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#### Abstract

A reduced word for a permutation of the symmetric group is its own commutation class if it has no commutation moves available. These words have the property that every factor of length 2 is formed by consecutive integers, but in general words of this form may not be reduced. In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a word with the previous property to be reduced. In the case of involutions, we give an explicitly construction of their one-element commutation classes and relate their existence with pattern avoidance problems.


## 1. Introduction

Given a positive integer $n \geq 1$, let $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ denote the symmetric group of order $n+1$ formed by all permutations of the set $[n+1]:=\{1,2, \ldots n+1\}$, with composition (read from right) as group operation. We usually write a permutation $\sigma$ using the one-line notation $\sigma=[\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(n+1)]$. In some cases, we will also use the cyclic notation of a permutation, using parenthesis to represent the cycles with commas to separate the images. For example, the permutation $\sigma=[2,5,7,1,4,3,6]$ can be written in cyclic notation as $\sigma=(1,2,5,4)(3,7,6)$.

The group $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ is generated by the involutions $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$, also known as simple refletions, where $s_{i}=[1, \ldots, i+1, i, \ldots, n+1]=(i, i+1)$, for all $i \in[n]$. This fact can be easily understood by noticing that multiplying a permutation $\sigma$ on the right by $s_{i}$ interchanges the values in positions $i$ and $i+1$ in the one-line notation of $\sigma$, that is $\sigma s_{i}=[\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(i+1), \sigma(i), \ldots, \sigma(n+1)]$. Since $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ is generated by the involutions $s_{i}$, any permutation $\sigma$ can be written as a product $s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots s_{i_{i}}$, with $i_{j} \in[n]$, for all $j \in[l]$. When $l$ is minimal, we say that the product is a reduced decomposition and $i_{1} \cdots i_{l}$ a reduced word of $\sigma$. The integer $l(\sigma):=l$ is the length of $\sigma$. Let $R(\sigma)$ be the set of all reduced words of $\sigma$.

Reduced words of permutations are widely studied in combinatorics (see [1, 9, 10, 11, 13]). Perhaps, one of the most important facts concerning reduced words is a well known result of Tits [13], which says that two reduced words for the same permutation differ by a sequence of the following two types of moves:

$$
\begin{align*}
i j & \leftrightarrow j i, \text { if }|i-j|>1,  \tag{1}\\
i(i+1) i & \leftrightarrow(i+1) i(i+1), \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where (1) is called a commutation move, and (2) is called a braid move.
We can define an equivalence relation on the set $R(\sigma)$ by setting $s \sim t$ if $s$ and $t$ differ by a sequence of commutation moves. The equivalence classes generated by this relation are called commutation classes. These structures were already considered by some authors
(see $[3,4,5,6,7]$ ), but there is still much to understand about commutation classes. For instance, there is no known formula for the number of commutation classes of a given permutation. Recently, Tenner [12] studied the commutation classes which have only one reduced word, giving a necessary condition for a reduced word to be its own commutation class in terms of pinnacles and vales. A nice consequence of this result is that the number of one-element commutation classes of $w_{0}$, the longest element in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$, is exactly 4 , a result previously obtained in [5]. The goal of this paper is to extend Tenner's work on this topic. We start Section 2 by introducing the terminology used in [12] in order to define what we have called a segment in a word. This notion will be crucial to prove the main result of Section 3, which is a necessary and sufficient condition for a word to be a oneelement commutation class of some permutation. An aplication of this caracterization will be done in Section 4, where we give an explicitly construction of the one-element commutation classes for involutions and relate their existence with pattern avoidance problems.

## 2. Definitions and background

Let $[n]^{*}$ be the set of all words with finite length over the alphabet [ $n$ ]. A sub-word of a word $s=i_{1} \cdots i_{l}$ is a word obtained from $s$ by deleting some of its letters, and a factor of $s$ is a sub-word of $s$ of the form $s_{i_{j}} s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_{k}}$, with $1 \leq j \leq k \leq l$. When $j=1$, we call it a left factor of $s$. Given a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$, we denote by $R \bullet(\sigma) \subseteq R(\sigma)$ the set of reduced words of $\sigma$ that are their own commutation class. By definition, a word in $R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ has no commutation moves available, which means that all of its factors of length 2 are formed by consecutive integers. These words can be descripted in terms of its "peaks", a notion introduced in [12] to study one-element commutation classes.

