Pré-Publicações do Departamento de Matemática Universidade de Coimbra Preprint Number 23–21

EPILEGOMENA TO THE STUDY OF SEMICLASSICAL POLYNOMIALS

K. CASTILLO AND D. MBOUNA

ABSTRACT: In his monograph [Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable, Cambridge University Press, 2005], Ismail conjectured that certain structure relations involving the Askey-Wilson operator characterize proper subsets of the set of all classical polynomials consisting in Askey-Wilson polynomials and limiting cases of them. In this paper we give two characterization theorems for semiclassical (and classical) polynomials in consonance with the pioneering works by Maroni [Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl. (1987)] and Bonan, Lubinsky, and Nevai [SIAM J. Math. Anal. 18 (1987)] for the standard derivative. As an application, we present a sequence of semiclassical polynomials of class two that disproves Ismail's conjectures. Further results are presented for Hahn's operator.

MATH. SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2010): 33D45.

1. Introduction

In the first line of his monumental work entitled "Une théorie algébrique des polynômes orthogonaux. Applications aux polynômes orthogonaux semiclassiques" (see [21]), Maroni referred to Shohat as "l'inventeur des polynômes semi-classiques", a term that was coined in 1984 by Hendriksen and van Rossum during the Laguerre Symposium held at Bar-le-Duc, whose main speaker was Dieudonné. However, it was at the hands of Maroni that semiclassical polynomials have become such a highly developed topic, although, as he himself points out, these sequences of orthogonal polynomials (OP) have always been present in certain structure relations which are as old as the history of orthogonality itself. Let us recall one of the best known problems in this regard. According to Al-Salam and Chihara (see [2, p. 69]), Askey raised the question of characterizing OP, $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$, satisfying

$$\phi P'_n = \sum_{j=-M}^N a_{n,j} P_{n+j} \qquad (c_{n,j} \in \mathbb{C}; M, N \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{1}$$

 ϕ being a polynomial which does not depend on n. In [2] it was proved that the only OP that satisfy (1) for M = N = 1 are the old classical polynomials

Received June 29, 2023.

or $D \equiv '$ classical polynomials, i.e., Jacobi, Bessel, Hermite, and Laguerre polynomials. However, for arbitrary values of M and N, there are nonclassical OP for which (1) holds. For instance, in 1984, Koornwinder considered (see [16]) OP satisfying (1) whose orthogonality measure is a linear combination of the Jacobi measure and two mass points at -1 and 1. In 1985 (see [20, Theorem 3.1] and [18, Theorem 3.1]), Maroni, in his *prolegomena* to the study of semiclassical polynomials, answers Askey's question by characterizing semiclassial OP^{*} (see also [19]). In the same year, Bonan, Lubinsky, and Nevai solved the problem using analytic methods in the framework of orthogonality in the positive definite sense (see [5, Theorem 1.1]). Although much has been written about this, there are still outstanding problems that remain open. For instance, we may rewrite Askey's question by changing the standard derivative by the Askey-Wilson operator. Recall that usually the Askey-Wilson divided difference operator $\mathcal{D}_q: \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_q f(x) = \frac{\breve{f}\left(q^{1/2}e^{i\theta}\right) - \breve{f}\left(q^{-1/2}e^{i\theta}\right)}{\breve{e}\left(q^{1/2}e^{i\theta}\right) - \breve{e}\left(q^{-1/2}e^{i\theta}\right)},\tag{2}$$

where $\check{f}(e^{i\theta}) = f(\cos\theta)$ for each polynomial f, e(x) = x, and θ is not necessarily a real number (see [13, Section 12.1]). Here and subsequently, 0 < q < 1 is assumed fixed. (\mathcal{P} denotes the vector space of all polynomials with complex coefficients.) Taking $e^{i\theta} = q^s$ in (2), \mathcal{D}_q reads

$$\mathcal{D}_q f(x(s)) = \frac{f(x(s+1/2)) - f(x(s-1/2))}{x(s+1/2) - x(s-1/2)},$$

with $x(s) = (q^s + q^{-s})/2$. What is expected by most of the people working on the subject, in contradiction with the case of the standard derivative operator D, is that the answer to this and other related questions be proper subsets of all classical polynomials consisting in Askey-Wilson polynomials and limiting cases of them. Recall that the Askey-Wilson polynomials (see [15, Section 14.1])

$$p_n(x; a, b, c, d \mid q) = a^{-n} (ab, ac, ad; q)_n$$

$$\times {}_4\phi_3 \begin{pmatrix} q^{-n}, abcbdq^{n-1}, ae^{i\theta}, ae^{-i\theta} \\ ab, ac, ad \end{pmatrix} \mid q, q \end{pmatrix},$$

^{*}According to Maroni (see [21, Definition 7.1]), the classical polynomials are semiclassical of class

^{0.} However, subsequently, when we refer to semiclassical polynomials, we exclude the classical ones.

where $x = \cos \theta$, are the q-analogues of the Wilson polynomials. (If we take $a = q^{1/2\alpha+1/4}$, $b = q^{1/2\alpha+3/4}$, c = -a, and d = -b, we get the continuous q-Jacobi polynomials. If we take c = d = 0, we get the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials.) In this sense, there are in the literature two well-known conjectures posed by Ismail (see [13, Conjecture 24.7.8] and [13, Conjecture 24.7.9]).

CONJECTURE 1.1. Let $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials and let ϕ be a polynomial which does not depend on n. If

$$\phi \mathcal{D}_q P_n = \sum_{j=-1}^{1} a_{n,j} P_{n+j} \qquad (a_{n,j} \in \mathbb{C}),$$
(3)

then P_n is a multiple of the continuous q-Jacobi polynomials or Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials, or special or limiting cases of them. The same conclusion holds if

$$\phi \mathcal{D}_q P_n = \sum_{j=-M}^N a_{n,j} P_{n+j} \qquad (a_{n,j} \in \mathbb{C}; M, N \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(4)

CONJECTURE 1.2. Let $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials and ϕ be a polynomial of degree at most 4. Then $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies

$$\phi \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n = \sum_{j=-M}^N a_{n,j} P_{n+j} \qquad (a_{n,j} \in \mathbb{C}; \ M, N \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{5}$$

if and only if P_n is a multiple of $p_n(x; a, b, c, d | q)$ for some for some parameters a, b, c, d.

In [1], Al-Salam proved Conjecture 1.1 for Q = 1 by characterizing the continuous q-Hermite polynomials (see [15, Section 14.26]). In [8], we prove that the Al-Salam Chihara polynomials (see [15, Section 14.26]), with nonzero parameters a and b such that $a/b = q^{\pm 1/2}$, are the only OP satisfying (3) for deg Q = 1. We also prove that the Chebyschev polynomials of the first kind and the continuous q-Jacobi polynomials (see [15, Section 14.10]) are the only ones satisfying (3) for deg Q = 2. Moreover, in [6, Proposition 2.1] we prove that the continuous dual q-Hahn polynomials (see [15, Section 14.3]), with parameters a = 1, b = -1, $c = q^{1/4}$, and q replaced by $q^{1/2}$, satisfy (4) with M = 2 and N = 1, which disproves the second part of Conjecture 1.1. On the other hand, Conjecture 1.2 is claimed to be solved in [14], but the authors only proved, partially, the case M = 2 and N = 2, and so this question is still open in its full generality [†]. Recently we noted that above conjectures are related with the theory of semiclassical polynomials. In Section 3, we characterize semiclassical (and classical) polynomials from the structure relation (4), in consonance with the works by Maroni [18] and Bonan, Lubinsky, and Nevai [5] for the standard derivative, re-establishing in this context the perfect "symmetry" between the standard derivative and the Askey-Wilson operator. As an application of these results, in Section 4, we present an example of semiclassical polynomial that disproves Conjecture 1.1. In Section 4 we also show that the OP that disproves Conjecture 1.1 also disproves Conjecture 1.2. Finally, in Section 5, we explore our ideas when instead of the Askey-Wilson operator we consider the Hahn operator, which is related with the structure relation of another conjecture posed by Ismail (see [13, Conjecture 24.7.7]), but first some preliminary definitions and basic results are needed.

