

# On the maximal regular ideal of $\mathcal{R}L$

Themba Dube  
University of South Africa

**Workshop on Algebra, Logic and Topology**  
**(in honour of Aleš Pultr)**

**University of Coimbra – 28 September 2018**

All frames in this talk are completely regular, and

- 1  $\mathcal{R}L$  is the ring of all continuous real-valued functions on  $L$ ;
- 2  $\text{Coz } L$  is the cozero part of  $L$ ;
- 3  $\beta L$  is the Stone-Čech compactification of  $L$ ;
- 4  $\mathcal{S}(L)$  denotes the lattice of sublocales of  $L$ ;
- 5 The **supplement** of  $S \in \mathcal{S}(L)$  is the sublocale

$$L \setminus S = \bigcap \{T \in \mathcal{S}(L) \mid T \vee S = L\};$$

- 6 For any  $a \in L$ ,

$$o(a) = \{a \rightarrow x \mid x \in L\} \quad \text{and} \quad c(a) = \uparrow a = \{x \in L \mid x \geq a\}$$

denote the open and the closed sublocales associated with  $a$ .

Let  $A$  be a ring, and let  $a \in A$ . An element  $x \in A$  is called a **Von Neumann inverse** of  $a$  in case  $axa = a$ .

In the article



**B. Brown, N. McCoy,**

*The maximal regular ideal of a ring*

Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **37** (1996), 579–589,

the authors prove that in any ring the sum of regular ideals is a regular ideal, and thus there is a maximal regular ideal, denoted  $M(A)$ .

Recall that an ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring  $A$  is called **pure** if for every  $u \in I$  there is a  $v \in I$  such that  $u = uv$ . In the paper



A.R. Alilabad, J. Hashemi, R. Mohamadian,  
*P-ideals and PMP-ideals in commutative rings*  
J. Math. Extension, 4 (2016), 19–33.

there is the following useful characterization.

**Theorem**

*The following are equivalent for an ideal  $I$  of a reduced ring  $A$ .*

- ❶  *$I$  is a regular ideal.*
- ❷ *Every prime ideal of  $I$  is a maximal ideal in  $I$ .*
- ❸ *Every ideal of  $A$  contained in  $I$  is pure.*

Recall that an ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring  $A$  is called **pure** if for every  $u \in I$  there is a  $v \in I$  such that  $u = uv$ . In the paper



A.R. Aliabad, J. Hashemi, R. Mohamadian,  
*P-ideals and PMP-ideals in commutative rings*  
J. Math. Extension, **4** (2016), 19–33,

there is the following useful characterization.

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent for an ideal  $I$  of a reduced ring  $A$ .*

- 1  *$I$  is a regular ideal.*
- 2 *Every prime ideal of  $I$  is a maximal ideal in  $I$ .*
- 3 *Every ideal of  $A$  contained in  $I$  is pure.*

Let  $r_L: L \rightarrow \beta L$  be the localic map that embeds  $L$  as a sublocale of  $\beta L$ .

## Definition

For any sublocale  $A$  of  $\beta L$ , the ideals  $\mathbf{M}^A$  and  $\mathbf{O}^A$  of  $\mathcal{R}L$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{M}^A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid c(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)) \subseteq A\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{O}^A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid \text{Int}_r c(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)) \subseteq A\} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid c(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)^*) \subseteq A\}$$

Lemma

The following are equivalent for an ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{R}L$ .

- ①  $Q$  is a regular ideal.
- ② For every  $\alpha \in Q$ ,  $\text{coz } \alpha$  is complemented.
- ③  $Q = \mathbf{O}^{c(I)}$ , for some  $I \in \beta L$  consisting entirely of complemented elements.

Let  $r_L: L \rightarrow \beta L$  be the localic map that embeds  $L$  as a sublocale of  $\beta L$ .

## Definition

For any sublocale  $A$  of  $\beta L$ , the ideals  $\mathbf{M}^A$  and  $\mathbf{O}^A$  of  $\mathcal{R}L$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{M}^A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid c(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)) \subseteq A\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{O}^A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid \text{int}_{\beta L} c(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)) \subseteq A\} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid c(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)^*) \subseteq A\}$$

Lemma

The following are equivalent for an ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{R}L$ .

