EXISTENCE AND IMPROVED REGULARITY FOR A NONLINEAR SYSTEM WITH COLLAPSING ELLIPTICITY

EDGARD A. PIMENTEL AND JOSÉ MIGUEL URBANO

ABSTRACT: We study a nonlinear system made up of an elliptic equation of blended singular/degenerate type and Poisson's equation with a lowly integrable source. We prove the existence of a weak solution in any space dimension and, chiefly, derive an improved $\mathcal{C}^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}$ regularity estimate using tangential analysis methods. The system illustrates a sophisticated version of the proverbial thermistor problem and our results are new even in simpler modelling scenarios.

KEYWORDS: Elliptic singular/degenerate system, existence, improved regularity, thermistor problem.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): Primary 35B65. Secondary 35J57, 35J92, 35Q79.

1. Introduction

There are many good reasons to investigate the regularity properties of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations (pdes) and systems. Perhaps the most compelling is the enhancement of more efficient numerical schemes leading to concrete applications of what would otherwise be a purely theoretical endeavour. In recent years, there has been an intense activity around the development of a class of methods and techniques that culminate in the retrieval of improved regularity properties for solutions of a given pde imported from another pde which is *somehow close* to the original one. This has been done, for example, in [20], where the sharp regularity for solutions of the inhomogeneous p-parabolic equation was derived from the regularity

Received February 1, 2019.

Part of this work was written during a visit of the authors to the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics (HIM), University of Bonn, in January 2019. The support and the hospitality of HIM are gratefully acknowledged.

EAP partially funded by CNPq-Brazil (Grants #433623/2018-7, #307500/2017-9), FAPERJ-Brazil (Grant #E26/200.002/2018), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001 and PUC-Rio baseline funds.

JMU partially supported by FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., through projects PTDC/MAT-PUR/28686/2017 and UTAP-EXPL/MAT/0017/2017, and by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra – UID/MAT/00324/2013, funded by the Portuguese government through FCT and co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund through Partnership Agreement PT2020.

of p-caloric functions or in [14], where Sobolev regularity for viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations was obtained from the associated recession profile. Other instances of this approach to regularity can be found, for example, in [3, 4, 2, 17, 16, 18, 19, 21]. For pointwise gradient bounds in terms of potentials, see also [11, 12, 13].

In this paper, we extend this set of ideas, hitherto restricted to the analysis of single equations, to treat the nonlinear system of pdes

$$\begin{cases}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|Du|^{\sigma(\theta(x))-2}Du\right) = f \\
-\Delta\theta = \lambda(\theta(x))|Du|^{\sigma(\theta(x))},
\end{cases} (1.1)$$

proposed by Zhikov in [22], describing the steady state distribution of the electrical potential u and the temperature θ in a thermistor, a portmanteau for a resistor whose electrical properties are thermally dependent. In (1.1), f is a given source and σ and λ are functions related to the electrical conductivity and resistance of the model, respectively. The thermistor problem, in its different versions, has been considered by many authors and there is an abundant literature around it, both in the physics/engineering and the mathematical communities. Far from being exhaustive, we mention here [1, 9, 10, 15, 22].

The mathematical analysis of the strongly coupled system (1.1) involves two major difficulties. On the one hand, the second equation has a right-hand side merely in L^1 and this low integrability is known to be a source of severe analytical hazards. On the other hand, the first equation is not uniformly elliptic as its modulus of ellipticity collapses at points where |Du| = 0, vanishing if the variable exponent $\sigma(\theta(x))$ is above two and blowing up if it is below that threshold. Since the exponent can vary in a range that crosses two, degeneracies and singularities are blended in our problem and one of the main achievements of the approach we use is to seamlessly treat the switching between regimes that otherwise correspond to two markedly different cases.

We first treat the existence of weak solutions under homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Contrary to the results of Zhikov in [22], which are valid only in dimensions up to three, we unlock the existence in any dimension. The key is in the use of a regularity result for the first equation in (1.1) that allows us to bypass the embedding related constraints surfacing in higher dimensions. We show in fact that a weak solution exists in the regularity class $(u, \theta) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\beta} \times \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$. We then improve the regularity for the temperature θ , producing a $\mathcal{C}^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}$ local regularity result, with appropriate estimates.

