Semidefinite lifts of polytopes

João Gouveia

University of Coimbra

21st of August - ISMP 2012

with Richard Z. Robinson and Rekha Thomas (U.Washington)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Semidefinite Representations

A semidefinite representation of size k of a polytope P is a description

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \boldsymbol{y} \text{ s.t. } A_0 + \sum A_i \boldsymbol{x}_i + \sum B_i \boldsymbol{y}_i \succeq \boldsymbol{0} \right\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

where A_i and B_i are $k \times k$ real symmetric matrices.

Semidefinite Representations

A semidefinite representation of size k of a polytope P is a description

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \boldsymbol{y} \text{ s.t. } A_0 + \sum A_i \boldsymbol{x}_i + \sum B_i \boldsymbol{y}_i \succeq \boldsymbol{0} \right\}$$

where A_i and B_i are $k \times k$ real symmetric matrices.

Given a polytope P we are interested in finding how small can such a description be.

Semidefinite Representations

A semidefinite representation of size k of a polytope P is a description

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \boldsymbol{y} \text{ s.t. } A_0 + \sum A_i \boldsymbol{x}_i + \sum B_i \boldsymbol{y}_i \succeq \boldsymbol{0} \right\}$$

where A_i and B_i are $k \times k$ real symmetric matrices.

Given a polytope P we are interested in finding how small can such a description be.

This tells us how hard it is to optimize over *P* using semidefinite programming.

The Square

The 0/1 square is the projection onto x_1 and x_2 of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_1 & y \\ x_2 & y & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The Square

The 0/1 square is the projection onto x_1 and x_2 of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_1 & y \\ x_2 & y & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0.$$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

Let *P* be a polytope with facets given by $h_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, h_f(x) \ge 0$, and vertices p_1, \dots, p_v .

Let *P* be a polytope with facets given by $h_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, h_f(x) \ge 0$, and vertices p_1, \dots, p_v .

The slack matrix of *P* is the matrix $S_P \in \mathbb{R}^{f \times v}$ given by $S_P(i, j) = h_i(p_j).$

Let *P* be a polytope with facets given by $h_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, h_f(x) \ge 0$, and vertices p_1, \dots, p_v .

The slack matrix of *P* is the matrix $S_P \in \mathbb{R}^{f \times v}$ given by $S_P(i, j) = h_i(p_j).$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Example: For the unit cube.

Let *P* be a polytope with facets given by $h_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, h_f(x) \ge 0$, and vertices p_1, \dots, p_v .

The slack matrix of *P* is the matrix $S_P \in \mathbb{R}^{f \times v}$ given by $S_P(i, j) = h_i(p_j).$

Example: For the unit cube.



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Let *P* be a polytope with facets given by $h_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, h_f(x) \ge 0$, and vertices p_1, \dots, p_v .

The slack matrix of *P* is the matrix $S_P \in \mathbb{R}^{f \times v}$ given by $S_P(i, j) = h_i(p_j).$

Example: For the unit cube.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Let *P* be a polytope with facets given by $h_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, h_f(x) \ge 0$, and vertices p_1, \dots, p_v .

The slack matrix of *P* is the matrix $S_P \in \mathbb{R}^{f \times v}$ given by $S_P(i, j) = h_i(p_j).$

Example: For the unit cube.

Let *P* be a polytope with facets given by $h_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, h_f(x) \ge 0$, and vertices p_1, \dots, p_v .

The slack matrix of *P* is the matrix $S_P \in \mathbb{R}^{f \times v}$ given by $S_P(i, j) = h_i(p_j).$

Example: For the unit cube.



Let M be a m by n nonnegative matrix.

Let *M* be a *m* by *n* nonnegative matrix. A PSD_k-factorization of *M* is a set of $k \times k$ positive semidefinite matrices A_1, \dots, A_m and B_1, \dots, B_n such that $M_{i,j} = \langle A_i, B_j \rangle$.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let *M* be a *m* by *n* nonnegative matrix. A PSD_k-factorization of *M* is a set of $k \times k$ positive semidefinite matrices A_1, \dots, A_m and B_1, \dots, B_n such that $M_{i,j} = \langle A_i, B_j \rangle$.

 $\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Let *M* be a *m* by *n* nonnegative matrix. A PSD_k-factorization of *M* is a set of $k \times k$ positive semidefinite matrices A_1, \dots, A_m and B_1, \dots, B_n such that $M_{i,j} = \langle A_i, B_j \rangle$.



Semidefinite Yannakakis Theorem

Theorem (G.-Parrilo-Thomas 2011)

A polytope P has a semidefinite representation of size k if and only if its slack matrix has a PSD_k-factorization.

Semidefinite Yannakakis Theorem

Theorem (G.-Parrilo-Thomas 2011)

A polytope P has a semidefinite representation of size k if and only if its slack matrix has a PSD_k-factorization.

