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## Stable Set Problem

Given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and some vertex weights $\omega$ find a stable set of vertices $S$ for which the cost

$$
\omega(S):=\sum_{s \in S} \omega_{s}
$$

is maximum.
Remarks:

- If all weights are one, we're searching for $\alpha(\mathcal{G})$, the cardinality of the largest independent set;
- this problem is NP-hard in general.
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## Stable Set Polytope

Given a graph $G=(\{1, \ldots, n\}, E)$ we define $\operatorname{STAB}(G)$, the stable set polytope of $G$, in the following way:

- For every stable set $S \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ consider its characteristic vector $\chi_{s} \in\{0,1\}^{n}$;
- let $S_{G} \subset\{0,1\}^{n}$ be the collection of all those vectors;
- the polytope $\operatorname{STAB}(G)$ is then defined as the convex hull of the vectors in $S_{G}$.
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Given a graph $G=(\{1, \ldots, n\}, E)$ and a weight vector $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, solve the linear program

$$
\alpha(G, \omega):=\max _{x \in \operatorname{SAB}(G)}\langle\omega, x\rangle .
$$

However, finding $\operatorname{STAB}(G)$ is as hard as solving the original problem, and not practical in general.

We intend to find approximations for it.
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The most common linear relaxation of the stable set polytope is the fractional stable set polytope of $G, \operatorname{FRAC}(G)$, to be the set defined by the following inequalities.

- $x_{i} \geq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ (non-negativity constrains);
- $x_{i}+x_{j} \leq 1$ for all $(i, j) \in E$ (edge constrains).

It is possible to optimize over this polytope in polynomial time.

It is in general not a very good relaxation.
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Given a graph $G=(\{1, \ldots, n\}, E)$ we define its theta body, $\operatorname{TH}(G)$, as the set of all vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x^{t} \\
x & U
\end{array}\right] \succeq 0
$$

for some symmetric $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $\operatorname{diag}(U)=x$ and $U_{i j}=0$ for all $(i, j) \in E$.

- $\operatorname{STAB}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{TH}(G)$ since for all stable sets $S$,

$$
0 \preceq\left(1, \chi_{S}\right) \cdot\left(1, \chi_{S}\right)^{t}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \chi_{S}^{t} \\
\chi_{S} & \chi_{S} \cdot \chi_{S}^{t}
\end{array}\right]
$$
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## Theorem (Lovász ~ 1980)

The relaxation is tight, i.e. $\operatorname{TH}(G)=\operatorname{STAB}(G)$, if and only if the graph $G$ is perfect.
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$T H(G)=\operatorname{STAB}(G)$ if and only if any linear polynomial $f(\mathbf{x})$ that is non-negative in $\operatorname{STAB}(G)$ is 1 -sos modulo $\mathcal{I}\left(S_{G}\right)$.

This property does not depend on the graph, but only on the ideal $\mathcal{I}\left(S_{G}\right)$ and its variety.
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## Definition

We'll call an ideal $(1, k)$-sos if and only if every linear polynomial that is nonnegative in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$ is $k$-sos modulo $I$.
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Nonnegative linear polynomials $\longrightarrow y+c^{2}$ for some real $c$.

$$
y+c^{2} \equiv(x y)^{2}+(c)^{2} \quad \bmod I
$$

hence $I$ is $(1,2)$-sos.
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## Another Example

Consider the ideal $I=\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle$.


Nonnegative linear polynomials $\longrightarrow \pm x+c^{2}$ for some real $c$.

$$
\left( \pm x+c^{2}\right) \equiv\left(\frac{1}{2 c} x \pm c\right)^{2} \quad \bmod I, \text { if } c \neq 0
$$

However $x$ and $-x$ cannot be written as sums of squares hence $/$ is not $(1, k)$-sos for any $k$.
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A geometric approach to the problem:

## Definition

Given an ideal $I \subset \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ we define is $k$-th theta body, $\mathrm{TH}_{k}(I)$ as the set of all points $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that for all linear polynomials $f$ that are $k$-sos modulo $I, f(\mathbf{p}) \geq 0$.

## Remarks:

- $\overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)\right)} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathrm{TH}_{k}(I) \subseteq \mathrm{TH}_{k-1}(I) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathrm{TH}_{1}(I)$.
- For any graph $G, \mathrm{TH}_{1}\left(\mathcal{I}\left(S_{G}\right)\right)=\mathrm{TH}(G)$.
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If I is a real radical ideal whose variety is zero-dimensional then $T H_{k}(I)=\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)\right)$ for some $k$.