The paper is organised as follows. In addition to specifying what we mean by a weak solution, we state in section 2 the assumptions on the data of the problem and present our main result. In section 3, we gather a few auxiliary results that will be instrumental in the sequel. The existence of weak solutions is established in section 4, by means of Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem. The final section 5 brings the proof of the improved regularity.

2. Assumptions and main result

The system (1.1) holds in a given smooth domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$. We start with the formal definition of weak solution. To slightly assuage the notation, set

$$p := \sigma \circ \theta$$
 and $a := \lambda \circ \theta$.

Definition 2.1. A weak solution of (1.1), coupled with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$u = 0$$
 and $\theta = 0$ on ∂U ,

is a pair

$$(u,\theta) \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \times H_0^1(U)$$

such that

$$\int_{U} |Du|^{p(x)-2} Du \cdot D\varphi = \int_{U} f\varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$$
 (2.1)

and

$$\int_{U} D\theta \cdot D\psi = \int_{U} a(x) |Du|^{p(x)} \psi, \quad \forall \psi \in H_0^1(U) \cap L^{\infty}(U).$$
 (2.2)

It is timely to comment again on the mathematical difficulties arising from this definition. First, we notice that $u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$ leads to

$$|Du|^{p(\cdot)} \in L^1(U).$$

As a consequence, the structure of (1.1) falls short in producing further regularity for the temperature θ since the integrability of the right-hand side of Poisson's equation does not even ensure the continuity of solutions. To circumvent this structural difficulty of the system, we will resort to an improved regularity result for the first equation in (1.1).

A second challenge comes from the eventual collapse of the ellipticity in (1.1). Indeed, along $\{Du=0\}$, the first equation either degenerates or blows up, depending on $p(\cdot)$. In particular, we allow this variable exponent to oscillate around $p(\cdot) \equiv 2$; hence, the system may switch between the singular and the degenerate regimes. Our approach deals with this difficulty in a seamless fashion, which is in itself an unusual feature that deserves to be highlighted.

We now list the main assumptions on the data of the problem.

A 1 (Uniform bound on σ). The function $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded from below and there exists a constant σ^- such that

$$1 \le \frac{2d}{d+2} < \sigma^- \le \sigma(x).$$

The lower bound on σ^- implies that solutions u to the first equation in (1.1) are locally bounded. But, by considering a possibly unbounded (from above) function σ , we completely detach the analysis from the constant-exponent setting. Indeed, were σ bounded and satisfying the next assumption, the variable exponent setting would not present extra challenges vis-a-vis the constant case.

A 2 (Lipschitz continuity of σ). The function σ is Lipschitz continuous and there exists $C_{\sigma} > 0$ such that

$$\|\sigma\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{\sigma}.$$

Notice that A2 does not imply $p(\cdot)$ to be a Lipschitz continuous exponent. Even if θ is Hölder continuous, the composite function $p = \sigma \circ \theta$ is, a priori, merely Hölder continuous.

A 3 (Uniform bounds on λ). The function $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and there exists a constant λ^+ , to be fixed later and depending only on the data, such that

$$\|\lambda\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \le \lambda^{+}.$$

The upper bound on λ plays a critical role in the approximation methods put forward further in the paper. Perhaps more subtle is the fact that λ^+ is determined endogenously, in the context of the fixed-point argument in section 4.

A 4 (Integrability of the source). The source term $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ is in $L^{\infty}(U)$ and there exists $C_f > 0$ such that

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}(U)} \le C_f.$$

We now state the main theorem of our paper - the $C_{loc}^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}(U)$ regularity for the temperature θ .

Theorem 2.1. Suppose A1-A4 are in force and let (u, θ) be a weak solution to (1.1) such that

$$(u,\theta) \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \times \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{U}).$$

Then, $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\operatorname{Log-Lip}}_{loc}(U)$ and, for every compact $K \subset U$, there exists C > 0, depending only on the data and K, such that

$$\|\theta\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\operatorname{Log-Lip}}(K)} \leq C.$$

The theorem can be interpreted as follows: a slight refinement in the existence class resonates through the highly nonlinear coupling of the system to yield a substantial gain in regularity.

