

Tensor products of finitely cocomplete and abelian categories¹

Ignacio López Franco

University of Cambridge
Gonville and Caius College

Coimbra, 11 July 2012

¹With thanks to P. Deligne.

Plan

Deligne's tensor product

Questions we answer

Existence of Deligne's tensor

Counterexample to the existence

Deligne's tensor product of abelian categories

k commutative ring. All the categories and functors will be enriched in $k\text{-Mod}$.

In *Catégories tannakiennes* (1990) Deligne introduced and used:

Deligne's tensor product of abelian categories

k commutative ring. All the categories and functors will be enriched in $k\text{-Mod}$.

In *Catégories tannakiennes* (1990) Deligne introduced and used:

Deligne's tensor product of abelian categories

Definition (Deligne)

Given \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} **abelian** categories, their tensor product is an **abelian** category $\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$ with a bilinear *rex* in each variable

$$\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$$

that induces equivalences for all **abelian** \mathbb{C}

$$\text{Rex}[\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}; \mathbb{C}]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \text{Y} \\ & & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

Deligne's tensor product of abelian categories

Definition (?, Kelly, well-known)

Given \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} **fin. cocomplete** categories, their tensor product is an **fin. cocomplete** category $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ with a bilinear *rex* in each variable

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$$

that induces equivalences for all **fin. cocomplete** \mathcal{C}

$$\text{Rex}[\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{C}]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

Example

For k -algebras R, S ,

$$R\text{-Mod}_f \times S\text{-Mod}_f \xrightarrow{\otimes_k} R \otimes S\text{-Mod}_f$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} R \otimes S\text{-Mod}_f &\simeq R\text{-Mod}_f \boxtimes S\text{-Mod}_f \\ &\simeq R\text{-Mod}_f \bullet S\text{-Mod}_f \quad \text{if abelian} \end{aligned}$$

Deligne's tensor product has been used in

- ▶ Representations and classification of Hopf algebras.
- ▶ Tannaka-type reconstruction results.
- ▶ Invariants of manifolds.

Example

For k -algebras R, S ,

$$R\text{-Mod}_f \times S\text{-Mod}_f \xrightarrow{\otimes_k} R \otimes S\text{-Mod}_f$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} R \otimes S\text{-Mod}_f &\simeq R\text{-Mod}_f \boxtimes S\text{-Mod}_f \\ &\simeq R\text{-Mod}_f \bullet S\text{-Mod}_f \quad \text{if abelian} \end{aligned}$$

Deligne's tensor product has been used in

- ▶ Representations and classification of Hopf algebras.
- ▶ Tannaka-type reconstruction results.
- ▶ Invariants of manifolds.

Questions

Example (Existence of \boxtimes)

For fin. cocomplete \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , the tensor $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ exists.

$$\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]_f$$

Deligne does not show that his tensor product exists in general.
We may ask:

1. Does Deligne's tensor product always exist? **No.**
2. For fin. cocomplete categories \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , is $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ always abelian whenever \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are so?
3. For abelian \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , their Deligne tensor product $\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}$ exists iff $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ is abelian.

$$2 + 3 \Rightarrow 1$$

Questions

Example (Existence of \boxtimes)

For fin. cocomplete \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , the tensor $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ exists.

$$\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]_f$$

Deligne does not show that his tensor product exists in general.
We may ask:

1. Does Deligne's tensor product always exist? **No.**
2. For fin. cocomplete categories \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , is $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ always abelian whenever \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are so? **No.**
3. For abelian \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , their Deligne tensor product $\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}$ exists iff $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ is abelian. **Yes.**

$$2 + 3 \Rightarrow 1$$

Questions

Example (Existence of \boxtimes)

For fin. cocomplete \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , the tensor $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ exists.

$$\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]_f$$

Deligne does not show that his tensor product exists in general.
We may ask:

1. Does Deligne's tensor product always exist? **No.**
2. For fin. cocomplete categories \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , is $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ always abelian whenever \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are so? **No.**
3. For abelian \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , their Deligne tensor product $\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}$ exists iff $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ is abelian. **Yes.**

$$2 + 3 \Rightarrow 1$$

Questions

Example (Existence of \boxtimes)

For fin. cocomplete \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , the tensor $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ exists.

$$\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]_f$$

Deligne does not show that his tensor product exists in general.
We may ask:

1. Does Deligne's tensor product always exist? **No.**
2. For fin. cocomplete categories \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , is $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ always abelian whenever \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are so? **No.**
3. For abelian \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , their Deligne tensor product $\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}$ exists iff $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ is abelian. **Yes.**

$$2 + 3 \Rightarrow 1$$

Questions

Example (Existence of \boxtimes)

For fin. cocomplete \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , the tensor $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ exists.

$$\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]_f$$

Deligne does not show that his tensor product exists in general.
We may ask:

1. Does Deligne's tensor product always exist? **No.**
2. For fin. cocomplete categories \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , is $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ always abelian whenever \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are so? **No.**
3. For abelian \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , their Deligne tensor product $\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}$ exists iff $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ is abelian. **Yes.**

$$2 + 3 \Rightarrow 1$$

Questions

Example (Existence of \boxtimes)

For fin. cocomplete \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , the tensor $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ exists.

$$\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]_f$$

Deligne does not show that his tensor product exists in general.
We may ask:

1. Does Deligne's tensor product always exist? **No.**
2. For fin. cocomplete categories \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , is $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ always abelian whenever \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are so? **No.**
3. For abelian \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , their Deligne tensor product $\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}$ exists iff $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ is abelian. **Yes.**

$$2 + 3 \Rightarrow 1$$

Questions

Example (Existence of \boxtimes)

For fin. cocomplete \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , the tensor $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ exists.

$$\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]_f$$

Deligne does not show that his tensor product exists in general.
We may ask:

1. Does Deligne's tensor product always exist? **No.**
2. For fin. cocomplete categories \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , is $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ always abelian whenever \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are so? **No.**
3. For abelian \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , their Deligne tensor product $\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}$ exists iff $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ is abelian. **Yes.**

$$2 + 3 \Rightarrow 1$$

Existence of Deligne's product

Lemma

For abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} , if $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ is abelian then $\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$ exists and is (equivalent to) $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$.

Proof.

Need $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ to induce

$$\text{Rex}[\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}; \mathbb{C}]$$

for all **abelian** \mathbb{C} . But by definition of \boxtimes this is true for any fin. cocomplete \mathbb{C} . □

Existence of Deligne's product

Lemma

For abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} , if $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ is abelian then $\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$ exists and is (equivalent to) $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$.

Proof.

Need $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ to induce

$$\text{Rex}[\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}; \mathbb{C}]$$

for all **abelian** \mathbb{C} . But by definition of \boxtimes this is true for any fin. cocomplete \mathbb{C} . □

Existence of Deligne's product

For a fin. cocomplete \mathbb{A} , write $\widehat{\mathbb{A}} = \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}]$

Lemma

If $\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$ exists, then $\widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}}$ is cocomplete abelian and

$$\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}}$$

induces

$$\text{Cocts}[\widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}; \mathbb{C}]$$

for all *cocomplete abelian* \mathbb{C} .

Existence of Deligne's product

Theorem

For abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} , TFAE

1. $\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$ exists.
2. $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ is abelian.

Proof.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) Lemma.

(1 \Rightarrow 2) By Lemma, enough to prove $\widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}} \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}}$, i.e.,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, \mathbb{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]$$

has the universal property of $\widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}}$ and **it is abelian.**

$$\text{Cocts}[\widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}; \mathbb{C}]$$

Existence of Deligne's product

Theorem

For abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} , TFAE

1. $\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$ exists.
2. $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ is abelian.