2. Preliminary results

Let \mathcal{P}^* be the set of all linear forms on \mathcal{P} and let \mathcal{P}_n be the subspace of \mathcal{P} of all polynomials with degree less than or equal to n. Set $\mathcal{P}_{-1} = \{0\}$. A free system in \mathcal{P} is a sequence $(Q_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that $Q_n \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ for each n. A free system $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is called OP with respect to $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ if

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, P_n P_m \rangle = h_n \delta_{n,m} \quad (m = 0, 1, \dots; h_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$$

 $\langle \mathbf{u}, f \rangle$ being the action of \mathbf{u} on f. \mathbf{u} is called regular if there exists an OP with respect to it. Recall that a (monic) OP, $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$, satisfies the following recurrence relation:

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + B_n P_n(x) + C_n P_{n-1}(x) \qquad (B_n \in \mathbb{C}, \ C_{n+1} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}),$$
(6)

with initial conditions $P_{-1} = 0$ and $P_0 = 1$. Hence it follows that

$$B_n = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, x P_n^2(x) \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}, P_n^2(x) \rangle}, \qquad C_n = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, P_n^2 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{n-1}^2 \rangle}$$

(Of course, there is no loss of generality in assuming $C_0 = 0$.) Since the elements of \mathcal{P}^* are completely determined by its action on a system of generators

[†]It is worth pointing out that the main result of [14] is a particular case of a direct consequence of Sonine-Hahn's theorem for the Askey-Wilson operator (see remark after [7, Theorem 1.2]).

of \mathcal{P} , we say that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$ if and only if

$$\mathbf{u}_n = \langle \mathbf{u}, x^n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, x^n \rangle$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the set \mathcal{P}^* , addition and multiplications by scalars can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, x^n \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{u}, x^n \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, x^n \rangle \,, \\ \langle c \mathbf{u}, x^n \rangle &= c \, \langle \mathbf{u}, x^n \rangle \qquad (c \in \mathbb{C}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \mathcal{P}^* , endowed with these operations, is a vector space over \mathcal{P} . In \mathcal{P}^* , the identity for the additivity is denoted by **0** and called the zero. The zero is therefore defined by the relation $\langle \mathbf{0}, x^n \rangle = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $f \mathbf{u} = g \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ $(f, g \in \mathcal{P})$ if and only if $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$. The left multiplication of \mathbf{u} by $f \in \mathcal{P}$, denoted by $f\mathbf{u} : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$, is the form defined by

$$\langle f\mathbf{u}, x^n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, fx^n \rangle,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The division of **u** by a polynomial, denoted by $(x - c)^{-1}$ **u** : $\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$, is the form defined by

$$\left\langle (x-c)^{-1}\mathbf{u}, f \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{u}, \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x-c} \right\rangle \qquad (c \in \mathbb{C}; f \in \mathcal{P}).$$

Define also $\delta_c : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\delta_c f(x) = f(c)$. We check at once that

$$(x-c)((x-c)^{-1}\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}, \qquad (x-c)^{-1}((x-c)\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0 \,\delta_c.$$

 \mathcal{P} may be endowed with an appropriate strict inductive limit topology such that the algebraic and the topological dual spaces of \mathcal{P} coincide (see [24, Chapter 13]), that is,

$$\mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{P}'.\tag{7}$$

Given a free system $(Q_n)_{n\geq 0}$, the corresponding dual basis is a sequence of linear forms $\mathbf{a}_n : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\langle \mathbf{a}_n, Q_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$$

and so, for an OP $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$, \mathbf{a}_n is explicitly given by

$$\mathbf{a}_n = \frac{P_n}{\langle \mathbf{a}_n, P_n^2 \rangle} \mathbf{u}.$$

In the sense of the weak dual topology, it would be easy to explicitly build bases in dual space. Indeed,

$$\mathbf{u} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathbf{u}, Q_j \rangle \, \mathbf{a}_j \qquad (\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}');$$

this will be essential in the sequel. For more details we refer the reader to [21] (see also [10]).

The Askey-Wilson average operator $S_q : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ is defined by (see [13, p. 301])

$$S_q f(x(s)) = \frac{f(x(s+1/2)) + f(x(s-1/2))}{2}.$$

for every polynomial f. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{D}_q x^n = \gamma_n x^{n-1} + (\text{lower degree terms})$ and $\mathcal{S}_q x^n = \alpha_n x^n + (\text{lower degree terms})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where we have set

$$\alpha_n = \frac{q^{n/2} + q^{-n/2}}{2}, \qquad \gamma_n = \frac{q^{n/2} - q^{-n/2}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}.$$
(8)

Set $\gamma_{-1} = -1$ and $\alpha_{-1} = \alpha$. For every $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{P}$, $\mathbf{D}_q : \mathcal{P}^* \to \mathcal{P}^*$ and $\mathbf{S}_q : \mathcal{P}^* \to \mathcal{P}^*$ are defined by transposition:

$$\langle \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{u}, f \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{D}_q f \rangle, \qquad \langle \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u}, f \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{S}_q f \rangle$$

The next definition extends the definition of classical linear forms given by Geronimus [12] and Maroni (see [22, Proposition 2.1]).

DEFINITION 2.1. [9, Definition 3.1] $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ is called \mathbf{D}_q -classical if it is regular and there exist $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_{-1}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_{-1}$ such that

$$\mathbf{D}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{S}_q(\psi \mathbf{u}). \tag{9}$$

(We will call it simply classical when no confusion can arise.)

Observe that (9) condenses all the information of a sequence of classical polynomials in the first three non-constant polynomials of said sequence. The next theorem gives tractable necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions of (9), characterizing the linear form \mathbf{u} and, in particular, solving the question of the existence of classical OP.

THEOREM 2.1. [9, Theorem 4.1] Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ satisfies (9) with $\phi(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ and $\psi(x) = dx + e$. Then \mathbf{u} is regular if and only if

$$d_n \neq 0, \qquad \phi^{[n]}\left(-\frac{e_n}{d_{2n}}\right) \neq 0,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $d_n = a\gamma_n + d\alpha_n$, $e_n = b\gamma_n + e\alpha_n$, α_n and γ_n being defined by (8), and

$$\phi^{[n]}(x) = (d(\alpha^2 - 1)\gamma_{2n} + a\alpha_{2n})(x^2 - 1/2) + (b\alpha_n + e(\alpha^2 - 1)\gamma_n)x + c + a/2.$$

OP with respect to $(\mathbf{D}_q$ -)classical linear forms are called $(\mathcal{D}_q$ -)classical polynomials. Unlike when dealing with the standard derivative, in the case of Definition 2.1 it is still an open problem to describe the solutions of (9) (see [10, Theorem 3.2]).

THEOREM 2.2. [13, Theorem 20.1.3] The equation

$$f(x)\mathcal{D}_q^2 y + g(x)\mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q y + h(x) y = \lambda_n y$$
(10)

has a polynomial solution $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ if and only if P_n is a multiple of $p_n(x; a, b, c, d | q)$ for some parameters a, b, c, d including limiting cases as one or more of the parameters tends to ∞ . In all these cases f, g, h, and λ_n reduce to

$$f(x) = -q^{-1/2}(2(1+\sigma_4)x^2 - (\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)x - 1 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_4),$$

$$g(x) = \frac{2}{1-q}(2(\sigma_4 - 1)x + \sigma_1 - \sigma_3), \qquad h(x) = 0,$$

$$\lambda_n = \frac{4q(1-q^{-n})(1-\sigma_4q^{n-1})}{(1-q)^2},$$

or a special or limiting case of it, σ_j being the jth elementary symmetric function of the Askey-Wilson parameters.

Let us recall some useful operations.

LEMMA 2.1. [9, Lemma 2.1] Let $f, g \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$. Then the following hold:

$$\mathcal{D}_q(fg) = (\mathcal{D}_q f)(\mathcal{S}_q g) + (\mathcal{S}_q f)(\mathcal{D}_q g), \qquad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_q(fg) = (\mathcal{D}_q f)(\mathcal{D}_q g) \mathcal{U}_2 + (\mathcal{S}_q f) (\mathcal{S}_q g), \qquad (12)$$

$$f\mathcal{D}_q g = \mathcal{D}_q \left((\mathcal{S}_q f - \alpha^{-1} \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q f) g \right) - \alpha^{-1} \mathcal{S}_q (g\mathcal{D}_q f),$$
(13)

$$\alpha \mathbf{D}_q(f\mathbf{u}) = (\alpha \mathcal{S}_q f - \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q f) \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{u} + \mathcal{D}_q f \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u},$$
(14)

$$\alpha \mathbf{S}_q(f\mathbf{u}) = (\alpha^2 \mathbf{U}_2 - \mathbf{U}_1^2) \mathcal{D}_q f \ \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{u} + (\alpha \mathcal{S}_q f + \mathbf{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q f) \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u},$$
(15)

$$f\mathbf{D}_{q}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{D}_{q}\left(\mathcal{S}_{q}f \ \mathbf{u}\right) - \mathbf{S}_{q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{q}f \ \mathbf{u}\right), \tag{16}$$

$$f\mathbf{S}_{q}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{S}_{q}\left(\mathcal{S}_{q}f \ \mathbf{u}\right) - \mathbf{D}_{q}\left(\mathcal{U}_{2}\mathcal{D}_{q}f \ \mathbf{u}\right), \qquad (17)$$

$$\alpha \mathbf{D}_{q}^{n} \mathbf{S}_{q} \mathbf{u} = \alpha_{n+1} \mathbf{S}_{q} \mathbf{D}_{q}^{n} \mathbf{u} + \gamma_{n} \mathbf{U}_{1} \mathbf{D}_{q}^{n+1} \mathbf{u} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$
(18)

where $U_1(x) = (\alpha^2 - 1)x$ and $U_2(x) = (\alpha^2 - 1)(x^2 - 1)$.

The following result clarifies which are the \mathcal{D}_q -classical polynomials.

PROPOSITION 2.1. The \mathcal{D}_q -classical sequences of orthogonal polynomials are the sequences of Askey-Wilson polynomials $(p_n(x; a, b, c, d | q))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for some parameters a, b, c, d including limiting cases as one or more of the parameters tends to ∞ .

Proof: This follows from Theorem 2.2, after showing the equivalence between (9) and (10) with h = 0 (see [11, Theorem 5]). (The interested reader can also prove this easily following the proof of [22, Proposition 2.8].)

From Definition 2.1 we introduce \mathbf{D}_q -semiclassical linear forms in a natural way (see, for instance, [22, Section 3]).

DEFINITION 2.2. We call a linear form, in \mathcal{P}^* , \mathbf{D}_q -semiclassical if it is regular, not \mathbf{D}_q -classical, and there exist two polynomials ϕ and ψ with at least one of them nonzero, such that (9) holds. (We will call it simply semiclassical when no confusion can arise.)