Definition 2.1 ([12]). Let $s \in[n]^{*}$ be a word. The endpoints of $s$ are its leftmost and rightmost letters. A pinnacle of $s$ is a letter that is larger than its immediate neighbor(s), and $a$ vale is a letter that is smaller than its immediate neighbor(s). We call pinnacles and vales the peaks of $s$. Write $\boldsymbol{p}(s)$ for the substring of pinnacles of $s$, and $\boldsymbol{v}(s)$ for the substring of vales. The substring of pinnacles and vales will be written as $\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{v}(s)$. If every factor of length 2 of $s$ is formed by consecutive integers, then we say that $s$ is a word formed by consecutive integers.

As an example, if $s=23454321234$ we have $\mathbf{p}(s)=54, \mathbf{v}(s)=21$ and $\mathbf{p v}(s)=2514$. When $s$ is a word formed by consecutive integers, each factor $i j$ of $\mathbf{p v}(s)$ corresponds in $s$ to the factor $i(i+1) \cdots(j-1) j$ if $i<j$, or $i(i-1) \cdots(j+1) j$ if $i>j$. Sometimes, instead of writting all of its letters, it will be more usefull to write only the endpoints of those factors, and for that we use the notation $\underline{i}$ and $\bar{j}$ to denote a vale $i$ or to denote a pinnacle $j$, respectevely. When using this identification to represent the entire word, we write $s \equiv \operatorname{pv}(s)$. In the example above, we have $23454321234 \equiv \underline{2} \overline{5} \underline{4} \overline{4}$. A graphical representation for these type of words can be given using line diagrams.

Definition 2.2. Let $s \in[n]^{*}$ be a word formed by consecutive integers with $\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{v}(s)=$ $i_{1} \cdots i_{l}$. The line diagram of $s$ is formed by the set of points $\left(j, i_{j}\right) \in[l] \times[n]$, where there is a line segment connecting each pair $\left(j, i_{j}\right)$ and $\left(j+1, i_{j+1}\right)$, for all $j \in[l-1]$.

The line diagram of the word in the previous example is represented in Figure 1. Each


Figure 1. Line diagram of $2 \overline{5} 1 \overline{1}$.
factor of length 2 of $\mathbf{p v}(s)$ is encoded by a line segment in the line diagram of $s$. Thereby, we say that $\underline{i} \bar{j}$ or $\bar{j} \underline{i}$ are segments of $s$ if $i j$ or $j i$ are factors of $\mathbf{p v}(s)$, with $i<j$. For our running example, its segments are $\underline{2} \overline{5}, \overline{5} \underline{1}$ and $\underline{1} \overline{4}$, which we can see clearly from its diagram in Figure 1. Notice that multiplying a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ on the right by the permutation associated to the segment $\underline{i} \bar{j}$ (resp. $\bar{j} \underline{i}$ ) has the effect of "moving" the integer $\sigma(i)$ to position $j+1$ (resp. the integer $\sigma(j+1)$ to position $i$ ) in the one-line notation of $\sigma$. In this sense, we say that the segment moves an integer. In the above example, the segment $\underline{1} \overline{4}$ acts in the permutation $[\mathbf{1}, 6,3,4,5,2]$ (the permutation associated to the left factor 2345432 of $s$ ) by moving the integer in the first position of the one-line notation to position 5 , obtaining the permutation $[6,3,4,5,1,2]$. Not every word formed by consecutive integers is a reduced word. For instance, the word $1232123 \equiv \underline{1} \overline{3} \overline{3}$ is not reduced. A set of necessary conditions for a word $s$ to be a one-element commutation class was given in [12] using properties of the strings $\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{v}(s)$ and $\mathbf{p v}(s)$.

