

SOME APPELL-TYPE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS ON LATTICES

D. MBOUNA AND A. SUZUKI

ABSTRACT: We investigate on some Appell-type orthogonal polynomial sequences on q -quadratic lattices and we provide some entire new characterizations of the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials (including the Rogers q -Hermite polynomials). The corresponding forms are well described.

KEYWORDS: Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials, lattice, Appell orthogonal polynomial.
MATH. SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2010): 42C05, 33C45.

1. Introduction

Classical orthogonal polynomial sequences (OPS) are certainly the most studied ones. This class of OPS has some beautiful properties and characterizations as well as applications in other related fields (number theory, probability, mathematical physics, approximation theory and many others mathematics branches). For instance, they are such that their *derivatives* are also OPS. One special case of this family is the situation where OPS and their *derivatives* coincide: this is known in the literature as Appell OPS. This notion was introduced in 1880 in a work by P. Appell [5]. That is problem of finding polynomial sequences $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ for which the following equation holds

$$Df_n(x) = r_n f_{n-1}(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots), \quad (1.1)$$

with $(r_n)_{n \geq 0}$ a nonzero complex sequence of numbers and D is a lowering operator (this means an operator reducing by one the degree of any polynomial sequence). Since that time, all polynomial sequences with property (1.1) are called Appell sequences (see [3, 15]). Along this work, we will focus only on Appell OPS.

We recall that if $D = d/dx$ in (1.1), then the corresponding OPS is the Hermite polynomial (see [2]). If D is replaced by the q -Jackson operator D_q (respectively the Hahn operator $D_{q,\omega}$) defined by

$$D_{q,\omega}f(x) = \frac{f(qx + \omega) - f(x)}{(q-1)x + \omega}, \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $D_q = D_{q,0}$, then the corresponding Appell OPS are, up to an affine transformation of the variable, the Al-Salam-Carlitz polynomials (see [4, 9]). In [6] it is studied the case of (1.1) where

$$D = 2 \frac{d}{dx} x \frac{d}{dx} + \epsilon \frac{d}{dx}, \quad \epsilon = \pm 1,$$

providing then a new characterization of the Laguerre polynomials. Such OPS received considerable attention along the last decade and since that time. Now consider the Askey-Wilson operator \mathcal{D}_q which is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_q p(x(s)) = \frac{p(x(s+1/2)) - p(x(s-1/2))}{x(s+1/2) - x(s-1/2)}, \quad x(s) = \frac{1}{2}(q^s + q^{-s}),$$

for every polynomial p . We assume that $0 < q < 1$. (Taking $q^s = e^{i\theta}$ we recover \mathcal{D}_q as defined in [13, (21.6.2)].) We define the averaging operator by

$$\mathcal{S}_q p(x(s)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(p(x(s+1/2)) + p(x(s-1/2)) \right), \quad x(s) = \frac{1}{2}(q^s + q^{-s}).$$

The problem of finding OPS solutions of (1.1) whenever $D = \mathcal{D}_q$ appeared as a special case of a problem posed by M. Ismail in [13, Conjecture 24.7.8]. This case of (1.1) was firstly solved by W. Al-Salam in [1] and secondly by J. Galiffa and W. Ong in [8] using different methods and characterising the Rogers q -Hermite polynomials. Despite this, none of the methods used in both works could be useful to solve the conjecture [13, Conjecture 24.7.8] in its entire form. This is only due the complexity of the Askey-Wilson operator and its properties. Recently in [11], the authors addressed this conjecture in its entire form using some new techniques. In addition, a situation of (1.1) where operators \mathcal{D}_q and \mathcal{S}_q are both involved as the following equation

$$\mathcal{D}_q f_n(x) = r_n \mathcal{S}_q f_{n-1}(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots),$$

is considered in [7] characterizing some special cases of the Askey-Wilson polynomials. The purpose of this work is to solve (1.1) for operators $D = \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q$ and $D = \mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{S}_q$. This leads to a new characterization of the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials. In addition, we also characterize the corresponding regular form. This definitely provides some ideas on polynomial bases to use when dealing with problems with the averaging and the Askey-Wilson operators.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents some basic facts of the algebraic theory of OPS together with some useful results. Sections 3 and 4 contain our main results for each case.