Under the conditions of Definition 2.2, necessarily both ϕ and ψ are not zero and deg $\psi \ge 1$. The class of **u** is the positive integer

$$s = \min_{(\phi,\psi)\in\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}} \max\{\deg\phi - 2, \deg\psi - 1\},\$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}$ is the set of all pairs (ϕ, ψ) of nonzero polynomials such that (9) holds. (Note that when s = 0 we have the classical linear forms.) The pair $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}$ where the class of \mathbf{u} is attained is unique up to a constant factor. OP with respect to a $(\mathbf{D}_{q}$ -)semiclassical form of class s are called a $(\mathcal{D}_{q}$ -)semiclassical polynomials of class s.

We end this section with the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.3. We call a pair of polynomials (ϕ, ψ) , $\phi(x) = a_p x^p + (lower degree terms)$ and $\psi(x) = b_q x^q + (lower degree terms)$ $(p \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})$, admissible if $p - 1 \neq q$ or $a_p \gamma_n + b_q \alpha_{n-1} \neq 0$ whenever p - 1 = q.

REMARK 2.1. We emphasize that from the point of view of [9], in this paper we are working with the particular lattice $x(s) = (q^{-s} + q^s)/2$. If we consider the lattice $x(s) = \mathfrak{c}_6$, in the notation of [9], we have $\alpha_{n-1} = 1$ and $\gamma_n = n$, and so Definition 2.3 reduces the admissibility condition for the standard derivative (see [21, p. 119] and [23, p. 46]).

3. Characterization theorems

The next theorem characterize \mathcal{D}_q -classical and \mathcal{D}_q -semiclassical polynomials from the structure relation (4).

THEOREM 3.1. Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}'$ be regular and let $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ denote the corresponding sequence of orthogonal polynomials. The following conditions are equivalent:

i) There exist three nonzero polynomials, ϕ , ψ and ρ , (ψ, ρ) being an admissible pair, such that

$$\mathbf{D}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}) = \psi \mathbf{u}, \qquad \mathbf{S}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}) = \rho \mathbf{u}.$$

ii) There exist $s \in \mathbb{N}$, complex numbers $(a_{n,j})_{j=0}^n$, and a polynomial ϕ such that

$$\phi \mathcal{D}_q P_n = \sum_{j=n-s}^{n+\deg\phi-1} a_{n,j} P_j, \qquad (19)$$

with $a_{n,n-s} \neq 0$ for all $n \geq s$.

Proof: *i*) \implies *ii*): Write $\rho(x) = a_r x^r + (\text{lower degree terms})$ and $\psi(x) = b_s x^s + (\text{lower degree terms})$ $(r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, p \in \mathbb{N})$. Set $d_n = a_r \alpha_{n-1} + b_s \gamma_n$.

Clearly,

$$\phi \mathcal{D}_q P_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n+\deg\phi-1} a_{n,j} P_j,$$

where

$$a_{n,j} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, \phi P_j \mathcal{D}_q P_n \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}, P_j^2 \rangle}.$$

From (13) we get

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, P_j^2 \rangle a_{n,j} = \langle \mathbf{u}, \phi P_j \mathcal{D}_q P_n \rangle = \langle \phi \mathbf{u}, P_j \mathcal{D}_q P_n \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{D}_q \left(\left(\mathcal{S}_q P_j - \alpha^{-1} \mathbb{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q P_j \right) P_n \right) - \alpha^{-1} \mathcal{S}_q (P_n \mathcal{D}_q P_j) \rangle$$

$$= - \left\langle \mathbf{D}_q (\phi \mathbf{u}), \left(\mathcal{S}_q P_j - \alpha^{-1} \mathbb{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q P_j \right) P_n \right\rangle - \alpha^{-1} \left\langle \mathbf{S}_q (\phi \mathbf{u}), P_n \mathcal{D}_q P_j \right\rangle$$

$$= - \left\langle \mathbf{u}, \left(\psi \left(\mathcal{S}_q P_j - \alpha^{-1} \mathbb{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q P_j \right) + \alpha^{-1} \rho \mathcal{D}_q P_j \right) P_n \right\rangle.$$

There is no loss of generality in assuming $r - 1 \leq s$. For r - 1 < s, we have

$$-\alpha \left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_j^2 \right\rangle a_{n,j} = \begin{cases} a_r \alpha_{n-s-1} \left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_n^2 \right\rangle, & j = n-s, \\ 0, & j < n-s. \end{cases}$$

and for r-1 = s, we get

$$-\alpha \left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_j^2 \right\rangle a_{n,j} = \begin{cases} d_{n-s} \left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_n^2 \right\rangle, & j = n - s, \\ 0, & j < n - s. \end{cases}$$

Hence $a_{n,n-s} \neq 0$, for $n \geq s$ and ii) follows. $ii) \implies i$): Let $(\mathbf{a}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the dual basis associated to $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$. Note that *ii*) yields

$$\langle \mathbf{D}_{q}(\phi \mathbf{a}_{n}), P_{j} \rangle = - \langle \mathbf{a}_{n}, \phi \mathcal{D}_{q} P_{j} \rangle = -\sum_{l=j-s}^{j+\deg\phi-1} a_{j,l} \langle \mathbf{a}_{n}, P_{l} \rangle$$

$$= \begin{cases} -a_{j,n}, & n - \deg\phi + 1 \leq j \leq n+s, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

10

Writing

$$\mathbf{D}_q(\phi \mathbf{a}_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\langle \mathbf{D}_q(\phi \mathbf{a}_n), P_j \right\rangle \mathbf{a}_j,$$

in the sense of the weak dual topology in \mathcal{P}' , and taking into account that $\langle \mathbf{u}, P_n^2 \rangle \mathbf{a}_n = P_n \mathbf{u}$, we get

$$\mathbf{D}_{q}(\phi P_{n}\mathbf{u}) = R_{n+s}\mathbf{u}, \quad R_{n+s} = -\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{n}^{2} \right\rangle \sum_{j=n-\deg\phi+1}^{n+s} \frac{a_{j,n}}{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{j}^{2} \right\rangle} P_{j}.$$

(Note that R_{n+s} is a polynomial of degree n + s.) Taking n = 0 and n = 1 in the above expression, we have

$$\mathbf{D}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}) = R_s \mathbf{u},\tag{20}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_q(\phi P_1 \mathbf{u}) = R_{s+1} \mathbf{u}.$$
 (21)

From (21), and using (14) and (20), we obtain

$$\alpha R_{s+1} \mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{D}_q(\phi P_1 \mathbf{u}) = (\alpha S_q P_1 - \mathbf{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q P_1) \mathbf{D}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{D}_q P_1 \mathbf{S}_q(\phi \mathbf{u})$$
$$= (x - \alpha B_0) R_s \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{S}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}).$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{S}_{q}(\phi \mathbf{u}) = \left(\alpha R_{s+1} - (x - \alpha B_{0})R_{s}\right)\mathbf{u}.$$
(22)

Note that $\alpha R_{s+1} - (x - \alpha B_0)R_s \neq 0$. To obtain a contradiction, suppose that the last assertion is false. Consequently, $\phi \mathbf{u} = 0$ with $\phi \neq 0$ and \mathbf{u} regular, which is impossible. We claim that $(R_s, \alpha R_{s+1} - (x - \alpha B_0)R_s)$ is an admissible pair. According to Definition 2.3, this is equivalent to showing that $d_n = a_r \alpha_{n-1} + b_s \gamma_n \neq 0$, where

$$a_r = -\frac{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_0^2 \right\rangle}{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_s^2 \right\rangle} a_{s,0}, \quad b_s = -\alpha \frac{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_1^2 \right\rangle}{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{s+1}^2 \right\rangle} a_{s+1,1} - a_r$$

For deg $(\alpha R_{s+1} - (x - \alpha B_0)R_s) < s+1$, we have $b_s = 0$, and so $d_n = a_r \alpha_{n-1} \neq 0$. Assume deg $(\alpha R_{s+1} - (x - \alpha B_0)R_s) = s+1$. (Note that in this case $b_s \neq 0$.) We now claim

$$\phi S_q P_n = \sum_{j=n-s-1}^{n+\deg\phi} \widetilde{a}_{n,j} P_j, \quad \widetilde{a}_{n,n-s-1} = -\alpha a_{n,n-s} C_{n-s} + a_{n-1,n-s-1} C_n, \quad (23)$$

where we have assumed that $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies (6). Indeed, apply $\phi \mathcal{D}_q$ to (6) to obtain

$$\phi(x)S_q P_n(x) = \phi(x) \left(-\alpha x \mathcal{D}_q P_n(x) + \mathcal{D}_q P_{n+1}(x) + B_n \mathcal{D}_q P_n(x) + C_n \mathcal{D}_q P_{n-1}(x) \right)$$

= $-\alpha x \sum_{j=n-s}^{n+\deg\phi-1} a_{n,j} P_j(x) + \sum_{j=n-s+1}^{n+\deg\phi} a_{n+1,j} P_j(x)$
+ $B_n \sum_{j=n-s}^{n+\deg\phi-1} a_{n,j} P_j(x) + C_n \sum_{j=n-s-1}^{n+\deg\phi-2} a_{n-1,j} P_j(x),$

and (23) follows by using (6). We also claim that

$$a_{n,n-s} = \left(k_1 q^{n/2} + k_2 q^{-n/2}\right) \prod_{j=n-s+1}^n C_j, \qquad n \ge s.$$

$$2\widetilde{a}_{n,n-s-1} = -\left(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}\right) \left(k_1 q^{n/2} - k_2 q^{-n/2}\right) \prod_{j=n-s}^n C_j, \qquad n \ge s+1,$$
(24)

