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ABSTRACT: We prove the semiclassical character of some sequences of orthogonal polynomials, say \( \{P_n\}, \{R_n\} \), related through relations of the following type:
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \zeta_{n,k} R_{n+i-k}^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \xi_{n,k} P_{n+j-k},
\]
where \( i, j, M, N, \alpha \) are non-negative integers, \( \zeta_{n,k}, \xi_{n,k} \) are complex numbers, and \( R^{(\alpha)} \) denotes the \( \alpha \)-derivative of \( R \). The case \( M = j = 0, \alpha = 2, i = 2 \) is studied for a pair of orthogonal polynomials whose corresponding orthogonality measures are coherent. The relation \( \sum_{k=0}^{s} \xi_{n,k} P_{n+s-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{s+2} \zeta_{n,k} P'_{n+s+1-k} \) is shown to give a characterization for the semiclassical character of \( \{P_n\} \).
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1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to the study of sequences of orthogonal polynomials on the real line, say \( \{R_n\}, \{P_n\} \), satisfying differential-difference equations of the following type:
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \zeta_{n,k} R_{n+i-k}^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \xi_{n,k} P_{n+j-k},
\]
where \( i, j, M, N, \alpha \) are non-negative integers, \( \zeta_{n,k}, \xi_{n,k} \) are complex numbers, and \( R^{(\alpha)} \) denotes the \( \alpha \)-derivative of \( R \). These type of relations are members of the well-known structure relations for orthogonal polynomials.

The structure relations appear in a wide range of topics in the literature of orthogonal polynomials. For example, they appear in the study of coherent pairs of measures, arising within the theory of Sobolev inner products [11, 12] (see also [3], where a variational isoperimetric problem is studied in the context of the Sobolev orthogonality). Further, the structure relations have
been used in the study of orthogonal polynomials associated with exponential weights, for example, in the study of the asymptotic, of estimates for the orthogonal polynomials, and second-order differential equations satisfied by these polynomials (see [4, 5, 9] and the references therein).

A theme of research in the theory of orthogonal polynomials is the characterization of orthogonal polynomial sequences satisfying a given structure relation. In this issue we refer the reader to [1, 2], [4]-[8], [16]-[19] (see also [13], one of the first papers formulating inverse problems for orthogonal polynomials).

In the present paper we are interested in the analysis of the semiclassical character of orthogonal polynomial sequences satisfying structure relations of the above referred type, which will be specified later in the text. Before proceeding to the results of the present paper let us give a brief account on some of the known results in this topic.

We begin by mentioning [4, 5], where it was given a characterization for the orthogonal polynomials related through structure relations

$$\phi R_n^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{k=n-\alpha-t}^{n-\alpha+s} \xi_{n,k} P_k, \ n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

where $\phi$ is a fixed polynomial, $\xi_{n,k}$ are real numbers, and $s, t$ are non-negative integers. In [4] (see also [5]), the measures of orthogonality for pairs of orthogonal polynomial sequences related through (2) are given explicitly, where it turns out its semiclassical character, that is, the log-derivative of the absolutely continuous parts is a rational function. The analogue result in the setting of the theory of distributions was established in [7].

Note that the structure relation (2) with $\alpha = 1$ and $P_n = R_n$, known as first structure relation for semiclassical orthogonal polynomial, generalizes the well-known first structure relation with $\deg(\phi) \leq 2, t = 0, s \leq 2$, that characterizes the classical orthogonal polynomials (that is, semiclassical of class zero) [1, 2, 8, 17, 18].

Another well-known characterization in the theory of orthogonal polynomials is the one of the classical orthogonal polynomials, $\{P_n\}$, in terms of the second structure relation

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \xi_{n,k} P_{n-k}^{[1]}(x), \ n \geq 2,$$

(3)
where \( P_n^{[1]} = P_{n+1}'/(n+1) \), \( n \geq 0 \) (see [8, 18]).

Recently, in [16], it was formulated the structure relation
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2\sigma} \xi_{n,k} P_{n+\sigma-k}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\sigma+t} \zeta_{n,k} P_{n+\sigma-k}^{[1]}(x) , \quad n \geq \max\{t+1, \sigma\} ,
\]
that, under certain conditions, establishes the semiclassical character of orthogonal polynomial sequences \( \{P_n\} \), and which generalizes the above-mentioned second structure relation for classical orthogonal polynomials.

In the present paper we establish the semiclassical character of sequences of monic orthogonal polynomials satisfying structure relations of the same type as (1). We begin by establishing the semiclassical character of pairs of orthogonal polynomial sequences, say \( \{P_n\}, \{R_n\} \), associated with a coherent pair of measures arising from a Sobolev inner product specified in §3, related through
\[
R_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^s \zeta_{n,k} P_{n+2-k}''(x) , \quad n \geq s
\]
(cf. Lemma 5). We will use an analytic method based on the recurrence relation satisfied by the orthogonal polynomials written in the matrix form (cf. §2). Then, we prove that the structure relation
\[
\sum_{k=0}^s \xi_{n,k} P_{n+s-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{s+2} \zeta_{n,k} P_{n+s-k}^{[1]} , \quad n \geq 1 ,
\]
where \( s \) is some non-negative integer, and \( \xi_{n,k}, \zeta_{n,k} \) are complex numbers, is sufficient to establish the semiclassical character of \( \{P_n\} \). The converse result is also established, that is, semiclassical sequences of monic orthogonal polynomials of class \( s, \ s \geq 0 \), are shown to satisfy structure relations such as (4) (cf. Theorem 1). Note that when \( s = 0 \) in (4) we recover the above-mentioned characterization of classical orthogonal polynomials in terms of structure relations (3).

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we give the definitions and state the basic results which will be used in the forthcoming sections. In §3 we study the semiclassical character of the coherent pairs arising from the above-mentioned Sobolev inner product. In §4 we establish the characterization of semiclassical orthogonal polynomials in terms of structure relations such as (4).
2. Preliminary results and notations

Let $\mathbb{P} = \text{span}\{z^k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ be the space of polynomials with complex coefficients, and let $\mathbb{P}'$ be its algebraic dual space, that is, the linear space of linear functionals defined on $\mathbb{P}$. We will denote by $\langle u, f \rangle$ the action of $u \in \mathbb{P}'$ on $f \in \mathbb{P}$. For a polynomial $g$, we define the linear functional $gu$ as

$$\langle gu, f \rangle = \langle u, gf \rangle, \quad f \in \mathbb{P},$$

and we define $Du$ as

$$\langle Du, f \rangle = -\langle u, f' \rangle, \quad f \in \mathbb{P},$$

where $f'$ denotes the derivative of $f$.

Given the sequence of moments $(c_n)$ of $u$, $c_n = \langle u, x^n \rangle$, $n \geq 0$, the minors of the corresponding Hankel matrix are defined by $H_n = \det(((c)_{i+j})_{i,j=0}^n), n \geq 0$. The linear functional $u$ is said to be quasi-definite (respectively, positive-definite) if $H_n \neq 0$ (respectively, $H_n > 0$), for all integer $n \geq 0$.

**Definition 1.** Let $u \in \mathbb{P}'$. A sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is said to be orthogonal with respect to $u$ if the following two conditions hold:

(i) $\deg(P_n) = n$, $n \geq 0$,

(ii) $\langle u, P_n P_m \rangle = k_n \delta_{n,m}, k_n = \langle u, P_n^2 \rangle \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0$.

If the leading coefficient of each $P_n$ is 1, then $\{P_n\}$ is said to be a sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to $u$, and it will be referred to as SMOP.

If $u$ is quasi-definite, then there exists a unique SMOP with respect to $u$ (see, for example, [10, 20]). The SMOP $\{P_n\}$ satisfies a three-term recurrence relation

$$P_{n+1} = (x - \beta_n)P_n - \gamma_n P_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

with $P_{-1}(x) = 0, P_0(x) = 1$, and $\gamma_n \neq 0, n \geq 1$. The converse result, known as the Favard Theorem (see [10]), is also true, that is, given a SMOP $\{P_n\}$ satisfying a three-term recurrence relation of the preceding type, there exists a unique quasi-definite linear functional $u$ such that $\{P_n\}$ is the SMOP with respect to $u$. The $\gamma_n$’s and $\beta_n$’s in (5) are given by

$$\gamma_0 = c_0, \quad \gamma_n = H_{n-2}H_n/H_{n-1}^2, \quad \beta_n = \langle u, xP_n^2 \rangle/\langle u, P_n^2 \rangle, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Furthermore, the linear functional $u$ is positive-definite if, and only if, $\gamma_n > 0, n \geq 1$. In this case, $u$ has an integral representation in terms of a positive
Borel measure, \( \mu \), supported on the real line with an infinite set of points of increase, \( S \), such that \( \langle u, x^n \rangle = \int_S x^n \, d\mu \), \( n \geq 0 \).