Remark 2.1 (Fully nonlinear variant). The tangential analysis techniques we will be using find application in a variety of distinct contexts. In particular, we believe that our arguments are flexible enough to accommodate a fully nonlinear variation of our problem, for example,

$$\begin{cases} \left|Du\right|^{\sigma(\theta(x))} F(D^2u, x) = f \\ \\ G(D^2\theta, x) = \lambda(\theta(x)) \left|Du\right|^{\sigma(\theta(x))+2}, \end{cases}$$

where $F, G : \mathcal{S}(d) \times U \to \mathbb{R}$ are fully nonlinear elliptic operators. By designing an appropriate limiting profile, we would expect to establish improved regularity of the solutions in Hölder spaces.

3. A few auxiliary results

In this section, we gather previous developments and a few auxiliary results to which we resort in this paper. We start with a lemma on the existence of weak solutions to the p(x)-Laplace equation.

Lemma 3.1 (Existence of solutions to p(x)-Laplace equations). Let $p: U \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and suppose $f \in L^{\infty}(U)$. Then, there exists a

weak solution $u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$ to

$$\begin{cases}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|Du|^{p(x)-2}Du\right) = f & in \quad U \\
u = 0 & on \quad \partial U.
\end{cases}$$
(3.1)

For the proof of Lemma 3.1 we refer the reader to [8] (see also [6]). In fact, finer regularity results for (3.1) are available and the next lemma concerns the Hölder-continuity of the gradient of the solutions. For a proof, we refer the reader to [7].

Lemma 3.2 (Regularity of the solutions to p(x)-Laplace equations). Let $u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$ be a weak solution to (3.1). Suppose $p \in C^{0,\alpha}(U)$ and $f \in L^{\infty}(U)$. Then, $u \in C^{1,\beta}(U)$, for some $\beta \in (0,1)$, and there exists C > 0 such that

$$||u||_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\beta}(U)} \le C,$$

where C = C(p, f) and $\beta = \beta(p, f)$.

We conclude this section with a result on the sequential stability of the weak solutions to (1.1). First, it unlocks a continuity feature, required in the proof of the existence of solutions to (1.1). Furthermore, sequential stability is pivotal to the approximation analysis underlying the improved regularity for our problem.

Proposition 3.1 (Sequential stability of weak solutions). Suppose A1-A4 are in force. Let (u_n, θ_n) be a weak solution to

$$\begin{cases}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left|Du_{n}\right|^{\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x))-2}Du_{n}\right) = f_{n} & \text{in } U \\
-\Delta\theta_{n} = \lambda_{n}\left(\theta_{n}(x)\right)\left|Du_{n}\right|^{\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x))} & \text{in } U,
\end{cases}$$
(3.2)

where $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(\theta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are such that

$$||f_n - f||_{L^{\infty}(U)} + ||\sigma_n - \sigma||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + ||\lambda_n||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Suppose further there exists $(u_{\infty}, \theta_{\infty})$ such that $u_n \to u_{\infty}$ in $C^{1,\beta}$ and $\theta_n \to \theta_{\infty}$ in $C^{1,\beta}$, for some $\beta \in (0,1)$. Then,

$$\begin{cases}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left|Du_{\infty}\right|^{\sigma(\theta_{\infty}(x))-2}Du_{\infty}\right) = f & \text{in } U \\
-\Delta\theta_{\infty} = 0 & \text{in } U.
\end{cases}$$

Proof: The statement follows if we prove that

$$\int_{U} |Du_{\infty}|^{\sigma(\theta_{\infty}(x))-2} Du_{\infty} \cdot D\phi \, dx = \int_{U} f\phi \, dx \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\int_{U} D\theta_{\infty} \cdot D\psi \, dx = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

for every $\phi \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$ and every $\psi \in H_0^1(U)$.