Definition 2.3. Let $s=i_{1} \cdots i_{l} \in[n]^{*}$ be a word. If there exist $j$ and $k$ such that $1 \leq j \leq k \leq l$ and

$$
i_{1}<\cdots<i_{j}=i_{k}>\cdots>i_{l},
$$

then $s$ is a wedge. If

$$
i_{1}>\cdots>i_{j}=i_{k}<\cdots<s_{l},
$$

then $s$ is $a$ vee. If $j=k$, then that wedge or vee is strict.
For example, the word 24731 is a strict wedge and the word 245521 is a wedge that is not strict. The words 42157 and 632245 are examples of a strict vee and a non-strict vee, respectively.
Theorem 2.1 ([12, Theorem 3.1]). For any $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$, if $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ then:
(1) $\boldsymbol{p}(s)$ is a wedge,
(2) $\boldsymbol{v}(s)$ is a vee,
(3) $\boldsymbol{p}(s)$ and/or $\boldsymbol{v}(s)$ is strict,
(4) the minimum and maximum values of $\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{v}(s)$ appear consecutively and,
(5) if $\boldsymbol{p}(s)$ (or $\boldsymbol{v}(s)$ ) has more than one integer $i$, then one of those $i$ 's is an endpoint of $s$.

As a consequence, if $s$ is a word formed by consecutive integers which does not satisfy all of the previous 5 conditions, then $s$ cannot be a reduced word. It follows directly from condition 3 of the previous theorem that the word $s \equiv \underline{1} \overline{3} \underline{3}$ is not reduced because neither $\mathbf{p}(s)=33$ nor $\mathbf{v}(s)=11$ are strict.

The "converse" of this theorem is not true, i.e. a word $s$ formed by consecutive integers that satisfies all the conditions of the previous theorem is not necessarily a reduced word.

Consider for instance the word $s=2343212345654345 \equiv \underline{2} \overline{4} \underline{1} \overline{6} \underline{3} \overline{5}$, which satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 but is not a reduced word. The reason is that $s$ contains the factor $t=34321234565434 \equiv \underline{3} \overline{4} \underline{1} \overline{6} \underline{\underline{4}}$ which is not reduced (the permutation associated to $t$ is $[5,2,7,3,4,6,1]$ which has length 12 , but $t$ has 14 letters). Notice that $t$ contains two occurrences of the segment $\underline{3} \overline{4}$. This is not a coincidence, as we are going to see in the next section. The line diagrams of $s$ and $t$ are depicted in Figure 2.


Figure 2. Line diagrams of $s \equiv \underline{2} \overline{4} \underline{1} \overline{6} \underline{3} \overline{5}$ and $t \equiv \underline{3} \overline{4} \underline{1} \overline{6} \underline{3} \overline{4}$.
We end this section with the following lemma which will be usefull more ahead.
Lemma 2.2. Let $s \in[n]^{*}$ be a word formed by consecutive integers. Suppose that $t$ is a factor of $s$. Then:
(1) Every peak of that is not an endpoint of $t$ is also a peak of $s$.
(2) If $\underline{i} \bar{j}$ (resp. $\bar{j} \underline{i}$ ) is a segment of $t$ which does not contain any endpoint of $t$, then $\underline{i} \bar{j}$ (resp. $\bar{j} \underline{i}$ ) is also a segment of $s$.

Proof. If $i$ is a vale (resp. pinnacle) of $t$ that is not one of its endpoints, then it is between two letters that are larger (resp. smaller) in the word $t$. Since $t$ is a factor of $s$, that letter $i$ is also between the same letters in the word $s$, implying that $i$ is also a vale (resp. pinnacle) of $s$. To prove condition 2 , if $\underline{i} \bar{j}$ or $\bar{j} \underline{i}$ is a segment of $t$ which does not contain any endpoint, then $i$ and $j$ are also peaks of $s$, by condition 1 , which appear consecutively in $\mathbf{p v}(s)$. Therefore, it is also a segment of $s$.