2. Background and preliminary results

The algebraic theory of orthogonal polynomials was introduced by P. Maroni (see [14]). Let \mathcal{P} be the vector space of all polynomials with complex coefficients and let \mathcal{P}^* be its algebraic dual. A simple set in \mathcal{P} is a sequence $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that $\deg(P_n) = n$ for each n . A simple set $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is called an OPS with respect to $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ if

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, P_n P_m \rangle = \kappa_n \delta_{n,m} \quad (m = 0, 1, \dots; \kappa_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}),$$

where $\langle \mathbf{u}, f \rangle$ is the action of \mathbf{u} on $f \in \mathcal{P}$. In this case, we say that \mathbf{u} is regular. The left multiplication of a functional \mathbf{u} by a polynomial ϕ is defined by

$$\langle \phi \mathbf{u}, f \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \phi f \rangle \quad (f \in \mathcal{P}).$$

Consequently, if $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a monic OPS with respect to $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$, then the corresponding dual basis is explicitly given by

$$\mathbf{a}_n = \langle \mathbf{u}, P_n^2 \rangle^{-1} P_n \mathbf{u}. \quad (2.1)$$

Any functional $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ (when \mathcal{P} is endowed with an appropriate strict inductive limit topology, see [14]) can be written in the sense of the weak topology in \mathcal{P}^* as

$$\mathbf{u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathbf{u}, P_n \rangle \mathbf{a}_n.$$

It is known that a monic OPS, $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$, is characterized by the following three-term recurrence relation (TTRR):

$$P_{-1}(z) = 0, \quad P_{n+1}(z) = (z - B_n)P_n(z) - C_n P_{n-1}(z) \quad (C_n \neq 0), \quad (2.2)$$

and, therefore,

$$B_n = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, z P_n^2 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}, P_n^2 \rangle}, \quad C_{n+1} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{n+1}^2 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}, P_n^2 \rangle}. \quad (2.3)$$

The Askey-Wilson and the averaging operators induce two elements on \mathcal{P}^* , say \mathbf{D}_q and \mathbf{S}_q , via the following definition (see [12]):

$$\langle \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{u}, f \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{D}_q f \rangle, \quad \langle \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u}, f \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{S}_q f \rangle.$$

Hereafter we denote $z = x(s) = (q^s + q^{-s})/2$. Then the following proposition holds.

Proposition 2.1. ([10] and references therein) *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$. Then the following equations hold.*

$$\mathcal{D}_q(fg) = (\mathcal{D}_q f)(\mathcal{S}_q g) + (\mathcal{S}_q f)(\mathcal{D}_q g), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_q(fg) = (\mathcal{D}_q f)(\mathcal{D}_q g) \mathcal{U}_2 + (\mathcal{S}_q f)(\mathcal{S}_q g), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\alpha \mathcal{S}_q^2 f = \mathcal{S}_q(\mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q f) + \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{D}_q^2 f + \alpha f, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_q^n \mathcal{S}_q f = \alpha_n \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q^n f + \gamma_n \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q^{n+1} f, \quad (2.7)$$

$$f \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{D}_q(\mathcal{S}_q f \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{S}_q(\mathcal{D}_q f \mathbf{u}), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\alpha \mathbf{D}_q^n \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u} = \alpha_{n+1} \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{D}_q^n \mathbf{u} + \gamma_n \mathcal{U}_1 \mathbf{D}_q^{n+1} \mathbf{u}, \quad (2.9)$$

with $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where $\alpha = (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})/2$ and

$$\mathcal{U}_1(z) = (\alpha^2 - 1)z, \quad \mathcal{U}_2(z) = (\alpha^2 - 1)(z^2 - 1).$$

It is known (see [10, Proposition 2.1]) that

$$\mathcal{D}_q z^n = \gamma_n z^{n-1} + u_n z^{n-3} + \dots, \quad \mathcal{S}_q z^n = \alpha_n z^n + \widehat{u}_n z^{n-2} + \dots, \quad (2.10)$$

with $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{2}(q^{n/2} + q^{-n/2}), \quad \gamma_n = \frac{q^{n/2} - q^{-n/2}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}},$$

$$u_n = \frac{1}{4}(n\gamma_{n-2} - (n-2)\gamma_n), \quad \widehat{u}_n = \frac{n}{4}(\alpha_{n-2} - \alpha_n).$$

We set $\gamma_{-1} := -1$ and $\alpha_{-1} := \alpha$. Recall that the monic Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials, $Q_n(x; a, b|q)$ depend on two real parameters a and b , are characterized by

$$xQ_n(x; a, b|q) = Q_{n+1}(x; a, b|q) + \frac{1}{2}(a+b)q^n Q_n(x; a, b|q) \\ + \frac{1}{4}(1 - abq^{n-1})(1 - q^n) Q_{n-1}(x; a, b; q)$$

($n = 0, 1, \dots$), provided we define $Q_{-1}(x; a, b|q) = 0$ (see e.g. [13]). Further, up to normalization, the Rogers q -Hermite polynomials are the special case $a = b = 0$ of the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials. The following result is useful.