(25)

where
$$k_1$$
 and k_2 are complex numbers. Indeed, apply ϕS_q to (6) and use (12) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{U}_2(x)\phi(x)\mathcal{D}_q P_n(x) + \alpha x\phi(x)\mathcal{S}_q P_n(x) \\ &= \phi(x)\mathcal{S}_q P_{n+1}(x) + B_n\phi(x)\mathcal{S}_q P_n(x) + C_n\phi(x)\mathcal{S}_q P_{n-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (19), (23) and (6), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=n-s-2}^{n+\deg\phi+1} r_{n,j}P_j = 0.$$

Since $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a free system, we have $r_{n,j} = 0$ for all j. By identifying the coefficient of P_{n-s-2} , we find

$$0 = r_{n,n-s-2} = (\alpha^2 - 1)a_{n,n-s}C_{n-s}C_{n-s-1} + \alpha \widetilde{a}_{n,n-s-1}C_{n-s-1} - \widetilde{a}_{n-1,n-s-2}C_n.$$

Using the expression of $\tilde{a}_{n,n-s-1}$ given in (23), we get the following second order linear homogeneous equation:

$$y(n) - 2\alpha y(n-1) + y(n-2) = 0, \qquad (26)$$

where

$$y(n) = \frac{a_{n,n-s}}{\prod_{j=n-s+1}^{n} C_j}, \qquad n \ge s.$$

Note that $q^{1/2}$ and $q^{-1/2}$ are the solutions of the characteristic equation of (26) and, therefore, we find

$$y(n) = k_1 q^{n/2} + k_2 q^{-n/2},$$

and (24) follows. Moreover, from the expression of $\tilde{a}_{n,n-s-1}$ given in (23), (25) follows. Finally, using (24) and (25), we obtain

$$\begin{split} d_{n} &= -\frac{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{0}^{2} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{s}^{2} \right\rangle} a_{s,0} \alpha_{n-1} + \frac{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{0}^{2} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{s+1}^{2} \right\rangle} \widetilde{a}_{s+1,0} \gamma_{n} \\ &= \frac{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{0}^{2} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{s+1}^{2} \right\rangle} \left(-a_{s,0} C_{s+1} \alpha_{n-1} + \widetilde{a}_{s+1,0} \gamma_{n} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(2\alpha_{n-1} (k_{1} q^{s/2} + k_{2} q^{-s/2}) + (q^{n/2} - q^{-n/2}) (k_{1} q^{(s+1)/2} - k_{2} q^{-(s+1)/2}) \right) \\ &= -\alpha \left(k_{1} q^{(n+s)/2} + k_{2} q^{-(n+s)/2} \right) \\ &= -\alpha a_{n+s,n} \prod_{j=1}^{n+s} C_{j}^{-1} \neq 0, \end{split}$$

and so $(R_s, \alpha R_{s+1} - (x - \alpha B_0)R_s)$ is an admissible pair. Thus, *i*) follows from (20) and (22), and the theorem is proved.

REMARK 3.1. A regular linear form \mathbf{u} satisfying Theorem 3.1 i) is classical or semiclassical. Indeed, using (18) and (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_q(\rho \mathbf{u}) &= \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{S}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}) = (\alpha - \alpha^{-1}) \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{D}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}) + \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{U}_1 \mathbf{D}_q^2(\phi \mathbf{u}) \\ &= (\alpha - \alpha^{-1}) \mathbf{S}_q(\psi \mathbf{u}) + \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{U}_1 \mathbf{D}_q(\psi \mathbf{u}) \\ &= (\alpha - \alpha^{-1}) \mathbf{S}_q(\psi \mathbf{u}) + \alpha^{-1} (\alpha \mathbf{D}_q(\mathbf{U}_1 \psi \mathbf{u}) - (\alpha^2 - 1) \mathbf{S}_q(\psi \mathbf{u})) \\ &= \alpha \mathbf{S}_q(\psi \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{D}_q(\mathbf{U}_1 \psi \mathbf{u}). \end{aligned}$$

K. CASTILLO AND D. MBOUNA

Thus $\mathbf{D}_q((\rho - U_1\psi)\mathbf{u}) = \alpha \mathbf{S}_q(\psi\mathbf{u})$ as claimed. Theorem 3.1 is the analogue of [18, Theorem 3.1] (see also [21]), from which a distributional version of [5, Theorem 1.1] follows.

Although Theorem 3.1 could be very useful in many situations it is little precise regarding the classical or semiclassical character of the linear form. In the following theorem we will be more precise in this sense, but in counterpart we lose the direct connection with the equation (9).

THEOREM 3.2. Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}'$ be regular and let $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ denote the corresponding sequence of orthogonal polynomials satisfying (6). Suppose that there exist a nonnegative integer s, complex numbers $(a_{n,j})_{j=0}^n$, and a polynomial ϕ such that

$$\phi \mathcal{D}_q P_n = \sum_{j=n-s}^{n+\deg\phi-1} a_{n,j} P_j, \qquad (27)$$

with $a_{n,n-s} \neq 0$ for all $n \geq s$. Then there exist $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}_{s+1} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{-1}$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{P}_s \setminus \mathcal{P}_{-1}$ such that

$$\Phi \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{u} = \Psi \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u},\tag{28}$$

where Φ and Ψ never have more than s-1 common zeros. If Φ and Ψ have s-1 common zeros, then \mathbf{u} is \mathbf{D}_q -classical. Otherwise, \mathbf{u} is \mathbf{D}_q -semiclassical of class s-1-r, r being the number of common zeros of Φ and Ψ .

Proof: We can now proceed analogously to the proof of Theorem 3.1 to obtain

$$\mathbf{D}_{q}(\phi P_{n}\mathbf{u}) = -Q_{n+s}\mathbf{u}, \quad Q_{n+s} = \left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{n}^{2} \right\rangle \sum_{j=n-\deg\phi+1}^{n+s} \frac{a_{j,n}}{\left\langle \mathbf{u}, P_{j}^{2} \right\rangle} P_{j}.$$

(Note that Q_{n+s} is a polynomial of degree n + s.) Taking n = 0 and n = 1, in the above expression, we have

$$\mathbf{D}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}) = -Q_s \mathbf{u},\tag{29}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_q(\phi P_1 \mathbf{u}) = -Q_{s+1} \mathbf{u}.$$
(30)

Using (29) and (14), we have

$$-\alpha Q_{s+1}(x)\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{D}_q (P_1(x)\phi \mathbf{u}) = (x - \alpha B_0)\mathbf{D}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{S}_q(\phi \mathbf{u})$$
$$= -(x - \alpha B_0)Q_s(x)\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{S}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}),$$

and so

$$((x - \alpha B_0)Q_s(x) - \alpha Q_{s+1}(x))\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{S}_q(\phi \mathbf{u}).$$

Applying \mathbf{D}_q to the above equation, and using (18), (29), and (16), we can assert that

$$- \alpha \mathbf{D}_{q}(((x - \alpha B_{0})Q_{s}(x) - \alpha Q_{s+1}(x))\mathbf{u})$$

$$= -\alpha \mathbf{D}_{q}\mathbf{S}_{q}(\phi \mathbf{u}) = -(2\alpha^{2} - 1)\mathbf{S}_{q}\mathbf{D}_{q}(\phi \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{U}_{1}\mathbf{D}_{q}^{2}(\phi \mathbf{u})$$

$$= (2\alpha^{2} - 1)\mathbf{S}_{q}(Q_{s}(x)\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{U}_{1}\mathbf{D}_{q}(Q_{s}(x)\mathbf{u})$$

$$= \alpha^{2}\mathbf{S}_{q}(Q_{s}(x)\mathbf{u}) + \alpha \mathbf{D}_{q}(\mathbf{U}_{1}Q_{s}(x)\mathbf{u}).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{D}_q\big((Q_{s+1}(x) - (\alpha x - B_0)Q_s(x))\mathbf{u}\big) = \mathbf{S}_q(Q_s(x)\mathbf{u}),\tag{31}$$

and **u** is classical or semiclassical of class at most s - 1. Let us now rewrite (31) in the form (28) to distinguish between cases. Using (14) and (15), (31) becomes

$$(\alpha S_q R_{s+1} - \mathbf{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q R_{s+1} + (\mathbf{U}_1^2 - \alpha^2 \mathbf{U}_2) \mathcal{D}_q Q_s) \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{u}$$

= $(\mathbf{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q Q_s + \alpha S_q Q_s - \mathcal{D}_q R_{s+1}) \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u},$

where $R_{s+1}(x) = Q_{s+1}(x) - (\alpha x - B_0)Q_s(x)$. Consequently, (28) follows with

$$\Phi = \alpha \mathcal{S}_q R_{s+1} - \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q R_{s+1} + (\mathcal{U}_1^2 - \alpha^2 \mathcal{U}_2) \mathcal{D}_q Q_s, \qquad (32)$$

$$\Psi = \mathbf{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q Q_s + \alpha \mathcal{S}_q Q_s - \mathcal{D}_q R_{s+1}.$$
(33)