In other words, if we have a word $s$ formed by consecutive integers and $t$ a factor of $s$, then the only segments of $t$ that may not be segments of $s$ are its leftmost and rightmost ones. The reason is that endpoints are always considered peaks, and so the endpoints of $t$ will be always peaks of $t$, but not necessarly peaks of $s$. Considering $s$ and $t$ as in Figure 2 , the only segments of $t$ that are segments of $s$ are $\overline{4} \underline{1}, \underline{1} \overline{6}$ and $\overline{6} \underline{3}$. If we consider the word $u=123456543 \equiv \underline{1} \overline{6} \underline{3}$ which is also a factor of $s$, then every segment of $u$ is a segment of $s$, because the endpoints of $u$ are also peaks in $s$. The word $v=1234565434 \equiv \underline{1} \overline{3} \underline{4}$ is a factor of $s$ where only one of its endpoints is a peak of $s$. The line diagrams of $u$ and $v$ are depicted in Figure 3.

## 3. A Characterization of one-Element commutation classes

As we saw in the previous section, the conditions stated in Theorem 2.1 are not enough to completely characterize one-element commutation classes, as there are words formed by consecutive integers satisfying all five conditions of the theorem which are not reduced. In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a word formed by consecutive integers to be reduced.


Figure 3. Line diagrams of $u \equiv \underline{1} \overline{6} \underline{3}$ and $v \equiv \underline{1} \overline{6} \underline{3} \overline{4}$.
Given $s=i_{1} \cdots i_{l} \in[n]^{*}$, define the words

- $s^{r}=i_{l} \cdots i_{1}$, called the reverse word of $s$,
- $s^{c}=i_{1}^{\prime} \cdots i_{l}^{\prime}$ with $i_{j}^{\prime}=n+1-i_{j}$, called the complement word of $s$,
- $s^{r c}=\left(s^{r}\right)^{c}=\left(s^{c}\right)^{r}$, called the reverse complement word of $s$.

These words are called the symmetries of $s$. It is easy to check that if $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$, then $s^{r} \in R_{\bullet}\left(\sigma^{-1}\right), s^{c} \in R_{\bullet}\left(w_{0} \sigma w_{0}\right)$ and $s^{r c} \in R_{\bullet}\left(w_{0} \sigma^{-1} w_{0}\right)$, since all permutations $\sigma, \sigma^{-1}$ and $w_{0} \sigma w_{0}$ have the same length. (see [1]). The following result is a well known property about reduced words of permutations, which we will use in a moment.

Lemma 3.1 ([1]). Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ and $i \in[n]$. Then

$$
l\left(\sigma s_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
l(\sigma)+1, & \text { if } \sigma(i)<\sigma(i+1) \\
l(\sigma)-1, & \text { if } \sigma(i)>\sigma(i+1)
\end{array} .\right.
$$

As a consequence we have the following.
Corollary 3.2. Let $s=t \cdot i \in R(\sigma)$ be a reduced word for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$, with $t \in[n]^{*}$ and $i \in[n]$. Then, $\sigma(i)>\sigma(i+1)$.
Proposition 3.3. Let $s=\underline{i} \bar{j} t \underline{i} \bar{j} \in[n]^{*}$ be a word formed by consecutive integers, with $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $t \in[n]^{*}$. Then, $s$ is not reduced.
Proof. Suppose that $s$ is reduced, and let $\sigma$ be its associated permutation so that $s \in$ $R \cdot(\sigma)$. We know that $t$ must contain a peak of $s$, otherwise $s \equiv \underline{i} \bar{j} \underline{i} \bar{j}$ which is not reduced by condition 3 of Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathbf{v}(s)=i v_{1} \cdots v_{l} i$ and $\mathbf{p}(s)=j p_{1} \cdots p_{l} j$ be the strings of vales and pinnacles of $s$, respectively, for some integer $l$. From Theorem 2.1, since $\mathbf{v}(s)$ is a vee (resp. $\mathbf{p}(s)$ is a wedge) we have $v_{k}<i$ (resp. $p_{k}>j$ ), for all $k \in[l]$. This allow us to write $s$ as

$$
s=(i \cdots j \cdots i)\left(i-1 \cdots v_{1} \cdots i-1\right) \cdots\left(i \cdots p_{k} \cdots i\right)(i+1 \cdots j) .
$$

