Pré-Publicações do Departamento de Matemática Universidade de Coimbra Preprint Number 21–09

### AD-NILPOTENT ELEMENTS OF SKEW-INDEX IN SEMIPRIME ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS WITH INVOLUTION

#### JOSE BROX, ESTHER GARCÍA, MIGUEL GÓMEZ LOZANO, RUBÉN MUÑOZ ALCÁZAR AND GUILLERMO VERA DE SALAS

ABSTRACT: In this paper we study ad-nilpotent elements of a semiprime associative algebra R with involution \* whose indices of ad-nilpotence differ on Skew(R, \*) and on R. The existence of such an ad-nilpotent element a implies the existence of a GPI of R, and determines a big part of its structure. When moving to the symmetric Martindale algebra of quotients  $Q_m^s(R)$  of R, a remains ad-nilpotent of the original indices in Skew $(Q_m^s(R), *)$  and  $Q_m^s(R)$ . There exists an idempotent e that orthogonally decomposes a = ea + (1 - e)a and either both ea and (1 - e)a are ad-nilpotent of the same index (in this case the index of ad-nilpotence of a in Skew $(Q_m^s(R), *)$  is congruent with 0 modulo 4), or ea and (1 - e)a have different indices of ad-nilpotence (in this case the index of ad-nilpotence of a in Skew $(Q_m^s(R), *)$  is congruent with 3 modulo 4). Furthermore we show that  $Q_m^s(R)$ has a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading induced by a \*-complete family of orthogonal idempotents and that  $eQ_m^s(R)e$ , which contains ea, is isomorphic to an algebra of matrices over its extended centroid. All this information is used to produce examples of these types of ad-nilpotence elements for any possible index of ad-nilpotence n.

KEYWORDS: Ad-nilpotent element, semiprime algebra, GPI, involution, matrix algebra, grading.

MATH. SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2010): 16R50, 16W10, 16W25.

# 1. Introduction

Let R be an associative algebra, and let  $a \in R$ . The map  $\operatorname{ad}_a : R \to R$ defined by  $\operatorname{ad}_a(x) := ax - xa$  is called an inner derivation of R. It is a derivation of the Lie algebra  $R^{(-)}$  with bracket product given by [x, y] :=xy - yx for every  $x, y \in R$ . An element  $a \in R$  is ad-nilpotent if the map  $\operatorname{ad}_a$  is nilpotent. Suppose that R is an associative algebra with involution \*and let K and H(R, \*) respectively denote the sets of skew-symmetric and

Received April 14, 2021.

The author was supported by the Portuguese Government through the FCT grant SFRH/BPD/118665/2016. This work was partially supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra – UID/MAT/00324/2020, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/MCTES.

The last four authors were partially supported by MTM2017-84194-P (AEI/FEDER, UE), and by the Junta de Andalucía FQM264.

of symmetric elements of R. We say that an element  $a \in K$  is ad-nilpotent (of K) of index n if  $\operatorname{ad}_a^n K = 0$  but  $\operatorname{ad}_a^{n-1} K \neq 0$ . Since the seminal work [15] by Posner, derivations (or some of their generalizations) forcing a prime or semiprime ring to be PI have been broadly studied (see e.g. [13] or [6]). In this paper we focus on the ad-nilpotent elements of a semiprime associative algebra with involution that produce GPIs.

The study of ad-nilpotent elements of the skew-symmetric elements of a prime ring with involution began in 1991 with the work of Martindale and Miers [14]. Later on, their result was extended to prime associative superalgebras (see [11]) and to semiprime rings with involution (see [2] and [12]).

Martindale and Miers result in the prime setting separates ad-nilpotent elements of K between those which are ad-nilpotent of R of the same index (this may occur when  $n \equiv_4 1, 3$ ) and those that are nilpotent elements and produce a GPI in the central closure of R (this may happen if  $n \equiv_4 0, 3$ ). A similar phenomenon occurs when R is semiprime under the right torsion constraints (see [2, Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 5.6]): for any ad-nilpotent element  $a \in R$  there exists a family of orthogonal central idempotents  $\epsilon_i$ such that  $R = \bigoplus \epsilon_i R$ ,  $a = \sum \epsilon_i a$ , each  $\epsilon_i a$  is ad-nilpotent of index  $n_i$  in  $K_i = \text{Skew}(\epsilon_i R, *)$ , and either

- (a)  $\epsilon_i a$  is ad-nilpotent in the whole  $\epsilon_i R$  of the same index  $n_i$ , or
- (b)  $\epsilon_i a$  is nilpotent of index  $\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right] + 1$ , the ideal generated by  $a^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]}$  is essential in  $\epsilon_i R$  and the elements of  $\epsilon_i R$  satisfy certain GPI involving  $a^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]}$ .

Elements of type (a) occur when  $n_i \equiv_4 1, 3$  and will be called ad-nilpotent elements of *full-index*. Elements of type (b) occur when  $n_i \equiv_4 0, 3$  and will be called elements of *skew-index*. Notice that ad-nilpotent elements of skewindex are also ad-nilpotent elements of  $\epsilon_i R$ , but the indices of ad-nilpotence in  $K_i$  and in  $\epsilon_i R$  differ. The goal of this paper is to describe ad-nilpotent elements of skew-index in semiprime associative algebras and to show how they determine a big part of their structure.

The smallest possible index for an element of skew-index is n = 3. Adnilpotent elements of skew-index 3 are called *Clifford elements* because associated to them there is a Jordan algebra of Clifford type (see [9] and [5]). Our paper is a natural generalization of the careful study of Clifford elements carried out in [4] (alternatively, see [8, Section 8.4]): If R is a prime ring with involution and  $a \in R$  is a Clifford element then it satisfies  $a^3 = 0, a^2 \neq 0$  and  $a^2Ka^2 = 0$ ,  $a^2$  and a are von Neumann regular elements and there is an element  $b \in H(R, *)$  such that  $a^2ba^2 = a^2$ ,  $ba^2b = b$  and  $b^2 = 0$  (which also has a square root  $\sqrt{b} \in K$ ,  $\sqrt{b}^2 = b$ , such that  $a\sqrt{b}a = a$ ,  $\sqrt{b}a\sqrt{b} = \sqrt{b}$ , which is also a Clifford element). The existence of a Clifford element determines much of the structure of the prime ring: it forces Skew(C(R), \*) = 0for the extended centroid C(R), makes R a GPI ring (so R has socle), and the related \*-orthogonal idempotents  $a^2b, ba^2$  induce a 5-grading on R and a compatible 3-grading on K with  $a \in K_1$  (and  $\sqrt{b} \in K_{-1}$ ) with  $R_{-2}, R_2$ being isomorphic to C(R) as vector spaces and  $K_{-1}, K_1$  being Clifford inner ideals of the Lie algebra K (see [3] for details). We generalize these results to ad-nilpotent elements of skew-index.