**Definition 2.** (see [2, 17]) A quasi-definite linear functional \( u \in \mathbb{P}' \) is said to be **semiclassical** if there exist \( \phi, \varphi \in \mathbb{P} \), \( \deg(\varphi) \geq 1 \), such that \( \mathcal{D}(\phi \, u) = \varphi \, u \). The corresponding sequence of orthogonal polynomials is called **semiclassical**.

The pair of polynomials \( (\phi, \varphi) \) satisfying \( \mathcal{D}(\phi \, u) = \varphi \, u \) is not unique (see [17, 18]). To a semiclassical functional \( u \) one associates the class of \( u \), defined as the minimum value of \( \max\{\deg(\phi) - 2, \deg(\varphi) - 1\} \), for all pairs of polynomials \( (\phi, \varphi) \) satisfying \( \mathcal{D}(\phi \, u) = \varphi \, u \), \( \deg(\varphi) \geq 1 \), and \( n\phi^{(s+2)}(0)/(s + 2)! + \varphi^{(s+1)}(0)/(s + 1)! \), \( n \geq 1 \) (i.e. the admissibility condition of the pair \( (\phi, \varphi) \)).

When the class of \( u \) is zero, that is, \( \deg(\varphi) = 1 \) and \( \deg(\phi) \leq 2 \), the well-known classical orthogonal polynomials appear, and these are, up to a linear change of the variables, members of one of the Hermite, Laguerre, Jacobi or Bessel families.

In the sequel we will use the vectors defined by

\[
\psi_n(x) = [P_{n+1}(x) \ P_n(x)]^T, \ n \geq 0, \tag{6}
\]

where \( T \) denotes the transpose. With this notation, the recurrence relation (5) can be written as

\[
\psi_n(x) = \mathcal{A}_n \psi_{n-1}(x), \ \mathcal{A}_n = \begin{bmatrix} x - \beta_n & -\gamma_n \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ n \geq 0, \tag{7}
\]

with initial conditions \( \psi_{-1} = [1 \ 0]^T \). Notice that the matrices \( \mathcal{A}_n \) are non-singular, as \( \det(\mathcal{A}_n) = \gamma_n \neq 0 \), \( \forall n \geq 0 \). As a consequence of (7) the following holds.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \{P_n\} \) be a SMOP and \( \{\psi_n\} \) the corresponding sequence given by (6). For any fixed integers \( s, m, \lambda, M \), the following holds, for all \( n \geq 1 \):

\[
\psi_{n-m} = \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-m-1} \mathcal{A}_{n-m-l} \psi_{n-\lambda}, \ m < \lambda, \tag{8}
\]

\[
\psi_{n-\lambda-M} = \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} \mathcal{A}_{n-\lambda-l}^{-1} \psi_{n-\lambda}, \ M \geq 1. \tag{9}
\]
The lemmas that follow will be used in the forthcoming sections. Henceforth we will write \(X^{(i,j)}\) to denote the element of a matrix \(X\) in the position \((i,j)\).

**Lemma 2.** Let \(\{P_n\}\) be a SMOP and \(\{\psi_n\}\) the corresponding sequence given by (6). If \(\{\psi_n\}\) satisfies
\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_{n,1}\psi_n' &= \mathcal{L}_{n,1}\psi_n \quad \text{(10)} \\
\phi_{n,2}\psi_n' &= \mathcal{L}_{n,2}\psi_n \quad \text{(11)}
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\phi_{n,1}, \phi_{n,2} \in \mathbb{P}\) and and \(\mathcal{L}_{n,1}, \mathcal{L}_{n,2}\) are matrices of order two whose entries are polynomials with degree uniformly bounded by a number independent of \(n\), then
\[
\frac{\phi_{n,1}}{\phi_{n,2}} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(i,j)}}{\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(i,j)}}, \quad i, j = 1, 2. \quad \text{(12)}
\]

**Proof:** If we multiply (10) by \(\phi_{n,2}\), (11) by \(\phi_{n,1}\), and subtract the resulting equations, we get
\[
(\phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1} - \phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2})\psi_n = 0,
\]
thus
\[
(\phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(1,1)} - \phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(1,1)})P_{n+1} = (\phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(1,2)} - \phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(1,2)})P_n,
\]
\[
(\phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(2,1)} - \phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(2,1)})P_{n+1} = (\phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(2,2)} - \phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(2,2)})P_n.
\]
Since \(P_n\) and \(P_{n+1}\) do not share zeroes (see, for example, [10]), then we conclude that there exist polynomials \(\pi, \eta\) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(1,1)} - \phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(1,1)} &= \pi P_n, \quad \phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(1,2)} - \phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(1,2)} = \pi P_{n+1}, \\
\phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(2,1)} - \phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(2,1)} &= \eta P_n, \quad \phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(2,2)} - \phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(2,2)} = \eta P_{n+1}.
\end{align*}
\]
But this is a contradiction to the fact that the degrees of \(\phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(i,2)} - \phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(i,2)}\)
and \(\phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(i,1)} - \phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(i,1)},\ i = 1, 2,\) are bounded. Therefore, \(\pi\) and \(\eta\) must be identically zero, hence
\[
\phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(i,1)} - \phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(i,1)} \equiv 0, \quad \phi_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(i,2)} - \phi_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(i,2)} \equiv 0,
\]
\[
A_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(2,1)} - A_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(2,1)} \equiv 0, \quad A_{n,1}\mathcal{L}_{n,2}^{(2,2)} - A_{n,2}\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^{(2,2)} \equiv 0,
\]
and (12) follows. \(\blacksquare\)

Now we follow [14].
Lemma 3. Let \( \{P_n\} \) be a SMOP and let \( \{\psi_n\} \) be the corresponding sequence given by (6). Let \( \{\psi\} \) satisfy
\[
\tilde{\phi}_n \psi'_n = \tilde{L}_n \psi_n, \quad n \geq 1,
\]
where \( \tilde{\phi}_n \in \mathbb{P} \) and the degrees of the entries of \( \tilde{L}_n \) are uniformly bounded by a number independent of \( n \). Then, (13) is equivalent to
\[
\phi \psi'_n = L_n \psi_n
\]
where \( \phi \) is a polynomial that does not depend on \( n \). Thus, \( \{P_n\} \) is semi-classical.

Furthermore, the matrix \( L_n \) satisfies:
\[
L_{n+1} A_{n+1} - A_{n+1} L_n = \phi A'_{n+1},
\]
\[
\det(L_{n+1}) = \det(L_1) + \phi \sum_{k=1}^{n} L^{(1,2)}_k / \gamma_{k+1},
\]
\[
\text{tr}(L_n) = \text{tr}(L_1).
\]
where \( A_n \) are the matrices of the recurrence relation (7), and the \( \gamma_n \)'s are the parameters appearing in (7), \( \det \) and \( \text{tr} \) denote the determinant and the trace, respectively.

Proof: If we write (13) to \( n+1 \) and use the recurrence relations for \( \psi_n \) we get
\[
\tilde{\phi}_{n+1} \psi'_n = A_{n+1}^{-1}(\tilde{L}_{n+1} A_{n+1} - \tilde{\phi}_{n+1} A'_{n+1}) \psi_n.
\]
Now, from (13) and (18) we conclude that there exists a polynomial \( l_n \) such that
\[
\tilde{\phi}_{n+1} = l_n \tilde{\phi}_n, \quad A_{n+1}^{-1}(\tilde{L}_{n+1} A_{n+1} - \tilde{\phi}_{n+1} A'_{n+1}) = l_n \tilde{L}_n, \forall n \geq 1,
\]
because the first order differential equation for \( \psi_n \) is unique, up to a multiplicative factor. But from \( \tilde{\phi}_{n+1} = l_n \tilde{\phi}_n \) we obtain
\[
\tilde{\phi}_{n+1} = (l_n \cdots l_2) \tilde{\phi}_1, \quad \forall n \geq 1.
\]
Since, for all \( n \geq 1 \), the degree of \( \tilde{\phi}_n \) is bounded by a number independent of \( n \), then the degree of the \( l_n \)'s must be zero, that is, \( l_n \) is constant, for all \( n \geq 1 \). Hence we obtain (14) with
\[
\phi = \tilde{\phi}_1, \quad L_n = A_{n+1}^{-1}(\tilde{L}_{n+1} A_{n+1} - \tilde{\phi}_{n+1} A'_{n+1}) / (l_n \cdots l_2).
\]
The semi-classical character of SMOP satisfying an equation such as (14) is established in [17, 18].
To obtain (15) we take derivatives on $\psi_{n+1} = A_{n+1}^0 \psi_n$ and multiply the result by $\phi$, to get
\[ \phi \psi'_{n+1} = \phi A'_{n+1} \psi_n + A_{n+1} \phi \psi'_n. \]
Using (36) in the previous equation we get
\[ L_{n+1} \psi_{n+1} = \phi A'_{n+1} \psi_n + A_{n+1} L_n \psi_n. \]
From the recurrence relation (7) there follows
\[ L_{n+1} A_{n+1} \psi_n = \phi A'_{n+1} \psi_n + A_{n+1} L_n \psi_n, \]
and, since $\psi_n$ is nonsingular, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as $\gamma_n \neq 0$, then (15) follows.