We will apply Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem and we start with (3.3). Notice that

$$\int_{U} |Du_{\infty}|^{\sigma(\theta_{\infty}(x))-2} Du_{\infty} \cdot D\phi \, dx = \int_{U} f_{n}\phi \, dx
+ \int_{U} \left(|Du_{\infty}|^{\sigma(\theta_{\infty}(x))-2} Du_{\infty} - |Du_{n}|^{\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x))-2} Du_{n} \right) \cdot D\phi \, dx
:= \int_{U} I_{n} \, dx + \int_{U} J_{n} \, dx.$$

We first consider J_n . Because of Lemma 3.2, we know that $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset \mathcal{C}^{1,\beta}(U)$ and is uniformly bounded in that space. Hence, $|Du_n|$ is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{C}^{0,\beta}(U)$. In addition, it follows from A2 that $(\sigma_n \circ \theta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded. Hence,

$$|J_n(x)| \le C |D\phi(x)| \in L^1(U).$$

It remains to verify that

$$|Du_n(x)|^{\sigma_n(\theta_n(x))-2} Du_n(x) \to |Du_\infty(x)|^{\sigma(\theta_\infty(x))-2} Du_\infty(x),$$

as $n \to \infty$, i.e., that pointwise convergence indeed takes place. To that end, we distinguish two cases.

First, let $x \in U$ be such that $Du_{\infty}(x) \neq 0$. Then, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Du_n(x) \neq 0$ for every $n \geq N$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| |Du_{\infty}(x)|^{\sigma(\theta_{\infty}(x))-2} Du_{\infty}(x) - |Du_{n}(x)|^{\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x))-2} Du_{n}(x) \right| \\
& \leq |Du_{n}(x)|^{\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x))-2} |Du_{n}(x) - Du_{\infty}(x)| \\
& + \left| |Du_{n}(x)|^{\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x))-2} - |Du_{\infty}(x)|^{\sigma(\theta_{\infty}(x))-2} \right| |Du_{\infty}(x)| \\
& \leq o(1) + C \left| e^{(\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x))-2)\ln|Du_{n}(x)|} - e^{(\sigma(\theta_{\infty}(x))-2)\ln|Du_{\infty}(x)|} \right| \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Consider next the case of $x \in U$ so that $Du_{\infty}(x) = 0$. Now,

$$0 \le \left| |Du_{\infty}(x)|^{\sigma(\theta_{\infty}(x))-2} Du_{\infty}(x) - |Du_{n}(x)|^{\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x))-2} Du_{n}(x) \right|$$

$$\le |Du_{n}(x)|^{\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x))-1} + |Du_{\infty}(x)|^{\sigma(\theta_{\infty}(x))-1}$$

$$= |Du_{n}(x)|^{\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x))-1}.$$

Because $|Du_n|$ converges uniformly to $|Du_\infty|$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|Du_n(x)| < 1/2$ for every n > N. We conclude that

$$0 \le \left| \left| Du_{\infty}(x) \right|^{\sigma(\theta_{\infty}(x)) - 2} Du_{\infty}(x) - \left| Du_{n}(x) \right|^{\sigma_{n}(\theta_{n}(x)) - 2} Du_{n}(x) \right|$$
$$\le \left| Du_{n}(x) \right|^{\sigma^{-1}} \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$.

To treat I_n , notice that

$$|f_n(x)\phi(x)| \le C|\phi(x)| \in L^1(U).$$

In addition, by assumption, we conclude that $f_n(x)\phi(x) \to f(x)\phi(x)$ for every $x \in U$, as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem ensures that (3.3) holds true.

In what regards (3.4), notice that $D\theta_n(x) \to D\theta_\infty(x)$, for every $x \in U$. Furthermore, the assumptions of the proposition yield

$$\left(\lambda_n\left(\theta_n(x)\right)\left|Du_n\right|^{\sigma_n(\theta_n(x))}\right)\psi(x) \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$. A further application of Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem produces (3.4). The proof of the proposition is then complete.

The stability ensured by Proposition 3.1 will play an instrumental role in our improved-regularity argument of section 5.

4. Existence of weak solutions

In this section, we show that the system (1.1) admits at least one weak solution in the sense of Definition 2.1. As is customary for this type of coupled system, we will use Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem. This strategy has been pursued before in the literature, e.g., in [22].

