# Positive Semidefinite Rank 

João Gouveia<br>University of Coimbra

ICERM - 5th June 2014
with Hamza Fawzi (MIT), Pablo Parrilo (MIT), Richard Z. Robinson (U.Washington) and Rekha Thomas (U.Washington)

## Section 1

## Definition and Basic Properties

## Definition

Let $M$ be a $m$ by $n$ nonnegative matrix.

## Definition

Let $M$ be a $m$ by $n$ nonnegative matrix. A semidefinite factorization of $M$ of size $k$ is a set of $k \times k$ positive semidefinite matrices $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m}$ and $B_{1}, \cdots B_{n}$ such that $M_{i, j}=\left\langle A_{i}, B_{j}\right\rangle$.

## Definition

Let $M$ be a $m$ by $n$ nonnegative matrix. A semidefinite factorization of $M$ of size $k$ is a set of $k \times k$ positive semidefinite matrices $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m}$ and $B_{1}, \cdots B_{n}$ such that $M_{i, j}=\left\langle A_{i}, B_{j}\right\rangle$.
$\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$

## Definition

Let $M$ be a $m$ by $n$ nonnegative matrix. A semidefinite factorization of $M$ of size $k$ is a set of $k \times k$ positive semidefinite matrices $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m}$ and $B_{1}, \cdots B_{n}$ such that $M_{i, j}=\left\langle A_{i}, B_{j}\right\rangle$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / 2 & -1 / 2 \\
-1 / 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$$\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$

## Definition

Let $M$ be a $m$ by $n$ nonnegative matrix. A semidefinite factorization of $M$ of size $k$ is a set of $k \times k$ positive semidefinite matrices $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m}$ and $B_{1}, \cdots B_{n}$ such that $M_{i, j}=\left\langle A_{i}, B_{j}\right\rangle$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / 2 & -1 / 2 \\
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The smallest size of a semidefinite factorization is defined to be the positive semidefinite rank of $M$, rank $_{\text {psd }}(M)$
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In particular rank psd $\left(I_{n}\right)=n$.
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## Lemma [Briët-Dadush-Pokutta 2013]

If $M$ has a psd factorization of size $k$, it has one where the factors have largest eigenvalue bounded by $\sqrt{k\|M\|_{\infty}}$.

## Proposition

The rank ${ }_{\text {psd }}$ function is lower semicontinuous.

Proposition

$$
\mathcal{P}_{p, q, k}:=\left\{M \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{p \times q} \mid \operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{psd}}(M) \leq k\right\}
$$

is a closed semialgebraic set inside the rank $\leq\binom{ k+1}{2}$ variety.
Even in the case $(3,3,2)$ the precise description is not completely known.

## Section 2
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## Semidefinite Representations

A semidefinite representation of size $k$ of a polytope $P$ is a description

$$
P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \exists y \text { s.t. } A_{0}+\sum A_{i} x_{i}+\sum B_{i} y_{i} \succeq 0\right\}
$$

where $A_{j}$ and $B_{i}$ are $k \times k$ real symmetric matrices.
Given a polytope $P$ we are interested in finding how small can such a description be.

This tells us how hard it is to optimize over $P$ using semidefinite programming.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \begin{aligned}
x & \geq 0 \\
y & \geq 0 \\
z & \geq 0 \\
1-x & \geq 0 \\
1-y & \geq 0 \\
1-z & \geq 0
\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
& & & & & & & \\
& & & & & & & \\
& & & & & & & \\
& & & & & & & \\
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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Let $P$ be a polytope with facets given by
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The slack matrix of $P$ is the matrix $S_{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{f \times v}$ given by

$$
S_{P}(i, j)=h_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)
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Example: For the unit cube.

|  | 0 | 1 0 0 | 0 1 0 | 0 0 1 | 1 1 0 | 0 1 1 | 1 0 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x \geq 0$ | [ 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $y \geq 0$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |
| $z \geq 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1-x \geq 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1-y \geq 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1-z \geq 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Slack Matrix

Let $P$ be a polytope with facets given by $h_{1}(x) \geq 0, \ldots, h_{f}(x) \geq 0$, and vertices $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{v}$.