Since they produce GPIs and we are working with semiprime associative algebras with involution, the best setting to study these elements is the symmetric Martindale ring of quotients  $Q_m^s(R)$ . Accordingly, we show that these elements remain ad-nilpotent of the same index in  $\mathcal{K} := \text{Skew}(Q_m^s(R), *)$  and produce a \*-complete family of orthogonal idempotents in  $Q_m^s(R)$  which induces a grading on  $Q_m^s(R)$  compatible with the involution. When restricting the grading to  $\mathcal{K}$  we obtain a grading with shorter support. We can consider this result an extension of Smirnov's description of simple graded algebras with involution with  $\text{Supp}(K) \neq \text{Supp}(R)$ , see [16, Theorem 5.4], which deepens Zelmanov's classification of simple Lie algebras with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading carried out in [17]. Furthermore we show that, given an ad-nilpotent element of skew-index, there is an associated set of matrix units making a related subalgebra isomorphic to a ring of matrices, which produces a clear-cut extension of the relevant properties of Clifford elements.

Our last section is devoted to constructing matrix examples of ad-nilpotent elements both of full-index and of skew-index of all possible ad-nilpotence indices n. We highlight that this section completes the work of Martindale and Miers in [14]. In [14, §4.Examples] Martindale and Miers constructed examples of ad-nilpotent elements of skew-index in complex matrices with the transpose involution, and they claimed that they were giving examples for both  $n \equiv_4 3$  and  $n \equiv_4 0$ , covering the possibilities of [14, Main Theorem(2b)], but, as it turns out, they actually addressed the case  $n \equiv_4 3$  twice: for each  $n \equiv_4 0$  they constructed a skew-symmetric matrix W which, as they showed, satisfies  $ad_W^n(K) = 0$ ; but it is easily checked that it also satisfies  $ad_W^{n-1}(K) = 0$ , so that its index of ad-nilpotence is actually n - 1, which is congruent to 3 modulo 4.

# 2. Preliminaries

**2.1.** In this paper we will deal with semiprime associative algebras R with involution \* over a ring of scalars  $\Phi$  with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \Phi$  ( $\lambda R \neq 0$  for every nonzero  $\lambda \in \Phi$ ). If we define the bracket product as [x, y] := xy - yx for every  $x, y \in R$ , R turns into a Lie algebra denoted by  $R^{(-)}$ . The set of skew-symmetric elements { $x \in R \mid x^* = -x$ }, which will be denoted by K, is a Lie subalgebra of  $R^{(-)}$ .

Given a Lie algebra L, we say that  $a \in L$  is ad-nilpotent of L of index n if  $\operatorname{ad}_a^n L = 0$  and  $\operatorname{ad}_a^{n-1} L \neq 0$ , where  $\operatorname{ad}_a$  denotes the usual adjoint map  $\operatorname{ad}_a x := [a, x]$  for every  $x \in L$ . In [2], a deep study of ad-nilpotent elements in semiprime associative algebras with involution was carried out. Following the classification of ad-nilpotent elements obtained in [2, Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 5.6], we introduce the following definitions:

**2.2.** Let R be a semiprime associative algebra with involution \*. Let  $a \in K$ .

We say that a is ad-nilpotent of full-index if a is ad-nilpotent of R and of K of the same index n. By [2, Theorem 5.6], under the adequate torsion requirements, this occurs when  $n \equiv_4 1$  or  $n \equiv_4 3$ .

We say that a is ad-nilpotent of skew-index n if it satisfies all the following conditions:

- a is ad-nilpotent of K of index n with  $n \equiv_4 0$  or  $n \equiv_4 3$ ,
- *a* is a nilpotent element of index t + 1 for  $t := \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$  (in particular *t* is even and *a* is an ad-nilpotent of *R* of index n + 1 or n + 2),
- $a^t$  generates an essential ideal in R,
- $\bullet$  and
  - if  $n \equiv_4 0$ ,  $a^t x a^t = 0$  for every  $x \in K$ .
  - if  $n \equiv_4 3$ ,  $a^t x a^{t-1} a^{t-1} x a^t = 0$  for every  $x \in K$ .

Notice that under the adequate torsion requirements, this last condition follows from [2, Theorem 5.6].

**2.3.** Given an associative algebra R over  $\Phi$ , we define a permissible map of R as a pair (I, f) where I is an essential ideal of R and f is a homomorphism of right R-modules. For permissible maps (I, f) and (J, g) of R, define an equivalence relation  $\equiv$  by  $(I, f) \equiv (J, g)$  if there exists an essential ideal M of R, contained in  $I \cap J$ , such that f(x) = g(x) for all  $x \in M$ . The quotient set  $Q_m^r(R)$  will be called the right Martindale algebra of quotients of R. Suppose from now on that R is semiprime. Then we can define an addition

and a multiplication in  $Q_m^r(R)$  coming respectively from the addition and the composition of homomorphisms (see [1, Chapter 2]):

• 
$$[I, f] + [J, g] := [I \cap J, f + g],$$

•  $[I, f] \cdot [J, g] := [(I \cap J)^2, f \circ g].$ 

The map  $i: R \hookrightarrow Q_m^r(R)$  defined by  $i(r) := [R, L_r]$ , where  $L_r: R \to R$  is the left multiplication map  $L_r(x) := rx$ , is a monomorphism of associative algebras (called the usual embedding of R into  $Q_m^r(R)$ ), i.e., R can be considered as a subalgebra of its right Martindale algebra of quotients. Moreover, given any  $0 \neq q := [I, f] \in Q_m^r(R)$  we have that  $0 \neq qI \subseteq R$ . Therefore every subalgebra S of  $Q_m^r(R)$  which contains R is semiprime because every nonzero ideal of S has nonzero intersection with R. We also recall the following useful property: for every  $q \in Q_m^r(R)$  and every essential ideal J of R, qJ = 0 or Jq = 0 imply q = 0.

The symmetric Martindale ring of quotients of R is defined as

$$Q_m^s(R) := \{ q \in Q_m^r(R) | \exists an essential ideal I of R such that qI + Iq \subseteq R \}.$$

Since  $R \subseteq Q_m^s(R) \subseteq Q_m^r(R)$ ,  $Q_m^s(R)$  is again semiprime. When R has an involution, the involution is uniquely extended to  $Q_m^s(R)$  ([1, Proposition 2.5.4]).

**2.4.** The extended centroid C(R) of a semiprime algebra R is defined as the center of  $Q_m^s(R)$ . It is commutative and unital von Neumann regular. The ring of scalars  $\Phi$  is contained in C(R) under the usual embedding of R into  $Q_m^s(R)$ .

The central closure of R, denoted by  $\hat{R}$ , is defined as the subalgebra of  $Q_m^s(R)$  generated by R and C(R), i.e.,  $\hat{R} := C(R) + C(R)R$ ; so the elements of R can be identified with elements in its central closure. The algebra  $\hat{R}$  is semiprime since  $R \subseteq \hat{R} \subseteq Q_m^r(R)$ , and it is centrally closed, meaning that  $\hat{R}$  coincides with its central closure.