Of course, $\Phi(x) \neq 0$ and $\Psi(x) \neq 0$, otherwise $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$, which contradicts the regularity of \mathbf{u} . Without restriction of generality, let us assume $\Phi(x) = (x-1)\Psi(x)$. From (28), and using (16) and (17), we get

$$\mathbf{D}_q(1/2(\alpha x - 1)\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{S}_q\mathbf{u}.$$

By Theorem 2.1, this leads to a contradiction with the regularity of \mathbf{u} a = d = 0 in the notation of Theorem 2.1 and so $d_n = 0$ therein—, and the first part of the theorem follows. Now suppose that $\Phi = \rho_r \phi$ and $\Psi = \rho_r \psi$, r < s where $\rho_r \in \mathcal{P}_r$, $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{s-r+1}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{P}_{s-r}$. Hence (28) reduces to $\phi \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{u} = \psi \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u}$ and, therefore, using (16) and (17), we have

$$\mathbf{D}_q\left(\left(\mathcal{S}_q\phi + \mathbf{U}_2\mathcal{D}_q\psi\right)\mathbf{u}\right) = \mathbf{S}_q\left(\left(\mathcal{S}_q\psi + \mathcal{D}_q\phi\right)\mathbf{u}\right).$$

Since $S_q \phi$ has degree at most s - r + 1, and $S_q \psi$ and $\mathcal{D}_q \phi$ have degree at most s - r, **u** is classical whenever r = s - 1 or semiclassical of class at most s - r - 1 whenever r < s - 1. Assume that (28) holds with Φ and Ψ being coprime, i.e., r = 0. To obtain a contradiction, suppose that **u** is semiclassical of class at most s - 2: there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_s$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{P}_{s-1}$ such that (9) holds. Taking into account (14) and (15), (9) holds if and only if

$$\Phi \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{u} = \Psi \mathbf{S}_q \mathbf{u}, \tag{34}$$

where $\widetilde{\Phi} = \alpha S_q \phi - U_1 \mathcal{D}_q \phi + (U_1^2 - \alpha^2 U_2) \mathcal{D}_q \psi$ and $\widetilde{\Psi} = \alpha S_q \psi + U_1 \mathcal{D}_q \psi - \mathcal{D}_q \phi$. Combining (28) with (34) yields

$$(\widetilde{\Psi} \Phi - \widetilde{\Phi} \Psi) \mathbf{D}_q \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}.$$

By the regularity of **u**, and the fact that Φ and Ψ are coprime, $\Phi = a\widetilde{\Phi}$ and $\Psi = a\widetilde{\Psi}$ ($a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$), and so

$$Q_s = a \, \psi,$$

which is impossible. Thus **u** is semiclassical of class s - 1. The same conclusion can be drawn for $r \neq 0$ and the theorem is proved.

4. Counterexamples

As an application of a particular case of Theorem 3.2, we disprove Conjecture 1.1 and Conjecture 1.2.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials satisfying (6) with

$$B_n = 0,$$
 $C_n = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - (-1)^n q^{n/2} \right) \left(1 - (-1)^n q^{(n-1)/2} \right).$

Then $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is \mathcal{D}_q -semiclassical of class two and the corresponding linear form satisfies (9) with

$$\phi(x) = -\frac{1}{8}(1-q^{-1})^2 (4x^4 - (q+5)x^2 + q+1),$$

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{4}(q-1)q^{-3/2}x(4x^2 - 3 - q).$$

Proof: We claim that $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{S}_q P_n = \alpha_n P_n + b_n C_{n-1} P_{n-2},\tag{35}$$

$$U_2 \mathcal{D}_q P_n = a_n P_{n+1} + c_n P_{n-1} + d_n P_{n-3}, \tag{36}$$

with

$$a_{n} = (\alpha^{2} - 1)\gamma_{n},$$

$$b_{n} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - (-1)^{n} q^{n/2} \right) \left((-1)^{n} - q^{-(n-1)/2} \right),$$

$$c_{n} = b_{n+1}C_{n} - \alpha b_{n}C_{n-1} - (\alpha^{2} - 1)\gamma_{n}C_{n}, \qquad d_{n} = (b_{n-1}C_{n} - \alpha b_{n}C_{n-1})C_{n-2}.$$

Indeed, we prove this by induction on n. For n = 1, RHS of (35) gives $\alpha_1 P_1(x) + b_1 C_0 P_{-1}(x) = \alpha x$, while LHS gives $S_q P_1(x) = S_q x = \alpha x$. Similarly, for n = 1, LHS of (36) gives $U_2 \mathcal{D}_q P_1 = U_2$, while RHS gives

$$a_1 P_2(x) + c_1 P_0(x) + d_1 P_{-1}(x) = a_1 P_2(x) + c_1$$

= $(\alpha^2 - 1)(x^2 - C_1) + b_2 C_1$
 $- \alpha b_1 C_0 - (\alpha^2 - 1)C_1$
= $(\alpha^2 - 1)(x^2 - 1).$

Assuming (35) and (36) hold, with k instead of n, for k = 1, 2, ..., n, we will prove it for k = n + 1. Apply S_q to (6), and use (12), to obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_q(P_{n+1}(x) + C_n P_{n-1}(x)) = \mathcal{S}_q(x P_n(x)) = \mathsf{U}_2(x) \mathcal{D}_q P_n(x) + \alpha x \mathcal{S}_q P_n(x).$$

From (36) for n and (35) for n-1 and n, we get

$$S_q P_{n+1}(x) = a_n P_{n+1}(x) + c_n P_{n-1}(x) + d_n P_{n-3}(x)$$

$$+ \alpha x (\alpha_n P_n(x) + b_n C_{n-1} P_{n-2}(x))$$

$$- C_n (\alpha_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) + b_{n-1} C_{n-2} P_{n-3}(x)).$$
(37)

Now using (6), (37) becomes

$$S_q P_{n+1} = (a_n + \alpha \alpha_n) P_{n+1} + (c_n + \alpha \alpha_n C_n + \alpha b_n C_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1} C_n) P_{n-1} + (d_n + \alpha b_n C_{n-1} C_{n-2} - b_{n-1} C_n C_{n-2}) P_{n-3}$$

The reader should convince himself that the following relations hold:

$$\alpha_{n+1} = a_n + \alpha \alpha_n,$$

$$b_{n+1}C_{n+1} = c_n + \alpha \alpha_n C_n + \alpha b_n C_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1}C_n,$$

$$0 = d_n + \alpha b_n C_{n-1}C_{n-2} - b_{n-1}C_n C_{n-2}.$$

This gives $S_q P_{n+1} = \alpha_{n+1} P_{n+1} + b_{n+1} C_n P_{n-1}$, and (35) holds for n+1. Similarly, apply $U_2 \mathcal{D}_q$ to (6), and use (11), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{U}_2(x)\mathcal{D}_q(P_{n+1}(x) + C_n P_{n-1}(x)) &= \mathsf{U}_2(x)\mathcal{D}_q(xP_n(x)) \\ &= \mathsf{U}_2(x)(\mathcal{S}_q P_n(x) + \alpha x \mathcal{D}_q P_n(x)) \end{aligned}$$

or, using (35) for n,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{U}_{2}(x)\mathcal{D}_{q}P_{n+1}(x) &= \mathsf{U}_{2}(x)\mathcal{S}_{q}P_{n}(x) + \alpha x \mathsf{U}_{2}(x)\mathcal{D}_{q}P_{n}(x) - C_{n}\mathsf{U}_{2}(x)\mathcal{D}_{q}P_{n-1}(x) \end{aligned} (38) \\ &= \mathsf{U}_{2}(x)\left(\alpha_{n}P_{n}(x) + b_{n}C_{n-1}P_{n-2}(x)\right) + \alpha x \mathsf{U}_{2}(x)\mathcal{D}_{q}P_{n}(x) \\ &- C_{n}\mathsf{U}_{2}(x)\mathcal{D}_{q}P_{n-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

From (6) it follows that

$$U_2 P_n = (\alpha^2 - 1)(P_{n+2} + (C_{n+1} + C_n - 1)P_n + C_n C_{n-1} P_{n-2}).$$

Combining the above equation with (36) for n-1 and n, (38) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{U}_2 \mathcal{D}_q P_{n+1} &= \left((\alpha^2 - 1)\alpha_n + \alpha a_n \right) P_{n+2} \\ &+ \left((\alpha^2 - 1)\alpha_n (C_n + C_{n+1} - 1) + (\alpha^2 - 1)b_n C_{n-1} + \alpha a_n C_{n+1} \right. \\ &+ \alpha c_n - a_{n-1} C_n \right) P_n \\ &+ \left((\alpha^2 - 1)\alpha_n C_n C_{n-1} + (\alpha^2 - 1)b_n C_{n-1} (C_{n-1} + C_{n-2} - 1) \right. \\ &+ \alpha c_n C_{n-1} + \alpha d_n - c_{n-1} C_n \right) P_{n-2} \\ &+ \left(\alpha d_n C_{n-3} - d_{n-1} C_n + (\alpha^2 - 1)b_n C_{n-1} C_{n-2} C_{n-3} \right) P_{n-4}. \end{aligned}$$