The slack matrix of $P$ is the matrix $S_{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{f \times v}$ given by

$$
S_{P}(i, j)=h_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)
$$

Example: For the unit cube.
$x \geq 0$
$y \geq 0$
$z \geq 0$
$1-x \geq 0$
$1-y \geq 0$
$1-z \geq 0$$\quad\left[\begin{array}{lll|l|l|l|l|l|l}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$
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Given a polytope $P$ described as a convex hull of $n$ points and a polytope $Q$ described by $m$ inequalities with $P \subseteq Q$ we define $S_{P, Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n \times m}$ as the evaluation of the inequalities of $Q$ at the points of $P$.

Theorem
rank $_{\text {psd }}\left(S_{P, Q}\right) \leq k$ if and only if there is a convex set $C$ with an sdp representation of size $k$ such that $P \subseteq C \subseteq Q$.

Lemma (Gillis-Glineur 12)
All nonnegative matrices of rank $n+1$ can be seen as generalized slack matrices of polytopes of dimension $n$.

## The Hexagon

Consider the regular hexagon.


## The Hexagon

Consider the regular hexagon.


Its $6 \times 6$ slack matrix.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\
4 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 0
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\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\
4 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],
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\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
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1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
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## The Hexagon

Consider the regular hexagon.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\
4 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]} \\
& \rangle
\end{aligned}
$$
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Consider the affinely equivalent hexagon $H$ with vertices $( \pm 1,0),(0, \pm 1),(1,-1)$ and $(-1,1)$.


$$
H=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{1}+x_{2} \\
x_{1} & 1 & y_{1} & y_{2} \\
x_{2} & y_{1} & 1 & y_{3} \\
x_{1}+x_{2} & y_{2} & y_{3} & 1
\end{array}\right] \succeq 0\right\}
$$
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## Low rank cases

## Rank 1

$\operatorname{rank}(M)=1 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{rank}_{\text {psd }}(M)=1$

## Rank 2

$\operatorname{rank}(M)=2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{rank}_{\text {psd }}(M)=2$

Rank 3
$\operatorname{rank}(M)=3 \Rightarrow \operatorname{rank}_{\text {psd }}(M) \geq 2$

Can we say more?
Let $M_{n}$ be the (rank 3) slack matrix of a regular $n$-gon then $r_{n}=\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{psd}}\left(M_{n}\right) \longrightarrow+\infty$.
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## Lemma

Let $M=S_{P Q}$ with rank $(M)=3$ then rank ${ }_{\text {psd }}(M)=2$ if and only if there is an ellipse $E$ with $P \subseteq E \subseteq Q$.

Convex Formulation
Let $P=\operatorname{conv}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and $Q=\{x: G x \leq h\}$ then rank $_{\text {psd }}\left(S_{P Q}\right)=2$ iff there exist $A, b, c$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } A \succeq 0, \operatorname{trace}(A)=1 \\
& \text { 2. }\left[\begin{array}{r}
x_{j} \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{rr}
A & b \\
b^{T} & c
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{j} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \leq 0 \quad \forall j \\
& \text { 3. } \quad \exists \lambda_{i} \geq 0:\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & b \\
b^{T} & c
\end{array}\right] \succeq \lambda_{i}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & g_{i}^{T} / 2 \\
g_{i} / 2 & -h_{i}
\end{array}\right] \quad \forall i
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1+a & 1+b & 1-a & 1-b \\
1-a & 1+b & 1+a & 1-b \\
1-a & 1-b & 1+a & 1+b \\
1+a & 1-b & 1-a & 1+b
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Example

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1+a & 1+b & 1-a & 1-b \\
1-a & 1+b & 1+a & 1-b \\
1-a & 1-b & 1+a & 1+b \\
1+a & 1-b & 1-a & 1+b
\end{array}\right]
$$



## Example

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1+a & 1+b & 1-a & 1-b \\
1-a & 1+b & 1+a & 1-b \\
1-a & 1-b & 1+a & 1+b \\
1+a & 1-b & 1-a & 1+b
\end{array}\right]
$$



## Example

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1+a & 1+b & 1-a & 1-b \\
1-a & 1+b & 1+a & 1-b \\
1-a & 1-b & 1+a & 1+b \\
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$$
\text { rank }_{p s d} M= \begin{cases}3 & \text { if } a^{2}+b^{2}>1 \\ 2 & \text { if } 0<a^{2}+b^{2} \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text { if } a=b=0\end{cases}
$$
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A similar geometric picture holds more generally, and can be used to show general complexity results.