(See Figure 4) The permutation associated to the bold left factor of $s$ is

$$
\pi=(i, j+1)\left(i, v_{1}\right) \cdots\left(i, p_{k}+1\right)=\left(i, p_{k}+1, \cdots, v_{1}, j+1\right) .
$$

Notice that the permutation associated to $(i+1 \cdots j)$ moves the integer $\pi(i+1)$ to position $j+1$. Since $\pi(i+1)=i+1$ and $\pi(j+1)=i$, we have that $\sigma(j)=i$ and $\sigma(j+1)=i+1$, which contradicts Corollary 3.2. Therefore, $s$ cannot be reduced.

It follows from the previous lemma and the definition of reverse word that a word of the form $\bar{j} \underline{i} t \bar{j} \underline{i}$, with $i<j$ that is formed by consecutive integers is also not reduced.


Figure 4. Diagram of a word of the form $\underline{i} \bar{j} t \underline{i} \bar{j}$
These words contain at least two occurences of the same segment, a fact that motivated the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A word formed by consecutive integers is said to have a factor with repeated segments if it contains a factor $\underline{i} \bar{j} t \underline{i} \bar{j}$ or $\bar{j} \underline{i} t \bar{j} \underline{i}$, for some $i<j$ and $t \in[n]^{*}$.

For example, the word $s \equiv \underline{2} \overline{4} \underline{1} \overline{6} \underline{3} \overline{5}$ has a factor with repeated segments since $u=\underline{3} \overline{4} t \underline{3} \overline{4}$, with $t=3212345654$, is a factor of $s$. As a consequence of Proposition 3.3, we have a new necessary condition for a word to be a one-element commutation class of some permutation.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ and $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$. Then $s$ does not have a factor with repeated segments.

Proof. If $s$ has a factor with repeated segments, then it contain a factor $\underline{i} \bar{j} t \underline{i} \bar{j}$ or $\bar{j} \underline{i} t \bar{j} \underline{i}$ for some word $t \in[n]^{*}$, which by the previous proposition is not reduced.

A natural question that one may ask is whether this new condition plus the ones stated in Theorem 2.1 are sufficient to completely characterize one-element commutation classes. As we are going to see, we just need this new one and condition 5 of Theorem 2.1 to complete this characterization. We will start by giving a criteria to identify words that contain factors with repeated segments just by looking at its line diagram.

Proposition 3.5. Let $s \in[n]^{*}$ be a word formed by consecutive integers. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) There is no factor of $s$ with repeated segments.
(2) The word $s$ does not contain a factor $\underline{x} \bar{j} t \underline{i} \bar{y}$ with $x \leq i<j \leq y$, or a factor $\bar{y} \underline{i} t \bar{j} \underline{x}$ with $y \geq j>i \geq x$, for some word $t \in[n]^{*}$, where $x$ and $y$ are peaks of $s$.

Proof. We prove the contra-positive assertions. A word $s$ contains a factor with repeated segments if and only if $s$ contains a factor $\underline{i} \bar{j} t \underline{i} \bar{j}$ or $\bar{j} \underline{i} t \bar{j} \underline{i}$. Consider the first case (the second is analogous). From Lemma 2.2, the only peaks of $\underline{i} \bar{j} t \underline{i} \bar{j}$ that may not be peaks of $s$ are its endpoints. Therefore $s$ contains a factor $\underline{x} \bar{j} t \underline{i} \bar{y}$ with $x \leq i<j \leq y$, with $x$ and $y$ peaks of $s$.

Reciprocally, suppose that $s$ contains a factor $\underline{x} \bar{j} t \underline{i} \bar{y}$ with $x \leq i<j \leq y$ (the other case is analogous). Then, $\underline{i} \bar{j} t \underline{i} \bar{j}$ is a factor of $s$, and we have the result.

It follows that if $s$ is a one-element commutation class, then its line diagram must avoid the two shapes depicted in Figure 5.