Since the extended centroid C(R) of a semiprime R is von Neumann regular, given an element  $\lambda \in C(R)$  there exists  $\lambda' \in C(R)$  such that  $\lambda \lambda' \lambda = \lambda$ and  $\lambda' = \lambda' \lambda \lambda'$ . Let us define  $\epsilon_{\lambda} := \lambda \lambda'$ . Then  $\epsilon_{\lambda}$  is an idempotent of C(R) such that  $\epsilon_{\lambda}\lambda = \lambda$ . Moreover, if R is semiprime with involution \* and  $\lambda \in \text{Skew}(C(R), *)$ , then  $-\lambda = \lambda^* = (\lambda \lambda' \lambda)^* = \lambda \lambda'^* \lambda$ , which implies that  $\lambda'$  can be taken in Skew(C(R), \*) (replace  $\lambda'$  by  $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda' - \lambda'^*)$ ). In this case  $\epsilon_{\lambda} = \lambda \lambda' \in H(C(R), *)$  is a symmetric idempotent of C(R).

The following result relates the extended centroid and the center of the local algebra at an idempotent element, and can be easily deduced from [1, Corollary 2.3.12].

**Lemma 2.5.** Let R be a semiprime centrally closed associative algebra and let e be an idempotent of R such that the ideal generated by e in R is essential. Then  $C(R) \cong Z(eRe)$ .

*Proof*: The homomorphism  $\varphi : C(R) \to Z(eRe)$  defined by  $\varphi(\lambda) = \lambda e = e\lambda e$  is an isomorphism: by [1, Corollary 2.3.12],  $\varphi$  is surjective; moreover, if  $\varphi(\lambda) = 0$ , then the ideals  $\lambda R$  and ReR are orthogonal, which implies that  $\lambda = 0$  because ReR is an essential ideal.

The following technical lemma, which collects two results about \*-identities, was proved in [2, Lemma 5.1].

**Lemma 2.6.** Let R be a semiprime associative algebra with involution \* over a ring of scalars  $\Phi$  with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \Phi$ . Let  $k \in K$  and  $h \in H(R, *)$ . Then:

- (1) hKh = 0 implies  $hRh \subseteq H(C(R), *)h$ . Moreover, R satisfies hxhyh = hyhxh for every  $x, y \in R$ , and if  $Id_R(h)$  is essential this identity is a strict GPI in R and Skew(C(R), \*) = 0.
- (2) hKh = 0 and hKk = 0 imply hRk = 0.
- (3) kKk = 0 implies k = 0.

In particular, if there is an element  $a \in R$  which is ad-nilpotent of skew-index n, then since  $t = \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$  is even we have  $a^t K a^t = 0$  with  $a^t \in H(R, *)$  and  $\mathrm{Id}_R(a^t)$  essential, so item (1) applies and shows that  $\mathrm{Skew}(C(R), *) = 0$  and that R satisfies a strict GPI (in particular  $Q_m^r(R)$  is von Neumann regular; see [1, Section 6.3] for more structural consequences).

# 3. Main

**3.1.** Let R be an associative algebra over a ring of scalars  $\Phi$  with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \Phi$ . Let  $a \in K$  be a nilpotent element of index t + 1 such that  $a^t \in H(R, *)$  is von Neumann regular – as occurs when a is an ad-nilpotent element of skew-index, see Theorem 3.5 below. In this situation we can associate a \*-Rus inverse to a, i.e., an element  $b \in H(R, *)$  satisfying  $a^t b a^t = a^t$ ,  $b a^t b = b$  and  $b a^s b = 0$  for every s < t, see [10, Lemma 2.4] and [7, Lemma 3.2] (which works also when  $a \in K$ ). Define  $e_{ij} := a^{i-1}ba^{t+1-j}$ ,  $e_i := e_{ii}$  for every  $i, j = 1, \ldots, t+1$ , and  $e := \sum_{i=1}^{t+1} e_i$ . The element e is a symmetric idempotent which we call a \*-Rus idempotent associated to a. It satisfies  $ea = ae = \sum_{i=2}^{t+1} e_{i,i-1}, ea^t = a^t$ 

and eb = b = be. The set  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{t+1}$  is a set of matrix units for eRe. Notice that  $e_{\frac{t+2}{2}} \in H(R, *)$  and let  $S := e_{\frac{t+2}{2}}Re_{\frac{t+2}{2}}$ . Then the subalgebra eRe and  $\mathcal{M}_{t+1}(S)$  are \*-isomorphic under the isomorphism

$$\Psi: \mathcal{M}_{t+1}(S) \to eRe \text{ defined by } \Psi((x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{t+1}) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{t+1} e_{i,\frac{t+2}{2}} x_{ij} e_{\frac{t+2}{2},j}$$

where each  $x_{ij} = e_{\frac{t+2}{2}} x_{ij} e_{\frac{t+2}{2}} \in e_{\frac{t+2}{2}} Re_{\frac{t+2}{2}}$ , and the involution in  $\mathcal{M}_{t+1}(S)$  is given by

$$A^{*} := D^{-1} A^{*} D$$
  
for every  $A = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} e_{ij} \in \mathcal{M}_{t+1}(S)$ , where  $\bar{A}^{tr} := \sum_{ij} a_{ij}^{*} e_{ji}$  and  
 $D := \sum_{i=1}^{t+1} (-1)^{i} e_{i,t+2-i} = D^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{t+1}(S).$ 

When considering the following \*-complete family of orthogonal idempotents

$$\{f_i := e_{i+1}, i = 0, \dots, t, i \neq \frac{t}{2}\} \cup \{f_{\frac{t}{2}} := 1 - e + e_{\frac{t+2}{2}}\},\$$

which satisfy  $f_i^* = f_{t-i}$  for every *i*, we obtain a grading in *R* which is compatible with the involution:

$$R = R_{-t} \oplus \cdots \oplus R_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_t$$

where  $R_j := \sum_{k-l=j} f_k R f_l$  (notice that  $R_j^* = R_j$  for each j). With respect to this grading we have

$$ea \in R_1, (1-e)a \in R_0, a^t \in R_t \text{ and } b \in R_{-t}$$

This grading is called the grading of R induced by a and its \*-Rus inverse b.

In the above argument, the element a can be replaced by ea without changing the grading in R: the element b = eb is also a \*-Rus inverse for ea and gives rise to the same set of matrix units

$$e_{ij} = a^{i-1}ba^{t+1-j} = a^{i-1}ebea^{t+1-j} = (ea)^{i-1}b(ea)^{t+1-j}$$

so the grading in R induced by ea and its \*-Rus inverse b coincides with the grading of R induced by a and b.

