

An Indexed Central Limit Theorem

Bob Lowen
(with B. Berckmoes, J. Van Casteren)
University of Antwerp

Coimbra July 2012
Workshop on Category Theory in honour of George Janelidze on the
occasion of his 60th birthday

Standard triangular arrays

Standard triangular array (STA): a triangular array of real square integrable random variables

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \xi_{1,1} \\ & & & & \xi_{2,1} & \xi_{2,2} \\ & & & \xi_{3,1} & \xi_{3,2} & \xi_{3,3} \\ & & & & \vdots & \end{array}$$

satisfying the following properties.

- (a) $\forall n : \xi_{n,1}, \dots, \xi_{n,n}$ are independent
- (b) $\forall n, k : \mathbb{E} [\xi_{n,k}] = 0$
- (c) $\forall n : \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{n,k}^2 = 1$, where $\sigma_{n,k}^2 = \mathbb{E} [\xi_{n,k}^2]$
- (d) $\max_{k=1}^n \sigma_{n,k}^2 \rightarrow 0$

The Lindeberg-Feller CLT

Theorem

Given an STA $(\xi_{n,k})_{n,k}$ and a normally distributed random variable ξ : if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [\xi_{n,k}^2 ; |\xi_{n,k}| \geq \epsilon] \rightarrow 0$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k} \xrightarrow{w} \xi.$$

Lindeberg index

$$\text{Lin}(\{\xi_{n,k}\}) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [\xi_{n,k}^2; |\xi_{n,k}| \geq \epsilon]$$

Lindeberg index

$$\text{Lin}(\{\xi_{n,k}\}) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [\xi_{n,k}^2; |\xi_{n,k}| \geq \epsilon]$$

Fix $0 < \alpha < 1$, let $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ and put

$$s_n^2 = (1 + \beta)n - \beta \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1} = n + \beta \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - k^{-1})$$

$$\mathbb{P}[\eta_{\alpha,n,k} = -1/s_n] = \mathbb{P}[\eta_{\alpha,n,k} = 1/s_n] = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \beta k^{-1})$$

$$\mathbb{P}[\eta_{\alpha,n,k} = -\sqrt{k}/s_n] = \mathbb{P}[\eta_{\alpha,n,k} = \sqrt{k}/s_n] = \frac{1}{2} \beta k^{-1}$$

$$\text{Lin}(\{\eta_{\alpha,n,k}\}) = \alpha$$

Steps in the proof

$$K(\eta, \eta') = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[\eta \leq x] - \mathbb{P}[\eta' \leq x]|$$

Steps in the proof

$$K(\eta, \eta') = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[\eta \leq x] - \mathbb{P}[\eta' \leq x]|$$

Stein's method (Stein 1986), Chen, Goldstein, Shao (Normal approximation by Stein's method, Springer 2011)

Steps in the proof

$$K(\eta, \eta') = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[\eta \leq x] - \mathbb{P}[\eta' \leq x]|$$

Stein's method (Stein 1986), Chen, Goldstein, Shao (Normal approximation by Stein's method, Springer 2011)

\mathcal{H} : all strictly decreasing functions $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, bounded first and second derivatives and a bounded and piecewise continuous third derivative, $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} h(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = 0$.

Steps in the proof

$$K(\eta, \eta') = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[\eta \leq x] - \mathbb{P}[\eta' \leq x]|$$

Stein's method (Stein 1986), Chen, Goldstein, Shao (Normal approximation by Stein's method, Springer 2011)

\mathcal{H} : all strictly decreasing functions $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, bounded first and second derivatives and a bounded and piecewise continuous third derivative, $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} h(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = 0$.