## Theorem

Let $M \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n \times m}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(M)=\binom{k+1}{2}$. Checking if rank ${ }_{\text {psd }}=k$ can be solved in time $(n m)^{O\left(k^{5}\right)}$. In particular, for fixed $k$ it is solvable in polynomial time.

Open complexity problems:

- Is there a polynomial time algorithm to decide if rank $_{\text {psd }}(M) \leq k$ for fixed $k \geq 3$ ?
- What is the complexity of computing rank ${ }_{\text {psd }}$ ?
 NP-hard?
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Let $M$ be an $m$ by $n$ nonnegative matrix.
The nonnegative rank of $M$, rank ${ }_{+}(M)$, is the smallest natural number $k$ such that there exists a pair of nonnegative matrices
$A, m$ by $k$, and $B, k$ by $n$, with

$$
M=A \times B
$$

Example:

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 2 \\
1 & 3 & 3 \\
0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
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1 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 1
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## Nonnegative Rank

Let $M$ be an $m$ by $n$ nonnegative matrix.
The nonnegative rank of $M$, rank ${ }_{+}(M)$, is the smallest natural number $k$ such that there exists a pair of nonnegative matrices $A, m$ by $k$, and $B, k$ by $n$, with

$$
M=A \times B
$$

## Example:

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 2 \\
1 & 3 & 3 \\
0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The nonnegative rank can be seen as the semidefinite rank where we restrict our matrices to be diagonal. In particular

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{psd}}(M) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{+}(M)
$$
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Example:
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2 & 1
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## Hadamard Square Root Rank

A Hadamard Square Root of a nonnegative matrix $M$, denoted $\sqrt[H]{M}$, is a matrix whose entries are square roots (positive or negative) of the corresponding entries of $M$.

Example:

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right] ; \quad \sqrt[H]{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cc} 
\pm 1 & 0 \\
\pm \sqrt{2} & \pm 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

We define $\operatorname{rank}_{H}(M)=\min \{\operatorname{rank}(\sqrt[H]{M})\}$.
rank $_{H}(M)$ rank can be seen as the semidefinite rank where we restrict our factor matrices to be rank one. In particular

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{p s d}(M) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{H}(M)
$$

## Further thoughts on the square-root rank

0/1 matrices
If $M \in\{0,1\}^{n \times m}$ then rank $_{\text {psd }}(M) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{H}(M) \leq \operatorname{rank}(M)$.
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## Further thoughts on the square-root rank

0/1 matrices
If $M \in\{0,1\}^{n \times m}$ then $\operatorname{rank}_{\text {psd }}(M) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{H}(M) \leq \operatorname{rank}(M)$.
Theorem [Barvinok 2012]
If $M$ has at most $k$ distinct entries, rank $_{\text {psd }}(M) \leq(\underset{k-1}{k-1+\operatorname{rank}(M)})$
Complexity
Computing Square-Root Rank is NP-Hard.
$\operatorname{rank}_{H}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{1}^{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & 0 & a_{2}^{2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & a_{n}^{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]=n$ iff $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ can be partitioned

## Example: Euclidean Distance Matrices

Consider the $n \times n$ matrix Euclidean distance matrix $M_{n}$ whose $(i, j)$-entry is $(i-j)^{2}$.

$$
M_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 4 & \cdots & (n-1)^{2} \\
1 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & (n-2)^{2} \\
4 & 1 & 0 & \ddots & (n-3)^{2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
(n-1)^{2} & (n-2)^{2} & (n-3)^{2} & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Example: Euclidean Distance Matrices

Consider the $n \times n$ matrix Euclidean distance matrix $M_{n}$ whose $(i, j)$-entry is $(i-j)^{2}$.

$$
M_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 4 & \cdots & (n-1)^{2} \\
1 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & (n-2)^{2} \\
4 & 1 & 0 & \ddots & (n-3)^{2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
(n-1)^{2} & (n-2)^{2} & (n-3)^{2} & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\operatorname{rank}_{\text {psd }}\left(M_{n}\right)=\operatorname{rank}_{H}\left(M_{n}\right)=2$, while rank ${ }_{+}\left(M_{n}\right) \geq \log _{2}(n)$.