When a is an ad-nilpotent element of K of skew-index, the GPIs satisfied in R allow a more precise description of this grading, as we will show in the following theorem. **Theorem 3.2.** Let R be a semiprime associative algebra with involution \*over a ring of scalars  $\Phi$  with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \Phi$ , let K := Skew(R, \*) and let  $a \in K$  be an ad-nilpotent element of skew-index n. Let  $t := \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$  and suppose that  $a^t$ is von Neumann regular. Let us consider the grading in R

$$R = R_{-t} \oplus \dots \oplus R_0 \oplus \dots \oplus R_t \tag{(\star)}$$

induced by a and its \*-Rus inverse b. Let e be a \*-Rus idempotent associated to a. Then:

- (1) The grading  $(\star)$  restricted to K has  $K_{-t} = 0 = K_t$ .
- (2) S is a semiprime commutative algebra with identity involution. In particular, the involution in  $eRe \cong \mathcal{M}_{t+1}(S)$  under this isomorphism is given by

$$A^* = D^{-1}A^{\mathrm{tr}}D$$
 for any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{t+1}(S)$ .

- (3) As  $\Phi$ -modules, both  $R_t$  and  $R_{-t}$  are isomorphic to S.
- (4) If t > 2, both  $K_{-(t-1)}$  and  $K_{t-1}$  are isomorphic to S.

Moreover, if R is centrally closed,  $S \cong C(R)$ .

*Proof*: Since the grading  $(\star)$  is compatible with the involution, we can restrict it to K,

$$K = K_{-t} \oplus K_{-t+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_{t-1} \oplus K_t.$$

(1) Let us show that  $K_{-t} = 0 = K_t$ : if  $x \in K_{-t} = R_{-t} \cap K$  then  $x = f_0 k f_t = e_1 k e_{t+1}$  for some  $k \in K$ , so  $x = ba^t k a^t b \in ba^t K a^t b = 0$ . Similarly, if  $x \in K_t = R_t \cap K$  then  $x = f_t k f_0 = e_{t+1} k e_1$  for some  $q \in K$ , so  $x = a^t b k b a^t \in a^t K a^t = 0$ . (2) We claim that  $S = e_{\frac{t+2}{2}} R e_{\frac{t+2}{2}}$  does not contain skew-symmetric elements: let  $k := \frac{t+2}{2}$ ; if  $x = -x^* \in e_k R e_k$  then  $x = e_k x e_k = e_{k,t+1}(e_{t+1,k} x e_{k,1})e_{1,k}$ , but  $e_{t+1,k} x e_{k,1} = e_{t+1} e_{t+1,k} x e_{k,1} e_1$  is a skew-symmetric element of  $R_t$ , so it is zero by (1). Therefore x = 0, the involution in S is the identity and hence S is commutative.

(3)  $R_t = f_t R f_0 = e_{t+1} R e_1 \cong S$  as a  $\Phi$ -module, and analogously for  $R_{-t}$ . (4) Since t > 2,  $R_{-(t-1)} = \sum_{k-l=-(t-1)} f_k R f_l = e_1 R e_t + e_2 R e_{t+1} \subseteq e R e \cong \mathcal{M}_{t+1}(S)$ , and under this isomorphism the elements of  $R_{-(t-1)}$  are of the form

$$x = \lambda e_{1,t} + \mu e_{2,t+1}, \qquad \lambda, \mu \in S,$$

whence  $x = \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} u + \frac{\lambda - \mu}{2} v$  for  $u := e_{1,t} + e_{2,t+1} \in H(R, *)$  and  $v := e_{1,t} - e_{2,t+1} \in K$ . Therefore  $K_{-(t-1)} \subseteq Sv$ . A similar argument applies to  $K_{t-1}$ .

Moreover, if R is centrally closed, by Lemma 2.5, since the ideal of R generated by  $e_{\frac{t+2}{2}}$  is essential because it contains  $a^t = a^{\frac{t}{2}} e_{\frac{t+2}{2}} a^{\frac{t}{2}}$ , we get  $S = e_{\frac{t+2}{2}} Re_{\frac{t+2}{2}} = Z(e_{\frac{t+2}{2}} Re_{\frac{t+2}{2}}) \cong C(R)$  as associative algebras.

The last theorem allows to describe  $ea \in eRe \cong \mathcal{M}_{t+1}(S)$  in detail. Now we show how is a related to ea.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let R be a semiprime associative algebra with involution \*over a ring of scalars  $\Phi$  with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \Phi$ , let K := Skew(R, \*) and let  $a \in K$ be an ad-nilpotent element of skew-index n. Set  $t := [\frac{n+1}{2}]$  and suppose that R is free of  $\binom{2t-2}{t-1}$ -torsion and  $a^t$  is von Neumann regular. Then for any \*-Rus-idempotent  $e \in R$  associated to a, a = ea + (1 - e)a, and

(1) if  $n \equiv_4 0$ :

- ea is nilpotent of index t + 1 and ad-nilpotent of skew-index n − 1 in K.
- (1-e)a is nilpotent of index t and ad-nilpotent of full-index n-1 in K.
- (2) if  $n \equiv_4 3$ :
  - ea is nilpotent of index t + 1 and ad-nilpotent of skew-index n in K.
  - (1-e)a is nilpotent of index  $\leq t-1$  and ad-nilpotent in K of index  $\leq n-2$ .
  - $ea^{t-1} = a^{t-1}$ .

*Proof*: Let  $b \in H(R, *)$  be a \*-Rus-inverse of a and let e be the associated \*-Rus idempotent.

Suppose that  $n \equiv_4 0$ . Let us see that *ea* is ad-nilpotent of index n - 1 in K: for every  $k \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ad}_{ea}^{n-1} k &= \operatorname{ad}_{ea}^{2t-1} k = \binom{n-1}{t-1} (ea^{t-1}kea^t - ea^t kea^{t-1}) = \\ &= \binom{n-1}{t-1} (ea^{t-1}ka^t - a^t kea^{t-1}) = \\ &= \binom{n-1}{t-1} ((a^t ba^{t-1} + a^{t-1}ba^t)ka^t - a^t k(a^t ba^{t-1} + a^{t-1}ba^t)) = \\ &= \binom{n-1}{t-1} (a^t (ba^{t-1}k)a^t - a^t (ka^{t-1}b)a^t) = \\ &= \binom{n-1}{t-1} a^t ((ba^{t-1}k) - (ba^{t-1}k)^*)a^t = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because  $(ba^{t-1}k)^* = ka^{t-1}b$  and  $a^tKa^t = 0$ . Thus ea is nilpotent of index t+1 (since  $(ea)^t = a^t \neq 0$ ) and ad-nilpotent of index  $\leq n-1$ . Let us see that its index of ad-nilpotence is n-1. Suppose on the contrary that  $ad_{ea}^{n-2}K = 0$ . Then for every  $k \in K$ ,

$$0 = a \cdot \operatorname{ad}_{ea}^{n-2} k = \binom{2t-2}{t} ea^{t-1}ka^t - \binom{2t-2}{t-1}a^t kea^{t-1}.$$

