyland-Power)

If T is pseudo-commutative then $T\text{-Alg}$ is pseudo-closed.
Under mild assumptions there is a corresponding tensor product

$$T\text{-Alg}(A \boxtimes B, C) \simeq T\text{-Alg}(A, \llbracket B, C \rrbracket)$$

$A \boxtimes B$ classifies “multilinear maps” $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$.

$$- \boxtimes B = \left(T\text{-Alg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)'} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{J} \end{array} T\text{-Alg}_s \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\llbracket B, - \rrbracket} \end{array} T\text{-Alg}_s \xrightarrow{J} T\text{-Alg} \right)$$

A characterisation of pseudo-commutativity

Recall: T is commutative iff $\sigma_{X,B}$ is an algebra morphism, for all $X \in \mathbb{V}, B \in \mathbb{V}^T$.

$$\sigma_{X,B} : [X, B] \xrightarrow{T} [TX, TB] \xrightarrow{[1,b]} [TX, B]$$

Theorem

There is a bijection between pseudo-commutativities on T and liftings of the pseudonatural

$$\sigma : [-, U] \Rightarrow [T-, U-] : \mathbf{Cat}^{op} \times T\text{-Alg} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$$

to a pseudonatural

$$\sigma : [-, -] \Rightarrow [T-, -] : \mathbf{Cat}^{op} \times T\text{-Alg} \rightarrow T\text{-Alg}$$

that is 2-natural on strict morphisms + satisfying axioms.

A characterisation of pseudo-commutativity

Recall: T is commutative iff $\sigma_{X,B}$ is an algebra morphism, for all $X \in \mathbb{V}, B \in \mathbb{V}^T$.

$$\sigma_{X,B} : [X, B] \xrightarrow{T} [TX, TB] \xrightarrow{[1,b]} [TX, B]$$

Theorem

There is a bijection between pseudo-commutativities on T and liftings of the pseudonatural

$$\sigma : [-, U] \Rightarrow [T-, U-] : \mathbf{Cat}^{op} \times T\text{-Alg} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$$

to a pseudonatural

$$\sigma : [-, -] \Rightarrow [T-, -] : \mathbf{Cat}^{op} \times T\text{-Alg} \rightarrow T\text{-Alg}$$

that is 2-natural on strict morphisms + satisfying axioms.

Want to apply this theory to categories with a class of (co)limits.

Colimits

Theorem (Lack-Kelly)

Given a class of \mathbb{V} -enriched colimits (e.g., finite colimits) there is a 2-monad on $\mathbb{V}\text{-Cat}$ whose algebras are \mathbb{V} -categories with chosen colimits of that class.

(class of colimits Φ) \rightsquigarrow (2-monad T_Φ on $\mathbb{V}\text{-Cat}$)

and

$$T_\Phi\text{-Alg} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{V}\text{-categories with chosen } \Phi\text{-colimits} \\ \Phi\text{-cocontinuous } \mathbb{V}\text{-functors} \\ \mathbb{V}\text{-natural transformations} \end{cases}$$

Recall: a \mathbb{V} -category A is Φ -cocomplete iff the unit $A \rightarrow T_\Phi A$ admits a left adjoint.

Colimits

Theorem (Lack-Kelly)

Given a class of \mathbb{V} -enriched colimits (e.g., finite colimits) there is a 2-monad on $\mathbb{V}\text{-Cat}$ whose algebras are \mathbb{V} -categories with chosen colimits of that class.

(class of colimits Φ) \rightsquigarrow (2-monad T_Φ on $\mathbb{V}\text{-Cat}$)

and

$$T_\Phi\text{-Alg} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{V}\text{-categories with chosen } \Phi\text{-colimits} \\ \Phi\text{-cocontinuous } \mathbb{V}\text{-functors} \\ \mathbb{V}\text{-natural transformations} \end{cases}$$

Recall: a \mathbb{V} -category A is Φ -cocomplete iff the unit $A \rightarrow T_\Phi A$ admits a left adjoint.

Colimits

Theorem (Lack-Kelly)

Given a class of \mathbb{V} -enriched colimits (e.g., finite colimits) there is a 2-monad on $\mathbb{V}\text{-Cat}$ whose algebras are \mathbb{V} -categories with chosen colimits of that class.

$$(\text{class of colimits } \Phi) \rightsquigarrow (2\text{-monad } T_\Phi \text{ on } \mathbb{V}\text{-Cat})$$

and

$$T_\Phi\text{-Alg} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{V}\text{-categories with chosen } \Phi\text{-colimits} \\ \Phi\text{-cocontinuous } \mathbb{V}\text{-functors} \\ \mathbb{V}\text{-natural transformations} \end{cases}$$

Recall: a \mathbb{V} -category A is Φ -cocomplete iff the unit $A \rightarrow T_\Phi A$ admits a left adjoint.