We emphasize two aspects of our argument. First, it bypasses previous dimensional constraints; this advance is due to an improved regularity result produced in [7]. In fact, suppose $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\beta}(U)$ is given; under A1 and A2 the

variable exponent $p := \sigma \circ \theta$ is Hölder continuous and bounded. Together with the conditions on the source term, it frames the first equation in (1.1) within the scope of [7, Theorems 1.1-1.2] and unveils the improved regularity for u. This gain-of-regularity mechanism opens room for standard compact imbedding results; see, for instance, [5, Theorem 2.84].

Proposition 4.1 (Existence of weak solutions). Suppose A1-A4 are in force. Then, there exists a weak solution of (1.1) in the sense of Definition 2.1.

Proof: The proof is performed in two steps: we first define an operator and then show it fits the requirements of Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem.

Step 1. We are going to apply Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem in $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U})$, for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Take $\theta^* \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U})$ and, using the results in [6], solve

$$\begin{cases}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|Du|^{\sigma(\theta^*(x))-2}Du\right) = f & \text{in } U \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial U,
\end{cases}$$
(4.1)

obtaining $u \in W_0^{1,\sigma \circ \theta^*(\cdot)}(U)$. By Lemma 3.2, we have that $u \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\beta}(\overline{U})$, with a uniform estimate, and thus |Du| is globally bounded and so is the right-hand side of

$$-\Delta\theta = \lambda \left(\theta^*(x)\right) \left| Du \right|^{\sigma(\theta^*(x))}.$$

We then solve this Poisson equation, with the Dirichlet condition $\theta = 0$ on ∂U , obtaining a solution

$$\theta \in W_0^{2,q}(U), \quad \forall 1 < q < \infty.$$

In particular, by taking

$$q := \frac{2d}{1-\alpha}$$

we obtain

$$\theta \in W^{2,q}(U) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{1,\gamma}(\overline{U}),$$
 (4.2)

for every $\gamma < \frac{1+\alpha}{2}$ so, in particular, for $\gamma = \alpha$. We define the operator

$$\mathcal{T}: \ \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U}) \ \longrightarrow \ \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U})$$

$$\theta^* \longmapsto \mathcal{T}(\theta^*) := \theta.$$

It is obvious that a fixed point θ^* of \mathcal{T} provides the local weak solution (u, θ^*) of (1.1).

Step 2. The continuity of \mathcal{T} is assured by the continuous dependence results given by Proposition 3.1. The compactness follows from (4.2). It remains to show that \mathcal{T} takes the unit ball in $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U})$ into itself.

Let
$$\|\theta^*\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U})} \leq 1$$
. Then

$$|\sigma \circ \theta^*(x) - \sigma \circ \theta^*(y)| \le C_{\sigma} |\theta^*(x) - \theta^*(y)| \le C_{\sigma} |x - y|$$

and thus

$$\|\sigma \circ \theta^*\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0,1}(\overline{U})} \le C_{\sigma}.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$||u||_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\beta}(\overline{U})} \le C_{data},$$

which gives a uniform control on the L^{∞} -norm of the gradient Du. We then have, by classical elliptic regularity theory,

$$\|\theta\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U})} \leq C_{emb} \|\theta\|_{W^{2,\frac{2d}{1-\alpha}}(U)}$$

$$\leq C_{emb} \|\lambda (\theta^*(x)) |Du|^{\sigma(\theta^*(x))} \|_{L^{\infty}(U)}$$

$$\leq C_{emb} \|\lambda\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} C_{data}$$

$$\leq 1,$$

since $\|\lambda\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} \leq \frac{1}{C_{emb}C_{data}} =: \lambda^{+}$ due to A3.

5. Improved regularity

Proposition 4.1 establishes the existence of a weak solution, which further belongs to the regularity class

$$(u,\theta) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\beta}(\overline{U}) \times \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U}).$$

We notice that, were we given a solution in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \times \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{U})$, elliptic regularity theory and Lemma 3.2 would lead to the same levels of regularity. In this section, we make this assumption to improve the regularity for θ .