Since  $ea^{t-1} = a^t b a^{t-1} + a^{t-1} b a^t$  and  $a^t k a^t = 0$  we obtain

$$\binom{2t-2}{t}a^{t}ba^{t-1}ka^{t} - \binom{2t-2}{t-1}a^{t}ka^{t-1}ba^{t} = 0,$$

and since  $a^t x^* a^t = a^t x a^t$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $(ba^{t-1}k)^* = ka^{t-1}b$  we get

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} 2t-2\\t-1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2t-2\\t \end{pmatrix} \right) a^t k a^{t-1} b a^t = 0.$$

Now, again from  $a^t k a^t = 0$  and  $ea^{t-1} = a^t b a^{t-1} + a^{t-1} b a^t$ , we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} \binom{2t-2}{t-1} - \binom{2t-2}{t} \end{pmatrix} (a^{t}ka^{t-1}ba^{t} + a^{t}ka^{t}ba^{t-1}) = \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \binom{2t-2}{t-1} - \binom{2t-2}{t} \end{pmatrix} a^{t}kea^{t-1} = 0.$$

Since  $\binom{2t-2}{t-1} - \binom{2t-2}{t}$  divides  $\binom{2t-2}{t-1}$  and R is  $\binom{2t-2}{t-1}$ -torsion free we have  $a^t K e a^{t-1} = 0$ , so by Lemma 2.6(2) we get  $a^t R e a^{t-1} = 0$  with  $a^t$  generating an essential

ideal of R, and thus  $ea^{t-1} = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus ea is ad-nilpotent of index n - 1 in K.

Since  $ea^t = a^t$ , (1-e)a is nilpotent of index less than or equal to t. Let us see that its index of nilpotence is t. Suppose on the contrary that  $ea^{t-1} = a^{t-1}$ . Then, for every  $k \in K$ ,

$$\operatorname{ad}_{a}^{n-1} k = \operatorname{ad}_{a}^{2t-1} k = \binom{2t-1}{t-1} (-1)^{t} (a^{t-1}ka^{t} - a^{t}ka^{t-1}) = \\ = \binom{2t-1}{t-1} (-1)^{t} (ea^{t-1}ka^{t} - a^{t}kea^{t-1}) = \operatorname{ad}_{ea}^{2t-1} k = \operatorname{ad}_{ea}^{n-1} k = 0$$

would mean that a has index of ad-nilpotence  $\leq n-1$  in K, a contradiction. Hence  $(1-e)a^{t-1} \neq 0$ .

Let us see that (1-e)a is ad-nilpotent of index n-1: since  $(1-e)a^t = 0$ we get that  $\operatorname{ad}_{(1-e)a}^{n-1} K = \operatorname{ad}_{(1-e)a}^{2t-1} K = 0$ . In addition,  $\operatorname{ad}_{(1-e)a}^{n-2} K = \binom{2t-2}{t-1}(1-e)a^{t-1}K(1-e)a^{t-1} \neq 0$  by Lemma 2.6(3). Thus (1-e)a is nilpotent of index t and ad-nilpotent of index 2t-1=n-1.

Suppose that  $n \equiv_4 3$ . Let us see that in this case  $ea^{t-1} = a^{t-1}$ : for every  $k \in K$ , using that  $a^t k a^t = 0$ ,  $a^{t-1} k a^t = a^t k a^{t-1}$  and  $a^t b a^t = a^t$ ,

$$(ea^{t-1} - a^{t-1})ka^{t} = (a^{t-1}ba^{t} + a^{t}ba^{t-1} - a^{t-1})ka^{t} = a^{t}ba^{t-1}ka^{t} - a^{t-1}ka^{t} = a^{t}ba^{t}ka^{t-1} - a^{t-1}ka^{t} = a^{t}ka^{t-1} - a^{t-1}ka^{t} = 0.$$

Hence  $(ea^{t-1}-a^{t-1})Ka^t = 0$ . Since  $ea^{t-1}-a^{t-1} \in K$ ,  $a^t \in H(R,*)$ ,  $a^tKa^t = 0$ and the ideal generated by  $a^t$  is essential in R, we have by Lemma 2.6(2) that  $ea^{t-1}-a^{t-1} = 0$ . In particular we get that (1-e)a is nilpotent of index  $\leq t-1$ . Moreover, since in this case n-2 = 2t-2,  $\operatorname{ad}_{(1-e)a}^{2t-3} K = 0$ , implying that the index of ad-nilpotence of (1-e)a in K must be  $\leq n-2$ .

Let us see that *ea* is ad-nilpotent of index *n*: since n = 2t - 1,  $\operatorname{ad}_{ea}^{n} K = 0$  follows as above. In addition,  $\operatorname{ad}_{ea}^{n-1} K = \binom{2t-2}{t-1} ea^{t-1} Kea^{t-1} \neq 0$  by Lemma 2.6(3). So *ea* is nilpotent of index t + 1 and ad-nilpotent of index  $\leq n$ .

*Remarks* 3.4. Let e be a \*-Rus idempotent associated to the ad-nilpotent element a of skew-index n with  $a^t$  von Neumann regular  $(t = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor)$ , and consider the grading of K associated to them by Theorem 3.2.

- (1) When a is a Clifford element (i.e., n = 3) we have  $a = ea = a^2ba + aba^2$ by Theorem 3.3(2) (since t - 1 = 1), and  $a \in K_1$  in the grading.
- (2) When  $n \equiv_4 3$  and R is free of  $\binom{2t-2}{t-1}$ -torsion we obtain that  $a^{t-1}$  is also von Neumann regular: by Theorem 3.3(2) we have  $a^{t-1} = ea^{t-1}$ , so

 $a^{t-1} = e_{t,1} + e_{t+1,2} \in eRe \cong \mathcal{M}_{t+1}(S)$  by Theorem 3.2(2) and we get  $a^{t-1} = a^{t-1}ca^{t-1}, c = ca^{t-1}c$  for  $c := e_{1,t} + e_{2,t+1} \in K$ . If t > 2 then  $c^2 = 0$ , while when a is Clifford we have n = 3, t = 2 and  $c = e_{1,2} + e_{2,3}$  satisfies  $c^2 = e_{1,3} = e_{1,t+1} = b$ , so c is a square root of b. In addition c is also a Clifford element and  $c \in K_{-1}$  in the grading.

(3) Suppose R centrally closed. While when t > 2 we have  $K_{-(t-1)}, K_{t-1}$ isomorphic to C(R) as  $\Phi$ -modules by Theorem 3.2(4), when t = 2 they may be larger: since t = 2 we have  $n \in \{3, 4\}$ ; in either case, a' := eais a Clifford element generating the same grading by Theorem 3.3. We can show that a'Ka' = C(R)a' by using  $a' = a^2ba + aba^2$ ,  $a^2Ka^2 = 0$ and  $a^2xa^2 = \lambda_x a^2$  with  $\lambda_x \in C(R)$  for  $x \in R$  to show  $a'Ka' \subseteq C(R)a'$ , and a'ca' = a' with  $c \in K$  to show the equality. Then as a  $\Phi$ -module  $K_1 = C(R)a' \oplus X$  with  $X := \{a^2k + ka^2 \mid k \in K, a'ka' = 0\}$  and analogously for  $K_{-1}$  with c in place of a' (see [4, Proposition 4.4 and related results] for the details, which can be easily adapted to our context). The  $\Phi$ -module X can be 0, for example in the ring of  $3 \times 3$ matrices over a field (see [4, Remark 4.6(2)]).