## Theorem (Scheiderer)

If I is a real radical ideal whose variety is "sufficiently smooth" and one or two dimensional then $T H_{k}(I) \longrightarrow \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)\right)}$.
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## Theorem

Let I be a real radical ideal. Then I is $(1, k)$-sos if and only if it is $T H_{k}$-exact.

The real radical assumption cannot be dropped.
We have seen for $I=\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle$ that $I$ is not $(1, k)$-sos, but $\mathrm{TH}_{1}(I)=\overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)\right)}$.

## Theta Bodies and Nonnegativity (continued)

The closure on $\overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)\right)}$ can also not be dropped. We have seen for $I=\left\langle y x^{2}-1\right\rangle$ that $I$ is $(1,2)$-sos but $\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)\right)$ is open.
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## Structural Result

We'll focus now on the first relaxation.

## Theorem

Given any ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ we have

$$
T H_{1}(I)=\bigcap_{F \text { convex quadric } \in I} \operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(F)\right) .
$$

Consequences:

- If $F$ is a convex quadric then $\langle F\rangle$ is $\mathrm{TH}_{1}$-exact.
- There are arbitrarily high dimensional $\mathrm{TH}_{1}$-exact ideals.
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## Zero-dimensional Varieties

A full characterization is possible in the case of zero-dimensional real radical ideals.

## Theorem

Let I be a zero-dimensional real radical ideal, then the following are equivalent:

- I is $(1,1)$ - sos;
- I is $T H_{1}$-exact;
- For every facet defining hyperplane $H$ of the polytope $\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)\right)$ we have a parallel translate $H^{\prime}$ of $H$ such that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I) \subseteq H^{\prime} \cup H$.
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## Examples in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

## $\mathrm{TH}_{1}$-exact



Not $\mathrm{TH}_{1}$-exact


## A Small Extension

## Theorem

Suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a finite point set such that for each facet $F$ of $\operatorname{conv}(S)$ there is an hyperplane $H_{F}$ such that $H_{F} \cap \operatorname{conv}(S)=F$ and $S$ is contained in at most $t+1$ parallel translates of $H_{F}$. Then $\mathcal{I}(S)$ is $T H_{t}$-exact.
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Let $S, S^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be exact sets (i.e. with $T H_{1}$-exact vanishing ideals). Then

- all points of $S$ are vertices of $\operatorname{conv}(S)$,
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## Consequences

## Corollary

Let $S, S^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be exact sets (i.e. with $T H_{1}$-exact vanishing ideals). Then

- all points of $S$ are vertices of $\operatorname{conv}(S)$,
- the set of vertices of any face of conv( $S$ ) is again exact,
- $\operatorname{conv}(S)$ is affinely equivalent to a $0 / 1$ polytope.

For simplicity, we'll call a finite set of points in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ exact, if it's vanishing ideal is $\mathrm{TH}_{1}$-exact.

## Theorem

If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a finite exact point set then $\operatorname{conv}(S)$ has at most $2^{d}$ facets and vertices, where $d=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{conv}(S)$. Both bounds are sharp.

## Perfect Graphs revisited

## Corollary

A graph $G$ is perfect if and only if for any facet supporting hyperplane H of its stable set polytope there is some hyperplane $H^{\prime}$ parallel to $H$ such that $S_{G} \subseteq H \cup H^{\prime}$.

## Perfect Graphs revisited

## Corollary

A graph $G$ is perfect if and only if for any facet supporting hyperplane H of its stable set polytope there is some hyperplane $H^{\prime}$ parallel to $H$ such that $S_{G} \subseteq H \cup H^{\prime}$.

## Corollary

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a full-dimensional down-closed 0/1-polytope and $S$ be its vertex set. Then $S$ is exact if and only if $P$ is the stable set polytope of a perfect graph.

## Combinatorial Moment Matrices I

Let I be a polynomial ideal and

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{1=f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}
$$

be a basis of $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}] / I$ and $\mathcal{B}_{k}=\left\{f_{i}: \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right) \leq k\right\}$ for all $k$.