Step 1

If η is continuously distributed, then the formula

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\eta, \eta_n) = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}[h(\eta) - h(\eta_n)]|$$

is valid for any sequence $(\eta_n)_n$

Step 2

Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable and bounded. Put

$$f_h(x) = e^{x^2/2} \int_{-\infty}^x (h(t) - \mathbb{E}[h(\xi)]) e^{-t^2/2} dt$$

Step 2

Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable and bounded. Put

$$f_h(x) = e^{x^2/2} \int_{-\infty}^x (h(t) - \mathbb{E}[h(\xi)]) e^{-t^2/2} dt$$

(Basic points of Stein's method)

(1) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}[h(\xi)] - h(x) = x f_h(x) - f_h'(x).$$

(2) Moreover,

$$\|f_h''\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \|h'\|_{\infty},$$

(3) If $h_z = 1_{]-\infty, z]}$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$, then for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|f_{h_z}'(x) - f_{h_z}'(y)| \leq 1.$$

Step 3

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and put

$$\delta_{n,k} = f_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} + \xi_{n,k} \right) - f_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} \right) - \xi_{n,k} f'_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} \right)$$

$$\epsilon_{n,k} = f'_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} + \xi_{n,k} \right) - f'_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} \right) - \xi_{n,k} f''_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} \right)$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k} \right) f_h \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k} \right) - f'_h \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k} \right) \right]$$

Step 3

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and put

$$\delta_{n,k} = f_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} + \xi_{n,k} \right) - f_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} \right) - \xi_{n,k} f'_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} \right)$$

$$\epsilon_{n,k} = f'_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} + \xi_{n,k} \right) - f'_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} \right) - \xi_{n,k} f''_h \left(\sum_{i \neq k} \xi_{n,i} \right)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k} \right) f_h \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k} \right) - f'_h \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [\xi_{n,k} \delta_{n,k}] - \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{n,k}^2 \mathbb{E} [\epsilon_{n,k}] \end{aligned}$$

Step 4

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have a bounded derivative and a bounded and piecewise continuous second derivative. Then for any $a, x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(a+x) - f(a) - f'(a)x| \\ & \leq \min \left\{ \left(\sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} |f'(x_1) - f'(x_2)| \right) |x|, \frac{1}{2} \|f''\|_{\infty} x^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Step 4

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have a bounded derivative and a bounded and piecewise continuous second derivative. Then for any $a, x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(a+x) - f(a) - f'(a)x| \leq \min \left\{ \left(\sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} |f'(x_1) - f'(x_2)| \right) |x|, \frac{1}{2} \|f''\|_{\infty} x^2 \right\}$$

Step 5

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|f'_h(x) - f'_h(y)| \leq 1$$

Step 6

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{E}[h(\xi) - h(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k})]| &\leq \dots \\
&\leq \dots \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|f_h''\|_\infty \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [|\xi_{n,k}|^3; |\xi_{n,k}| < \epsilon] \\
&+ \left(\sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} |f_h'(x_1) - f_h'(x_2)| \right) \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [|\xi_{n,k}|^2; |\xi_{n,k}| \geq \epsilon] \\
&+ \left(\sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} |f_h''(x_1) - f_h''(x_2)| \right) \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{n,k}^2 \mathbb{E} [|\xi_{n,k}|] \\
&\leq \dots
\end{aligned}$$

An inequality

Theorem

Given an STA $(\xi_{n,k})_{n,k}$ and a normally distributed ξ the inequality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K \left(\xi, \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k} \right) \leq \text{Lin}(\{\xi_{n,k}\})$$

is valid.

An inequality

Theorem

Given an STA $(\xi_{n,k})_{n,k}$ and a normally distributed ξ the inequality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K \left(\xi, \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k} \right) \leq \text{Lin}(\{\xi_{n,k}\})$$

is valid.

?