- ①  $Q$  is a regular ideal.
- ② For every  $\alpha \in Q$ ,  $\text{coz } \alpha$  is complemented.
- ③  $Q = \mathbf{O}^{c(I)}$ , for some  $I \in \beta L$  consisting entirely of complemented elements.

Let  $r_L: L \rightarrow \beta L$  be the localic map that embeds  $L$  as a sublocale of  $\beta L$ .

## Definition

For any sublocale  $A$  of  $\beta L$ , the ideals  $\mathbf{M}^A$  and  $\mathbf{O}^A$  of  $\mathcal{R}L$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{M}^A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid \mathfrak{c}(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)) \subseteq A\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{O}^A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid \text{int}_{\beta L} \mathfrak{c}(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)) \subseteq A\} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid \mathfrak{o}(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)^*) \subseteq A\}.$$

Lemma

The following are equivalent for an ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{R}L$ .

- ①  $Q$  is a regular ideal.
- ② For every  $\alpha \in Q$ ,  $\text{coz } \alpha$  is complemented.
- ③  $Q = \mathbf{O}^{\mathfrak{c}(I)}$ , for some  $I \in \beta L$  consisting entirely of complemented elements.



Let  $r_L: L \rightarrow \beta L$  be the localic map that embeds  $L$  as a sublocale of  $\beta L$ .

## Definition

For any sublocale  $A$  of  $\beta L$ , the ideals  $M^A$  and  $O^A$  of  $\mathcal{R}L$  is defined by

$$M^A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid c(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)) \subseteq A\}$$

and

$$O^A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid \text{int}_{\beta L} c(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)) \subseteq A\} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid o(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)^*) \subseteq A\}.$$

## Lemma

The following are equivalent for an ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{R}L$ .

- 1  $Q$  is a regular ideal.
- 2 For every  $\alpha \in Q$ ,  $\text{coz } \alpha$  is complemented.

$Q = O^A$ , for some  $A \in \beta L$  consisting entirely of complemented elements.

Let  $r_L: L \rightarrow \beta L$  be the localic map that embeds  $L$  as a sublocale of  $\beta L$ .

## Definition

For any sublocale  $A$  of  $\beta L$ , the ideals  $\mathbf{M}^A$  and  $\mathbf{O}^A$  of  $\mathcal{R}L$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{M}^A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid c(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)) \subseteq A\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{O}^A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid \text{int}_{\beta L} c(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)) \subseteq A\} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid o(r_L(\text{coz } \alpha)^*) \subseteq A\}.$$

## Lemma

*The following are equivalent for an ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{R}L$ .*

- 1  $Q$  is a regular ideal.
- 2 For every  $\alpha \in Q$ ,  $\text{coz } \alpha$  is complemented.
- 3  $Q = \mathbf{O}^{c(I)}$ , for some  $I \in \beta L$  consisting entirely of complemented elements.

## Theorem

$M(\mathcal{R}L) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid \mathfrak{o}(\text{coz } \alpha) \text{ is clopen and is a } P\text{-frame}\}.$

## Corollary

*The following are equivalent for a completely regular frame  $L$ .*

- 1.  $M(\mathcal{R}L)$  is not the zero ideal.
- 2.  $\text{Coz } L$  has a nonzero ideal consisting entirely of complemented elements.
- 3.  $L$  has a non-void clopen sublocale which is a  $P$ -frame.

## Theorem

$M(\mathcal{R}L) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L \mid \mathfrak{o}(\text{coz } \alpha) \text{ is clopen and is a } P\text{-frame}\}.$

## Corollary

*The following are equivalent for a completely regular frame  $L$ .*

- 1  $M(\mathcal{R}L)$  is not the zero ideal.
- 2  $\text{Coz } L$  has a nonzero ideal consisting entirely of complemented elements.
- 3  $L$  has a non-void clopen sublocale which is a  $P$ -frame.

Call a point (= prime element)  $p$  of  $\beta L$  a  $P$ -point if  $\mathbf{M}^{c(p)} = \mathbf{O}^{c(p)}$ .