The psd rank of *M*, rank_{psd}(*M*) is the smallest *k* for which *M* has a PSD_k -factorization.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Semidefinite Yannakakis Theorem

Theorem (G.-Parrilo-Thomas 2011)

A polytope P has a semidefinite representation of size k if and only if its slack matrix has a PSD_k-factorization.

The psd rank of *M*, rank_{psd}(*M*) is the smallest *k* for which *M* has a PSD_k -factorization.

The psd rank of a polytope *P* is defined as

 $\operatorname{rank}_{psd}(P) := \operatorname{rank}_{psd}(S_P).$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Consider the regular hexagon.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Consider the regular hexagon.



It has a 6×6 slack matrix.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Consider the regular hexagon.



It has a 6×6 slack matrix.





Consider the regular hexagon.



It has a 6×6 slack matrix.





The Hexagon - continued

The regular hexagon must have a size 4 representation.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

The Hexagon - continued

The regular hexagon must have a size 4 representation.

Consider the affinely equivalent hexagon H with vertices $(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1), (1, -1)$ and (-1, 1).



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

The Hexagon - continued

The regular hexagon must have a size 4 representation.

Consider the affinely equivalent hexagon H with vertices $(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1), (1, -1)$ and (-1, 1).



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

$$H = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1 + x_2 \\ x_1 & 1 & y_1 & y_2 \\ x_2 & y_1 & 1 & y_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 & y_2 & y_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \right\}$$

We want to study which polytopes have "small" semidefinite representations.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

We want to study which polytopes have "small" semidefinite representations.

What do we want "small" to mean?



We want to study which polytopes have "small" semidefinite representations.

What do we want "small" to mean?

Lemma

A polytope of dimension d does not have a semidefinite representation of size smaller than d + 1.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

We want to study which polytopes have "small" semidefinite representations.

What do we want "small" to mean?

Lemma

A polytope of dimension d does not have a semidefinite representation of size smaller than d + 1.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

We want to make "small" = d + 1.

A Hadamard Square Root of a nonnegative matrix M, denoted $\sqrt[H]{M}$, is a matrix whose entries are square roots (positive or negative) of the corresponding entries of M.

A Hadamard Square Root of a nonnegative matrix M, denoted $\sqrt[H]{M}$, is a matrix whose entries are square roots (positive or negative) of the corresponding entries of M.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Example:
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix};$$

A Hadamard Square Root of a nonnegative matrix M, denoted $\sqrt[H]{M}$, is a matrix whose entries are square roots (positive or negative) of the corresponding entries of M.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Example:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\sqrt{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

A Hadamard Square Root of a nonnegative matrix M, denoted $\sqrt[H]{M}$, is a matrix whose entries are square roots (positive or negative) of the corresponding entries of M.

Example:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\sqrt[H]{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \sqrt[H]{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

A Hadamard Square Root of a nonnegative matrix M, denoted $\sqrt[H]{M}$, is a matrix whose entries are square roots (positive or negative) of the corresponding entries of M.

Example:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\sqrt[H]{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \sqrt[H]{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \sqrt[H]{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \cdots$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

A Hadamard Square Root of a nonnegative matrix M, denoted $\sqrt[H]{M}$, is a matrix whose entries are square roots (positive or negative) of the corresponding entries of M.

Example:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\frac{H}{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \frac{H}{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \frac{H}{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \cdots$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

We define rank_{*H*}(M) = min{rank($\sqrt[H]{M}$)}.

A Hadamard Square Root of a nonnegative matrix M, denoted $\sqrt[H]{M}$, is a matrix whose entries are square roots (positive or negative) of the corresponding entries of M.

Example:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$V\overline{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \sqrt[H]{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \sqrt[H]{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \cdots$$

We define rank_{*H*}(M) = min{rank($\sqrt[H]{M}$)}.

 $^{H_{+}}\overline{M}$ is the nonnegative Hadamard square root of M.

Hadamard Rank and Semidefinite Rank

Proposition $rank_H(M)$ is the smallest *k* for which we have a semidefinite factorization of *M* of size *k* using only rank one matrices.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Hadamard Rank and Semidefinite Rank

Proposition

 $rank_H(M)$ is the smallest k for which we have a semidefinite factorization of M of size k using only rank one matrices. In particular $rank_{psd}(M) \le rank_H(M)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Hadamard Rank and Semidefinite Rank

Proposition

 $rank_H(M)$ is the smallest *k* for which we have a semidefinite factorization of *M* of size *k* using only rank one matrices. In particular $rank_{psd}(M) \le rank_H(M)$.

Corollary For 0/1 matrices

 $\operatorname{rank}_{\operatorname{psd}}(M) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{H}(M) \leq \operatorname{rank}(M).$

For
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 we have:

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

For
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 we have:

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\operatorname{psd}}(M) = 2$$
, $\operatorname{rank}_{H}(M) = 3$, $\operatorname{rank}(M) = 3$.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

For
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 we have:

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\operatorname{psd}}(M) = 2$$
, $\operatorname{rank}_{H}(M) = 3$, $\operatorname{rank}(M) = 3$.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

For
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 we have:

For
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 we have:

 $\operatorname{rank}_{\operatorname{psd}}(M) = 2$, $\operatorname{rank}_{H}(M) = 3$, $\operatorname{rank}(M) = 3$.