Now we prove (16). From (15) we have
\[ \det(L_{n+1} A_{n+1}) = \det(A_{n+1} L_n + \phi A'_{n+1}). \]
Taking into account that $\det(A_n) = \gamma_n$ and $A'_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain
\[ \det(L_{n+1}) \det(A_n) = \det(A_{n+1}) \det(L_n) + \phi L^{(1,2)}_n, \]
hence
\[ \det(L_{n+1}) = \det(L_n) + \frac{\phi}{\gamma_{n+1}} L^{(1,2)}_n, \]
and (16) follows.

To prove (17) we begin by writing (15) as
\[ \begin{cases} \phi = (x - \beta_{n+1})(L^{(1,1)}_{n+1} - L^{(1,1)}_n) + L^{(1,2)}_{n+1} + \gamma_{n+1} L^{(2,1)}_n, \\ -\gamma_{n+1} L^{(1,1)}_{n+1} - (x - \beta_{n+1}) L^{(1,2)}_n + \gamma_{n+1} L^{(2,2)}_n = 0, \\ (x - \beta_{n+1}) L^{(2,1)}_{n+1} + L^{(2,2)}_{n+1} - L^{(1,1)}_n = 0, \\ -\gamma_{n+1} L^{(2,1)}_{n+1} - L^{(1,2)}_n = 0. \end{cases} \]
If we multiply the third equation of the above system by $\gamma_{n+1}$ and add to the second one we get
\[ (x - \beta_{n+1})(\gamma_{n+1} L^{(2,1)}_{n+1} + L^{(1,2)}_n) = \gamma_{n+1}(L^{(1,1)}_n + L^{(2,2)}_n - L^{(1,1)}_{n+1} - L^{(2,2)}_{n+1}). \]
Taking into account the fourth equation of the above system we obtain
\[ \gamma_{n+1}(L^{(1,1)}_n + L^{(2,2)}_n - L^{(1,1)}_{n+1} - L^{(2,2)}_{n+1}) = 0, \]
that is, $\gamma_{n+1}(\text{tr}(L_n) - \text{tr}(L_{n+1})) = 0$. Since $\gamma_n \neq 0$, $\forall n \geq 1$, there follows $\text{tr}(L_{n+1}) = \text{tr}(L_n)$, $\forall n \geq 1$, thus we get (17).
3. The semiclassical character of coherent pairs of measures

In this section we study the semiclassical character of the coherent pairs that emerge from a Sobolev inner product given below. A background for Sobolev inner products and related problems can be found in [11, 12] and also in [15, Lesson V].

Let $\mu_0$ and $\mu_1$ be positive Borel measures supported on the real line. Consider the following inner product in $\mathbb{P}$,

$$ (f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} fg d\mu_0 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} f''g'' d\mu_1, \quad f, g \in \mathbb{P}, \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (19) $$

We shall denote by $\{P_n\}, \{R_n\}, \{Q_n^\lambda\}$ the sequences of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to $\mu_0, \mu_1$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$, respectively.

Let $c_{m,n} = (x^m, x^n)$ be the moment of order $(m,n)$ associated with the inner product (19) and let us denote by $(c_j^{(i)})$, $i = 0, 1$, the moments of the measures $\mu_0, \mu_1$, respectively. Then, for every $m, n = 1, 2, \ldots$, we have

$$ c_{m,n} = c_{m+n}^{(0)} + \lambda \ell_{m,n} c_{m+n-4}^{(1)}, \quad \ell_{m,n} = m(m-1)n(n-1). $$

Let us determine the Fourier coefficients of

$$ Q_n^\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \xi_{n,k} x^k, \quad \xi_{n,n} = 1. $$

By Cramer’s rule we obtain the following representation for $Q_n^\lambda$, for all $n \geq 1$:

$$ Q_n^\lambda = \begin{vmatrix} c_0^{(0)} & c_1^{(0)} & c_2^{(0)} & \cdots & c_m^{(0)} \\ c_1^{(0)} & c_2^{(0)} & c_3^{(0)} & \cdots & c_{m+1}^{(0)} \\ c_2^{(0)} & c_3^{(0)} & c_4^{(0)} + \lambda \ell_{2,2} c_0^{(1)} & \cdots & c_{m+2}^{(0)} + \lambda \ell_{m,2} c_{m-2}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x & x^2 & \cdots & x^n \end{vmatrix}. \quad (20) $$
Lemma 4. Let \( \{Q_n\} \) be the sequence of polynomials defined by
\[
Q_n(x) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} Q_{\lambda n}(x), \quad n \geq 1.
\]
Then, for each \( n \geq 1 \), \( Q_n \) is monic of degree \( n \), and it satisfies:
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_n \, d\mu_0 = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{21}
\]
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} xQ_n \, d\mu_0 = 0, \quad n = 2, 3, \ldots \tag{22}
\]
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (Q_n)^{(n)}(x^n) \, d\mu_1 = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{23}
\]

Proof: If we divide both determinants of (20) by \( \lambda \), from the second to the \( n \)th-row, and take limits when \( \lambda \to \infty \), we get the result.

Now, (23) implies
\[
Q''_n = n(n-1)R_{n-2}.
\]
Let
\[
R_{n-2} = \sum_{k=2}^{n} l_{n,k} \frac{P''_k}{k(k-1)}.
\]
Then,
\[
\frac{Q''_n}{n(n-1)} = \sum_{k=2}^{n} l_{n,k} \frac{P''_k}{k(k-1)}.
\]
If we integrate twice we get
\[
\frac{Q_n}{n(n-1)} = \sum_{k=2}^{n} l_{n,k} \frac{P_k}{k(k-1)} + l_{n,1}x + l_{n,0}, \tag{24}
\]
with \( l_{n,1} = l_{n,0} = 0 \) (this follows from (21) and (22)).

On the other hand, we have that
\[
Q_n = Q_n^\lambda + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \beta_j Q_j^\lambda, \quad \beta_j = \frac{(Q_n, Q_j^\lambda)}{(Q_j^\lambda, Q_j^\lambda)}. \tag{25}
\]
Therefore, if \( l_{n,k} = 0, \ k = 2, \ldots, n-s-1 \) and \( l_{n,n-s} \neq 0 \), for some \( s \geq 1 \), then, taking into account (24) and (25), there follows \( \beta_j = 0, \ k = 2, \ldots, n-s-1, \)
and $\beta_{n,n-s} \neq 0$, for some $s \geq 1$, that is,

$$
\sum_{k=n-s}^{n} l_{n,k} \frac{P_k}{k(k-1)} = Q_n^\lambda + \sum_{j=n-s}^{n-1} l_{n,k}\beta_j Q_j^\lambda, \quad n \geq s.
$$

Thus we have the following definition.

**Definition 3.** A pair of positive Borel measures $(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ is said to be quadratic $s$-coherent if

$$
R_n = \sum_{k=n+2-s}^{n+2} l_{n,k} \frac{P''_k}{k(k-1)}. \quad (26)
$$

Without loss of generality we consider the case $s = 1$ in (26), that is,

$$
R_n = \frac{P''_{n+2}}{(n+2)(n+1)} + a_n \frac{P''_{n+1}}{(n+1)n}. \quad (27)
$$

We define the vectors $\psi_n = [P_{n+1} \ P_n]^T$, $\eta_n = [R_{n+1} \ R_n]^T$, $n \geq 0$, which satisfy the recurrence relations

$$
\psi_n = A_n \psi_{n-1}, \quad \eta_n = B_n \eta_{n-1} \quad n \geq 1,
$$

with

$$
A_n = \begin{bmatrix} x-\beta_{0,n} & -\gamma_{0,n} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_n = \begin{bmatrix} x-\beta_{1,n} & -\gamma_{1,n} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

**Lemma 5.** Let $(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ be a pair of quadratic 1-coherent measures. Then, $\mu_0$ is semiclassical and $\mu_1$ is a rational modification of $\mu_0$, thus it is also semi-classical.

