

## A THEOREM OF FR. FABRICIUS-BJERRE ON HELICES

F. J. CRAVEIRO DE CARVALHO

ABSTRACT: We give an alternative proof of a theorem proved in [1].

1. In what follows  $f : I \rightarrow R^n$ , where  $I$  is an interval with more than one point, will be a  $C^1$  regular curve, parametrised by arc-length. We say that  $f$  is a *helix* with respect to a unit vector  $\vec{a}$  if there is  $c \in R$  such that, for  $s \in I$ ,  $(f'(s) \mid \vec{a}) = c$ , where  $(\dots \mid \dots)$  stands for the usual inner product. The unit vector  $\vec{a}$  is a *reference vector* for the helix  $f$ . If  $f$  happened not to be parametrised by arc-length we would require  $(\frac{f'(s)}{\|f'(s)\|} \mid \vec{a}) = c$ .

Let  $(\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_n)$  be an orthonormal basis for  $R^n$  and write  $f(s) = f_1(s)\vec{a}_1 + \dots + f_n(s)\vec{a}_n$ , for  $s \in I$ . We then have the following observation.

*$f$  is a helix with respect to  $\vec{a} = \vec{a}_n$  if and only if  $f_n(s) = cs + d$ ,  $s \in I$ , where  $c, d$  are constants with  $|c| \leq 1$ .*

It follows that if  $f$  is a non-injective helix with respect to  $\vec{a} = \vec{a}_n$  then  $c$  must be zero and, consequently, the image of  $f$  lies in a hyperplane. Therefore a twisted, that is to say not contained in a plane, closed curve in  $R^3$  is not a helix. For an interesting article on a related matter see [2].

We recall that two hyperplanes  $Y_1, Y_2$  are *parallel (perpendicular)* if the underlying vector subspaces  $D(Y_1), D(Y_2)$  are equal ( $D(Y_1)^\perp \subset D(Y_2)$ ), where  $\perp$  means orthogonal complement as usual.

Let  $f : I \rightarrow R^n$  be a helix with respect to  $\vec{a}$  and constant  $c$ . We will denote by  $F : I \rightarrow R^n$  its orthogonal projection into a hyperplane  $\Pi$  normal to  $\vec{a}$  and will always assume that  $F$  is regular or, equivalently, that  $c \neq \pm 1$ . In the present context the choice of  $\Pi$  is not relevant since any two such projections are related by a translation determined by a scalar multiple of  $\vec{a}$ . Below we let  $\Pi = \langle \vec{a} \rangle^\perp$ , where  $\langle \dots \rangle$  means vector subspace spanned by.

If  $n = 3$  then the helices in the plane  $\Pi$ , with respect to unit vectors in  $D(\Pi)$ , are precisely those curves which have a straight line segment as image and we have

*Let  $f : I \rightarrow R^3$  be a helix. Then  $F$  is a helix in  $\Pi$  if and only if  $f(I)$  is a straight line segment.*

a statement which can be regarded as a motivation for Fabricius-Bjerre's result.

From now on the word helix will refer to helices with constant  $c \neq 0, \pm 1$  and we will exclude the case of  $f$  having image contained in a straight line.

**Theorem** (Fr. Fabricius-Bjerre): *Let  $f : I \rightarrow R^n$ ,  $n > 3$ , be a helix with  $\vec{a}$  as a reference vector and denote by  $F : I \rightarrow R^n$  its orthogonal projection into a hyperplane  $\Pi$  normal to  $\vec{a}$ . Then  $F$  is a helix in  $\Pi$  if and only if  $f(I) \subset \Pi_1$ , where  $\Pi_1$  is a hyperplane neither parallel nor perpendicular to  $\Pi$ .*

Proof: Let us assume that  $F$  is a helix with respect to  $\vec{b} \in D(\Pi)$ .