The tangential analysis methods we will use operate in two distinct layers: they first finely combine stability and compactness for solutions and then localize the analysis through a scaling argument, unveiling the geometric properties of the problem. Accordingly, we proceed by producing an approximation result for the solutions to (1.1).

Proposition 5.1 (Approximation Lemma). Suppose A1-A4 are in force and let

$$(u,\theta) \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \times \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{U})$$

be a solution to (1.1). Given $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, if

$$\|\lambda\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} < \varepsilon,$$

then there exists $h \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(U)$ satisfying

$$\|\theta - h\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} < \delta.$$

Proof: We argue by a contradiction argument; suppose the statement of the Proposition is false. Then there exist $\delta_0 > 0$ and sequences of functions $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\|\lambda_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$\begin{cases}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left|Du_{n}\right|^{\sigma(\theta_{n}(x))-2}Du_{n}\right) = f & \text{in } U \\
-\Delta\theta_{n} = \lambda_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\left|Du_{n}\right|^{\sigma(\theta_{n})} & \text{in } U,
\end{cases}$$

but

$$\|\theta_n - h\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} > \delta_0,$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(U)$.

From the regularity theory available for (u_n, θ_n) , we infer the existence of a pair $(u_\infty, \theta_\infty)$ such that

$$||u_n - u_{\infty}||_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\beta}(U)} + ||\theta_n - \theta_{\infty}||_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\beta}(U)} \longrightarrow 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$. By the stability of weak solutions, Proposition 3.1, we conclude that θ_{∞} satisfies

$$\Delta\theta_{\infty} = 0$$
 in U

and hence, $\theta_{\infty} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(U)$. Therefore, by setting $h := \theta_{\infty}$, we reach a contradiction and the proof is complete.

In the next proposition, we produce an oscillation control for the difference of θ and a paraboloid P(x).

Proposition 5.2. Suppose A1-A4 are in force and let

$$(u,\theta) \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \times \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{U})$$

be a solution to (1.1). Then, there exists a universal constant $0<\rho\ll 1$ such that

$$\sup_{B_{\rho}} \left| \theta(x) - \left(a + b \cdot x + \frac{1}{2} x^{T} M x \right) \right| \leq \rho^{2},$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $M \in \mathcal{S}(d)$.

Proof: Take $\delta > 0$, to be determined further down, and use Proposition 5.1 to obtain $h \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(U)$ such that

$$\|\theta - h\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} < \delta.$$

We point out that a standard scaling argument (see, for example [3, 18]) puts us in the (then unrestrictive) smallness regime required by Proposition 5.1.

We then have

$$\sup_{B_{\rho}} \left| \theta(x) - h(0) - Dh(0) \cdot x - \frac{x^{T} D^{2} h(0) x}{2} \right| \leq \sup_{B_{\rho}} \left| \theta(x) - h(x) \right|$$

$$+ \sup_{B_{\rho}} \left| h(x) - h(0) - Dh(0) \cdot x - \frac{x^{T} D^{2} h(0) x}{2} \right|$$

$$\leq \delta + C \rho^{2+\alpha},$$

for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, where C > 0 is a universal constant. Define

$$\rho := \left(\frac{1}{2C}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := \frac{\rho^2}{2}.$$

By setting a := h(0), b := Dh(0) and $M := D^2h(0)$, we conclude the proof.

Observe that the constant matrix $M = D^2h(0)$ in the proof of Proposition 5.2 satisfies Tr(M) = 0. The next result is a discrete counterpart of Proposition 5.2, at the scale ρ^n , for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 5.3. Suppose A1-A4 are in force and let

$$(u,\theta) \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \times \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{U})$$

be a solution to (1.1). Then, there exists a sequence of polynomials $(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the form

$$P_n(x) := a_n + b_n \cdot x + \frac{x^T M_n x}{2},$$

satisfying

$$\operatorname{Tr}(M_n) = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\sup_{B_{\rho^n}} |\theta(x) - P_n(x)| \le \rho^{2n}$$
 (5.2)

and

$$|a_n - a_{n-1}| + \rho^{n-1} |b_n - b_{n-1}| + \rho^{2(n-1)} |M_n - M_{n-1}| \le C\rho^{2(n-1)}, \quad (5.3)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: The result follows from an induction argument. The statement of Proposition 5.2 accounts for the case n = 1. Suppose the case n = k has already been verified. We consider the case n = k + 1.