## Combinatorial Moment Matrices I

Let I be a polynomial ideal and

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{1=f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}
$$

be a basis of $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}] / I$ and $\mathcal{B}_{k}=\left\{f_{i}: \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right) \leq k\right\}$ for all $k$.
For all $i, j, k$ define $\lambda_{i, j}^{k}$ such that

$$
f_{i} f_{j} \equiv \sum_{k} \lambda_{i, j}^{k} f_{k}
$$

## Combinatorial Moment Matrices II

## Definition

Given a real vector $y$ indexed by the elements in $\mathcal{B}$, we define the combinatorial moment matrix of $y$ as the (possibly infinite) matrix $M_{\mathcal{B}}(y)$ with rows and columns indexed by $\mathcal{B}$ such that

$$
\left[M_{\mathcal{B}}(y)\right]_{f_{i}, f_{j}}=\sum_{k} \lambda_{i, j}^{k} y_{t_{k}} .
$$

The $k$-th truncated combinatorial moment matrix, $M_{\mathcal{B}_{k}}(y)$, is the submatrix of the rows and columns indexed by elements of $\mathcal{B}_{k}$.

## Example

Let $I=\left\langle x_{1}^{2}-x_{1}, x_{2}^{2}-x_{2}, x_{3}^{2}-x_{3}\right\rangle \subset \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$,
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## Example

Let $I=\left\langle x_{1}^{2}-x_{1}, x_{2}^{2}-x_{2}, x_{3}^{2}-x_{3}\right\rangle \subset \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$, pick

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}=\left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}
1, & x_{1}, & x_{2}, & x_{3}, & x_{1} x_{2}, & x_{1} x_{3}, & x_{2} x_{3},
\end{array} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right\} \\
& y=\left(\quad y_{0}, \quad y_{1}, \quad y_{2}, \quad y_{3}, \quad y_{12}, \quad y_{13}, \quad y_{23}, \quad y_{123} \quad\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{B}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1, & x_{1}, & x_{2}, & x_{3}, & x_{1} x_{2}, & x_{1} x_{3}, & x_{2} x_{3}, & x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} & \} \\
y & =\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
y_{0}, & y_{1}, & y_{2}, & y_{3}, & y_{12}, \\
y_{13}, & y_{23}, & y_{123}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array} . . \begin{array}{ll}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
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\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{B}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
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\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B} & =\left\{\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1, & x_{1}, & x_{2}, & x_{3}, & x_{1} x_{2}, & x_{1} x_{3}, & x_{2} x_{3}, & x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}
\end{array}\right\} \\
y & =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
y_{0}, & y_{1}, & y_{2}, & y_{3}, & y_{12}, \\
y_{13}, & y_{23}, & y_{123}
\end{array}\right) .
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$x_{2}$
$x_{3}$
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$x_{2} x_{3}$
$x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array}\right.$
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y_{13}, & y_{23}, & y_{123}
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1
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\end{array}\right\} \\
y=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
y_{0}, & y_{1}, & y_{2}, & y_{3}, & y_{12}, \\
y_{13}, & y_{23}, & y_{123}
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y_{0}, & y_{1}, & y_{2}, & y_{3}, & y_{12}, \\
y_{13}, & y_{23}, & y_{123}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $M_{\mathcal{B}}(y)$ is given by

$$
1 \quad x_{1} \quad x_{2} \quad x_{3} \quad x_{1} x_{2} \quad x_{1} x_{3} \quad x_{2} x_{3} \quad x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}
$$

1
$x_{1}$
$x_{2}$
$x_{3}$
$x_{1} x_{2}$
$x_{1} x_{3}$
$x_{2} x_{3}$
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## Example

$\mathcal{B}=\left\{\begin{array}{llllllll}1, & x_{1}, & x_{2}, & x_{3} & x_{1} x_{2}, & x_{1} x_{3}, & x_{2} x_{3}, & x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\end{array}\right\}$ $y=\left(\quad y_{0}, \quad y_{1}, \quad y_{2}, \quad y_{3}, \quad y_{12}, \quad y_{13}, \quad y_{23}, \quad y_{123} \quad\right)$.

Then $M_{\mathcal{B}}(y)$ is given by
$1 \quad x_{1} \quad x_{2} \quad x_{3} \quad x_{1} x_{2} \quad x_{1} x_{3} \quad x_{2} x_{3} \quad x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$
1
$x_{1}$
$x_{2}$
$x_{3}$
$x_{1} x_{2}$
$x_{1} x_{3}$
$x_{2} x_{3}$
$x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}y_{0} & y_{1} & y_{2} & y_{3} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{1} & y_{1} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{123} \\ y_{2} & y_{12} & y_{2} & y_{23} & y_{12} & y_{123} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{3} & y_{13} & y_{23} & y_{3} & y_{123} & y_{13} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{12} & y_{12} & y_{12} & y_{123} & y_{12} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} \\ y_{13} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{123} \\ y_{23} & y_{123} & y_{23} & y_{23} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123}\end{array}\right]$