(Justification:  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame if every point of  $\beta L$  is a  $P$ -point)

## Definition

We define the sublocale  $\varrho L$  of  $\beta L$  and the element  $J_L$  of  $\beta L$  by

$$\varrho L = \bigvee_{s(\rho L)} \{c(p) \mid p \text{ is a } P\text{-point of } \beta L\}$$

and

$$J_L = \bigvee_{\rho L} \{I \in \beta L \mid I \subseteq \beta L\}.$$

## Remark

- $\varrho L = \{I \in \beta L \mid I \text{ is a meet of } P\text{-points}\}.$
- $\varrho L$  is spatial, and its points are precisely the  $P$ -points of  $\beta L$ .
- $\varrho L = \beta L$  if and only if  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame.

Call a point (= prime element)  $p$  of  $\beta L$  a  **$P$ -point** if  $\mathbf{M}^{c(p)} = \mathbf{O}^{c(p)}$ .  
(Justification:  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame iff every point of  $\beta L$  is a  $P$ -point)

### Definition

We define the sublocale  $\varrho L$  of  $\beta L$  and the element  $J_L$  of  $\beta L$  by

$$\varrho L = \bigvee_{s(\varrho L)} \{c(p) \mid p \text{ is a } P\text{-point of } \beta L\}$$

and

$$J_L = \bigvee_{\beta L} \{I \in \beta L \mid I \subseteq \beta L\}.$$

### Remark

- $\varrho L = \{I \in \beta L \mid I \text{ is a meet of } P\text{-points}\}$ .
- $\varrho L$  is spatial, and its points are precisely the  $P$ -points of  $\beta L$ .
- $\varrho L = \beta L$  if and only if  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame.

Call a point (= prime element)  $p$  of  $\beta L$  a  $P$ -point if  $M^{c(p)} = O^{c(p)}$ .  
(Justification:  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame iff every point of  $\beta L$  is a  $P$ -point)

## Definition

We define the sublocale  $\varrho L$  of  $\beta L$  and the element  $J_L$  of  $\beta L$  by

$$\varrho L = \bigvee_{S(\beta L)} \{c(p) \mid p \text{ is a } P\text{-point of } \beta L\}$$

and

$$J_L = \bigvee_{\beta L} \{I \in \beta L \mid I \subseteq BL\}.$$

## Remark

- $\varrho L = \{I \in \beta L \mid I \text{ is a meet of } P\text{-points}\}$ .
- $\varrho L$  is spatial, and its points are precisely the  $P$ -points of  $\beta L$ .
- $\varrho L = \beta L$  if and only if  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame.

Call a point (= prime element)  $p$  of  $\beta L$  a  $P$ -point if  $M^{c(p)} = O^{c(p)}$ .  
(Justification:  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame iff every point of  $\beta L$  is a  $P$ -point)

## Definition

We define the sublocale  $\varrho L$  of  $\beta L$  and the element  $J_L$  of  $\beta L$  by

$$\varrho L = \bigvee_{S(\beta L)} \{c(p) \mid p \text{ is a } P\text{-point of } \beta L\}$$

and

$$J_L = \bigvee_{\beta L} \{I \in \beta L \mid I \subseteq BL\}.$$

## Remark

①  $\varrho L = \{I \in \beta L \mid I \text{ is a meet of } P\text{-points}\}.$

②  $\varrho L$  is spatial, and its points are precisely the  $P$ -points of  $\beta L$ .

③  $\varrho L = \beta L$  if and only if  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame.



Call a point (= prime element)  $p$  of  $\beta L$  a  $P$ -point if  $M^{c(p)} = O^{c(p)}$ .  
(Justification:  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame iff every point of  $\beta L$  is a  $P$ -point)

## Definition

We define the sublocale  $\varrho L$  of  $\beta L$  and the element  $J_L$  of  $\beta L$  by

$$\varrho L = \bigvee_{S(\beta L)} \{c(p) \mid p \text{ is a } P\text{-point of } \beta L\}$$

and

$$J_L = \bigvee_{\beta L} \{I \in \beta L \mid I \subseteq BL\}.$$

## Remark

- 1  $\varrho L = \{I \in \beta L \mid I \text{ is a meet of } P\text{-points}\}.$
- 2  $\varrho L$  is spatial, and its points are precisely the  $P$ -points of  $\beta L$ .

3  $\varrho L = \beta L$  if and only if  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame.