Consider the auxiliary function

$$v_k(x) := \frac{\theta(\rho^k x) - P_k(\rho^k x)}{\rho^{2k}}.$$

Notice that v_k solves

$$-\Delta v_k = \lambda \left(\rho^{2k} v_k(x) + P_k(\rho^k x) \right) \left| Du(\rho^k x) \right|^{\sigma \left(\rho^{2k} v_k(x) + P_k(\rho^k x) \right)}.$$

Hence, by imposing a suitable smallness regime on the L^{∞} -norm of $\lambda(x)$, v_k falls within the scope of Proposition 5.1. Therefore, there exists $\overline{h} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(U)$ such that

$$\sup_{B_{\rho}} \left| v_k(x) - \overline{h}(0) - D\overline{h}(0) \cdot x - \frac{x^T D^2 \overline{h}(0) x}{2} \right| \le \rho^2. \tag{5.4}$$

Set $P_{k+1}(x)$ as

$$P_{k+1}(x) := a_k + \rho^{2k}\overline{h}(0) + (b_k + \rho^k D\overline{h}(0)) \cdot x + \frac{x^T (M_k + D^2\overline{h}(0)) x}{2}.$$

It follows from (5.4) that

$$\sup_{B_{\rho^{k+1}}} |\theta(x) - P_{k+1}(x)| \le \rho^{2(k+1)}$$

and, in addition,

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(M_k + D^2\overline{h}(0)\right) = 0.$$

Finally, we also have

$$|a_{k+1} - a_k| = \rho^{2k} |\overline{h}(0)|,$$

$$|b_{k+1} - b_k| = \rho^k |D\overline{h}(0)|$$

and

$$|M_{k+1} - M_k| = |D^2 \overline{h}(0)|.$$

Hence,

$$|a_{k+1} - a_k| + \rho^k |b_{k+1} - b_k| + \rho^{2k} |M_{k+1} - M_k| \le C\rho^{2k},$$

where C > 0 is a universal constant.

Observe that, from (5.2) and (5.3), we have

$$a_n \to \theta(0), \qquad b_n \to D\theta(0),$$

with

$$|a_n - \theta(0)| \le \rho^{2n}, \qquad |b_n - D\theta(0)| \le C\rho^n.$$
 (5.5)

Although we can not conclude about the convergence of the sequence of matrices $(M_n)_n$, we still obtain, again from (5.3), the estimate

$$|M_n| \le Cn. (5.6)$$

We conclude the paper with the proof of Theorem 2.1, which amounts to producing the continuous version of Proposition 5.3.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Let $0 < r \le \rho \ll 1$ be given. Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho^{n+1} < r \le \rho^n$. Observe that then

$$n \le \frac{\ln r}{\ln \rho}.$$

From Proposition 5.3 and estimates (5.5) and (5.6), we obtain

$$\sup_{B_{r}} |\theta(x) - (\theta(0) + D\theta(0) \cdot x)| \leq \sup_{B_{\rho^{n}}} |\theta(x) - (\theta(0) + D\theta(0) \cdot x)|$$

$$\leq \sup_{B_{\rho^{n}}} \left| (\theta - P_{n}) + a_{n} - \theta(0) + b_{n} \cdot x - D\theta(0) \cdot x + \frac{x^{t} M_{n} x}{2} \right|$$

$$\leq \rho^{2n} + \rho^{2n} + C \rho^{2n} + \frac{C}{2} n \rho^{2n}$$

$$\leq C \left(\rho^{2n} + n \rho^{2n} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\rho^{2}} \left(\rho^{2(n+1)} + n \rho^{2(n+1)} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\rho^{2}} \left(r^{2} + \frac{\ln r}{\ln \rho} r^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\rho^{2} |\ln \rho|} \left(|\ln \rho| + \ln \frac{1}{r} \right) r^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{2C}{\rho^{2} |\ln \rho|} r^{2} \ln \frac{1}{r}$$

$$\leq C r^{2} \ln \frac{1}{r},$$

for a universal constant C > 0. The conclusion that, locally, θ has continuous first order derivatives, with a Log-Lipschitz modulus of continuity, follows from standard arguments in regularity theory.