The extra hypothesis of  $a^t$  being von Neumann regular required in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 is not too restrictive. When R is a \*-prime associative algebra,  $a^t K a^t = 0$  implies von Neumann regularity by Lemma 2.6(1). In general, if R is semiprime we can move to the symmetric Martindale algebra of quotients  $Q_m^s(R)$  because, as we will show in the following theorem, any ad-nilpotent element a of skew-index n is still ad-nilpotent in  $\mathcal{K} = \text{Skew}(Q_m^s(R), *)$  of skew-index n with  $a^t$  von Neumann regular in  $Q_m^s(R)$ . Although the liftings of GPIs and \*-GPIs respectively to the maximal right ring of quotients and the Martindale symmetric ring of quotients are well known (see for example [1, Theorems 6.4.1 and 6.4.7]), we will include all the calculations for the sake of completeness.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let R be a semiprime associative algebra with involution \*over a ring of scalars  $\Phi$  with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \Phi$ . Let  $a \in K$  be an ad-nilpotent element of skew-index n. Let  $t := [\frac{n+1}{2}]$ , let  $Q_m^s(R)$  be the symmetric Martindale ring of quotients of R and denote  $\mathcal{K} := \text{Skew}(Q_m^s(R), *)$ . Then a is an ad-nilpotent element of skew-index n of  $\mathcal{K}$ , and  $a^t$  is von Neumann regular in  $Q_m^s(R)$ .

*Proof*: Let us see that  $a^t \mathcal{K} a^t = 0$ : let  $q \in \mathcal{K}$  and let I be an essential ideal of R such that  $Iq + qI \subseteq R$ . By Lemma 2.6(1) we know that for any  $y \in I$  there exists  $\lambda_y \in H(C(R), *)$  with  $a^t y a^t = \lambda_y a^t$ . From  $a^t \mathcal{K} a^t = 0$  we have

 $a^t x a^t = a^t x^* a^t$  for every  $x \in R$ . Thus

$$a^{t}ya^{t}qa^{t} = a^{t}(ya^{t}q)^{*}a^{t} = -a^{t}qa^{t}y^{*}a^{t} =$$
$$= -a^{t}qa^{t}ya^{t} = -\lambda_{y}a^{t}qa^{t} = -a^{t}ya^{t}qa^{t}$$

so  $2a^tya^tqa^t = 0$  for every y in the essential ideal I of R, so  $a^tqa^t = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $n \equiv_4 3$ . In this case we will show that not only  $a^t \mathcal{K} a^t = 0$ but also  $a^t q a^{t-1} = a^{t-1} q a^t$  for every  $q \in \mathcal{K}$ . Let  $q \in \mathcal{K}$  and let I be an essential ideal of R such that  $Iq + qI \subseteq R$ . From  $a^t k a^{t-1} = a^{t-1} k a^t$  for every  $k \in K$ and  $a^t \mathcal{K} a^t = 0$  we get  $a^t q a^t = a^t q^* a^t$  for every  $q \in Q_m^s(R)$ , whence

$$\begin{aligned} a^{t}ya^{t}qa^{t-1} &= a^{t}(ya^{t}q - (ya^{t}q)^{*})a^{t-1} + a^{t}(ya^{t}q)^{*}a^{t-1} = \\ &= a^{t-1}(ya^{t}q - (ya^{t}q)^{*})a^{t} - a^{t}qa^{t}y^{*}a^{t-1} = \\ &= a^{t-1}(ya^{t}q - (ya^{t}q)^{*})a^{t} = -a^{t-1}(ya^{t}q)^{*}a^{t} = \\ &= a^{t-1}qa^{t}y^{*}a^{t} = a^{t-1}qa^{t}ya^{t}. \end{aligned}$$

As we know, for any  $y \in I$  there is  $\lambda_y \in H(C(R), *)$  such that  $a^t y a^t = \lambda_y a^t$ . Therefore, for every  $x \in R$ , if we multiply  $a^t y a^t q a^{t-1} - a^{t-1} q a^t y a^t = 0$  by  $a^t x$  on the left we obtain

$$0 = a^{t}xa^{t}ya^{t}qa^{t-1} - a^{t}xa^{t-1}qa^{t}ya^{t} = \lambda_{y}a^{t}xa^{t}qa^{t-1} - \lambda_{y}a^{t}xa^{t-1}qa^{t} = a^{t}ya^{t}xa^{t}qa^{t-1} - a^{t}ya^{t}xa^{t-1}qa^{t} = a^{t}ya^{t}x(a^{t}qa^{t-1} - a^{t-1}qa^{t}),$$

so  $a^t q a^{t-1} - a^{t-1} q a^t = 0$  because  $a^t I a^t$  generates an essential ideal of R. • If  $n \equiv_4 0$ , for any  $q \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$\operatorname{ad}_{a}^{n}(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} {n \choose i} a^{i} q a^{n-i} = (-1)^{t} {n \choose t} a^{t} q a^{t} = 0.$$

• If  $n \equiv_4 3$ , for any  $q \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$ad_a^n(q) = (-1)^{t-1} \binom{n}{t-1} a^t q a^{t-1} + (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} a^{t-1} q a^t = (-1)^{t-1} \binom{n}{t-1} (a^t q a^{t-1} - a^{t-1} q a^t) = 0.$$

Moreover, since  $a^t$  generates an essential ideal of R, it also generates an essential ideal of  $Q_m^s(R)$ .

Let us see that  $a^t$  is von Neumann regular in  $Q_m^s(R)$ . Since  $Q_m^s(R) = Q_m^s(\hat{R})$  we will suppose in the rest of this proof that R is centrally closed. As we know, for every  $x \in R$  there exists  $\lambda_x \in H(C(R), *)$  such that  $a^t x a^t = \lambda_x a^t$ . Since C(R) is von Neumann regular there exists  $\lambda'_x \in C(R)$ such that  $\lambda_x \lambda'_x \lambda_x = \lambda_x$  and  $\epsilon_x := \lambda_x \lambda'_x$  is an idempotent of C(R), i.e., for every  $x \in R$  we have  $a^t \lambda'_x x a^t = \epsilon_x a^t$ . Let us consider the family  $\{\epsilon_x\}_{x \in R}$  of these idempotents and take a maximal subfamily  $\{\epsilon_{x_\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Delta}$  of nonzero orthogonal idempotents. Note that for every  $\gamma \in \Delta$  there exists  $c_{x_\gamma} := \lambda'_{x_\gamma} x_\gamma \in R$ such that  $a^t c_{x_\gamma} a^t = \epsilon_{x_\gamma} a^t$ .