**Proof:** Let $\{P_n\}$, $\{R_n\}$ be the sequences of monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\mu_0$ and $d\mu_1$, respectively, related through (27). Let us write (27) in the equivalent matrix form

$$
\eta_n = M_n \psi''_{n+2} + N_n \psi''_n,
$$

with

$$
M_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Using the recurrence relations for $\psi_n$ we get

$$
\eta_n = G_{n,1} \psi''_{n+1} + G_{n,2} \psi'_{n+1}, \quad (28)
$$

where $G_{n,1} = M_n A_{n+2} + N_n (A_{n+1})^{-1}$, $G_{n,2} = 2(M_n A'_{n+2} + N_n ((A_{n+1})^{-1})')$.
The multiplication of (28) by the adjoint matrix of $G_{n,1}$, $\text{adj}(G_{n,1})$, yields
\[
\text{adj}(G_{n,1})\eta_n = \det(G_{n,1})\psi''_{n+1} + \text{adj}(G_{n,1})G_{n,2}\psi'_{n+1}.
\] (29)

If we take $n + 1$ in the above equation and use the recurrence relations for $\psi_n$ and $\eta_n$ we obtain
\[
\det(G_{n+1,1})A_{n+2}\psi''_{n+1} = \text{adj}(G_{n+1,1})B_{n+1}\eta_n
- \{2\det(G_{n+1,1})A'_{n+2} + \text{adj}(G_{n+1,1})G_{n+1,2}A_{n+2}\}\psi'_{n+1}
- \det(G_{n+1,1})G_{n+1,2}A'_{n+2}\psi_{n+1}.
\] (30)

Now we eliminate $\psi''_{n+1}$ between (29) and (30), which gives us
\[
L_n\eta_n = L_{n,1}\psi'_{n+1} + L_{n,2}\psi_{n+1}
\] (31)
with
\[
L_n = \det(G_{n,1})\text{adj}(G_{n,1,1})B_{n+1} - \det(G_{n+1,1})A_{n+2}\text{adj}(G_{n,1}),
L_{n,1} = \det(G_{n,1})(2\det(G_{n+1,1})A'_{n+2} + \text{adj}(G_{n+1,1})G_{n+1,2}A_{n+2})
- \det(G_{n+1,1})G_{n+1,2}A_{n+2}\text{adj}(G_{n,1})G_{n,2},
L_{n,2} = \det(G_{n,1})\text{adj}(G_{n,1,1})G_{n+1,2}A'_{n+2}.
\]

But $\det(L_n) = \det(L_{n,1}) = \det(L_{n,2}) = 0$. If we consider the second line of (31), for $n$ and $n + 1$, we get
\[
S_n\eta_n = S_{n,1}\psi'_{n+1} + S_{n,2}\psi_{n+1}
\] (32)
where
\[
S_n = \begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
L_{n+1,1}^{(2,1)} & L_{n+1,1}^{(2,2)} \\
0 & L_{n}^{(2,1)}
\end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
L_{n}^{(2,2)} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
L_{n+1,1}^{(2,1)} & L_{n+1,1}^{(2,2)} \\
0 & L_{n}^{(2,1)}
\end{bmatrix}^{-1},
S_{n,1} = \begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
L_{n+1,1}^{(2,1)} & L_{n+1,1}^{(2,2)} \\
0 & L_{n}^{(2,1)}
\end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
L_{n}^{(2,2)} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
L_{n+1,1}^{(2,1)} & L_{n+1,1}^{(2,2)} \\
0 & L_{n}^{(2,1)}
\end{bmatrix}^{-1},
S_{n,2} = \begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
L_{n+1,1}^{(2,1)} & L_{n+1,1}^{(2,2)} \\
0 & L_{n}^{(2,1)}
\end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
L_{n}^{(2,2)} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
L_{n+1,1}^{(2,1)} & L_{n+1,1}^{(2,2)} \\
0 & L_{n}^{(2,1)}
\end{bmatrix}^{-1}.
\]

Now we multiply (32) by $\text{adj}(S_n)$ to get
\[
T_n\eta_n = T_{n,1}\psi'_{n+1} + T_{n,2}\psi_{n+1},
\] (33)
with \( T_n = \det(S_n), \ T_{n,1} = \text{adj}(S_n)S_{n,1}, \ T_{n,2} = \text{adj}(S_n)S_{n,2}. \)

Now we take (33) to \( n \) and to \( n + 1 \) and use the recurrence relations for \( \psi_n \) and \( \eta_n \) to eliminate \( \eta_n \), thus obtaining

\[
U_n \psi'_{n+1} = V_n \psi_{n+1}
\]

with

\[
U_n = T_{n+1}B_{n+1}T_{n,1} - T_nT_{n+1,1}A_{n+2}, \\
V_n = T_n(T_{n+1,1}A'_{n+2} + T_{n+1,2}A_{n+2}) - T_{n+1}B_{n+1}T_{n,2},
\]

thus

\[
\det(U_n)\psi'_{n+1} = \text{adj}(U_n)V_n \psi_{n+1}.
\]

Taking into account the Lemma 3 we conclude that there exist \( \phi \in \mathbb{P} \) and a matrix \( \mathcal{L}_n \) such that

\[
\phi \psi'_n = \mathcal{L}_n \psi_n, \quad n \geq 1,
\]

and, consequently, the semiclassical character of \( \mu_0 \) follows. Furthermore, taking into account (34), the measure \( \mu_1 \) is a rational modification of \( \mu_0 \), hence semiclassical (see [4], where a representation for the measures is given).

4. A characterization for semiclassical orthogonal polynomials

Our goal is to establish the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \{P_n\} \) be a SMOP with respect to a linear functional \( u \). Let \( \{\psi_n\} \) be the corresponding sequence given by (6), and let \( P_n^{[1]} = \frac{P_n}{n+1}, \ n \geq 0. \) The following statements are equivalent:

a) There exist a non-negative integer \( s \) and sequences \((a_{n,k})\) and \((b_{n,k})\) such that \( \{P_n\} \) satisfies the structure relation

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{s} b_{n+s,k}P_{n+s-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{s+2} a_{n+s,k}P_{n+s-k}^{[1]}, \quad b_{n+s,0} = a_{n+s,0} = 1, \ \forall n \geq 1. \quad (35)
\]

b) \( \{P_n\} \) satisfies

\[
\phi \psi'_n = \mathcal{L}_n \psi_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1.
\]

where \( \phi \) is a polynomial with degree less or equal than \( s + 2 \), and \( \mathcal{L}_n \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix whose entries are polynomials with degrees less or equal than \( s + 1 \).

c) \( \exists \phi, \varphi \in \mathbb{P}, \ \deg(\phi) \leq s + 2, 1 \leq \deg(\varphi) \leq s + 1, \) such that \( \mathcal{D}(\phi u) = \varphi u. \) Furthermore, if \( b_{n+s,s}a_{n+s-1,s+2} \neq 0, \) then \( \deg(\phi) = s + 2, \deg(\varphi) = s + 1. \)
The proof of the previous theorem will use the lemmas that follow.

**Lemma 6.** Let \( \{P_n\} \) be a SMOP with respect to a linear functional \( u \). Let \( \{P_n\} \) satisfy (35) for some \( s \geq 0 \),

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{s} b_{n+s,k} P_{n+s-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{s+2} a_{n+s,k} P_{n+s-k}, \quad \forall n \geq 1.
\]

Then, for each \( \lambda \) in \( \{0, \ldots, s+2\} \) (when \( s \) is even) or \( \lambda \) in \( \{0, \ldots, s+3\} \) (when \( s \) is odd), there exist a polynomial, \( \tilde{\phi}_n(\cdot, \lambda) \), and a matrix of order two with polynomial entries, \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n+s-\lambda} \), such that

\[
\tilde{\phi}_n(x, \lambda) \psi'_{n+s-\lambda}(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n+s-\lambda}(x) \psi_{n+s-\lambda}(x), \quad n \geq 1.
\]

where \( \deg(\tilde{\phi}_n) \leq 2s+2, \deg(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n+s-\lambda}) \leq 2s+1, i, j = 1, 2\), when \( s \) is even, and \( \deg(\tilde{\phi}_n) \leq 2s+3, \deg(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n+s-\lambda}) \leq 2s+2, i, j = 1, 2\), when \( s \) is odd. Moreover, if \( a_{n+s-1,s+2} \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} \), then the following holds: when \( \lambda = \frac{s+2}{2}, s \) even, or \( \lambda = \frac{s+3}{2}, s \) odd, \( \deg(\tilde{\phi}_n) \) is exactly \( s+2 \).