Proof.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) Lemma.

(1 \Rightarrow 2) By Lemma, enough to prove $\widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}} \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}}$, i.e.,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, \mathbb{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]$$

has the universal property of $\widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}}$ and **it is abelian.**

$$\text{Cocts}[\widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}; \mathbb{C}]$$

Existence of Deligne's product

Theorem

For abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} , TFAE

1. $\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$ exists.
2. $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ is abelian.

Proof.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) Lemma.

(1 \Rightarrow 2) By Lemma, enough to prove $\widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}} \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}}$, i.e.,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, \mathbb{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]$$

has the universal property of $\widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}}$ and **it is abelian.**

$$\text{Cocts}[\widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}; \mathbb{C}]$$

Existence of Deligne's product

Theorem

For abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} , TFAE

1. $\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$ exists.
2. $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ is abelian.

Proof.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) Lemma.

(1 \Rightarrow 2) By Lemma, enough to prove $\widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}} \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}}$, i.e.,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}} \simeq \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, \mathbb{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]$$

has the universal property of $\widehat{\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}}$ and **it is abelian.**

$$\text{Cocts}[\widehat{\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}] \simeq \text{Rex}[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}; \mathbb{C}]$$

Proof cont.

Theorem

The reflection

$$[(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B})^{op}, k\text{-Mod}] \rightarrow \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, \mathbb{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]$$

is exact if \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} are abelian.

Proof.

- ▶ Follows from: the reflection $[\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}] \rightarrow \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}]$ is *lex*.
- ▶ Follows from:

$$\text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}] = \text{Sh}(\mathbb{A}, J) \subset [\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}]$$

J generated by $\{e : A' \rightarrow A \text{ epi}\}$ (because \mathbb{A} is **abelian**).

Proof cont.

Theorem

The reflection

$$[(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B})^{op}, k\text{-Mod}] \rightarrow \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, \mathbb{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]$$

is exact if \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} are abelian.

Proof.

- ▶ Follows from: the reflection $[\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}] \rightarrow \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}]$ is *lex*.
- ▶ Follows from:

$$\text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}] = \text{Sh}(\mathbb{A}, \mathcal{J}) \subset [\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}]$$

\mathcal{J} generated by $\{e : A' \rightarrow A \text{ epi}\}$ (because \mathbb{A} is **abelian**).

Proof cont.

Theorem

The reflection

$$[(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B})^{op}, k\text{-Mod}] \rightarrow \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, \mathbb{B}^{op}; k\text{-Mod}]$$

is exact if \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} are abelian.

Proof.

- ▶ Follows from: the reflection $[\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}] \rightarrow \text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}]$ is *lex*.
- ▶ Follows from:

$$\text{Lex}[\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}] = \text{Sh}(\mathbb{A}, \mathcal{J}) \subset [\mathbb{A}^{op}, k\text{-Mod}]$$

\mathcal{J} generated by $\{e : A' \rightarrow A \text{ epi}\}$ (because \mathbb{A} is **abelian**).

Summary

We showed, for a pair of abelian categories TFAE

- ▶ Their Deligne tensor product exists.
- ▶ Their tensor as fin. cocomplete categories is abelian.

Counterexample to existence of Deligne's product

Enough to find two abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} with $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ *not* abelian.

Definition/Theorem (Chase, Bourbaki, 1960s)

A k -algebra R is *left coherent* iff $R\text{-Mod}_f$ is abelian iff every f.g. left ideal is f.p.

Theorem (Soublin, 1968)

There exist two commutative coherent \mathbb{Q} -algebras R, S with $R \otimes S$ not coherent.

Proof.

Set $R = \mathbb{Q}[x]$, $S = (\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}})[[u, t]]$. □

So $R\text{-Mod}_f \boxtimes S\text{-Mod}_f$ is not abelian, and the Deligne's tensor $R\text{-Mod}_f \bullet S\text{-Mod}_f$ does not exist.