The reader again should convince himself that the following relations hold:

$$a_{n+1} = (\alpha^2 - 1)\alpha_n + \alpha a_n,$$

$$c_{n+1} = (\alpha^2 - 1)\alpha_n(C_n + C_{n+1} - 1) + (\alpha^2 - 1)b_nC_{n-1} + \alpha a_nC_{n+1} + \alpha c_n - a_{n-1}C_n,$$

$$d_{n+1} = (\alpha^2 - 1)\alpha_nC_nC_{n-1} + (\alpha^2 - 1)b_nC_{n-1}(C_{n-1} + C_{n-2} - 1) + \alpha c_nC_{n-1} + \alpha d_n - c_{n-1}C_n,$$

$$0 = \alpha d_nC_{n-3} - d_{n-1}C_n + (\alpha^2 - 1)b_nC_{n-1}C_{n-2}C_{n-3}.$$

We thus get

$$U_2 \mathcal{D}_q P_{n+1} = a_{n+1} P_{n+2} + c_{n+1} P_n + d_{n+1} P_{n-2},$$

as claimed. Observe from (36) that $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 with $B_n = 0$ and $\phi = U_2$. Note also that

$$C_{1} = \frac{1}{2}(1+q^{1/2}), \qquad C_{2} = \frac{1}{4}(1-q)(1-q^{1/2}), \\ C_{3} = \frac{1}{4}(1+q)(1+q^{3/2}), \qquad C_{4} = \frac{1}{4}(1-q^{2})(1-q^{3/2}).$$

Under the notation of Theorem 3.2 and its proof, we get

$$Q_{3}(x) = \frac{c_{1}}{C_{1}}P_{1}(x) + \frac{d_{3}}{C_{3}C_{2}C_{1}}P_{3}(x) = \frac{1}{4}(q-1)q^{-3/2}x(4x^{2}-3-q), \quad (39)$$

$$Q_{4}(x) = \frac{c_{2}}{C_{2}}P_{2}(x) + \frac{d_{4}}{C_{4}C_{3}C_{2}}P_{4}(x) = \frac{1}{8}(q-1)q^{-2}(8x^{4}-8x^{2}+1-q^{2}),$$

$$R_{4}(x) = Q_{4}(x) - \alpha x Q_{3}(x) = -\frac{1}{8}(1-q^{-1})^{2}(4x^{4}-(q+5)x^{2}+q+1). \quad (40)$$

Taking into account that $S_q x = \alpha x$, $\mathcal{D}_q x^2 = 2\alpha x$,

$$S_q x^2 = (2\alpha^2 - 1)x^2 + 1 - \alpha^2,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_q x^3 = (4\alpha^2 - 1)x^2 + 1 - \alpha^2, \quad S_q x^3 = \alpha(4\alpha^2 - 3)x^3 + 3\alpha(1 - \alpha^2)x,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_q x^4 = 4\alpha(2\alpha^2 - 1)x^3 + 4\alpha(1 - \alpha^2)x,$$

$$S_q x^4 = (8\alpha^4 - 8\alpha^2 + 1)x^4 + 2(1 - \alpha^2)(4\alpha^2 - 1)x^2 + (1 - \alpha^2)^2,$$

from (32) and (33), we have

$$\Phi(x) = -\frac{1}{16}(q-1)^2 q^{-3/2} (8qx^4 - 2(q^2 + 4q + 1)x^2 + (q+1)^2),$$

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{4}(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})(4qx^2 - 3q - 1)x.$$

Finally, since Φ and Ψ are coprime, by Theorem 3.2, (31), (39) and (40), the result follows.

REMARK 4.1. The structure relation (36) is of type (4) with $\phi = U_2$, M = 3, and N = 1. Consequently, the semiclassical polynomials given in Proposition 4.1 disprove Conjecture 1.1.

COROLLARY 4.1. Assume the hypotheses and notation of Proposition 4.1. Then $(P_n)_{n>0}$ satisfies

$$(\alpha^{2} - 1)^{2}(x^{2} - \alpha^{2})(1 - x^{2})\mathcal{D}_{q}^{2}P_{n}(x) = -(\alpha^{2} - 1)^{2}\gamma_{n}\gamma_{n-1}P_{n+2}(x) + d_{n,1}P_{n}(x)$$

$$(41)$$

$$+ d_{n,2}P_{n-2}(x) + d_{n,3}P_{n-4}(x)$$

 $+ d_{n,4}P_{n-6}(x),$

with

$$\begin{split} d_{n,1} &= a_n c_{n+1} + a_{n-1} c_n - 2\alpha (\alpha^2 - 1)(\alpha_n^2 - 1)(C_{n+1} + C_n - 1) \\ &- 4\alpha^2 (\alpha^2 - 1)\alpha_{n-1} b_n C_{n-1}, \\ d_{n,2} &= a_n d_{n+1} + c_n c_{n-1} + a_{n-3} d_n - 2\alpha (\alpha^2 - 1)(\alpha_n^2 - 1)C_n C_{n-1} \\ &- 4\alpha^2 (\alpha^2 - 1)\alpha_{n-1} b_n C_{n-1} (C_{n-1} + C_{n-2} - 1) \\ &- 2\alpha (\alpha^2 - 1)b_n b_{n-2} C_{n-1} C_{n-3}, \\ d_{n,3} &= c_n d_{n-1} + c_{n-3} d_n - 4\alpha^2 (\alpha^2 - 1)\alpha_{n-1} b_n C_{n-1} C_{n-2} C_{n-3} \\ &- 2\alpha (\alpha^2 - 1)b_n b_{n-2} C_{n-1} C_{n-3} (C_{n-3} + C_{n-4} - 1), \\ d_{n,4} &= -4\alpha^2 q^{-(n-3)/2} C_n C_{n-1} C_{n-2} C_{n-3} C_{n-4} C_{n-5}. \end{split}$$

20

Proof: From the previous result, we apply $U_2 \mathcal{D}_q$ to (36), and use (11), to get

$$\mathsf{U}_2\mathcal{S}_q\mathsf{U}_2\mathcal{D}_q^2P_n+\mathsf{U}_2\mathcal{D}_q\mathsf{U}_2\mathcal{S}_q\mathcal{D}_qP_n=\mathsf{U}_2\mathcal{D}_q(a_nP_{n+1}+c_nP_{n-1}+d_nP_{n-3}),$$

and since $S_q U_2 = \alpha^2 U_2 + U_1^2$ and $D_q U_2 = 2\alpha U_1$, we use again (36) to obtain

$$(\alpha^{2}\mathsf{U}_{2} + \mathsf{U}_{1}^{2})\mathsf{U}_{2}\mathcal{D}_{q}^{2}P_{n} + 2\alpha\mathsf{U}_{1}\mathsf{U}_{2}\mathcal{S}_{q}\mathcal{D}_{q}P_{n} = a_{n}a_{n+1}P_{n+2}$$

$$+ (a_{n}c_{n+1} + a_{n-1}c_{n})P_{n} + (a_{n}d_{n+1} + c_{n}c_{n-1} + a_{n-3}d_{n})P_{n-2}$$

$$+ (c_{n}d_{n-1} + d_{n}c_{n-3})P_{n-4} + d_{n}d_{n-3}P_{n-6}.$$

$$(42)$$

On the other hand, it is known from [9, Lemma 2.1] that

$$\alpha \mathcal{S}_q^2 P_n = \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbf{U}_1 \mathcal{D}_q P_n) + \mathbf{U}_2 \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n + \alpha P_n = \alpha^2 \mathbf{U}_2 \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n + \alpha \mathbf{U}_1 \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_n + \alpha P_n,$$

where the second equality holds thanks to (12). Now we apply S_q to (35) using again the same equation and the above equation in order to obtain

$$\alpha \mathsf{U}_2(x) \mathcal{D}_q^2 P_n(x) + \mathsf{U}_1(x) \mathcal{S}_q \mathcal{D}_q P_n(x) = (\alpha_n^2 - 1) P_n(x) + 2\alpha \alpha_{n-1} b_n C_{n-1} P_{n-2}(x)$$
(43)

$$+ b_n b_{n-2} C_{n-1} C_{n-3} P_{n-4}(x).$$

Therefore, (41) holds by combining (42) with (43) in order to eliminate $S_q D_q P_n$ and by using (6), with

$$d_{n,4} = d_n d_{n-3} - 2\alpha (\alpha^2 - 1) b_n b_{n-2} C_{n-1} C_{n-3} C_{n-4} C_{n-5}.$$

In addition, $b_n = 2C_n q^{-(n-1)/2}$ and $d_n = (q-1)q^{-n/2}C_nC_{n-1}C_{n-2}$. Therefore we obtain

$$d_{n,4} = -4\alpha^2 q^{-(n-3)/2} \prod_{j=0}^{5} C_{n-j} \neq 0.$$

The result is then proved.

REMARK 4.2. The structure relation (41) is of type (4) with $\phi(x) = (\alpha^2 - 1)^2(x^2 - \alpha^2)(1 - x^2)$, M = 6, and N = 2. Consequently, the semiclassical polynomials given in Proposition 4.1 disprove Conjecture 1.2.

5. Further results: $D_{q,\omega}$ -semiclassical polynomials

Although this paper was originally intended to deal with the Askey-Wilson operator, the ideas developed above allow working with other operators. The results of this section are related to the structure relation that appears in [13, Conjecture 24.7.7], and warn the reader of the existence of semiclassical OP in such a problem. Recall that given complex numbers q and ω , Hahn's operator $D_{q,\omega}: \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ is defined by

$$D_{q,\omega}f(x) := \frac{f(qx+\omega) - f(x)}{(q-1)x + \omega}$$

where we have fixed q and ω such that

$$|1 - q| + |\omega| \neq 0, \qquad q \notin \{0\} \cup \left\{ e^{2ij\pi/n} \mid 1 \le j \le n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\} \right\}.$$
(44)

For every $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{P}$, $D_{q,\omega}$ induces $\mathbf{D}_{q,\omega} : \mathcal{P}^* \to \mathcal{P}^*$ defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{D}_{q,\omega}\mathbf{u}, f \rangle = -q^{-1} \langle \mathbf{u}, D_{q,\omega}^* f \rangle,$$

where $D_{q,\omega}^* = D_{1/q,-\omega/q}$.