Theorem 2.1. [10] *Let $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a monic OPS with respect to $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$. Suppose that \mathbf{u} satisfies the distributional equation*

$$\mathbf{D}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{S}_q(\psi \mathbf{u}), \quad (2.11)$$

where $\phi(z) = az^2 + bz + c$ and $\psi(z) = dz + e$, with $d \neq 0$. Then $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies (2.2) with

$$B_n = \frac{\gamma_n e_{n-1}}{d_{2n-2}} - \frac{\gamma_{n+1} e_n}{d_{2n}}, \quad C_{n+1} = -\frac{\gamma_{n+1} d_{n-1}}{d_{2n-1} d_{2n+1}} \phi^{[n]} \left(-\frac{e_n}{d_{2n}} \right), \quad (2.12)$$

where $d_n = a\gamma_n + d\alpha_n$, $e_n = b\gamma_n + e\alpha_n$, and

$$\phi^{[n]}(z) = (d(\alpha^2 - 1)\gamma_{2n} + a\alpha_{2n}) \left(z^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) + (b\alpha_n + e(\alpha^2 - 1)\gamma_n)z + c + \frac{a}{2}.$$

3. Main results: first case

We are now in the position to prove our main results for one of the situation.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a monic OPS such that*

$$\mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_n(z) = k_n P_{n-1}(z) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots). \quad (3.1)$$

Then the following relations hold.

$$2\alpha \mathcal{U}_2(z) \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n(z) = a_n P_n(z) + b_n P_{n-1}(z) + c_n P_{n-2}(z), \quad (3.2)$$

$$4\alpha \mathcal{U}_2(z) \mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{S}_q P_n(z) = \sum_{l=1}^5 a_n^{[l]} P_{n+2-l}(z), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\mathcal{S}_q^2 P_n(z) \\ &= 2\alpha_n^2 P_n(z) + k_n (B_n - B_{n-1}) P_{n-1}(z) + (k_{n-1} C_n - k_n C_{n-1}) P_{n-2}(z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for each $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= k_{n+1} - (2\alpha^2 - 1)k_n - 1, \quad b_n = (B_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)B_{n-1})k_n, \\ c_n &= k_{n-1}C_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)k_n C_{n-1}, \quad a_n^{[1]} = a_{n+1} - a_n, \quad a_n^{[2]} = b_{n+1} - b_n, \\ a_n^{[3]} &= c_{n+1} - c_n + (B_n - B_{n-1})b_n + (a_{n-1} - \alpha^2 a_n)C_n, \\ a_n^{[4]} &= (B_n - B_{n-2})c_n + b_{n-1}C_n - b_n C_{n-1}, \quad a_n^{[5]} = c_{n-1}C_n - c_n C_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: First of all from (2.6) using (2.5) yields

$$\mathcal{S}_q^2 f = \alpha \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{D}_q^2 f + \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q f + f. \quad (3.5)$$

Secondly, we apply successively the operators \mathcal{D}_q and \mathcal{S}_q to the TTRR (2.2) satisfied by the monic OPS $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ solution of (3.1). Using (2.4) and (2.5),

we obtain the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_q^2 P_n(z) + \alpha \mathcal{U}_2(z) \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n(z) + \alpha^2 x \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_n(z) \\ = \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_{n+1}(z) + B_n \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_n(z) + C_n \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_{n-1}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Finally (3.2) is obtained from (3.6) by using successively (3.5), the TTRR (2.2) and (3.1). Now from (3.5), we may also write (3.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathcal{S}_q^2 P_n(z) + z \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_n(z) - P_n(z) \\ = \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_{n+1}(z) + B_n \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_n(z) + C_n \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_{n-1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (3.4) is obtained from this equation using (2.2) and (3.1).