Figure 5. Avoiding shapes for one-element commutation classes.
The following definition will allows us to translate condition 5 of Theorem 2.1 into the language os segments.

Definition 3.2. A word formed by consecutive integers is said to have a factor with symmetric segments if it has a factor $\underline{i} \bar{j} t \bar{j} \underline{i}$ or $\bar{j} \underline{i} t \underline{i} \bar{j}$, for some $i<j$ where $t \in[n]^{*}$ contains at least one peak of $s$.
For instance, the word $s \equiv \underline{2} \overline{4} \underline{1} \overline{4} \underline{2}$, depicted in Figure 6, has symmetric segments. The word $s \equiv \overline{4} \underline{2} \overline{4}$ does not contains symmetric segments.


Figure 6. Diagram of the word $s=\underline{2} \overline{4} \underline{1} \overline{4} \underline{2}$.
Proposition 3.6. Let $s \in[n]^{*}$ be a word formed by consecutive integers. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) There is no factor of $s$ with symmetric segments.
(2) If $\boldsymbol{p}(s)$ (or $\boldsymbol{v}(s)$ ) has more than one integer $i$, then one of those $i$ 's is an endpoint of $s$.

Proof. We prove the contra-positive assertions. If $s$ contains a factor with symmetric segments, then $s$ must contain a factor $u=\underline{i} \bar{j} t \bar{j} \underline{i}$, or $u=\bar{j} \underline{i} t \underline{i} \bar{j}$, with $i, j \in[n]$ and $t \in[n]^{*}$ a word which contains some peak of $s$. Considering the first case (the other is analogous), by Lemma 2.2 we have that both $\bar{j}$ in $u$ are pinnacles of $s$, and neither of them is an endpoint. Therefore, condition 2 does not hold.

Now suppose that $\mathbf{v}(s)$ contains two letters $i$ such that neither of them is an endpoint (the $\mathbf{p}(s)$ case is analogous). Then $s$ will contain a factor $u$ of the form $u=\bar{j} \underline{i} t \underline{i} \bar{k}$, with $j, k \in[n]$ and $t$ a word which contain some peak of $s$. If $j \leq k$, then $s$ will contain the factor $\bar{j} \underline{i} t \underline{i} \bar{j}$. If $j>k$, then $s$ will contain the factor $\bar{k} \underline{i} t \underline{i} \bar{k}$ (see Figure 7). In either case, we have a factor with symmetric segments.

Given $i<j$, let $[i, j]:=\{i, i+1, \ldots, j\}$ and $[i, j]^{*}$ the set of words with finite length in the alphabet $[i, j]$. The following lemma will be usefull more ahead.
Lemma 3.7. Let $s \in R(\sigma)$ a reduced word for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$. If $s \in[i, j]^{*}$, then $\sigma(k)=k$ for all $k \in[n+1] \backslash[i, j+1]$.


Figure 7. Diagram of the word $u=\bar{j} \underline{i} t \underline{i} \bar{k}$ with $j \leq k$ and $j>k$.
Proof. Suppose that there is $k \in[n+1] \backslash[i, j+1]$ such that $\sigma(k) \neq k$. Then, every reduced word for $\sigma$ must contain a letter $k$ or a letter $k-1$. But this is a contradiction because $k$ and $k-1$ belong to $[n+1] \backslash[i, j+1]$ and $s \in[i, j]^{*}$.

Before stating the main result of this section, we need a better understanding of how segments behave. Suppose that $s=\underline{i} \bar{j} t \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$, for some word $t \in[n]^{*}$. The segment $\underline{i} \bar{j}$ moves the integer $i$ to position $j+1$. If $s$ contains another segment that moves the integer $i$, then the following segment to move this integer is of the form $\underline{j} \bar{y}$, with $j<y$, or $\bar{j} \underline{x}$ with $j>x$. Notice that the second case cannot hold, otherwise we would have two pinnacles $j$ in $s$ where neither is an endpoint, contradicting condition 5 of Theorem 2.1. We can do a similar reasoning if $s=\bar{j} \underline{i} t$ and concluding the following results:
Lemma 3.8. Let $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$. If a segment $\underline{i} \bar{j}$ (resp. $\left.\bar{j} \underline{i}\right)$ of $s$ moves an integer $k \in[n+1]$, then every segment of $s$ that moves $k$ is of the form $\underline{x} \bar{y}$ (resp. $\bar{y} \underline{x}$ ).