DEFINITION 5.1. [4, p. 487] $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ is called $\mathbf{D}_{q,\omega}$ -classical if it is regular and there exist $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_{-1}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_{-1}$ such that

$$\mathbf{D}_{q,\omega}\left(\phi\,\mathbf{u}\right) = \psi\,\mathbf{u}.\tag{45}$$

(We will call it simply classical when no confusion can arise.)

DEFINITION 5.2. [4, p. 855] We call a linear form, in \mathcal{P}^* , $\mathbf{D}_{q,\omega}$ -semiclassical if it is regular, not $\mathbf{D}_{q,\omega}$ -classical, and there exist two polynomials ϕ and ψ with at least one of them nonzero, such that (45) holds. (We will call it simply semiclassical when no confusion can arise.)

OP with respect to a $(\mathbf{D}_{q,\omega})$ semiclassical form of class s is called $(D_{q,\omega})$ semiclassical of class s. Under the conditions of Definition 5.2, we define the class of \mathbf{u} as in Section 2. The next theorem is the analogue of Theorem 2.1. Here we use the standard notation

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}.$$

THEOREM 5.1. [3, Theorem 1.2] Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}^*$ satisfies (45) with $\phi(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ and $\psi(x) = dx + e$. Then \mathbf{u} is regular if and only if

$$d_n \neq 0, \qquad \phi\left(-\frac{e_n}{d_{2n}}\right) \neq 0,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $d_n = d q^n + a[n]_q$ and $e_n = eq^n + (\omega d_n + b)[n]_q$. Moreover, $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies (6) with

$$B_n = \omega[n]_q + \frac{[n]_q e_{n-1}}{d_{2n-2}} - \frac{[n+1]_q e_n}{d_{2n}},$$
$$C_{n+1} = -\frac{q^n [n+1]_q d_{n-1}}{d_{2n-1} d_{2n+1}} \phi\left(-\frac{e_n}{d_{2n}}\right).$$

In this context we have also an analogue to Theorem 3.2 for semiclassical polynomials of class one.

THEOREM 5.2. Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}'$ be regular and let $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ denote the corresponding sequence of orthogonal polynomials satisfying (6). Suppose that there exist complex numbers c, $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$, $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ $(b_n \neq 0)$, and $(c_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that

$$(x-c)D_{q,\omega}P_n(x) = a_n P_n(x) + (b_n x + c_n)P_{n-1}(x).$$
(46)

Then

$$\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}\big((x-c)\mathbf{u}\big) = -\frac{qb_2}{C_2}(x-\lambda_+)(x-\lambda_-)\mathbf{u},$$

where

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(B_0 + B_1) + \frac{c - B_0}{2b_2C_1}C_2 \pm \frac{1}{2}\left((B_0 - B_1 - \frac{c - B_0}{b_2C_1}C_2)^2 + 4C_1\right)^{1/2}.$$

If $q(\omega + qc - \lambda_+)(\omega + qc - \lambda_-) = -C_2/b_2$, then **u** is $\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}$ -classical. More precisely, $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ are the Al-Salam-Carlitz polynomials. Otherwise, **u** is a $\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}$ -semiclassical of class one. Moreover, if

$$b_2 C_1^2 = (B_0 - c)(b_2(B_1 - c)C_1 - (B_0 - c)C_2),$$
(47)

then

$$\mathbf{u} = (x - c)^{-1}\mathbf{v} + \delta_c,$$

v being the linear form corresponding to the Al-Salam-Carlitz polynomials.

Proof: As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, from (6) and (46) we get

$$\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q} ((x-c)\mathbf{a}_n) = -q(a_n+b_n)\mathbf{a}_n -q(c_{n+1}+b_{n+1}B_n)\mathbf{a}_{n+1} - q \, b_{n+2}C_{n+1}\mathbf{a}_{n+2},$$

in the sense of the weak dual topology in \mathcal{P}' , $(\mathbf{a}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ being the dual basis associated to $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$. Taking n = 0 in the above expression we have

$$\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}\big((x-c)\mathbf{u}\big) = \varphi(x)\mathbf{u},\tag{48}$$

where φ is a polynomial of degree two given by

$$\varphi = -\frac{q}{C_1 C_2} ((a_0 + b_0)C_1 C_2 + (r_1 + b_1 B_0)C_2 P_1 + b_2 C_1 P_2)$$

Taking n = 0, n = 1, and n = 2 in (46) we get

$$a_0 + b_0 = 0,$$
 $c_1 + b_1 B_0 = B_0 - c,$
 $b_2 = q + 1 + \frac{(B_0 - c)(qB_0 - B_1 + \omega)}{C_1}.$

Hence $C_2\varphi(x) = -q b_2(x - \lambda_+)(x - \lambda_-)$, and the first part of the theorem follows. Assume that $\varphi(\omega + q c) = 1$. Recall that (see [3, (2.10)])

$$\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}(f\mathbf{u}) = D_{1/q,-\omega/q}f\mathbf{u} + f\left((x-\omega)/q\right)\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}\mathbf{u} \qquad (f \in \mathcal{P}).$$

Using this identity, (48) becomes

$$(x - qc - \omega)\mathbf{D}_{1/q, -\omega/q}\mathbf{u} = q(\varphi(x) - 1)\mathbf{u}.$$

Since $\varphi(\omega + qc) = 1$, $x - qc - \omega$ and $q(\varphi(x) - 1)$ have a common zero at $x = qc + \omega$, and therefore there exists a polynomial of degree one, Q_1 , such that $q(\varphi(x) - 1) = (x - qc - \omega)Q_1(x)$, which gives

$$(x - qc - \omega) \Big((\mathbf{D}_{1/q, -\omega/q} \mathbf{u}) - Q_1(x) \mathbf{u} \Big) = 0,$$

and so

$$\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{(q^{-1}-1)rs}(x-r-s-\omega/(1-q))\mathbf{u},$$

for some nonzero complex numbers r and s, i.e. $Q_1(x) = 1/((q^{-1}-1)rs)(x-r-s-\omega/(1-q))$. This last equation is of type (45) with $\phi = 1$ and $\psi(x) = 1/((q^{-1}-1)rs)(x-r-s-\omega/(1-q))$. We claim that **u** is regular.

24

Indeed, by Theorem 5.1, c = 1, b = 0, a = 0, $d = 1/((q^{-1} - 1)rs)$, and $e = -(r + s + \omega/(1 - q))/((q^{-1} - 1)rs)$. Hence

$$d_n = q^{-n} / ((q^{-1} - 1)rs) \neq 0, \qquad \phi = 1 \neq 0.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 5.1, we get

$$B_{n} = -q^{-1}\omega[n]_{q^{-1}} + \frac{[n]_{q^{-1}}e_{n-1}}{d_{2n-2}} - \frac{[n+1]_{q^{-1}}e_{n}}{d_{2n}}$$
$$= \omega/(1-q) + (r+s)q^{n},$$
$$C_{n+1} = -\frac{q^{-n}[n+1]_{q^{-1}}d_{n-1}}{d_{2n-1}d_{2n+1}} = -rs(1-q^{n+1})q^{n},$$

and finally $P_n(x) = s^n U_n^{(r/s)}((x - \omega/(1 - q))/s | q)$, where $(U_n^{(a)}(\cdot | q))_{n \ge 0}$ are the Al-Salam-Carlitz polynomial (see [15, Section 14.24]). Assume now that $\varphi(\omega + qc) \ne 1$. Hence $x - \omega - qc$ and $\varphi(x) - 1$ are coprime. Using the same argumentum ad absurdum as in Theorem 3.2, we see that **u** is a $\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q^-}$ semiclassical form of class one. Now, from (48), and using (47), we get

$$\lambda_{+} = c, \qquad \lambda_{-} = c - \left(\left(B_0 - B_1 - \frac{c - B_0}{b_2 C_1} C_2 \right)^2 + 4C_1 \right)^{1/2}$$

Then (48) becomes

$$\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}\big((x-c)\mathbf{u}\big) = -\frac{qb_2}{C_2}(x-c)(x-c+\Delta^{1/2})\mathbf{u},$$

where $\Delta = \left(B_0 - B_1 - \frac{c - B_0}{b_2 C_1}C_2\right)^2 + 4C_1$ or, equivalently,

$$\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}((x-c)\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{(q^{-1}-1)rs}(x-c)(x-r-s-\omega/(1-q))\mathbf{u},$$

where r and s are nonzero complex numbers such that $(q-1)rs = C_2/b_2$ and $r+s = c - \omega/(1-q) - \Delta^{1/2}$. Define $\mathbf{v} = (x-c)\mathbf{u}$. Hence

$$\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{(q^{-1}-1)rs}(x-r-s-\omega/(1-q))\mathbf{v}.$$

As above, by Theorem 5.1,

$$d_n = \frac{q^{-n}}{(q^{-1} - 1)rs} \neq 0, \qquad \phi = 1 \neq 0.$$

Moreover, also by Theorem 5.1, \mathbf{v} is the linear form corresponding to the Al-Salam-Carlitz polynomials, and the theorem follows.