Lets start again with the TTRR (2.2). We apply the operator \mathcal{D}_q^2 to it using (2.4) and (2.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{S}_q P_n(z) + \alpha \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_n(z) + \alpha^2 x \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n(z) \\ = \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_{n+1}(z) + B_n \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n(z) + C_n \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_{n-1}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Then (3.3) is obtained by multiplying (3.7) by $2\alpha \mathcal{U}_2(z)$ using successively (3.1), (3.2) and again the TTRR (2.2). Hence the result follows. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a monic OPS satisfying (3.1). The following system of difference equations holds*

$$k_{n+2} - 1/2 - 2(2\alpha^2 - 1)(k_{n+1} - 1/2) + k_n - 1/2 = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$t_{n+2} - 2(2\alpha^2 - 1)t_{n+1} + t_n = 0, \quad t_n := k_n/C_n, \quad (3.9)$$

$$k_{n+1} B_{n+1} + (k_{n+1} - k_{n+2} - 2(2\alpha^2 - 1)k_n) B_n + k_n B_{n-1} = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$t_{n+3} B_{n+2} - (t_{n+2} + t_{n+1}) B_{n+1} + t_n B_n = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{n+1} + t_{n+2})(C_{n+1} - 1/4) - 4\alpha^2 t_n (C_n - 1/4) + (t_{n-1} + t_{n-2})(C_{n-1} - 1/4) \\ = t_n [B_n^2 - 2(2\alpha^2 - 1)B_n B_{n-1} + B_{n-1}^2], \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where B_n and C_n are the coefficients of the TTRR (2.2) satisfied by $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Proof: Consider the TTRR (2.2) satisfied by monic OPS $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ solution of (3.1). Then from (3.7) using (2.7) for $n = 1$ and $f = P_n$ therein, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_n(z) + (2\alpha^2 - 1)x \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n(z) \\ = \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_{n+1}(z) + B_n \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n(z) + C_n \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_{n-1}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

We now multiply (3.13) by $2\alpha U_2$ using successively (3.1), (3.2) and the TTRR (2.2) to obtain a vanishing linear combination of P_{n+1} , P_n , P_{n-1} , P_{n-2} and P_{n-3} , for each $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Since $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a polynomial base in \mathcal{P} , then all coefficients of the mentioned linear combination must be zero. Therefore we obtain the following equations

$$a_{n+1} - (2\alpha^2 - 1)a_n = 4\alpha^2(\alpha^2 - 1)k_n, \quad (3.14)$$

$$c_{n-1}C_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)c_nC_{n-2} = 4\alpha^2(\alpha^2 - 1)k_nC_{n-1}C_{n-2}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$b_{n+1} - (2\alpha^2 - 1)b_n - 2(\alpha^2 - 1)a_nB_n = 4\alpha^2(\alpha^2 - 1)(B_n + B_{n-1})k_n, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n+1} - (2\alpha^2 - 1)c_n + (a_{n-1} - (2\alpha^2 - 1)a_n)C_n + (B_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)B_{n-1})b_n \\ = 4\alpha^2(\alpha^2 - 1)k_n(C_n + B_{n-1}^2 + C_{n-1} - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n-1}C_n + (B_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)B_{n-2})c_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)b_nC_{n-1} \\ = 4\alpha^2(\alpha^2 - 1)k_nC_{n-1}(B_{n-1} + B_{n-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Equations (3.8) and (3.9) follow from (3.14) and (3.15), respectively using notations and expressions of a_n , b_n and c_n obtained in the previous lemma. Similarly, (3.10)–(3.12) are obtained from (3.16)–(3.18) using (3.8) and (3.9). \blacksquare

Theorem 3.1. *The only monic OPS, $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$, for which*

$$\mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_n(z) = k_n P_{n-1}(z) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots), \quad (3.19)$$

is the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomial with parameters a and b such that $(a, b) \in \{(1, -1), (-1, 1)\}$.

Proof: Let $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a monic OPS solution of (3.19). Before solving the system of equations (3.8)–(3.12), let us find some initial conditions. We claim that the coefficients B_n and C_n of the TTRR (2.2) satisfied by $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are given by

$$B_{n-1} = 0, \quad k_{n-1} = \gamma_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$C_{n+1} - 1/4 = \frac{k_n - k_{n+2} + \gamma_{n+2}\alpha_{n-1}}{4k_n} + \frac{k_{n+2} - k_n}{k_n} \sum_{l=1}^n (C_l - 1/4), \quad (3.21)$$

for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Indeed, it is known that $P_n(z) = z^n + f_n z^{n-1} + g_n z^{n-2} + \dots$, where $f_0 = g_1 = 0$, $B_n = f_n - f_{n+1}$ and $C_n = g_n - g_{n+1} - f_n B_n$. With this

we identify the three first coefficients of term with higher degrees in (3.19) using (2.10) to obtain $k_n = \gamma_n \alpha_{n-1}$ together with

$$k_{n-1}f_n = k_n f_{n-1}, \quad k_n g_{n-1} = k_{n-2}g_n + \gamma_n \widehat{u}_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-3}u_n. \quad (3.22)$$