Let us prove that  $I := \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} \epsilon_{x_{\gamma}} R$  is an essential ideal of R: by [2, Proposition 2.10] there exists an idempotent  $\epsilon \in C(R)$  such that  $\epsilon \epsilon_{x_{\gamma}} = \epsilon_{x_{\gamma}}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Delta$  and  $\operatorname{Ann}_{R}(I) = (1 - \epsilon)R$ . We claim that  $\epsilon = 1$ ; otherwise, if  $\epsilon \neq 1$ , we can produce a new orthogonal idempotent that does not belong to  $\Delta$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $\Delta$ : since R is semiprime and the ideal generated by  $a^{t}$  is essential,  $a^{t}Ra^{t}R(1 - \epsilon) \neq 0$  and for every  $x \in R$  such that  $0 \neq a^{t}xa^{t}R(1 - \epsilon)$  we have  $0 \neq (1 - \epsilon)a^{t}xa^{t} = (1 - \epsilon)\epsilon_{x}\lambda_{x}a^{t}$ , i.e.,  $(1 - \epsilon)\epsilon_{x}$  is a new orthogonal idempotent, a contradiction. Therefore  $\epsilon = 1$  and I is an essential ideal of R.

Define  $c: I \to R$  by  $c(\sum_{\gamma} \epsilon_{x_{\gamma}} y_{\gamma}) := \sum_{\gamma} c_{x_{\gamma}} y_{\gamma}$ . It is clear that c is a homomorphism of right R-modules; moreover, for every  $\delta \in \Delta$ ,

$$L_{\epsilon_{x_{\delta}}}c(\sum_{\gamma}\epsilon_{x_{\gamma}}y_{\gamma}) = \epsilon_{x_{\delta}}c_{x_{\delta}}y_{\delta} = L_{c_{x_{\delta}}}(\sum_{\gamma}\epsilon_{x_{\gamma}}y_{\gamma}) \in R,$$

where  $L_{\epsilon_{x_{\delta}}}: R \to R$  and  $L_{c_{x_{\delta}}}: R \to R$  are the corresponding left multiplication maps, so  $[R, L_{\epsilon_{x_{\delta}}}] \cdot [I, c] = [R, L_{c_{x_{\delta}}}]$ , and by the usual embedding of R into  $Q_m^s(R)$  we obtain  $Iq \subseteq R$  for q := [I, c]. Furthermore, since each  $\epsilon_{x_{\delta}}$  lies in C(R), with the same argument we can prove that  $qI \subseteq R$ . Thus  $q \in Q_m^s(R)$ .

Finally, for every  $\gamma \in \Delta$  we have  $\epsilon_{x_{\gamma}}(a^tqa^t - a^t) = a^tc_{x_{\gamma}}a^t - \epsilon_{x_{\gamma}}a^t = 0$  which implies that  $a^tqa^t - a^t \in \operatorname{Ann}_R(I) = 0$ , i.e.,  $a^tqa^t = a^t$ .

### 4. Examples

In this section we construct examples of ad-nilpotent elements of full-index and of skew-index for any possible index of ad-nilpotence.

**4.1.** Let *m* be a natural number, let *F* a field of characteristic zero (or big enough) with involution denoted by  $\overline{\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \in F$ , and denote the simple associative algebra  $\mathcal{M}_m(F)$  by *R* and its standard matrix units by  $e_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . We endow *R* with the involution  $*: R \to R$  given by

$$X^* := D^{-1} \overline{X}^{\mathrm{tr}} D$$

where  $D := \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^{i} e_{i,m+1-i} \in R$  and  $\overline{X}^{tr} := (\overline{x}_{ji})_{i,j=1}^{m}$  for  $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{m} \in R$ . As before, we denote the set of skew-symmetric elements of R with respect to the involution \* by K. When m is odd (the only case we actually need) we have  $D^{-1} = D$  and

$$e_{ij}^* = (-1)^{i+j} e_{m-j+1,m-i+1},$$

and thus  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^m \in K$  if and only if

$$\overline{a_{ij}} = (-1)^{i+j+1} a_{m-j+1,m-i+1}$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ ; in particular  $\overline{a_{i,m-i+1}} = -a_{i,m-i+1}$ , so  $a_{i,m-i+1} \in$ Skew(F, -) for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

**4.2.** <u>Ad-nilpotent elements of full-index</u>: Let  $R := \mathcal{M}_m(F)$  with the involution \* given in 4.1, and let m be odd. As in 4.1, consider

$$A_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} e_{i,i+1} \in K,$$

which is a nilpotent element of index m and ad-nilpotent of R of index 2m-1(see [2, Lemma 4.2]). If the involution - in the field F is not the identity, for any  $0 \neq \alpha \in \text{Skew}(F, -)$ , the element  $0 \neq \alpha e_{m,1}$  is skew-symmetric in R, and

$$\mathrm{ad}_{A_1}^{2m-2}(\alpha e_{m,1}) = \binom{2m-2}{m-1} A_1^{m-1} \alpha e_{m,1} A_1^{m-1} = \binom{2m-2}{m-1} \alpha e_{1,m} \neq 0$$

Thus  $A_1$  is an ad-nilpotent element of K (and of R) whose index of adnilpotence is  $n = 2m - 1 \equiv_4 1$ .

In the same associative algebra R, take any  $1 < t \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$  and consider the matrix

$$A_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} (e_{i,i+1} + e_{m-i,m-i+1}) \in K,$$

which is nilpotent of index t. The element  $A_2$  is ad-nilpotent of R of index 2t - 1 (see [2, Lemma 4.2]). Moreover,  $0 \neq B := e_{t,1} + (-1)^t e_{m,m-t+1} \in K$  and  $\operatorname{ad}_{A_2}^{2t-2} B \neq 0$ . Therefore  $A_2$  is ad-nilpotent of K (and of R) of index n = 2t - 1. If t is even then  $n \equiv_4 3$ , while if t is odd then  $n \equiv_4 1$ .

**4.3.** <u>Ad-nilpotent elements of skew-index</u>: Inspired by Theorem 3.2 we will construct the examples of ad-nilpotent elements of skew-index in matrix algebras over fields with identity involution.