Counterexample to existence of Deligne's product

Enough to find two abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} with $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ *not* abelian.

Definition/Theorem (Chase, Bourbaki, 1960s)

A k -algebra R is *left coherent* iff $R\text{-Mod}_f$ is abelian iff every f.g. left ideal is f.p.

Theorem (Soublin, 1968)

There exist two commutative coherent \mathbb{Q} -algebras R, S with $R \otimes S$ not coherent.

Proof.

Set $R = \mathbb{Q}[x]$, $S = (\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}})[[u, t]]$. □

So $R\text{-Mod}_f \boxtimes S\text{-Mod}_f$ is not abelian, and the Deligne's tensor $R\text{-Mod}_f \bullet S\text{-Mod}_f$ does not exist.

Counterexample to existence of Deligne's product

Enough to find two abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} with $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ *not* abelian.

Definition/Theorem (Chase, Bourbaki, 1960s)

A k -algebra R is *left coherent* iff $R\text{-Mod}_f$ is abelian iff every f.g. left ideal is f.p.

Theorem (Soublin, 1968)

There exist two commutative coherent \mathbb{Q} -algebras R, S with $R \otimes S$ not coherent.

Proof.

Set $R = \mathbb{Q}[x]$, $S = (\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}})[[u, t]]$. □

So $R\text{-Mod}_f \boxtimes S\text{-Mod}_f$ is not abelian, and the Deligne's tensor $R\text{-Mod}_f \bullet S\text{-Mod}_f$ does not exist.

Counterexample to existence of Deligne's product

Enough to find two abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} with $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ *not* abelian.

Definition/Theorem (Chase, Bourbaki, 1960s)

A k -algebra R is *left coherent* iff $R\text{-Mod}_f$ is abelian iff every f.g. left ideal is f.p.

Theorem (Soublin, 1968)

There exist two commutative coherent \mathbb{Q} -algebras R, S with $R \otimes S$ not coherent.

Proof.

Set $R = \mathbb{Q}[x]$, $S = (\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}})[[u, t]]$. □

So $R\text{-Mod}_f \boxtimes S\text{-Mod}_f$ is not abelian, and the Deligne's tensor $R\text{-Mod}_f \bullet S\text{-Mod}_f$ does not exist.

Counterexample to existence of Deligne's product

Enough to find two abelian \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} with $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$ *not* abelian.

Definition/Theorem (Chase, Bourbaki, 1960s)

A k -algebra R is *left coherent* iff $R\text{-Mod}_f$ is abelian iff every f.g. left ideal is f.p.

Theorem (Soublin, 1968)

There exist two commutative coherent \mathbb{Q} -algebras R, S with $R \otimes S$ not coherent.

Proof.






Set $R = \mathbb{Q}[x]$, $S = (\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}})[[u, t]]$. □

So $R\text{-Mod}_f \boxtimes S\text{-Mod}_f$ is not abelian, and the Deligne's tensor $R\text{-Mod}_f \bullet S\text{-Mod}_f$ does not exist.

Conclusion

- ▶ Deligne's tensor $\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$ does not always exist.
- ▶ When $\mathbb{A} \bullet \mathbb{B}$ exists it is (equivalent to) $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$.
- ▶ Better use the product of fin. cocomplete categories \boxtimes .

Bibliography

-  P. Deligne *Catégories tannakiennes* The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II. Progr. Math. 87, 111–195. Birkhäuser Boston. 1990
-  G. M. Kelly Structures defined by finite limits in the enriched context. I *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle*, 23. 1982.
-  T. Kerler and V. Lyubashenko Non-semisimple topological quantum field theories for 3-manifolds with corners *LNM* 1765, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
-  V. Lyubashenko Squared Hopf algebras *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142. 1999.
-  J-P. Soublin Anneaux et modules cohérents *J. Algebra*, 15. 1970