From the first equation in (3.22) we obtain

$$B_n = (k_{n+1} - k_n)B_0,$$

which also satisfies (3.10). In addition, assume without loss of generality that $0 < q < 1$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q^n B_n = B_0/2.$$

It is not hard to see that q and q^{-1} are solutions of the characteristic equation associated to (3.9). Hence solutions of the mentioned equation are given by

$$t_n = r_1 q^n + r_2 q^{-n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

with r_1 and r_2 two complex numbers such that $|r_1| + |r_2| \neq 0$. Assume for instance that $r_2 \neq 0$. Then we write $t_n = r_2 q^{-n}(1 - r q^{2n})$, where $r = -r_1/r_2$. We multiply (3.11) by q^n and take the limit as n tends to ∞ to obtain $B_0 = 0$ and therefore $B_n = 0$, for all $n = 0, 1, \dots$. So (3.20) holds and the second equation in (3.21) is then obtain directly from the second equation in (3.22).

From the definition of t_n given in (3.9), we obtain $C_n = k_n/t_n$ and so we deduce that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = 1/(2r_2(q^{-1} - 1))$. But taking the limit in (3.12) as n tends to ∞ taking into account (3.20), we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = 1/4$. This means we can write

$$C_n = \frac{(1 - q^n)(1 + q^{n-1})}{4(1 - r q^{2n})} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It is not hard to see that this satisfy (3.21) and (3.12) if and only if $r = 0$ and therefore $C_n = (1 - q^n)(1 + q^{n-1})/4$. For the case $1 < q < +\infty$. We proceed similarly to obtain $C_n = (1 - q^{-n})(1 + q^{-n+1})/4$. Hence solutions of (3.19) are given by $B_n = 0$ with

$$C_{n+1} = \frac{1}{4}(1 - q^{n+1})(1 + q^n) \quad \text{or} \quad C_{n+1} = \frac{1}{4}(1 - q^{-n-1})(1 + q^{-n}),$$

for all $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Thus

$$P_n = Q_n(z; s, -s|q) \quad \text{or} \quad P_n = Q_n(z; s, -s|1/q), \quad s = \pm 1.$$

■

We now characterize functionals whose corresponding OPS are solutions of (3.19).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ be a regular functional and $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ the corresponding monic OPS. Then $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is the solution of (3.19) if and only if \mathbf{u} is a solution of the following functional equations*

$$(q^s - 1)\mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u} = 2z\mathbf{u} , \quad (3.23)$$

$$2q^{3s/2}\mathbf{D}_q^2(\mathbf{U}_2\mathbf{u}) = -(2z^2 + q^s - 1)\mathbf{u} , \quad (3.24)$$

$$2q^s \mathbf{S}_q^2 \mathbf{u} = (-2z^2 + 1 + q^s)\mathbf{u} , \quad (3.25)$$

$$8q^{5s/2}\mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{D}_q(\mathbf{U}_2\mathbf{u}) = (1 - q^s)z(-4z^2 + q^{2s} + 3)\mathbf{u} , \quad (3.26)$$

with $s = \pm 1$.

Remark 3.1. *We emphasize the following. At this stage we know that monic OPS solutions of (3.19) are the special cases Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials and so they are classical OPS. Then there exist (see [12]) two polynomials ϕ and ψ , of degree at most two and one, respectively such that*

$$\mathbf{D}_q(\phi\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{S}_q(\psi\mathbf{u}) .$$

But from the above functional equation it is not possible to deduce (3.23)–(3.26). Nevertheless, using Lemma 3.1 the result can be proved as follows.

Proof: Assume first that $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is the monic OPS solution of (3.19). Let $(\mathbf{a}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the dual basis associated to the sequence of simple set $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Using (3.19), the following holds

$$\langle \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{a}_n, P_l \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{a}_n, \mathcal{D}_q \mathbf{S}_q P_l \rangle = -k_l \langle \mathbf{a}_n, P_{l-1} \rangle = -k_{n+1} \delta_{n+1, l} .$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{a}_n = -k_{n+1} \mathbf{a}_{n+1} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots) , \quad (3.27)$$

is obtained by writing

$$\mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{a}_n = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \langle \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{a}_n, P_l \rangle \mathbf{a}_l ,$$

taking into account what is preceding. Equation (3.23) follows by taking $n = 0$ in (3.27) using (2.1), (2.3) and the fact that $B_0 = 0$ and $C_1 = (1 - q^s)/2$ with $s = \pm 1$ (obtained from Theorem 3.1). Similarly, using (3.2) one can prove that

$$2\alpha \mathbf{D}_q^2(\mathbf{U}_2 \mathbf{a}_n) = a_n \mathbf{a}_n + b_{n+1} \mathbf{a}_{n+1} + c_{n+2} \mathbf{a}_{n+2} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots) .$$

Therefore (3.24) follows by taking $n = 0$ in the above equation taking into account (2.2)–(2.3), (2.1) and Theorem 3.1. Equation (3.25) (respectively (3.26)) follows from the same idea using (3.4) (respectively (3.3)).

