

MR3951126 06D22 54D10

Picado, Jorge (P-CMBR-CM); **Pultr, Aleš** (CZ-KARL-AM);

Tozzi, Anna (I-LAQL)

Joins of closed sublocales. (English summary)

Houston J. Math. **45** (2019), *no. 1*, 21–38.

Let L be a frame, i.e., a complete lattice in which finite meets distribute over arbitrary joins. The first example of a frame is the topology $\mathcal{O}(X)$ of a topological space X . A frame is called spatial if it is isomorphic to some $\mathcal{O}(X)$. A sublocale of a frame L is the set of all fixed points of a nucleus on L . The paper under review deals with the collection $\mathcal{S}_{\vee^c}(L)$ of those sublocales of L that are joins of closed sublocales of L . The authors first observe that $\mathcal{S}_{\vee^c}(L)$ is itself a frame and then consider the question to which extent is $\mathcal{S}_{\vee^c}(L)$ a coframe or a sublocale of the coframe $\mathcal{S}(L)$ of all sublocales of L . For L a subfit frame (each open sublocale is a join of closed sublocales), the authors give a complete answer. They prove the following:

Theorem 3.5. L is subfit if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{\vee^c}(L)$ is the Booleanization of $\mathcal{S}(L)$ if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{\vee^c}(L)$ is a sublocale of $\mathcal{S}(L)$ if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{\vee^c}(L)$ is a Boolean algebra.

The authors also show, among other things, that when X is T_1 , then $\mathcal{S}_{\vee^c}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ is precisely the set of all $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ with Y a subspace of X . *Tomasz Kubiak*

References

1. C. E. Aull and W. J. Thron, Separation axioms between T_0 and T_1 , *Indag. Math.* 24 (1963) 26–37. [MR0138082](#)
2. R. N. Ball, J. Picado and A. Pultr, On an aspect of scatteredness in the point-free setting, *Portugaliae Math.* 73 (2016) 139–152. [MR3500827](#)
3. B. Banaschewski and A. Pultr, Variants of openness, *Appl. Categ. Structures* 2 (1994) 331–350. [MR1300720](#)
4. J. R. Isbell, Atomless parts of spaces, *Math. Scand.* 31 (1972) 5–32. [MR0358725](#)
5. P. T. Johnstone, *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982. [MR0698074](#)
6. A. Joyal and M. Tierney, *An extension of the Galois theory of Grothendieck*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 309, 1984. [MR0756176](#)
7. S. Niefeld and K. Rosenthal, Spatial sublocales and essential primes, *Topology Appl.* 26 (1987) 263–269. [MR0904472](#)
8. J. Picado and A. Pultr, *Locales treated mostly in a covariant way*, Textos de Matemática, Vol. 41, University of Coimbra, 2008. [MR2459570](#)
9. J. Picado and A. Pultr, *Frames and Locales: topology without points*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Vol. 28, Springer, Basel, 2012. [MR2868166](#)
10. J. Picado and A. Pultr, More on subfitness and fitness, *Appl. Categ. Structures* 23 (2015) 323–335. [MR3351084](#)
11. J. Picado and A. Pultr, New aspects of subfitness in frames and spaces, *Appl. Categ. Structures* 24 (2016) 703–714. [MR3546508](#)
12. J. Picado and A. Pultr, A Boolean extension of a frame and a representation of discontinuity, *Quaest. Math.* 40 (2017) 1111–1125. (doi: 10.2989/16073606.2017.1348399). [MR3765290](#)
13. T. Plewe, Sublocale lattices, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 168 (2002) 309–326. [MR1887161](#)

14. H. Simmons, Regularity, fitness, and the block structure of frames, *Appl. Categ. Structures* 14 (2006) 1–34. [MR2216640](#)
15. H. Simmons, A curious nucleus, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 214 (2010) 2063–2073. [MR2645338](#)

Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.