Call a point (= prime element)  $p$  of  $\beta L$  a  $P$ -point if  $M^{c(p)} = O^{c(p)}$ .  
(Justification:  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame iff every point of  $\beta L$  is a  $P$ -point)

## Definition

We define the sublocale  $\varrho L$  of  $\beta L$  and the element  $J_L$  of  $\beta L$  by

$$\varrho L = \bigvee_{S(\beta L)} \{c(p) \mid p \text{ is a } P\text{-point of } \beta L\}$$

and

$$J_L = \bigvee_{\beta L} \{I \in \beta L \mid I \subseteq BL\}.$$

## Remark

- 1  $\varrho L = \{I \in \beta L \mid I \text{ is a meet of } P\text{-points}\}.$
- 2  $\varrho L$  is spatial, and its points are precisely the  $P$ -points of  $\beta L$ .
- 3  $\varrho L = \beta L$  if and only if  $L$  is a  $P$ -frame.

## In the paper



J. Picado, A. Pultr, A. Tozzi,

*Joins of closed sublocales,*

Houst. J. Math. Extension, (to appear),

the authors prove that:

*If  $L$  is  $T_1$ -spatial,  $S$  is a join of closed sublocales of  $L$ , and  $T \in \mathcal{S}(L)$ , then*

$$S \setminus T = \bigvee \{c(x) \mid x \in \text{Max}(L), x \in S, x \notin T\}.$$

Let  $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  be collection of closed sublocales of  $\beta L$ , and let  $A = \bigcap_i A_i$ . Then

$$\sum_i \sigma^A = \sigma^A.$$

In the paper



J. Picado, A. Pultr, A. Tozzi,

*Joins of closed sublocales,*

Houst. J. Math. Extension, (to appear),

the authors prove that:

*If  $L$  is  $T_1$ -spatial,  $S$  is a join of closed sublocales of  $L$ , and  $T \in \mathcal{S}(L)$ , then*

$$S \setminus T = \bigvee \{c(x) \mid x \in \text{Max}(L), x \in S, x \notin T\}.$$

Let  $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  be collection of closed sublocales of  $\beta L$ , and let  $A = \bigcap_i A_i$ . Then

$$\sum_i o^{A_i} = o^A.$$

## Theorem

$$M(\mathcal{R}L) = \mathcal{O}^{\beta L \setminus \rho L} = \mathcal{O}^{c(J_L)}.$$

## Corollary

*For any  $L$ ,  $\text{int}_{\beta L}(\rho L) = \mathcal{O}(J_L)$ .*

## Definition

*A cozero element is strongly complemented if every cozero element below it is complemented.*

## Corollary

*The following statements are equivalent for any  $L$ .*

- ⊙  *$M(\mathcal{R}L)$  is an essential ideal in  $\mathcal{R}L$ .*
- ⊙ *Below every nonzero cozero element of  $L$  there is a strongly complemented nonzero cozero element of  $L$ .*
- ⊙ *The sublocale  $\text{int}_{\beta L}(\rho L)$  of  $\beta L$  is dense in  $\beta L$ .*

## Theorem

$$M(\mathcal{R}L) = \mathfrak{o}^{\beta L \setminus \rho L} = \mathfrak{o}^{c(J_L)}.$$

## Corollary

For any  $L$ ,  $\text{int}_{\beta L}(\rho L) = \mathfrak{o}(J_L)$ .

## Definition

A cozero element is strongly complemented if every cozero element below it is complemented.

## Corollary

The following statements are equivalent for any  $L$ .

- ①  $M(\mathcal{R}L)$  is an essential ideal in  $\mathcal{R}L$ .
- ② Below every nonzero cozero element of  $L$  there is a strongly complemented nonzero cozero element of  $L$ .
- ③ The sublocale  $\text{int}_{\rho L}(\rho L)$  of  $\beta L$  is dense in  $\beta L$ .

## Theorem

$$M(\mathcal{R}L) = \mathfrak{o}^{\beta L \setminus \rho L} = \mathfrak{o}^{c(J_L)}.$$

## Corollary

For any  $L$ ,  $\text{int}_{\beta L}(\rho L) = \mathfrak{o}(J_L)$ .

## Definition

A cozero element is **strongly complemented** if every cozero element below it is complemented.

## Corollary

The following statements are equivalent for any  $L$ .