For
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 we have:

 $\operatorname{rank}_{\operatorname{psd}}(M) = 2$, $\operatorname{rank}_{H}(M) = 2$, $\operatorname{rank}(M) = 3$.

(ロ) (型) (E) (E) (E) (O)()

Polytopes with minimal representations

We can already recover an older result obtained originally using sums of squares.

Theorem (G.-Parrilo-Thomas 2009)

Let *P* be a polytope with dimension *d* whose slack matrix S_P is 0/1. Then *P* has a semidefinite representation of size d + 1.

Polytopes with minimal representations

We can already recover an older result obtained originally using sums of squares.

Theorem (G.-Parrilo-Thomas 2009)

Let *P* be a polytope with dimension *d* whose slack matrix S_P is 0/1. Then *P* has a semidefinite representation of size d + 1.

But we can say much more.

Main Theorem Let *P* have dimension *d*. Then

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\operatorname{psd}}(P) = d + 1 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{rank}_{H}(S_{P}) = d + 1.$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

We will say a dimension *d* polytope *P* is SDP-minimal if it has a semidefinite representation of size d + 1.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

We will say a dimension *d* polytope *P* is SDP-minimal if it has a semidefinite representation of size d + 1.

Properties of SDP-minimal polytopes

► Faces of SDP-minimal polytopes are SDP-minimal.

We will say a dimension *d* polytope *P* is SDP-minimal if it has a semidefinite representation of size d + 1.

Properties of SDP-minimal polytopes

- ► Faces of SDP-minimal polytopes are SDP-minimal.
- *d*-dimensional polytopes with at most *d* + 2 vertices are SDP-minimal.

We will say a dimension *d* polytope *P* is SDP-minimal if it has a semidefinite representation of size d + 1.

Properties of SDP-minimal polytopes

- ► Faces of SDP-minimal polytopes are SDP-minimal.
- *d*-dimensional polytopes with at most *d* + 2 vertices are SDP-minimal.
- Pyramids over SDP-minimal polytopes are SDP-minimal.

Results in \mathbb{R}^2

On the plane this is enough for a full characterization.

Results in \mathbb{R}^2

On the plane this is enough for a full characterization.

Proposition

A convex polygon is SDP-minimal if and only if it is a triangle or a quadrilateral.



Proposition

If P is combinatorially equivalent to an octahedron then it is SDP-minimal if and only if there are two distinct sets of four coplanar vertices of P.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Proposition

If P is combinatorially equivalent to an octahedron then it is SDP-minimal if and only if there are two distinct sets of four coplanar vertices of P.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Proposition

If P is combinatorially equivalent to an octahedron then it is SDP-minimal if and only if there are two distinct sets of four coplanar vertices of P.



Proposition

If P is combinatorially equivalent to an octahedron then it is SDP-minimal if and only if there are two distinct sets of four coplanar vertices of P.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三■ - のへぐ

This translates to a dual result on cuboids.

Proposition

If P is combinatorially equivalent to an octahedron then it is SDP-minimal if and only if there are two distinct sets of four coplanar vertices of P.



This translates to a dual result on cuboids.

It also suggests some underlying matroid characterization.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

• Does rank_H(S_P) = d + 1 imply rank($\sqrt[H_+]{S_P}$) = d + 1?

► Does rank_H(S_P) = d + 1 imply rank($\sqrt[H_+]{S_P}$) = d + 1?

• Does rank_H(S_P) = d + 1 imply rank(supp(S_P)) = d + 1?

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

► Does rank_H(S_P) = d + 1 imply rank($\sqrt[H_+]{S_P}$) = d + 1?

• Does rank_H(S_P) = d + 1 imply rank(supp(S_P)) = d + 1?

Classification of SDP-minimal polyhedra.

• Does rank_H(S_P) = d + 1 imply rank($\sqrt[H_+]{S_P}$) = d + 1?

• Does rank_H(S_P) = d + 1 imply rank(supp(S_P)) = d + 1?

Classification of SDP-minimal polyhedra.

 Better Algebraic/Geometrical characterization of SDP-minimality.

For more information

Polytopes of Minimum Positive Semidefinite Rank - Gouveia, Robinson and Thomas - arXiv:1205.5306

Lifts of convex sets and cone factorizations - Gouveia, Parrilo and Thomas - arXiv:1111.3164

Lifts and Factorizations of Convex Sets - Semiplenary talk by Rekha this afternoon.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

For more information

Polytopes of Minimum Positive Semidefinite Rank - Gouveia, Robinson and Thomas - arXiv:1205.5306

Lifts of convex sets and cone factorizations - Gouveia, Parrilo and Thomas - arXiv:1111.3164

Lifts and Factorizations of Convex Sets - Semiplenary talk by Rekha this afternoon.

Thank you

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□ ● ● ●