•  $\underline{n} \equiv_4 3$ : Let m > 1 be some odd number. Let us consider  $R = \mathcal{M}_m(F)$ where  $\overline{F}$  is a field with identity involution and R is an algebra with the involution \* given in 4.1. Take any k such that  $2k \leq m$ . Let us consider the element

$$A_1 := \sum_{i=k}^{m-k} e_{i,i+1} \in K$$

which is nilpotent of index l = m - 2k + 2 and ad-nilpotent of R of index 2l - 1(see [2, Lemma 4.2]). Nevertheless, its index of ad-nilpotence in K is lower: Indeed, any  $B = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} b_{ij} e_{ij} \in K$  has  $b_{k+l-1,k} = 0$  and  $b_{k+l-2,k} = b_{k+l-1,k+1}$ by 4.1 since Skew(F, -) = 0, so

$$\operatorname{ad}_{A_{1}}^{2l-3} B = \binom{2l-3}{l-2} (A_{1}^{l-2} B A_{1}^{l-1} - A_{1}^{l-1} B A_{1}^{l-2}) = \\ = \binom{2l-3}{l-2} (e_{k,k+l-2} + e_{k+1,k+l-1}) B e_{k,k+l-1} - \\ - \binom{2l-3}{l-2} e_{k,k+l-1} B (e_{k,k+l-2} + e_{k+1,k+l-1}) = \\ = \binom{2l-3}{l-2} (b_{k+l-2,k} - b_{k+l-1,k+1}) e_{k,k+l-1} = 0.$$

Furthermore, for  $C := e_{k+l-2,k} - e_{k+l-2,k}^* = e_{k+l-2,k} + e_{k+l-1,k+1} \in K$  we have  $\operatorname{ad}_{A_1}^{2l-4} C \neq 0$ , so the index of ad-nilpotence of  $A_1$  in K is  $2l-3 \equiv_4 3$ . For any odd l we have built an ad-nilpotent matrix  $A_1$  of index  $n := 2l-3 \equiv_4 3$ .

•  $\underline{n} \equiv_4 0$ : Take any  $n \equiv_4 0$ . Then n = 2t for some even number t. Let m := 3t + 3. In the associative algebra  $R = \mathcal{M}_m(F)$  where F is a field with identity involution and R has the involution \* given in 4.1, let us define  $A := A_1 + A_2$  where

$$A_1 := \sum_{i=t+2}^{2t+1} e_{i,i+1}$$
 and  $A_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} (e_{i,i+1} + e_{m-i,m-i+1}).$ 

By construction,  $A_1 \in K$  is nilpotent of index t + 1 and ad-nilpotent of R of index 2t + 1. Moreover, by taking k = t + 2 this matrix corresponds to the matrix  $A_1$  defined in case  $n \equiv_4 3$ , so it is ad-nilpotent of K of index 2t - 1.

Similarly,  $A_2 \in K$  is nilpotent of index t, and it is ad-nilpotent of K (and of R) of index 2t - 1.

The matrix A, which is an orthogonal sum of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , is nilpotent of index t+1 and ad-nilpotent of R of index 2t+1. Let us see that  $\operatorname{ad}_A^{2t} K = 0$ : for any  $B = \sum_{ij} b_{ij} e_{ij} \in K$  we have

$$\operatorname{ad}_{A}^{2t} B = {\binom{2t}{t}} A^{t} B A^{t} = {\binom{2t}{t}} e_{t+2,2t+2} B e_{t+2,2t+2} = \\ = {\binom{2t}{t}} b_{2t+2,t+2} e_{t+2,2t+2} = 0$$

because  $b_{2t+2,t+2} \in \text{Skew}(F,-) = 0$ . Furthermore, for  $C := e_{t,t+2} - e_{t,t+2}^* = e_{t,t+2} - e_{2t+2,2t+4} \in K$  we have  $\text{ad}_A^{2t-1} C \neq 0$ , so A is ad-nilpotent of K of index  $n = 2t \equiv_4 0$ .

### References

- K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale, III, and A. V. Mikhalev. Rings with generalized identities, volume 196 of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1996.
- [2] J. Brox, E. García, M. Gómez Lozano, R. Muñoz Alcázar, and G. Vera de Salas. A description of ad-nilpotent elements in semiprime rings with involution. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, 2021.
- [3] Jose Brox, Antonio Fernández López, and Miguel Gómez Lozano. Inner ideals of Lie algebras of skew elements of prime rings with involution. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 144(7):2741–2751, 2016.
- [4] Jose Brox, Antonio Fernández López, and Miguel Gómez Lozano. Clifford elements in Lie algebras. J. Lie Theory, 27(1):283–294, 2017.
- [5] Jose Brox, Esther García, and Miguel Gómez Lozano. Jordan algebras at Jordan elements of semiprime rings with involution. J. Algebra, 468:155–181, 2016.
- [6] V. De Filippis, N. Rehman, and M. A. Raza. Strong commutativity preserving skew derivations in semiprime rings. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 41(4):1819–1834, 2018.
- [7] A. Fernández López, E. García Rus, M. Gómez Lozano, and M. Siles Molina. Jordan canonical form for finite rank elements in Jordan algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 260:151–167, 1997.
- [8] Antonio Fernández López. Jordan structures in Lie algebras, volume 240 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019.
- [9] Antonio Fernández López, Esther García, and Miguel Gómez Lozano. The Jordan algebras of a Lie algebra. J. Algebra, 308(1):164–177, 2007.
- [10] Esther García, Miguel Gómez Lozano, Rubén Muñoz Alcázar, and Guillermo Vera de Salas. A Jordan canonical form for nilpotent elements in an arbitrary ring. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 581:324–335, 2019.
- [11] Carlos Gómez-Ambrosi, Jesús Laliena, and Ivan P. Shestakov. On the Lie structure of the skew elements of a prime superalgebra with superinvolution. *Comm. Algebra*, 28(7):3277–3291, 2000.
- [12] Tsiu-Kwen Lee. Ad-nilpotent elements of semiprime rings with involution. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 61(2):318–327, 2018.

- [13] Cheng-Kai Liu. On skew derivations in semiprime rings. Algebr. Represent. Theory, 16(6):1561–1576, 2013.
- [14] W. S. Martindale, III and C. Robert Miers. Nilpotent inner derivations of the skew elements of prime rings with involution. *Canad. J. Math.*, 43(5):1045–1054, 1991.
- [15] Edward C. Posner. Derivations in prime rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8:1093–1100, 1957.
- [16] Oleg N. Smirnov. Finite Z-gradings of Lie algebras and symplectic involutions. J. Algebra, 218(1):246–275, 1999.
- [17] E. I. Zelmanov. Lie algebras with finite gradation. Mat. Sb. (N.S.), 124(166)(3):353-392, 1984.

#### Jose Brox

UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 3004-504 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL *E-mail address*: josebrox@mat.uc.pt

Esther García

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, CIENCIA E INGENIERÍA DE LOS MATERIALES Y TEC-NOLOGÍA ELECTRÓNICA, UNIVERSIDAD REY JUAN CARLOS, 28933 MÓSTOLES (MADRID), SPAIN *E-mail address*: esther.garcia@urjc.es

Miguel Gómez Lozano

Departamento de Álgebra, Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga, Spain

*E-mail address*: magomez@agt.cie.uma.es

Rubén Muñoz Alcázar Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Ciencia e Ingeniería de los Materiales y Tecnología Electrónica, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28933 Móstoles (Madrid), Spain

*E-mail address*: rubenj.alcazar@urjc.es

Guillermo Vera de Salas

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Ciencia e Ingeniería de los Materiales y Tecnología Electrónica, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28933 Móstoles (Madrid), Spain

*E-mail address*: guillermo.vera@urjc.es