**Proof:** **Step 1.** We deduce nonsingular matrices of order two, \( \mathcal{M}_{n+s-\lambda} \) and \( \mathcal{N}_{n+s-\lambda} \), with polynomial entries whose degree is uniformly bounded by a number independent of \( n \), such that

\[
\mathcal{M}_{n+s-\lambda} \psi'_{n+s-\lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{n+s-\lambda} \psi_{n+s-\lambda}.
\]

**Case 1: \( s \) is even.** If we write (35) to \( n \) and to \( n - 1 \) in the matrix form we get, using the notation introduced in (6),

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{s/2} B_{n,s-2k} \psi_{n+s-2k-1} = \sum_{k=-1}^{s/2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \psi'_{n+s-2k-2},
\]

where

\[
B_{n,s} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b_{n,s,1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{n,s-2k} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{n+s,2k} & b_{n+s,2k+1} \\ b_{n+s-1,2k-1} & b_{n+s-1,2k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, s/2 - 1, \\
B_{n,0} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{n+s,s} & 0 \\ b_{n+s-1,s-1} & b_{n+s-1,s} \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_{n,s+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{n+s,1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
C_{n,s-2k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n+s,2k+2} & a_{n+s,2k+3} \\ n+s-2k-1 & n+s-2k-2 \\ a_{n+s-1,2k+1} & a_{n+s-1,2k+2} \\ n+s-2k-1 & n+s-2k-2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 0, \ldots, s/2 - 1,
\]
\[ C_{n, -1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_{n+s,s+2}}{n-1} & 0 \\ \frac{a_{n+s-1,s+2}}{n-1} & \frac{a_{n+s-1,s+2}}{n-2} \end{bmatrix}. \]

Our next step is to deduce \( M_{n+s-\lambda} \psi_{n+s-\lambda} = N_{n+s-\lambda} \psi_{n+s-\lambda} \), where \( M_{n+s-\lambda} \) and \( N_{n+s-\lambda} \) are matrices, and \( \lambda \) is some fixed integer, \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq s + 2 \). To this end we shall write the vectors \( \psi_{n+s-l}, l = 0, \ldots, s + 2 \), appearing in (38), as multiples of \( \psi_{n+s-\lambda} \). We consider the two sub-cases that follow.

Sub-case 1.1: \( s \) and \( \lambda \) are even. The Eq. (38) can be split as follows

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{s/2} B_{n,s-2k} \psi_{n+s-2k-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{s/2} B_{n,s-2k} \psi_{n+s-2k-1} = \sum_{k=-1}^{s/2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \psi_{n+s-2k-2} + C_{n,s-\lambda+1} \psi_{n+s-\lambda} + \sum_{k=-1}^{s/2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \psi_{n+s-2k-2},
\]

thus we have

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\lambda/2-1} B_{n,s-2k} \psi_{n+s-2k-1} + \sum_{k=\lambda/2}^{s/2} B_{n,s-2k} \psi_{n+s-2k-1} = \sum_{k=-1}^{\lambda/2-1} C_{n,s-2k-1} \psi_{n+s-2k-2} + C_{n,s-\lambda+1} \psi_{n+s-\lambda} + \sum_{k=\lambda/2}^{s/2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \psi_{n+s-2k-2},
\]

that is,

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\lambda/2-1} B_{n,s-2k} \psi_{n+s-2k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda)/2} B_{n,s-\lambda-2j} \psi_{n+s-\lambda-2j-1} = \sum_{k=-1}^{\lambda/2-1} C_{n,s-2k-1} \psi_{n+s-2k-2} + C_{n,s-\lambda+1} \psi_{n+s-\lambda} + \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda)/2} C_{n,s-\lambda-2j} \psi_{n+s-\lambda-2j-2}. \quad (39)
\]
The use of (8) with \( m = 2k + 1 \), \( M = 2j + 1 \), \( M = 2j + 2 \) in (39) yields (37), \( \mathcal{M}_{n+s-\lambda} \psi'_{n+s-\lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{n+s-\lambda} \psi_{n+s-\lambda} \), with

\[
\mathcal{M}_{n+s-\lambda} = \sum_{k=-1}^{\lambda/2-2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-3-2k} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-2k-2-l} + C_{n,s-\lambda+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda)/2} C_{n,s-\lambda-2j-1} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{2j} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-\lambda-l} \right)^{-1},
\]

\[
\mathcal{N}_{n+s-\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda/2-1} B_{n,s-2k} \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-3-2k} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-2k-1-l} + \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda)/2} B_{n,s-\lambda-2j} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{2j} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-\lambda-l} \right)^{-1} - \sum_{k=-1}^{\lambda/2-2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-3-2k} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-2k-2-l} \right)' - \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda)/2} C_{n,s-\lambda-2j-1} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{2j} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-\lambda-l} \right)^{-1}'.
\]

Sub-case 1.2: \( s \) is even and \( \lambda \) is odd. The Eq. (38) can be split as follows

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{(\lambda-3)/2} B_{n,s-2k} \psi_{n+s-2k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda-1)/2} B_{n,s-\lambda-2j-1} \psi_{n+s-\lambda-2j-2} \]

\[
= \sum_{k=-1}^{(\lambda-3)/2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \psi'_{n+s-2k-2} + \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda+1)/2} C_{n,s-\lambda-2j} \psi'_{n+s-\lambda-2j-1}.
\]

From the recurrence relations for \( \psi_n \) (cf. Lemma 1) we get (37), with

\[
\mathcal{M}_{n+s-\lambda} = \sum_{k=-1}^{(\lambda-3)/2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-3-2k} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-2k-2-l} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda+1)/2} C_{n,s-\lambda-2j} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{2j} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-\lambda-l} \right)^{-1},
\]

(41)
\[ \mathcal{N}_{n+s-\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{(\lambda-3)/2} \mathcal{B}_{n,s-2k} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-2-2k} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-2k-1-l} \right) + \mathcal{B}_{n,s-\lambda+1}^{(s-\lambda-1)/2} + \sum_{j=0}^{2j+1} \mathcal{B}_{n,s-\lambda-2j-1} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{(\lambda-3)/2} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-\lambda-l}^{-1} \right) - \sum_{k=-1}^{\lambda-3-2k} \mathcal{C}_{n,s-2k-1} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{(\lambda-3)/2} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-2k-2-l} \right)' - \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda+1)/2} \mathcal{C}_{n,s-\lambda-2j} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{(\lambda-3)/2} \mathcal{A}_{n+s-\lambda-l}^{-1} \right)' \]

Case 2: \( s \) is odd. If we write (35) to \( n \) and to \( n-1 \) in the matrix form we get, using the notation introduced in (6),

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{(s+1)/2} \mathcal{B}_{n,s-2k} \psi_{n+s-2k-1} = \sum_{k=-1}^{(s+1)/2} \mathcal{C}_{n,s-2k-1} \psi'_{n+s-2k-1-2}, \] (42)

where

\[ \mathcal{B}_{n,s} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b_{n+s,1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{n,s-2k} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{n+s,2k} & b_{n+s,2k+1} \\ b_{n+s-1,2k-1} & b_{n+s-1,2k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, \frac{s-1}{2}, \]

\[ \mathcal{B}_{n,-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_{n+s-1,s} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{n,s+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n+s+1} & \frac{n+s}{n+s+1} \\ 0 & \frac{n+s}{n+s} \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ \mathcal{C}_{n,s-2k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_{n+s,2k+2}}{n+s-2k-1} & \frac{a_{n+s,2k+3}}{n+s-1,2k+2} \\ \frac{a_{n+s-1,2k+2}}{n+s-2k-1} & \frac{a_{n+s-1,2k+3}}{n+s-2k-2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 0, \ldots, \frac{s-1}{2}, \]

\[ \mathcal{C}_{n,-2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{a_{n+s-1,s+2}}{n-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

Sub-case 2.1: \( s \) is odd and \( \lambda \) is even. The Eq. (42) can be split as follows
From the recurrence relation for $\psi_n$ (cf. Lemma 1) we get (37), with

$$M_{n+s-\lambda} = \sum_{k=-1}^{\lambda/2-2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-3-2k} A_{n+s-2k-2-l} \right)$$

$$+ C_{n,s-\lambda+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{(s+1-\lambda)/2} C_{n,s-\lambda-2j-1} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{2j+1} A_{n+s-\lambda-1} \right)^{-1}, \quad (43)$$

$$N_{n+s-\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda/2-1} B_{n,s-2k} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-2-2k} A_{n+s-2k-1-l} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{(s+1-\lambda)/2} B_{n,s-\lambda-2j} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{2j} A_{n+s-\lambda-1} \right)^{-1} - \sum_{k=-1}^{\lambda/2-2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-3-2k} A_{n+s-2k-2-l} \right)'$$

$$- \sum_{j=0}^{(s+1-\lambda)/2} C_{n,s-\lambda-2j-1} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{2j+1} A_{n+s-\lambda-1} \right)^{-1}'. \quad (44)$$

Sub-case 2.2: $s$ and $\lambda$ are odd. The Eq. (42) can be split as follows

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(\lambda-3)/2} B_{n,s-2k} \psi_{n+s-2k-1} + B_{n,s-\lambda+1} \psi_{n+s-\lambda} + \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda)/2} B_{n,s-\lambda-2j-1} \psi_{n+s-\lambda-2j-2}$$

$$= \sum_{k=-1}^{(\lambda-3)/2} C_{n,s-2k-1} \psi_{n+s-2k-2} + \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda+2)/2} C_{n,s-\lambda-2j} \psi_{n+s-\lambda-2j-1}.$$
$N_{n+s-\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{(\lambda-3)/2} B_{n,s-2k} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-2-2k} A_{n+s-2k-1-l} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{(s-\lambda)/2} \sum_{l=0}^{2j+1} C_{n,s-\lambda-2j-l} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda-3-2k} A_{n+s-2k-2-1-l} \right)'

Step 2. We obtain (36).