Enter approach theory

\mathcal{F} : probability distributions

\mathcal{F}_c : continuous probability distributions

$*$: convolution

Bergström's direct convolution method

$$\eta_n \rightarrow \eta \text{ (weak)} \Leftrightarrow \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c : \eta_n * \zeta \rightarrow \eta * \zeta \text{ (uniformly)}$$

Enter approach theory

\mathcal{F} : probability distributions

\mathcal{F}_c : continuous probability distributions

$*$: convolution

Bergström's direct convolution method

$$\eta_n \rightarrow \eta \text{ (weak)} \Leftrightarrow \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c : \eta_n * \zeta \rightarrow \eta * \zeta \text{ (uniformly)}$$

$$\text{In Top} \quad ((\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}_w) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}_K) : \eta \mapsto \eta * \zeta)_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c}$$

Enter approach theory

\mathcal{F} : probability distributions

\mathcal{F}_c : continuous probability distributions

$*$: convolution

Bergström's direct convolution method

$$\eta_n \rightarrow \eta \text{ (weak)} \Leftrightarrow \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c : \eta_n * \zeta \rightarrow \eta * \zeta \text{ (uniformly)}$$

$$\text{In Top} \quad ((\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}_w) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}_K) : \eta \mapsto \eta * \zeta)_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c}$$

$$\text{In Met} \quad ((\mathcal{F}, ?) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, K) : \eta \mapsto \eta * \zeta)_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c}$$

Enter approach theory

\mathcal{F} : probability distributions

\mathcal{F}_c : continuous probability distributions

$*$: convolution

Bergström's direct convolution method

$$\eta_n \rightarrow \eta \text{ (weak)} \Leftrightarrow \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c : \eta_n * \zeta \rightarrow \eta * \zeta \text{ (uniformly)}$$

$$\text{In Top} \quad ((\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}_w) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}_K) : \eta \mapsto \eta * \zeta)_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c}$$

$$\text{In App} \quad ((\mathcal{F}, \delta_w) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, K) : \eta \mapsto \eta * \zeta)_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c}$$

Distance δ_w

$$\delta_w(\eta, \mathcal{D}) = \sup_{\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_c \text{ finite}} \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{D}} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_0} K(\eta * \zeta, \psi * \zeta)$$

Distance δ_w

$$\delta_w(\eta, \mathcal{D}) = \sup_{\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_c \text{ finite}} \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{D}} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_0} K(\eta * \zeta, \psi * \zeta)$$

Limit associated with δ_w

$$\lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\eta * \zeta, \eta_n * \zeta)$$

Distance δ_w

$$\delta_w(\eta, \mathcal{D}) = \sup_{\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_c \text{ finite}} \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{D}} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_0} K(\eta * \zeta, \psi * \zeta)$$

Limit associated with δ_w

$$\lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\eta * \zeta, \eta_n * \zeta)$$

A sidestep concerning the naturality of δ_w

Distance δ_w

$$\delta_w(\eta, \mathcal{D}) = \sup_{\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_c \text{ finite}} \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{D}} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_0} K(\eta * \zeta, \psi * \zeta)$$

Limit associated with δ_w

$$\lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\eta * \zeta, \eta_n * \zeta)$$

A sidestep concerning the naturality of δ_w

Tightness: a collection \mathcal{D} of probability distributions is said to be tight if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\mathcal{F}(-M) \vee (1 - \mathcal{F}(M)) \leq \varepsilon$$

Prohorov's theorem

\mathcal{D} is relatively compact in the weak topology if and only if it is tight.

Prohorov's theorem

\mathcal{D} is relatively compact in the weak topology if and only if it is tight.

Index of compactness in approach spaces:

$$\chi_c(A) := \sup_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}(A)} \inf_{x \in A} \lambda_{\mathcal{U}}(x)$$

Prohorov's theorem

\mathcal{D} is relatively compact in the weak topology if and only if it is tight.

Index of compactness in approach spaces:

$$\chi_c(A) := \sup_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}(A)} \inf_{x \in A} \lambda_{\mathcal{U}}(x)$$

$$\text{Tychonoff: } \chi_c\left(\prod_j X_j\right) = \sup_j \chi_c(X_j)$$

Prohorov's theorem

\mathcal{D} is relatively compact in the weak topology if and only if it is tight.