Assume secondly that $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a monic OPS with respect to the functional \mathbf{u} , solution of equations (3.23)–(3.26). We are only going to use (3.23) and (3.25). We first apply the operator \mathbf{S}_q on (3.23) using successively (2.9) (for $n = 1$ and \mathbf{u} replaced by $\mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u}$), (3.25) and (3.23) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_q(z\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{2}(q^s - 1)\mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{D}_q(\mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(q^s - 1) \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha^2 - 1} \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{S}_q^2 \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2\alpha^2 - 1} \mathbf{U}_1 \mathbf{D}_q^2 \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u} \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha(q^s - 1)}{2(2\alpha^2 - 1)} \mathbf{D}_q \left((-q^{-s}z^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + q^{-s}))\mathbf{u} \right) - \frac{\mathbf{U}_1}{2\alpha^2 - 1} \mathbf{D}_q(z\mathbf{u}) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

In the meantime, using (2.8) one may write

$$\mathbf{U}_1 \mathbf{D}_q(z\mathbf{u}) = \alpha \mathbf{D}_q(z\mathbf{U}_1 \mathbf{u}) - (\alpha^2 - 1) \mathbf{S}_q^2(z\mathbf{u}) .$$

We replace this in (3.28) in order to obtain

$$(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}) \mathbf{D}_q((z^2 - 1)\mathbf{u}) = -2s \mathbf{S}_q(z\mathbf{u}) .$$

This means that \mathbf{u} satisfies (2.11) with $\phi(z) = \frac{s}{2}(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})(z^2 - 1)$ and $\psi(z) = z$. Therefore applying (2.12), we obtain

$$B_n = 0, \quad C_{n+1} = \frac{(1 - q^{s(n+1)})(1 + q^{sn})}{4} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots) ,$$

and therefore $P_n = Q_n(x; s, -s|q^s)$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. We then use Theorem 3.1 to conclude that $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies (3.19). This conclusion can be obtained similarly using (3.24) and (3.26). \blacksquare

4. Main results: second case

In this section we are interested in monic OPS, $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$, solution of the following equation

$$\mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{S}_q P_n(z) = r_n P_{n-1}(z) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots) . \quad (4.1)$$

Methods and techniques are similar to ones used in the previous section. For this reason, we mention some of results without proves.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a monic OPS such that (4.1) holds. Then the following relations hold.*

$$2(\alpha^2 - 1)(z^2 - \alpha^2)\mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n(z) = a_n P_n(z) + b_n P_{n-1}(z) + c_n P_{n-2}(z), \quad (4.2)$$

$$2\alpha\mathcal{S}_q^2 P_n(z) = c_n^{[1]} P_n(z) + c_n^{[2]} P_{n-1}(z) + c_n^{[3]} P_{n-2}(z), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4\alpha(\alpha^2 - 1)(z^2 - \alpha^2)\mathcal{S}_q\mathcal{D}_q P_n(z) \\ = b_n^{[1]} P_{n+1}(z) + b_n^{[2]} P_n(z) + b_n^{[3]} P_{n-1}(z) + b_n^{[4]} P_{n-2}(z) + b_n^{[5]} P_{n-3}(z), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for each $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= r_{n+1} - (4\alpha^2 - 3)r_n - \alpha, \quad b_n = (B_n - (4\alpha^2 - 3)B_{n-1})r_n, \\ c_n &= r_{n-1}C_n - (4\alpha^2 - 3)r_n C_{n-1}, \quad b_n^{[1]} = a_{n+1} - (2\alpha^2 - 1)a_n, \\ b_n^{[2]} &= b_{n+1} - (2\alpha^2 - 1)b_n - 2(\alpha^2 - 1)a_n B_n, \\ b_n^{[3]} &= c_{n+1} - (2\alpha^2 - 1)c_n + (B_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)B_{n-1})b_n \\ &\quad + (a_{n-1} - (2\alpha^2 - 1)a_n)C_n, \\ b_n^{[4]} &= (B_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)B_{n-2})c_n + b_{n-1}C_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)b_n C_{n-1}, \\ b_n^{[5]} &= c_{n-1}C_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)c_n C_{n-2}, \quad c_n^{[1]} = r_{n+1} - (2\alpha^2 - 1)r_n + \alpha, \\ c_n^{[2]} &= (B_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)B_{n-1})r_n, \quad c_n^{[3]} = r_{n-1}C_n - (2\alpha^2 - 1)r_n C_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: From (2.6) using (2.5) yields