## Example

$M_{\mathcal{B}, 1}(y)$ is given by:
1
$x_{1}$
$x_{2}$
$x_{3}$
$x_{1} x_{2}$
$x_{1} x_{3}$
$x_{2} x_{3}$
$x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}1 & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{1} x_{2} & x_{1} x_{3} & x_{2} x_{3} & x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \\ y_{0} & y_{1} & y_{2} & y_{3} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{1} & y_{1} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{123} \\ y_{2} & y_{12} & y_{2} & y_{23} & y_{12} & y_{123} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{3} & y_{13} & y_{23} & y_{3} & y_{123} & y_{13} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{12} & y_{12} & y_{12} & y_{123} & y_{12} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} \\ y_{13} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{123} \\ y_{23} & y_{123} & y_{23} & y_{23} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123}\end{array}\right]$

## Example

$M_{\mathcal{B}, 2}(y)$ is given by:
1
$x_{1}$
$x_{2}$
$x_{3}$
$x_{1} x_{2}$
$x_{1} x_{3}$
$x_{2} x_{3}$
$x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}1 & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{1} x_{2} & x_{1} x_{3} & x_{2} x_{3} & x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \\ y_{0} & y_{1} & y_{2} & y_{3} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{1} & y_{1} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{123} \\ y_{2} & y_{12} & y_{2} & y_{23} & y_{12} & y_{123} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{3} & y_{13} & y_{23} & y_{3} & y_{123} & y_{13} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{12} & y_{12} & y_{12} & y_{123} & y_{12} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} \\ y_{13} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{13} & y_{123} & y_{123} \\ y_{23} & y_{123} & y_{23} & y_{23} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{23} & y_{123} \\ y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123} & y_{123}\end{array}\right]$

## Theta Bodies and Moment Matrices

## Theorem

Let I be a polynomial ideal and choose $\mathcal{B}=\left\{1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ as basis for $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}] /$ I. Let

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}, k}(I)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_{2 k}}: y_{0}=1 ; M_{\mathcal{B}, k}(y) \succeq 0\right\}
$$

then

$$
T H_{k}(I)=\overline{\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}, k}(I)\right)}
$$

where $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_{2 k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is just the projection over the coordinates indexed by the degree one monomials.
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Let I be a polynomial ideal and choose $\mathcal{B}=\left\{1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ as basis for $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}] /$ I. Let

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}, k}(I)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_{2 k}}: y_{0}=1 ; M_{\mathcal{B}, k}(y) \succeq 0\right\}
$$

then

$$
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$$

where $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_{2 k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is just the projection over the coordinates indexed by the degree one monomials.

Remark:
The closure is really needed as $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}, k}(I)\right)$ does not have to be closed.

## Theta Bodies and Moment Matrices

## Theorem

Let I be a polynomial ideal and choose $\mathcal{B}=\left\{1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ as basis for $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}] /$ I. Let

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}, k}(I)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_{2 k}}: y_{0}=1 ; M_{\mathcal{B}, k}(y) \succeq 0\right\}
$$

then

$$
T H_{k}(I)=\overline{\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}, k}(I)\right)}
$$

where $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_{2 k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is just the projection over the coordinates indexed by the degree one monomials.

Remark:
The closure is really needed as $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}, k}(I)\right)$ does not have to be closed. In our example $I=\left\langle y x^{2}-1\right\rangle$, we have $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}, 2}(I)\right)$ to be the open upper half plane, hence not equal to $\mathrm{TH}_{2}(I)$.

## Moment Matrices and Convex Hulls

## Theorem (Curto-Fialkow, Laurent)

Given an ideal I and a basis of $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}] /$ /

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{1=f_{0}, x_{1}=f_{1}, x_{2}=f_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}=f_{n}, f_{n+1}, \ldots\right\},
$$

we can consider the map $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}}$ defined by

$$
\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}(p)=\left(f_{0}(p), f_{1}(p), f_{2}(p), \ldots .\right),
$$

then we have

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left\{\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}(p): p \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}}: & \left.\begin{array}{l}
y_{0}=1, \\
M_{\mathcal{B}}(y) \succeq 0, \\
\\
r k\left(M_{\mathcal{B}}(y)\right)<\infty
\end{array}\right\} . . . . ~ . ~
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## The Max-Cut Problem

## Definition

Given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a partition $V_{1}, V_{2}$ of $V$ the set $C$ of edges between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ is called a cut.

## The Max-Cut Problem

## Definition

Given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a partition $V_{1}, V_{2}$ of $V$ the set $C$ of edges between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ is called a cut.