Remark 5.1. If we further assume the function λ to be Hölder continuous we enter the realm of Schauder's regularity theory and therefore

$$\theta \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(U),$$

for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

References

- [1] S.N. Antontsev and M. Chipot, *The thermistor problem: existence, smoothness uniqueness, blowup*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 25 (1994), 1128–1156.
- [2] D. J. Araújo, A. F. Maia, and J.M. Urbano, Sharp regularity for the inhomogeneous porous medium equation, J. Anal. Math., to appear.
- [3] D. J. Araújo, E. V. Teixeira, and J.M. Urbano, A proof of the $C^{p'}$ -regularity conjecture in the plane, Adv. Math. 316 (2017), 541–553.

- [4] D. J. Araújo, E. V. Teixeira, and J.M. Urbano, Towards the C^{p'} regularity conjecture in higher dimensions, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 20 (2018), 6481–6495.
- [5] F. Demengel and G. Demengel, Functional spaces for the theory of elliptic partial differential equations, Universitext, Springer, 2012.
- [6] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Růžička, Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2017, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [7] X. Fan, Global $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity for variable exponent elliptic equations in divergence form, J. Differential Equations 235 (2007), 397–417.
- [8] X. Fan and Q. Zhang, Existence of solutions for p(x)-Laplacian Dirichlet problem, Nonlinear Anal. 52 (2003), 1843–1852.
- [9] H. Gao, B. Li and W. Sun, Stability and convergence of fully discrete Galerkin FEMs for the nonlinear thermistor equations in a nonconvex polygon, Numer. Math. 136 (2017), 383–409.
- [10] S.D. Howison, J.F. Rodrigues and M. Shillor, Stationary solutions to the thermistor problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 174 (1993), 573–588.
- [11] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione, Gradient regularity for nonlinear parabolic equations, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 12 (2013), 755–822.
- [12] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione, *The Wolff gradient bound for degenerate parabolic equations*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 16 (2014), 835–892.
- [13] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione, *Riesz potentials and nonlinear parabolic equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 212 (2014), 727–780.
- [14] E. A. Pimentel and E. V. Teixeira, Sharp Hessian integrability estimates for nonlinear elliptic equations: an asymptotic approach, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 106 (2016), 744–767.
- [15] M. Růžička, Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [16] E. V. Teixeira, Sharp regularity for general Poisson equations with borderline sources, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 99 (2013), 150–164.
- [17] E. V. Teixeira, Regularity for quasilinear equations on degenerate singular sets, Math. Ann. 358 (2014), 241–256.
- [18] E. V. Teixeira, Universal moduli of continuity for solutions to fully nonlinear elliptic equations, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 211 (2014), 911–927.
- [19] E. V. Teixeira, Geometric regularity estimates for elliptic equations, Mathematical Congress of the Americas, 185–201, Contemp. Math. 656, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [20] E. V. Teixeira and J.M. Urbano, A geometric tangential approach to sharp regularity for degenerate evolution equations, Anal. PDE 7 (2014), 733–744.
- [21] E. V. Teixeira and J.M. Urbano, Geometric tangential analysis and sharp regularity for degenerate pdes, in: Proceedings of the INdAM Meeting "Harnack Inequalities and Nonlinear Operators" in honour of Prof. E. DiBenedetto, Springer INdAM Series, to appear.
- [22] V. V. Zhikov, Solvability of the three-dimensional thermistor problem, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 261 (2008), 98–111.

EDGARD A. PIMENTEL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PONTIFICAL CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF RIO DE JANEIRO – PUC-RIO, 22451-900, GÁVEA, RIO DE JANEIRO-RJ, BRAZIL

E-mail address: pimentel@puc-rio.br

José Miguel Urbano

CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, 3001-501 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL *E-mail address*: jmurb@mat.uc.pt