$$\alpha\mathcal{S}_q^2 f = (\alpha^2\mathcal{U}_2 - \mathcal{U}_1^2)\mathcal{D}_q^2 f + \mathcal{U}_1\mathcal{D}_q\mathcal{S}_q f + \alpha f. \quad (4.5)$$

We apply successively the operators \mathcal{S}_q and \mathcal{D}_q to the TTRR (2.2) satisfied by the monic OPS $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ solution of (4.1). Using (2.4), (2.5) and (4.5), we obtain the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha^2 z + 3\mathcal{U}_1(z))\mathcal{D}_q\mathcal{S}_q P_n(z) + 2(\alpha^2\mathcal{U}_2(z) - \mathcal{U}_1^2(z))\mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n(z) + \alpha P_n(z) \\ = \mathcal{D}_q\mathcal{S}_q P_{n+1}(z) + B_n\mathcal{D}_q\mathcal{S}_q P_n(z) + C_n\mathcal{D}_q\mathcal{S}_q P_{n-1}(z), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

since $\mathcal{D}_q\mathcal{U}_2 = 2\alpha\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_q\mathcal{U}_2 = \alpha^2\mathcal{U}_2 + \mathcal{U}_1^2$. Finally (4.2) is obtained from (4.6) by using successively (4.1) and the TTRR (2.2). Now from (4.5), we may also write (4.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha\mathcal{S}_q^2 P_n(z) - \alpha P_n(z) + (2\alpha^2 - 1)z\mathcal{D}_q\mathcal{S}_q P_n(z) \\ = \mathcal{D}_q\mathcal{S}_q P_{n+1}(z) + B_n\mathcal{D}_q\mathcal{S}_q P_n(z) + C_n\mathcal{D}_q\mathcal{S}_q P_{n-1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (4.3) is obtained from this equation using (2.2) and (4.1). Equation (4.4) is obtained by multiplying (3.7) by $2(\alpha^2 - 1)(z^2 - \alpha^2)$ using successively (4.1), (4.2) and again the TTRR (2.2). ■

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a monic OPS satisfying (4.1). The following system of difference equations holds*

$$r_{n+2} - 2(2\alpha^2 - 1)r_{n+1} + r_n = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

$$t_{n+2} - 2(2\alpha^2 - 1)t_{n+1} + t_n = 0, \quad t_n := r_n/C_n, \quad (4.8)$$

$$r_{n+1}B_{n+1} - (4\alpha^2 - 3)(r_n + r_{n+1})B_n + r_nB_{n-1} = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

$$t_{n+3}B_{n+2} - (t_{n+2} + t_{n+1})B_{n+1} + t_nB_n = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n+2}(C_{n+1} - 1/4) - 2t_n(C_n - 1/4) + t_{n-2}(C_{n-1} - 1/4) \\ = t_n [B_n^2 - 2(2\alpha^2 - 1)B_nB_{n-1} + B_{n-1}^2], \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where B_n and C_n are the coefficients of the TTRR (2.2) satisfied by $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Proof: As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we multiply (3.13) by $2(\alpha^2 - 1)(z^2 - \alpha^2)$ using successively (4.1), (4.2) and the TTRR (2.2). The result follows. ■

Theorem 4.1. *The only monic OPS, $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$, for which*

$$\mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{S}_q P_n(z) = k_n P_{n-1}(z) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots), \quad (4.12)$$

is the Rogers q^2 -Hermite or Rogers q^{-2} -Hermite polynomial.