Index of compactness in approach spaces:

$$\chi_c(A) := \sup_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}(A)} \inf_{x \in A} \lambda \mathcal{U}(x)$$

$$\text{Tychonoff: } \chi_c\left(\prod_j X_j\right) = \sup_j \chi_c(X_j)$$

$$\text{Kuratowski-Mrowka: } \chi_c(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall Z : \text{pr}_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Z \text{ closed}$$

Prohorov's theorem

\mathcal{D} is relatively compact in the weak topology if and only if it is tight.

Index of compactness in approach spaces:

$$\chi_c(A) := \sup_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}(A)} \inf_{x \in A} \lambda \mathcal{U}(x)$$

$$\text{Tychonoff: } \chi_c\left(\prod_j X_j\right) = \sup_j \chi_c(X_j)$$

Kuratowski-Mrowka: $\chi_c(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall Z : \text{pr}_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Z$ closed

(Functional approach to topology, M.M. Clementino, E. Giuli, W. Tholen, 2003, Cambridge University Press)

Index of relative compactness:

$$\chi_{rc}(A) := \sup_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}(A)} \inf_{x \in X} \lambda \mathcal{U}(x)$$

Index of relative compactness:

$$\chi_{rc}(A) := \sup_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}(A)} \inf_{x \in X} \lambda \mathcal{U}(x)$$

Index of tightness:

$$e(\mathcal{D}) = \inf_{M > 0} \sup_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{F}(-M) \vee (1 - \mathcal{F}(M))$$

Index of relative compactness:

$$\chi_{rc}(A) := \sup_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}(A)} \inf_{x \in X} \lambda \mathcal{U}(x)$$

Index of tightness:

$$e(\mathcal{D}) = \inf_{M > 0} \sup_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{F}(-M) \vee (1 - \mathcal{F}(M))$$

Indexed Prohorov theorem

In (\mathcal{F}, δ_w) for any $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{F}$:

$$\chi_{rc}(\mathcal{D}) = e(\mathcal{D})$$

Back to the CLT

$j(\eta)$: the supremum of the discontinuity jumps of the distribution of η

Back to the CLT

$j(\eta)$: the supremum of the discontinuity jumps of the distribution of η

$$\lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\eta * \zeta, \eta_n * \zeta)$$

Back to the CLT

$j(\eta)$: the supremum of the discontinuity jumps of the distribution of η

$$\lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\eta * \zeta, \eta_n * \zeta)$$

Step 7

For $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ and $(\eta_n)_n$ in \mathcal{F}

$$\lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\eta, \eta_n) \leq \lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) + j(\eta)$$

Back to the CLT

$j(\eta)$: the supremum of the discontinuity jumps of the distribution of η

$$\lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_c} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\eta * \zeta, \eta_n * \zeta)$$

Step 7

For $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ and $(\eta_n)_n$ in \mathcal{F}

$$\lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\eta, \eta_n) \leq \lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) + j(\eta)$$

Consequence

For $\eta \in \mathcal{F}_c$ and $(\eta_n)_n$ in \mathcal{F}

$$\lambda_w(\eta_n)(\eta) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\eta, \eta_n)$$

The Indexed Lindeberg-Feller CLT

Theorem

Given an STA $(\xi_{n,k})_{n,k}$ and a normally distributed ξ the inequality

$$\lambda_w\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{n,k}\right)(\xi) \leq \text{Lin}(\{\xi_{n,k}\})$$

is valid.

References

- Berckmoes R., Lowen R., van Casteren J. A., Approach theory meets probability theory.
Topology and its Applications 158 (2011) 836-852.
- Berckmoes R., Lowen R., van Casteren J. A., Distances on probability measures and random variables.
Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 374:2 (2011) 412-428.
- Berckmoes R., Lowen R., van Casteren J. A., An isometric study of the central limit theorem via Stein's method.,
submitted
- Lowen R., Index Calculus: Approach Theory at Work
450 pp, Springer Verlag, to appear 2013