We consider an orthonormal basis  $(\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_{n-1}, \vec{a}_n)$  such that  $\vec{a}_{n-1} = \vec{b}$ ,  $\vec{a}_n = \vec{a}$ , write  $f(s) = f_1(s)\vec{a}_1 + \dots + f_n(s)\vec{a}_n$ , for  $s \in I$ , and, as mentioned above, let  $\Pi$  be the vector subspace  $\langle \vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_{n-1} \rangle$ . It follows that  $f(s) = F(s) + (cs + d)\vec{a}_n$  and  $\|F'(s)\| = \sqrt{1 - c^2} = c_1$ , with  $0 < c_1 < 1$ . The map  $\lambda(t) = \frac{t}{c_1}$  is a change of parameter such that  $F \circ \lambda$  is parametrised by arc-length. Since  $F$  is an helix with respect to  $\vec{a}_{n-1}$  we have

$$(F \circ \lambda)(s) = f_1(\lambda(s))\vec{a}_1 + \dots + f_{n-2}(\lambda(s))\vec{a}_{n-2} + (c_2s + d_2)\vec{a}_{n-1}$$

and, consequently,

$$F(s) = f_1(s)\vec{a}_1 + \dots + f_{n-2}(s)\vec{a}_{n-2} + (c_1c_2s + d_2)\vec{a}_{n-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) &= f_1(s)\vec{a}_1 + \dots + f_{n-2}(s)\vec{a}_{n-2} + c_1c_2s\vec{a}_{n-1} + cs\vec{a}_n + d_2\vec{a}_{n-1} + d\vec{a}_n = \\ &= (T \circ \phi)(F(s)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $T$  is the translation determined by  $(d - \frac{cd_2}{c_1c_2})\vec{a}_n$  and  $\phi : R^n \rightarrow R^n$  is the linear map with matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{c}{c_1c_2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis  $(\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_{n-1}, \vec{a}_n)$ . Since the image of  $\Pi$  by  $T \circ \phi$  is a hyperplane  $\Pi_1$  which is neither parallel nor perpendicular to  $\Pi$  the conclusion follows.

Let us assume now that  $f(I) \subset \Pi_1$ , where  $\Pi_1$  is a hyperplane neither parallel nor perpendicular to  $\Pi$ . Let  $(\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_n)$  be an orthonormal basis with  $\vec{a}_n = \vec{a}$  and take a unit vector  $\vec{u} = u_1\vec{a}_1 + \dots + u_n\vec{a}_n$  in  $D(\Pi_1)^\perp$ . We then have  $(f'(s) \mid \vec{a}_n) = c$  and  $(f'(s) \mid \vec{u}) = 0$  from where it follows  $(F'(s) \mid u_1\vec{a}_1 + \dots + u_{n-1}\vec{a}_{n-1}) = -c u_n$ . Since  $\Pi_1$  is a hyperplane neither parallel nor perpendicular to  $\Pi$  we can conclude that  $F$  is a helix in  $\Pi_1$  with reference vector  $\vec{v} = \frac{u_1\vec{a}_1 + \dots + u_{n-1}\vec{a}_{n-1}}{\|u_1\vec{a}_1 + \dots + u_{n-1}\vec{a}_{n-1}\|}$  and constant  $C = \frac{-cu_n}{\sqrt{1-c^2} \|u_1\vec{a}_1 + \dots + u_{n-1}\vec{a}_{n-1}\|}$ .

It only remains in fact to show that  $C \neq \pm 1$ . If  $C = \pm 1$  then  $F(I)$  would be contained in a straight line with  $\langle \vec{v} \rangle$  as underlying vector subspace and, consequently,  $f(I)$  would be contained in a plane  $\tau$  such that  $D(\tau) = \langle \vec{a}, \vec{v} \rangle$ . The plane  $\tau$  cannot be contained in  $\Pi_1$  since  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi$  are perpendicular. Therefore  $\tau \cap \Pi_1$  is a straight line which contains the image  $f(I)$ . This has been ruled out from the beginning.  $\boxtimes$

Going through the proof one sees that we can remove the restriction *the image of  $f$  is not contained in a straight line* if in the statement of the theorem we take helix to mean helix with non-zero constant.

## References

- [1] Fr. Fabricius-Bjerre, *On helices in the euclidean  $n$ -space*, Math. Scand. 35 (1974), 159-164.
- [2] Joel L. Weiner, *How helical can a closed, twisted space curve be?*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 107 (2000), 327-333.

F. J. CRAVEIRO DE CARVALHO  
 DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL  
 E-MAIL ADDRESS: `fjcc@mat.uc.pt`