Proof: Let $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a monic OPS solution of (4.12). Following the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$B_n = 0, \quad C_{n+1} = \frac{1}{4}(1 - q^{2s(n+1)}) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots),$$

and so the obtain

$$P_n = Q_n(x; sq^{s/2}, -sq^{s/2}|q^s) \quad s = \pm 1, \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Hence the result follows. ■

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ be a regular functional and $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ the corresponding monic OPS. Then $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is the solution of (4.12) if and only if \mathbf{u}*

is a solution of the following functional equations

$$q^{s/2}(q^s - 1)\mathbf{S}_q\mathbf{D}_q\mathbf{u} = 2z\mathbf{u} , \tag{4.13}$$

$$4(\alpha^2 - 1)q^{5s/2}\mathbf{D}_q^2((z^2 - \alpha^2)\mathbf{u}) = (-4z^2 + 1 - q^{2s})\mathbf{u} , \tag{4.14}$$

$$4q^{2s}\mathbf{S}_q^2\mathbf{u} = (-4z^2 + 1 + 3q^{2s})\mathbf{u} , \tag{4.15}$$

$$2q^{3s}(1 - q^s)\mathbf{D}_q\mathbf{S}_q((z^2 - \alpha^2)\mathbf{u}) = z(-4z^2 + q^{4s} + q^{2s} + 2)\mathbf{u} , \tag{4.16}$$

with $s = \pm 1$.

Remark 4.1. *Although the results obtained here were proved for the q -quadratic lattices, they can be easily extended to quadratic lattices $x(s) = \mathbf{c}_4s^2 + \mathbf{c}_5s + \mathbf{c}_6$ by taking the appropriate limit as it was discussed in [10].*

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Kenier Castillo for drawing our attention to this problem. The author D. Mbouna was partially supported by CMUP, member of LASI, which is financed by national funds through FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the projects with reference UIDB/00144/2020 and UIDP/00144/2020. A. Suzuki is supported by the FCT grant 2021.05089.BD and partially supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra-UIDB/00324/2020, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/ MCTES.

References

- [1] W. Al-Salam, A characterization of the Rogers q -Hermite polynomials, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* **18** (1995), no. 4, 641–648.
- [2] W. Al-Salam and T. S. Chihara, Another characterization of the classical orthogonal polynomials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **3** (1972) 65–70.
- [3] W. Al-Salam, q -Appell polynomials, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **vol 77 4** (1967), pp. 31-45.
- [4] R. Álvarez-Nodarse, K. Castillo, D. Mbouna, and J. Petronilho, On discrete coherent pairs of measures, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, **vol. 28, no. 7** (2022) 853-868;
- [5] P. Appell, Sur une classe de polynômes, *Ann. Sci. de l'Ecole Norm. Sup.* **(2) 9** (1880) 119-144.
- [6] F. Ana Loureiro and P. Maroni, Quadratic decomposition of Appell sequences, *Expo. Math.* **26** (2008) 177-186.
- [7] K. Castillo, D. Mbouna, and J. Petronilho, Remarks on Askey-Wilson polynomials and Meixner polynomials of the second kind, *Ramanujan J.*, **58** (2022) 1159-1170.
- [8] Daniel J. Galiffa and Boon W. Ong, A characterization of an Askey-Wilson difference equation, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, **vol. 20, no. 9** (2014) 1372-1381;
- [9] S. Datta and J. Griffin, A characterization of some q -orthogonal polynomials, *Ramanujan J.* **12**(2006), pp. 425–437.
- [10] K. Castillo, D. Mbouna, and J. Petronilho, On the functional equation for classical orthogonal polynomials on lattices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **515** (2022) 126390.

- [11] K. Castillo, D. Mbouna, and J. Petronilho, A characterization of continuous q -Jacobi, Chebyshev of the first kind and Al-Salam Chihara polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **514** (2022) 126358.
- [12] M. Foupouagnigni, M. Kenfack-Nangho, and S. Mboutngam, Characterization theorem of classical orthogonal polynomials on nonuniform lattices: the functional approach, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **22** (2011) 739-758.
- [13] M. E. H. Ismail, Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable. With two chapters by W. Van Assche. With a foreword by R. Askey., *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* **98**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [14] P. Maroni, Une théorie algébrique des polynômes orthogonaux. Applications aux polynômes orthogonaux semiclassiques, In C. Brezinski et al. Eds., *Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications*, Proc. Erice 1990, IMACS, Ann. Comp. App. Math. **9** (1991) 95-130.
- [15] A. Sharma, A. Chak, The basic analogue of a class of polynomials, *Revisita di Matematica della Università di Parma*, vol **5** (1954) pp. 15-38.

D. MBOUNA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF PORTO, CAMPO ALEGRE ST., 687, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: dieudonne.mbouna@fc.up.pt

A. SUZUKI

UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, CMUC, DEP. MATHEMATICS, 3001-501 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: asuzuki@uc.pt