Lax-idempotent 2-monads

Definition

A 2-monad $T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is *lax-idempotent* (or *K-Z*) when any of the following equivalent conditions hold.

- ▶ Any 1-cell in \mathcal{K} between T -algebras has a unique lax morphism structure.
- ▶ For any T -algebra (A, a) there is an adjunction $a \dashv \eta_A : A \rightarrow TA$ with counit an identity.
- ▶ Many more equivalent conditions.

Example

T_Φ for a class of colimits Φ .

Lax-idempotent 2-monads

Definition

A 2-monad $T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is *lax-idempotent* (or *K-Z*) when any of the following equivalent conditions hold.

- ▶ Any 1-cell in \mathcal{K} between T -algebras has a unique lax morphism structure.
- ▶ For any T -algebra (A, a) there is an adjunction $a \dashv \eta_A : A \rightarrow TA$ with counit an identity.
- ▶ Many more equivalent conditions.

Example

T_Φ for a class of colimits Φ .

Lax-idempotent 2-monads

Definition

A 2-monad $T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is *lax-idempotent* (or *K-Z*) when any of the following equivalent conditions hold.

- ▶ Any 1-cell in \mathcal{K} between T -algebras has a unique lax morphism structure.
- ▶ For any T -algebra (A, a) there is an adjunction $a \dashv \eta_A : A \rightarrow TA$ with counit an identity.
- ▶ Many more equivalent conditions.

Example

T_Φ for a class of colimits Φ .

Theorem

Any lax-idempotent 2-monad T on **Cat** has a unique pseudo-commutativity.

Proof.

1. $\sigma_{A,B} : [A, B] \xrightarrow{T} [TA, TB] \xrightarrow{[1,b]} [TA, B]$ left adjoint to $[\eta_A, B]$.
2. Left adjoints are pseudomorphisms.
3. Apply characterisation theorem of earlier.

□

Theorem

Any lax-idempotent \mathbb{V} -**Cat**-monad T on \mathbb{V} -**Cat** has a unique pseudo-commutativity.

Proof.

1. $\sigma_{A,B} : [A, B] \xrightarrow{T} [TA, TB] \xrightarrow{[1,b]} [TA, B]$ left adjoint to $[\eta_A, B]$.
2. Left adjoints are pseudomorphisms.
3. Apply characterisation theorem of earlier.

□

Theorem

Any lax-idempotent \mathcal{W} -monad T on \mathcal{W} has a unique pseudo-commutativity.

Proof.

1. $\sigma_{A,B} : [A, B] \xrightarrow{T} [TA, TB] \xrightarrow{[1,b]} [TA, B]$ left adjoint to $[\eta_A, B]$.
2. Left adjoints are pseudomorphisms.
3. Apply characterisation theorem of earlier.

□

Theorem

Any lax-idempotent \mathcal{W} -monad T on \mathcal{W} has a unique pseudo-commutativity.

Proof.

1. $\sigma_{A,B} : [A, B] \xrightarrow{T} [TA, TB] \xrightarrow{[1,b]} [TA, B]$ left adjoint to $[\eta_A, B]$.
2. Left adjoints are pseudomorphisms.
3. Apply characterisation theorem of earlier.



Finite colimits

Let $R : \mathbb{V}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\text{-Cat}$ the monad corresp. to finite colimits.

Remark

- ▶ Pseudo-commutativity of $R =$ “colimits commute with colimits.”
- ▶ $R\text{-Alg}(A \boxtimes B, C) \simeq R\text{-Alg}(A, \llbracket B, C \rrbracket)$ means that $A \boxtimes B$ classifies functors $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ rex in each variable.

Consequence: this \boxtimes preserves filtered colimits of strict maps in each variable.

Finite colimits

Let $R : \mathbb{V}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\text{-Cat}$ the monad corresp. to finite colimits.

Remark

- ▶ Pseudo-commutativity of $R =$ “colimits commute with colimits.”
- ▶ $R\text{-Alg}(A \boxtimes B, C) \simeq R\text{-Alg}(A, \llbracket B, C \rrbracket)$ means that $A \boxtimes B$ classifies functors $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ rex in each variable.

Consequence: this \boxtimes preserves filtered colimits of strict maps in each variable.

Finite colimits

Let $R : \mathbb{V}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\text{-Cat}$ the monad corresp. to finite colimits.

Remark

- ▶ Pseudo-commutativity of $R =$ “colimits commute with colimits.”
- ▶ $R\text{-Alg}(A \boxtimes B, C) \simeq R\text{-Alg}(A, \llbracket B, C \rrbracket)$ means that $A \boxtimes B$ classifies functors $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ rex in each variable.

Consequence: this \boxtimes preserves filtered colimits of strict maps in each variable.

References



I.L.F.

Pseudo-commutativity of K-Z monads.

Pre-Publicações do Departamento de Matemática.

Unviersidade de Coimbra. Preprint number 10-42.