From Step 1 we have (37), $M_{n+s-\lambda} \psi'_{n+s-\lambda} = N_{n+s-\lambda} \psi_{n+s-\lambda}$, where the matrices $M_{n+s-\lambda}$ and $N_{n+s-\lambda}$ are nonsingular. If we multiply the previous equation by the adjoint of $M_{n+s-\lambda}$ then we get

$$\det(M_{n+s-\lambda}) \psi'_{n+s-\lambda} = \text{adj}(M_{n+s-\lambda}) N_{n+s-\lambda} \psi_{n+s-\lambda},$$

and we obtain (36) with $\tilde{\phi}_n = \det(M_{n+s-\lambda})$, $\tilde{L}_{n+s-\lambda} = \text{adj}(M_{n+s-\lambda}) N_{n+s-\lambda}$. Furthermore, an inspection of Eqs. (40), (41), (43), and (44) shows the assertion concerning the degrees of $\det(M_{n+s-\lambda})$. When $\lambda = \frac{s+2}{2}$, $s$ even, or $\lambda = \frac{s+3}{2}$, $s$ odd, then $\det(M_{n+s-\lambda})$ is exactly $s + 2$, since $a_{n+s-1,s+2} \neq 0$.

Now we discuss the structure relations (36) obtained in the preceding lemma.

Lemma 7. Let $\{P_n\}$ be a SMOP with respect to a linear functional $u$ satisfying (35). Let a structure relation of type (36) hold for two indexes $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$, with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{0, \ldots, s+3\}$, that is,

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(x, \lambda_1) \psi_{n+s-\lambda_1}(x) = \tilde{L}_{n+s-\lambda_1}(x) \psi_{n+s-\lambda_1}(x), \quad (45)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(x, \lambda_2) \psi_{n+s-\lambda_2}(x) = \tilde{L}_{n+s-\lambda_2}(x) \psi_{n+s-\lambda_2}(x), \quad (46)$$

where, without loss of generality, we assume $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. Then, the following holds:

$$\frac{\tilde{\phi}_n(\cdot, \lambda_2)}{\tilde{\phi}_n(\cdot, \lambda_1)} = \frac{H^{(i,j)}_n}{L^{(i,j)}_{n+s-\lambda_1}}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad (47)$$
with

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1} A_{n+s-\lambda_2-l} \right)^{-1}$$

$$\times \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n+s-\lambda_2} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1} A_{n+s-\lambda_2-l} \right) - \tilde{\phi}_n(\cdot, \lambda_2) \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1} A_{n+s-\lambda_2-l} \right)' \right\}$$, \hspace{0.5cm} (48)

where $A_n$ are the matrices of the recurrence relation (7).

**Proof**: Let us assume, without loss of generality, that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. Then, from the recurrence relation for $\psi_n$ (cf. Lemma 1) we have

$$\psi_{n+s-\lambda_2} = \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1} A_{n+s-\lambda_2-l} \right) \psi_{n+s-\lambda_1}. \hspace{0.5cm} \text{(46)}$$

The use of the previous equality in (46) yields

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(\cdot, \lambda_2) \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1} A_{n+s-\lambda_2-l} \right) \psi'_{n+s-\lambda_1} = \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n+s-\lambda_2} \left( \prod_{l=0}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1} A_{n+s-\lambda_2-l} \right) \right\} \psi_{n+s-\lambda_1},$$

thus,

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(\cdot, \lambda_2) \psi'_{n+s-\lambda_1} = \mathcal{H}_n \psi_{n+s-\lambda_1}, \hspace{0.5cm} \text{(49)}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_n$ is given by (48). From (45) and (49), and taking into account the Lemma 2, the relation (47) follows. \hspace{0.5cm} \blacksquare

In the next lemma we follow the same approach as [6].

**Lemma 8**. Let $\{P_n\}$ be a SMOP with respect to a linear functional $u$. Let $u$ be semiclassical of class $s$, satisfying

$$\mathcal{D}(\phi u) = \varphi u.$$ \hspace{0.5cm} (50)

Then there exist sequences $(a_{n,s})$, $(b_{n,s})$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{s} b_{n+s,k} P_{n+s-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{s+2} a_{n+s,k} P^{[1]}_{n+s-k}, \hspace{0.5cm} \forall n \geq 1.$$ \hspace{0.5cm} (51)
Proof: Let us write
\[ P_{n+l} = \sum_{j=0}^{n+l} c_{n+l,j} P_{n+l-j}^{[1]}, \quad l = 0, \ldots, s, \]
where the \( c_{n+l,j} \) satisfy, for each \( l = 0, \ldots, s, \)
\[ 0 = \sum_{j=n+l+1-(k+s+1)}^{n+l} c_{n+l,j} \langle \phi u, P_{n+l-j}^{[1]} P_k \rangle, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n + l - (s + 2) - 1 \]
(one has \( n + l - (s + 2) \) equations in \( n + l - 3 \) unknowns).
Thus,
\[ P_{n+s} + b_{n+s,1} P_{n+s-1} + \cdots + b_{n+s,s} P_n = P_{n+s}^{[1]} + \sum_{j=0}^{n+s-1} \mu_{n+s-1,j} P_{n+s-1-j}^{[1]} \quad (52) \]
where
\[ \mu_{n+s-1,j} = \begin{cases} \quad c_{n+s,j+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{j+1} b_{n+s,k} c_{n+s-k,j+1-k}, & j = 0, \ldots, s - 1, \\ c_{n+s,j+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{s} b_{n+s,k} c_{n+s-k,j-(k-1)}, & j = s, \ldots, n + s - 1. \end{cases} \quad (53) \]
Let us multiply (52) by \( P_k \) and apply \( \phi u \). Then, the left-hand side gives us
\[ \langle \phi u, (P_{n+s} + b_{n+s,1} P_{n+s-1} + \cdots + b_{n+s,s} P_n) P_k \rangle = 0, \quad k + s + 2 < n. \]
Furthermore, since
\[ \langle \phi u, P_{n+s-1-j}^{[1]} P_k \rangle = \frac{1}{n + s - j} \left( \langle \phi u, (P_{n+s-j} P_k) \rangle - \langle \phi u, P_{n+s-j} P_k^{[1]} \rangle \right) \]
and \( \langle \phi u, (P_{n+s-j} P_k) \rangle = -\langle D(\phi u), P_{n+s-j} P_k \rangle \), taking into account (50), the right-hand side gives us
\[ \langle \phi u, P_{n+s-1-j}^{[1]} P_k \rangle = \frac{1}{n + s - j} \left( -\langle \psi u, P_{n+s-j} P_k \rangle - \langle \phi u, P_{n+s-j} P_k^{[1]} \rangle \right), \]
hence \( \langle \phi u, P_{n+s-1-j}^{[1]} P_k \rangle = 0, \quad k + s + 1 < n + s - j \). Therefore, the coefficients \( \mu_{n+s-1,j} \) in (52) satisfy
\[ 0 = \sum_{j=n-k-1}^{n+s-1} \mu_{n+s-1,j} \xi_{k,j}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - s - 3, \quad (54) \]
where \( \xi_{k,j} = (\langle \psi u, P_{n+s-j} P_k \rangle + \langle \phi u, P_{n+s-j} P_k^{[1]} \rangle) / (n + s - j) \).
Our goal is to prove that there exist \( b_{n+s,k}, k = 1, \ldots, s \), such that only \( s+3 \) non-zero summands appear in the right-hand side of (52), with \( \mu_{n+s-1,s+2} = \mu_{n+s-1,s+3} = \cdots = \mu_{n+s-1,n+s-1} = 0 \).