## Example: The Prime Matrices

Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, \ldots$ be an increasing sequence such that $2 n_{k}-1$ is prime for each $k$. Define a $k \times k$ matrix $Q^{k}$ such that $Q_{i j}^{k}=n_{i}+n_{j}-1$.
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3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\
4 & 5 & 6 & 8 \\
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$$
Q^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\
4 & 5 & 6 & 8 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 9 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & 11
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\operatorname{rank}\left(Q^{n}\right)=2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{rank}_{\text {psd }}\left(Q^{n}\right)=\operatorname{rank}_{+}\left(Q^{n}\right)=2$.

## Example: The Prime Matrices

Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, \ldots$ be an increasing sequence such that $2 n_{k}-1$ is prime for each $k$. Define a $k \times k$ matrix $Q^{k}$ such that $Q_{i j}^{k}=n_{i}+n_{j}-1$.

$$
Q^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\
4 & 5 & 6 & 8 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 9 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & 11
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\operatorname{rank}\left(Q^{n}\right)=2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{rank}_{\text {psd }}\left(Q^{n}\right)=\operatorname{rank}_{+}\left(Q^{n}\right)=2$.

However rank ${ }_{H}\left(Q^{n}\right)=n$.

## Section 5

Other Interesting Topics That I Have Not Enough Time To Talk About In Length

## Symmetric PSD factorizations

Definition
A symmetric matrix $M \in \operatorname{Sym}^{n}$ is completely psd if there exist $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathrm{PSD}^{k}$ such that $M_{i j}=\left\langle A_{i}, A_{j}\right\rangle$.
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## Symmetric PSD factorizations

Definition
A symmetric matrix $M \in \operatorname{Sym}^{n}$ is completely psd if there exist $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathrm{PSD}^{k}$ such that $M_{i j}=\left\langle A_{i}, A_{j}\right\rangle$.

We have the cone inclusion $\mathrm{CP}^{n} \subseteq \mathrm{CP}_{\mathrm{psd}}^{n} \subseteq \mathrm{DN}^{n}$. The inclusions are strict for $n \geq 5$.

Open questions

- If $M \in \mathrm{CP}^{n}$ can one bound the size of the matrices $A_{i}$ ?
- Is $\mathrm{CP}^{n}$ closed?
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## Dependency on the field

We could have defined rank ${ }_{\text {psd }}^{\mathbb{C}}$ or rank ${ }_{\mathrm{psd}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$. What would change?
$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{psd}}^{\mathbb{C}}(M) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{psd}}(M) \leq 2 \operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{psd}}^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$.
$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{psd}}^{\mathbb{Q}}(M) \geq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathrm{psd}}(M)$, and we can show the inequality to be possibly strict.

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$
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- Develop better upper/lower bounding tools.
- Decide rank ${ }_{\text {psd }}$ of traveling salesman polytope.
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## Open problems

## Very ambitious wish list

- Develop better upper/lower bounding tools.
- Decide rank ${ }_{\text {psd }}$ of traveling salesman polytope.
- Decide rank psd vs rank + for polytopes.

Less ambitious wish list
Decide $^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

## The end

## Survey coming soon!!!

## The end

## Survey coming soon!!! In the meantime:

J. Briët, D. Dadush, and S. Pokutta.

On the existence of $0 / 1$ polytopes with high semidefinite extension complexity.
In Algorithms, ESA 2013, volume 8125 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 217-228. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.

H. Fawzi, J. Gouveia, and R. Z. Robinson.

Rational and real positive semidefinite rank can be different.
arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.4864, 2014.
J. Gouveia, P.A. Parrilo, and R.R. Thomas.

Lifts of convex sets and cone factorizations.
Mathematics of Operations Research, 38(2):248-264, 2013.
J. Gouveia, R. Z. Robinson, and R. R. Thomas.

Worst-case results for positive semidefinite rank.
arXiv preprint arXiv:1305.4600, 2013.

J. Gouveia, R.Z. Robinson, and R.R. Thomas.

Polytopes of minimum positive semidefinite rank.
Discrete \& Computational Geometry, 50(3):679-699, 2013.
T. Lee and D.O. Theis.

Support-based lower bounds for the positive semidefinite rank of a nonnegative matrix, 2012.

## Thank you