## The Problem

Given edge weights $\alpha$ we want to find which cut $C$ maximizes

$$
\alpha(C):=\sum_{(i, j) \in C} \alpha_{i, j} .
$$

## The Max-Cut Problem

## Definition

Given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a partition $V_{1}, V_{2}$ of $V$ the set $C$ of edges between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ is called a cut.

## The Problem

Given edge weights $\alpha$ we want to find which cut $C$ maximizes

$$
\alpha(C):=\sum_{(i, j) \in C} \alpha_{i, j} .
$$

Again we will look geometrically at the problem.

## The Cut Polytope

## Definition

The cut polytope of $G, \operatorname{CUT}(G)$, is the convex hull of the characteristic vectors $\chi_{c} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{E}$ of the cuts of $G$, where $\left(\chi_{C}\right)_{i j}=-1$ if $(i, j) \in C$ and 1 otherwise.

## The Cut Polytope

## Definition

The cut polytope of $G, \operatorname{CUT}(G)$, is the convex hull of the characteristic vectors $\chi_{c} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{E}$ of the cuts of $G$, where $\left(\chi_{c}\right)_{i j}=-1$ if $(i, j) \in C$ and 1 otherwise.

## Reformulated Problem

Given a vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$ solve the optimization problem

$$
\operatorname{mcut}(G, \alpha)=\max _{x \in \operatorname{CUT}(G)} \frac{1}{2}\langle\alpha, 1-x\rangle
$$

## The Cut Polytope

## Definition

The cut polytope of $G, \operatorname{CUT}(G)$, is the convex hull of the characteristic vectors $\chi_{c} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{E}$ of the cuts of $G$, where $\left(\chi_{c}\right)_{i j}=-1$ if $(i, j) \in C$ and 1 otherwise.

## Reformulated Problem

Given a vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$ solve the optimization problem

$$
\operatorname{mcut}(G, \alpha)=\max _{x \in \operatorname{CUT}(G)} \frac{1}{2}\langle\alpha, 1-x\rangle
$$

Computing the vanishing ideal $I_{G}$ of these characteristic vectors and a basis for its quotient ring, and applying the moment matrix formulation we arrive to a new relaxation for this problem, using theta bodies.

## The First Cut Theta Body

$T H_{1}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$ for which we can find a symmetric matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{E \times E}$ such that
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## The First Cut Theta Body

$T H_{1}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$ for which we can find a symmetric matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{E \times E}$ such that

- The diagonal entries of $U$ are all ones;
- $U_{e, f}=x_{g}$ if $(e, f, g)$ is a triangle in $G$;
- $U_{e, f}=U_{g, h}$ and $U_{e, g}=U_{f, h}$ if $(e, f, g, h)$ is a 4-cycle;
- The matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x^{t} \\
x & U
\end{array}\right]
$$

is positive semidefinite.

## Example
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## Example


$\mathrm{TH}_{1}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is the set of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ such that there exist $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ such that
0
1
2
3
4
5 $\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5} \\ x_{1} & & & & & \\ x_{2} & & & & & \\ x_{3} & & & & & \\ x_{4} & & & & & \end{array}\right] \succeq 0$

## Example


$\mathrm{TH}_{1}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is the set of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ such that there exist $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \\
& 0 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 3 \\
& 4 \\
& 5
\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
1 & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5} \\
x_{1} & 1 & & & & \\
x_{2} & & 1 & & & \\
x_{3} & & & 1 & & \\
x_{4} & & & & 1 & \\
x_{5} & & & & & 1
\end{array}\right] \succeq 0
$$
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$\mathrm{TH}_{1}\left(I_{G}\right)$ is the set of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ such that there exist $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ such that
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\begin{aligned}
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& 0 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 3 \\
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\end{array}\right] \succeq 0
$$
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x_{5} & & & & & 1
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$$
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## Cut-Perfect Graphs

In analogy with the stable set results, it makes sense to have the following definition:

## Definition

We call a graph $G$ cut-perfect if $\mathrm{TH}_{1}\left(I_{G}\right)=\operatorname{CUT}(G)$.
Using our characterization for $\mathrm{TH}_{1}$-exact zero-dimensional ideals we get the following characterization, that answers a Lovász question.

## Theorem

A graph is cut-perfect if and only if it has no $K_{5}$ minor and no chordless cycle of size larger than 4.
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## Higher Order Theta Bodies

Remarks:

- The higher order theta bodies also have interesting combinatorial descriptions.
- This hierarchy 'refines' a hierarchy obtained by Laurent by a completely different process.

The End

## Thank You