Let us expand (54). We get \( \mathcal{F}_{n,s-1} \mathcal{U}_{n,s-1} = 0_{n-2\times 1} \), with

\[
\mathcal{F}_{n,s-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
\xi_{n-s-3,s+2} & \cdots & \xi_{n-s-3,n-1} & \cdots & \xi_{n-s-3,n+s-1} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\xi_{0,n-1} & \cdots & \xi_{0,n+s-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\mu_{n+s-1,s+2} \\
\vdots \\
\mu_{n+s-1,n-1}
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
\xi_{n-s-3,n} & \cdots & \xi_{n-s-3,n+s-1} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\xi_{0,n} & \cdots & \xi_{0,n+s-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\mu_{n+s-1,n} \\
\vdots \\
\mu_{n+s-1,n+s-1}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix} . \tag{55}
\]

We remark that

\[
\mu_{n+s-1,n} = \mu_{n+s-1,n+1} = \cdots = \mu_{n+s-1,n+s-1} = 0 \tag{56}
\]

implies

\[
\mu_{n+s-1,s+2} = \mu_{n+s-1,s+3} = \cdots = \mu_{n+s-1,n-1} = 0 ,
\]

because if (56) holds then (55) becomes

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\xi_{n-s-3,s+2} & \cdots & \xi_{n-s-3,n-1} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\xi_{0,n-1} & & \xi_{0,n+s-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\mu_{n+s-1,s+2} \\
\vdots \\
\mu_{n+s-1,n-1}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix} , \tag{57}
\]

where the matrix of the system (57) is nonsingular (upper triangular), as

\[
\xi_{j,n-1-j} = \langle u, (\psi P_j + \phi P'_j)P_{j+s+1} \rangle \neq 0 , \ j = 0, \ldots , n - s - 3 ,
\]

because \( \text{deg}(\psi P_j + \phi P'_j) = j + s + 1, \ j = 0, \ldots , n - s - 3 \) (note that this is the admissibility condition).

Let us return to (56). Taking into account (53) one can write (56) as

\[
\mathcal{G}_{n,s-1} \mathcal{B}_{n,s} = - \begin{bmatrix} c_{n+s,n+1} & \cdots & c_{n+s,n+s} \end{bmatrix}^T , \tag{58}
\]
where

\[ G_{n,s-1} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{n+s-1,n} & \cdots & c_{n,n-(s-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n+s-1,n+s-1} & \cdots & c_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{n,s} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{n+s,1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n+s,s} \end{bmatrix}. \]

Now we discuss the system (58). Let us denote by \( \tilde{G}_{n,s-1} \) the \( s \times (s + 1) \) matrix given by

\[
G_{n,s-1} - \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & -c_{n+s,n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -c_{n+s,n+s} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

If \( \det(G_{n,s-1}) \neq 0 \), then (58) has a solution, and this means that there exist \( b_{n+s,1}, \ldots, b_{n+s,s} \) such that \( \mu_{n+s-1,n} = \mu_{n+s-1,n+1} = \cdots = \mu_{n+s-1,n+s-1} = 0 \), thus, from our previous discussion, there follows \( \mu_{n+s,s+2} = \mu_{n+s,s+3} = \cdots = \mu_{n+s,n-1} = 0 \), and (51) holds.

If \( \det(G_{n,s-1}) = 0 \), then (58) is possible iff the matrices \( G_{n,s-1}, \tilde{G}_{n,s-1} \) have precisely the same number of independent rows.

Let us assume, without loss of generality, that the \( i \)-th and the \( j \)-th rows of \( G_{n,s-1} \) are linearly dependent, that is,

\[
\frac{c_{n+s-1,n+i}}{c_{n+s-1,n+j}} = \frac{c_{n+s-2,n+i-1}}{c_{n+s-2,n+j-1}} = \cdots = \frac{c_{n,n+i-(s-1)}}{c_{n,n+j-(s-1)}}.
\]

Note that \( n \) is arbitrary and the algorithm described above can be carried out to \( n + 1 \), thus the same proportion as above appears to the matrix \( G_{n+1,s-1} \), thus we can take \( n + 1 \) in (59),

\[
\frac{c_{n+s,n+i+1}}{c_{n+s,n+j+1}} = \frac{c_{n+s-1,n+i}}{c_{n+s-1,n+j}} = \cdots = \frac{c_{n+1,n+1+i-(s-1)}}{c_{n+1,n+1+j-(s-1)}},
\]

and we get

\[
\frac{c_{n+s,n+i+1}}{c_{n+s,n+j+1}} = \frac{c_{n+s-1,n+i}}{c_{n+s-1,n+j}} = \cdots = \frac{c_{n,n+i-(s-1)}}{c_{n,n+j-(s-1)}},
\]

that is, the \( i \)-th and the \( j \)-th rows of \( \tilde{G}_{n,s-1} \), are linearly dependent. With a similar reasoning one concludes that \( G_{n,s-1}, \tilde{G}_{n,s-1} \) have precisely the same number of independent rows. Consequently, (58) is possible and, similarly to the previous discussion in the case \( \det(G_{n,s-1}) \neq 0 \), we conclude that (51) holds.

**Proof of the Theorem 1.** Lemma 6 combined with the first part of Lemma 3 proves \( a) \Rightarrow b); b) \Rightarrow c) \) is proved in [17, 18]; Lemma 8 proves \( c) \Rightarrow a) \).
Corollary 1. Let \( \{ P_n \} \) be a SMOP with respect to a linear functional \( u \), let \( \{ \psi_n \} \) be the corresponding sequence given by (6), and let \( P_n' = P_{n+1}'/(n+1) \), \( n \geq 0 \). The following statements are equivalent:

a) There exist \( (a_n), (b_n) \) such that \( \{ P_n \} \) satisfies

\[
P_{n+1} + b_{n+1,1} P_n = P_n' + a_{n+1,1} P_{n+1} + a_{n+1,2} P_{n} + a_{n+1,3} P_{n-1}, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\]

b) there exist \( \phi \in \mathbb{P} \), \( \deg(\phi) \leq 3 \), and a matrix \( \mathcal{L}_n \) with polynomial entries whose degree is less or equal than two, such that

\[
\phi \psi_n' = \mathcal{L}_{n-1} \psi_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

c) \( u \) satisfies

\[
\mathcal{D}(\phi u) = \varphi u,
\]

where \( \deg(\phi) \leq 3, 1 \leq \deg(\varphi) \leq 2 \). Furthermore,

\[
\deg(\phi) = \begin{cases} 
3 & \text{iff } a_{n+1,3} \neq 0 \\
2 & \text{iff } a_{n+1,3} = 0, \ a_{n+1,2} \neq 0 \\
1 & \text{iff } a_{n+1,3} = a_{n+1,2} = 0, \ a_{n+1,1} \neq 0 \\
0 & \text{iff } a_{n+1,3} = a_{n+1,2} = a_{n+1,1} = 0
\end{cases}.
\]

Proof: Taking into account the preceding results, we just have to prove \( a) \Rightarrow b) \) and \( c) \Rightarrow a) \).

\( a) \Rightarrow b) \). From Lemma 6 we obtain, for \( s = 1 \) and \( \lambda = 2 \) (cf. (43)),

\[
\mathcal{M}_{n-1} \psi_n' = \mathcal{N}_{n-1} \psi_{n-1},
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{M}_{n-1} = C_{n,2} A_{n+1} A_n + C_{n,0} + C_{n,-2} (A_{n-1} A_{n-2})^{-1}, \\
\mathcal{N}_{n-1} = B_{n,1} A_n + B_{n,-1} A_{n-1}^{-1} - C_{n,2} (A_{n+1} A_n)' - C_{n,-2} (A_{n-1} A_{n-2})^{-1}',
\]

\[
C_{n,2} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{n+2} & \frac{a_{n+1,1}}{n+1} \\
0 & \frac{1}{n+1}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad C_{n,0} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{a_{n+1,2}}{n} & \frac{a_{n+1,3}}{n} \\
\frac{a_{n,1}}{n} & \frac{a_{n,2}}{n-1}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad C_{n,-2} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
\frac{a_{n,3}}{n-2} & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
B_{n,1} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & b_{n+1,1} \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{n,-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
b_{n,1} & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Therefore, we obtain

\[
\phi_{n-1} \psi_n' = \text{adj}(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}) \mathcal{N}_{n-1} \psi_{n-1},
\]
where \( \phi_{n-1} = \det(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}) \), with

\[
\det(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}) = \frac{a_{n,3}}{\gamma_{n-1}(n+2)(n-2)} (x - \beta_{n+1})(x - \beta_{n})(x - \beta_{n-1}) \\
+ \frac{a_{n,2}}{(n+2)(n-1)} (x - \beta_{n+1})(x - \beta_{n}) \\
+ e_{n,0}(x - \beta_{n+1}) + \frac{a_{n+1,1}a_{n,2} - a_{n+1,3}}{(n+1)(n-1)} (x - \beta_{n}) + e_{n,2}(x - \beta_{n-1}) \\
+ \left( \frac{a_{n+1,2}}{n} - \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{n+2} \right) \left( \frac{a_{n,2}}{n-1} - \frac{\gamma_{n}}{n} \right) - \left( \frac{a_{n+1,3}}{n-1} - \frac{\gamma_{n}a_{n+1,1}}{n+1} \right) \left( \frac{a_{n,1}}{n} - \frac{a_{n,3}}{n} \right),
\]

where

\[
e_{n,0} = \frac{\gamma_{n}}{n+2} \left( \frac{a_{n,1}}{n} - \frac{a_{n,3}}{(n-2)\gamma_{n-1}} \right), \quad e_{n,2} = \frac{a_{n,3}}{\gamma_{n-1}(n-2)} \left( \frac{a_{n,1}}{n} - \frac{a_{n,3}}{n+2} \right).
\]