The next proposition gives an explicit example of semiclassical polynomials of class one satisfying (46), which prevents the reader from making any conjectures related to classical polynomials when faced with a relation of type (1) after changing the standard derivative by Hahn's operator.

PROPOSITION 5.1. Fix $\omega, q \in \mathbb{C}$ such that (44) hold. Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $-a \neq b, \qquad b \neq 0, \qquad a + (-1)^n b - (a+b)q^n \neq 0,$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials satisfying (6) with

$$B_n = \frac{\omega}{1 - q}, \qquad C_n = (a + b) \left(\frac{a + (-1)^n b}{a + b} - q^n\right) q^n.$$
(49)

Then $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a $D_{1/q,-\omega/q}$ -semiclassical of class one and its corresponding linear form $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}'$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{D}_{1/q,-\omega/q}\left(\left(x-\frac{\omega}{1-q}\right)\mathbf{u}\right)$$
$$=\frac{1}{(a+b)(q-1)}\left(\frac{1}{q}\left(x-\frac{\omega}{1-q}\right)^2+b-a+(a+b)q\right)\mathbf{u}.$$

Proof: We claim that $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies (46) with

$$c = \frac{\omega}{1-q}, \qquad a_n = \frac{1+(-1)^{n+1}}{(1-q)(a+b)}b, \qquad b_n = [n]_q - a_n, \qquad c_n = -cb_n.$$

Indeed, the proof is by induction on n. For n = 1, LHS of (46) gives

$$(x-c)D_{q,\omega}P_1(x) = x-c,$$

while RHS gives

$$a_1P_1(x) + b_1(x-c)P_0(x) = (a_1+b_1)(x-c) = x-c.$$

Assuming (46) to hold, with k instead of n, for k = 1, 2, ..., n, we will prove it for k = n + 1. Apply $(x - c)D_{q,\omega}$ to (6) to obtain

$$(x-c)D_{q,\omega}((x-c)P_n(x)) = (x-c)D_{q,\omega}(P_{n+1}(x) + C_nP_{n-1}(x))$$

Using the identity (see [3, (2.9)])

$$D_{q,\omega}(fg) = g(qx+\omega)D_{q,\omega}f + fD_{q,\omega}g \qquad (f,g\in\mathcal{P}),$$

we get

$$(x-c)D_{q,\omega}P_{n+1}(x) = q(x-c)^2 D_{q,\omega}P_n(x) + (x-c)P_n(x) - (x-c)C_n D_{q,\omega}P_{n-1}(x).$$

Now using successively (46), with k instead of n, for k = n and k = n - 1and (6) it follows that

$$(x-c)D_{q,\omega}P_{n+1}(x) = q(x-c)(a_nP_n(x) + b_n(x-c)P_{n-1}(x)) + (x-c)P_n(x)$$

$$- (a_{n-1}P_{n-1}(x) + b_{n-1}(x-c)P_{n-2}(x))C_n$$

$$= qa_n(P_{n+1}(x) + C_nP_{n-1}(x))$$

$$+ qb_n(x-c)(P_n(x) + C_{n-1}P_{n-2}(x)) + (x-c)P_n(x)$$

$$- (a_{n-1}P_{n-1}(x) + b_{n-1}(x-c)P_{n-2}(x))C_n$$

$$= qa_nP_{n+1}(x) + (qa_n - a_{n-1})C_nP_{n-1}(x)$$

$$+ (1+qb_n)(x-c)P_n(x)$$

$$+ (qb_nC_{n-1} - b_{n-1}C_n)(x-c)P_{n-2}(x)$$

$$= a_{n-1}P_{n+1}(x) + (1 - a_{n-1} + q(a_n + b_n))(x-c)P_n(x)$$

$$+ (qb_nC_{n-1} - b_{n-1}C_n)(x-c)P_{n-2}(x).$$

The reader should convince himself that the following relations hold: $a_{n-1} = a_{n+1}$, $1 - a_{n-1} + q(a_n + b_n) = b_{n+1}$, and $qb_nC_{n-1} - b_{n-1}C_n = 0$. Thus (46) holds for n + 1, and our claim follows. Note also that

$$b_2 = q + 1,$$
 $C_1 = (a - b - (a + b)q)q,$ $C_2 = (a + b)(1 - q^2)q^2.$

Under the notation of Theorem 5.2, we get

$$\lambda_{\pm} = c \pm (a - b - (a + b)q)^{1/2}q^{1/2}$$

and so

$$q(\omega + qc - \lambda_{+})(\omega + qc - \lambda_{-})$$

= $(b - a + (a + b)q)q^{2} \neq (-b - a + (a + b)q)q^{2} = -\frac{C_{2}}{b_{2}},$

because $\omega + q c = c$. Thus, from Theorem 5.2, the result follows.

If we replace, in Theorem 3.1, the Askey-Wilson operator by the Hahn operator, then \mathbf{S}_q becomes the identity, as in the case of the standard derivative, and Theorem 3.1 *i*) reduces to $\mathbf{D}_{q,\omega}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = \psi \mathbf{u}$. In this context, an analogue of Theorem 3.1 appears in Smaili's PhD thesis under the supervision of Maroni (see [17, Theorem 1.1]).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra-UIDB/00324/2020, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/ MCTES. The first author thanks CMUP, University of Porto, for their support and hospitality. The second author also thanks CMUP for their support. CMUP is a member of LASI, which is financed by national funds through FCT, under the projects with reference UIDB/00144/2020 and UIDP/00144/2020.

References

- W. Al-Salam, A characterization of the Rogers q-Hermite polynomials, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., 18 (1995) 641-648.
- [2] W. A. Al-Salam and T. S. Chihara, Another characterization of the classical orthogonal polynomials, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 3 (1972) 65-70.
- [3] R. Alvarez-Nodarse, K. Castillo, D. Mbouna and J. Petronilho, On classical orthogonal polynomials related to Hahn's operator, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **31** (2020) 487-505.
- [4] R. Alvarez-Nodarse, K. Castillo, D. Mbouna and J. Petronilho, On discrete coherent pairs of measures, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 28 (2022) 853-868.
- [5] S. Bonan, D. S. Lubinsky and P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials and its derivatives, II, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 18 (1987) 1163-1176.
- [6] K. Castillo and D. Mbouna, A counterexample to a conjecture of M. Ismail, arXiv:2206.08375 [math.CA].
- [7] K. Castillo and D. Mbouna, Proof of two conjectures on Askey-Wilson polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 151 (2023) 1655-1661.
- [8] K. Castillo, D. Mbouna and J. Petronilho, A characterization of continuous q-Jacobi, Chebyshev of the first kind and Al-Salam Chihara polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 514 (2022) 126358.
- [9] K. Castillo, D. Mbouna and J. Petronilho, On the functional equation for classical orthogonal polynomials on lattices, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 515 (2022) 126390.
- [10] K. Castillo and J. Petronilho, Classical orthogonal polynomials revisited, Results Math., 78 (2023) Article number: 155.
- [11] M. Foupouagnigni, M. Kenfack-Nangho and S. Mboutngam, Characterization theorem of classical orthogonal polynomials on nonuniform lattices: the functional approach, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 22 (2011) 739-758.
- [12] Ya. L. Geronimus, On polynomials orthogonal with respect to numerical sequences and on Hahn's theorem (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk. 4 (1940) 215-228.

- [13] M. E. H. Ismail, Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 98. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [14] M. Kenfack Nangho and K. Jordaan, A characterization of Askey-Wilson polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 147 (2019) 2465-2480.
- [15] R. Koekoek, P. A. Lesky, and R. F. Swarttouw, Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q-analogues, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [16] T. H. Koornwinder, Orthogonal polynomials with weight functions $(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\alpha} + M\delta(x+1) + B\delta(x-1)$, Canad. Math. Bull., **27** (1984) 205-214.
- [17] Smaili, Les poynômes E-semi-classiques de classe zéro, Diplôme de Docteur de 3e cycle, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris 6, 1987.
- [18] P. Maroni. Prolégomènes à l'étude des polynômes orthogonaux semiclassiques, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl., CIL (1987) 165-184.
- [19] P. Maroni, Semi-classical character and finite-type relations between polynomial sequences, Appl. Numer. Math., **31** (1999) 295-330.
- [20] P. Maroni, Une caractérisation des polynômes orthogonaux semi-classiques, C.R. Acad. Sci. Pads Sér. Math., 301 (1985) 269-272.
- [21] P. Maroni, Une théorie algébrique des polynômes orthogonaux. Applications aux polynômes orthogonaux semiclassiques, In C. Brezinski et al. Eds., Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications, Proc. Erice 1990, IMACS, Ann. Comp. App. Math. 9 (1991) 95-130.
- [22] P. Maroni, Variations around classical orthogonal polynomials. Connected problems. Proceedings of the Seventh Spanish Symposium on Orthogonal Polynomials and Applications (VIISPOA) (Granada, 1991). J. Comput. Appl. Math. 48 (1993) 133-155.
- [23] J. Petronilho, Orthogonal polynomials and semiclassical functionals [in Portuguese], Master's Thesis, University of Coimbra, 1993.
- [24] F. Trèves, Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels, Academic Press, New York-London 1967.

K. Castillo

CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, 3001-501 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL *E-mail address*: kenier@mat.uc.pt

D. MBOUNA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF PORTO, CAMPO ALEGRE ST., 687, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: dieudonne.mbouna@fc.up.pt