- 1.  $M(\mathcal{R}L)$  is an essential ideal in  $\mathcal{R}L$ .
- 2. Below every nonzero cozero element of  $L$  there is a strongly complemented nonzero cozero element of  $L$ .
- 3. The sublocale  $\text{int}_{\beta L}(\rho L)$  of  $\beta L$  is dense in  $\beta L$ .

## Theorem

$$M(\mathcal{R}L) = \mathfrak{o}^{\beta L \setminus \varrho L} = \mathfrak{o}^{c(J_L)}.$$

## Corollary

For any  $L$ ,  $\text{int}_{\beta L}(\varrho L) = \mathfrak{o}(J_L)$ .

## Definition

A cozero element is **strongly complemented** if every cozero element below it is complemented.

## Corollary

*The following statements are equivalent for any  $L$ .*

- 1  $M(\mathcal{R}L)$  is an essential ideal in  $\mathcal{R}L$ .
- 2 Below every nonzero cozero element of  $L$  there is a strongly complemented nonzero cozero element of  $L$ .
- 3 The sublocale  $\text{int}_{\beta L}(\varrho L)$  of  $\beta L$  is dense in  $\beta L$ .



Let  $\mathfrak{Z}L$  denote the  $\ell$ -ring of continuous integer-valued functions on  $L$ .  
By a result a proof of which can be found in the paper



B. Banaschewski,

*Countable composition closedness and integer-valued continuous functions in pointfree topology,*

Cat. Gen. Algebraic Struct. Appl. **1** (2013), 1–10,

there is an  $\omega$ -updirected collection  $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  of sub- $\ell$ -rings of  $\mathfrak{Z}L$ , each isomorphic to a  $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , such that

$$\mathfrak{Z}L = \bigcup_i A_i.$$

① In any  $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , any  $f \geq \mathbf{0}$  which has a Von Neumann inverse satisfies  $f[X] \subseteq \{0, 1\}$ , and is therefore an idempotent.

② Consequently, if  $g \in M(C(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ , then  $g^2$  has a Von Neumann inverse, and is therefore an idempotent.

③ Thus, if  $g \in M(C(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ , then every multiple of  $g^2$  is an idempotent. This forces  $g^2$  to be  $\mathbf{0}$ , whence  $g = \mathbf{0}$ .

Proposition

$M(\mathcal{R}(L)) = \{0\}$ .

- 1 In any  $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , any  $f \geq \mathbf{0}$  which has a Von Neumann inverse satisfies  $f[X] \subseteq \{0, 1\}$ , and is therefore an idempotent.
- 2 Consequently, if  $g \in M(C(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ , then  $g^2$  has a Von Neumann inverse, and is therefore an idempotent.

⊙ Thus, if  $g \in M(C(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ , then every multiple of  $g^2$  is an idempotent. This forces  $g^2$  to be  $\mathbf{0}$ , whence  $g = \mathbf{0}$ .

Proposition

$M(\mathcal{R}(L)) = \{0\}$ .

- 1 In any  $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , any  $f \geq \mathbf{0}$  which has a Von Neumann inverse satisfies  $f[X] \subseteq \{0, 1\}$ , and is therefore an idempotent.
- 2 Consequently, if  $g \in M(C(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ , then  $g^2$  has a Von Neumann inverse, and is therefore an idempotent.
- 3 Thus, if  $g \in M(C(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ , then every multiple of  $g^2$  is an idempotent. This forces  $g^2$  to be  $\mathbf{0}$ , whence  $g = \mathbf{0}$ .

Proposition

$M(\mathcal{R}(L)) = \{0\}$ .

- 1 In any  $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , any  $f \geq \mathbf{0}$  which has a Von Neumann inverse satisfies  $f[X] \subseteq \{0, 1\}$ , and is therefore an idempotent.
- 2 Consequently, if  $g \in M(C(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ , then  $g^2$  has a Von Neumann inverse, and is therefore an idempotent.
- 3 Thus, if  $g \in M(C(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ , then every multiple of  $g^2$  is an idempotent. This forces  $g^2$  to be  $\mathbf{0}$ , whence  $g = \mathbf{0}$ .

## Proposition

$$M(\mathfrak{J}(L)) = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Thank you very much

Muito obrigado