Therefore we have \( \deg(\phi_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} 
3 & \text{if } a_{n,3} \neq 0 \\
2 & \text{if } a_{n,3} = 0, \ a_{n,2} \neq 0 \\
1 & \text{if } a_{n,3} = a_{n,2} = 0, \ a_{n,1} \neq 0 \\
0 & \text{if } a_{n,3} = a_{n,2} = a_{n,1} = 0,
\end{cases} \) and (63) follows.

Finally, from Lemma 3 we obtain that (65) is equivalent to (61) where the polynomial \( \phi \) is independent of \( n \).

c) \( \Rightarrow a \). Let us write

\[
P_{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} c_{n+1,j} P_{n+1-j}^{[1]} , \quad P_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_{n,j} P_{n-j}^{[1]} .
\]

Thus,

\[
P_{n+1} + b_{n+1,1} P_n = P_{n+1}^{[1]} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mu_{n,j} P_{n-j}^{[1]}
\]

(66)

where

\[
\mu_{n,l} = c_{n+1,l+1} + b_{n+1,1} c_{n,l} , \ l = 0, \ldots, n .
\]

(67)

Let us multiply (66) by \( P_k \) and apply \( \phi u \). Then, the left-hand side gives us

\[
\langle \phi u, (P_{n+1} + b_{n+1,1} P_n) P_k \rangle = 0 , \ k + 3 < n .
\]

Furthermore, since

\[
\langle \phi u, P_{n-j}^{[1]} P_k \rangle = \frac{1}{n+1-j} \left( \langle \phi u, (P_{n+1-j} P_k)' \rangle - \langle \phi u, P_{n+1-j} P_k' \rangle \right)
\]
and \( \langle \phi u, (P_{n+s-j} P_k)' \rangle = -\langle D(\phi u), P_{n+s-j} P_k \rangle \), taking into account (62), the right-hand side gives us

\[
\langle \phi u, P_{n-j}^{[1]} P_k \rangle = \frac{1}{n + 1 - j} (-\langle \psi u, P_{n+1-j} P_k \rangle - \langle \phi u, P_{n+1-j} P_k' \rangle),
\]

hence \( \langle \phi u, P_{n-j}^{[1]} P_k \rangle = 0 \), \( k + 2 < n + 1 - j \). Therefore, the coefficients \( \mu_{n,j} \) satisfy

\[
0 = \sum_{j=n-k-1}^{n} \mu_{n,j} \xi_{k,j}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 4,
\]

where \( \xi_{k,j} = (\langle \psi u, P_{n+1-j} P_k \rangle + \langle \phi u, P_{n+1-j} P_k' \rangle)/(n + 1 - j) \).

Our goal is to prove that there exists \( b_{n+1,1} \) such that only 4 non-zero summands appear in (66), with \( \mu_{n,3} = \mu_{n,4} = \cdots = \mu_{n,n} = 0 \).

Let us expand (68). We get \( \mathcal{F}_{n,0} \mathcal{U}_{n,0} = 0_{n-2 \times 1} \), where

\[
\mathcal{F}_{n,0} = \begin{bmatrix}
\xi_{n-4,3} & \cdots & \xi_{n-4,n-1} & \xi_{n-4,n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \\
\xi_{0,n-1} & \xi_{0,n}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{U}_{n,0} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mu_{n,3} \\
\vdots \\
\mu_{n,n}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Furthermore, this system can be written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\xi_{n-4,3} & \cdots & \xi_{n-4,n-1} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\xi_{0,n-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\mu_{n,3} \\
\vdots \\
\mu_{n,n-1}
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
\xi_{n-4,n} \\
\vdots \\
\xi_{0,n}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
0
\end{bmatrix} = 0.
\]

(69)

Note that \( \mu_{n,n} = 0 \) implies \( \mu_{n,3} = \mu_{n,4} = \cdots = \mu_{n,n-1} = 0 \).

Taking into account Eqs. (67), we get \( \mu_{n,n} = 0 \) if, and only if, \( b_{n+1,1} = -c_{n+1,n+1}/c_{n,n} \). Hence, if we choose \( b_{n+1,1} = -c_{n+1,n+1}/c_{n,n} \), from our previous discussion there follows that the coefficients \( \mu_k \)'s in (66) satisfy \( \mu_{n,3} = \mu_{n,4} = \cdots = \mu_{n,n-1} = 0 \), thus (60) holds.

**Example 1.** Let \( \{P_n\} \) be the SMOP with respect to the linear functional \( u \) that satisfies \( D(u) = \varphi u, \varphi(x) = -ix^2 + 2x - i(\alpha - 1) \), where \( \alpha \notin \bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n \), where \( E_0 = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : F(\alpha) = 0\} \), \( F(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix^3/3 - x^2 + i(\alpha - 1)} dx \), and, for \( n \geq 1, E_n = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : H_n(\alpha) = 0\} \), with \( H_n \) the Hankel determinant associated with \( u \) (these are the quasi-definiteness conditions) [19]. The functional \( u \) is semi-classical of class \( s = 1 \).

From corollary 1 we obtain that the corresponding SMOP \( \{P_n\} \) is characterized by:

a) The structure relation

\[
P_{n+1} + b_{n+1,1} P_n = P_{n+1}^{[1]}, \quad n \geq 1;
\]

(70)
b) The equations $\phi \psi'_{n-1} = \mathcal{L}_{n-1} \psi_{n-1}$, where $\phi \equiv 1$ and $\psi'_{n-1} = (-1)^n/n! \lambda_n^{1/2} \psi_{n-1}$, and

\[
\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^{(1,1)} = -n \lambda_{n-1} / \gamma_{n-1},
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^{(1,2)} = n(\gamma_{n-1} + \lambda_{n-1}(x - \beta_{n-1}))/\gamma_{n-1},
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^{(2,1)} = -(n - 1)/\gamma_{n-1} - (n - 1) \lambda_{n-2}(x - \beta_{n-2})/(\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_{n-2}),
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^{(2,2)} = (n - 1)(x - \beta_{n-1})/\gamma_{n-1} - (n - 1) \lambda_{n-2}((x - \beta_{n-1})(x - \beta_{n-2}) - \gamma_{n-1})/\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_{n-2},
\]

where $\lambda_n = -i \gamma_n \gamma_{n+1}/(n + 1)$, $n \geq 0$.

Notice that the structure relation (70) leads to the structure relation for ${P_n}$ studied in [19],

\[
(x + \vartheta_{n,0}) P_n(x) = P_{n+1}^{[1]}(x) + \varrho_n P_n^{[1]}(x), \quad n \geq 1,
\]

(71)

where

\[
\vartheta_{n,0} = -i \gamma_{n+1} \gamma_{n+2}/(n + 2) + i(n + 1)/\gamma_{n+1} - \beta_n, \quad \varrho_n = i(n + 1)/\gamma_{n+1}.
\]

(72)

To that end we write (70) to $n$ and to $n - 1$,

\[
P_{n+1} + b_{n+1,1} P_n = P_{n+1}^{[1]}, \quad P_n + b_{n,1} P_{n-1} = P_n^{[1]}.
\]

If we multiply the second equation by $s_n$, with $s_n = \gamma_n/b_{n,1}$, and add to the first one we get $P_{n+1} + (b_{n+1,1} s_n) P_n + s_n b_{n,1} P_{n-1} = P_{n+1}^{[1]} + s_n P_n^{[1]}$, and the use of the recurrence relation for $P_{n+1}$ yields (71) with $\vartheta_{n,0} = b_{n+1,1} + s_n - \beta_n$, $\varrho_n = s_n$. Furthermore, if $b_{n+1,1} = -i \gamma_{n+1} \gamma_{n+2}/(n + 2)$, then we obtain the $\vartheta_{n,0}$ and $\varrho_n$ given by (72).
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