Lemma 3.9. Let $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ and $i \in[n]$.
(1) If $\sigma(i+1)<i$, then there is a segment $\underline{x} \bar{y}$ of $s$ that moves the integer $\sigma(i+1)$.
(2) If $i+1<\sigma(i)$, then there is a segment $\bar{y} \underline{x}$ of $s$ that moves the integer $\sigma(i)$.

There is also a restriction on the integers that are moved by segments.
Lemma 3.10. Let $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$. If $\underline{i} \bar{j}$ (resp. $\bar{j} \underline{i}$ ) is a segment of $s$, then it moves an integer $k \in[i+1]$ (resp. $k \in[j, n+1]$ ).

Proof. Assume that $s$ contains a segment $\underline{i} \bar{j}$ (the other case is similiar) which moves an integer $k$. Then, we can write $s=t_{1} \underline{\underline{j}} t_{2}$, for some words $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[n]^{*}$. Suppose by contradiction that $i+1<k$. Since $s$ is a reduced word, the word $t_{1}$ is also a reduced word for some permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ with $\pi(i)=k$ (because $i \underline{j}$ moves the integer $k$ ). But $i+1<k$, which from the previous lemma implies that $t$ contains a segment $\bar{y} \underline{x}$ that moves $k$, contradicting Lemma 3.8. Therefore $k \leq i+1$.

Proposition 3.11. Let $s \in[n]^{*}$ be a word formed by consecutive integers such that $s=t \cdot j$ with $t \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ and $j \in[n]$. If $s$ is not reduced, then $s$ contains a factor with repeated or symmetric segments.

Proof. Since $s$ is not reduced, from Lemma 3.1 we have $\sigma(j)>\sigma(j+1)$. Assume that $j$ is a pinnacle of $s$ (the case when $j$ is a vale follows from the application of complement word). Then, we can write $s=u \underline{i} \bar{j}$ for some $i<j$, and we need to consider two cases.
Case 1: $j>i+1$
If $j>i+1$, we have $t=u i \overline{j-1}$ and from the previous lemma, since $t$ is a one-element commutation class, the segment $\underline{i} \overline{j-1}$ moves an integer $k \in[i+1]$ to position $j$. But
then, $\sigma(j)=k$ and we have $j>i+1 \geq k>\sigma(j+1)$ which implies, from Lemma 3.9, that $t$ contains a segment $\underline{x} \bar{y}$ that moves the integer $\sigma(j+1$ ) (call it $l$ ), for some $x<y$. The rightmost such segment $x \bar{y}$ must have $y=j$, so we can write

$$
t=u^{\prime} \underline{\bar{j}} u^{\prime \prime} \underline{i} \overline{j-1},
$$

for some words $u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime} \in[n]^{*}$. Our goal is to prove that $x \leq i$. If $x>i$, the fact that $\mathbf{v}(t)$ is a vee implies that $u^{\prime}$ is a word in the alphabet $[x+1, n]$, because $u^{\prime}$ cannot contain vales of $t$ that are smaller than or equal to $x$. Considering $\pi$ the permutation associated to $u^{\prime}$, from Lemma 3.7 we have $\pi(x)=x$. Since the segment $\underline{x} \bar{j}$ moves the integer $l$, we have $x=l$. But this is a contradicion because $x \geq i+1>l$. Therefore $x \leq i$ and we have $s=u^{\prime} \underline{\underline{j}} u^{\prime \prime} \underline{i} \bar{j}$, which, by Lemma 3.5, contain a factor with repeated segments (the factor $\left.x \bar{j} u^{\prime \prime} \underline{j} \bar{j}\right)$.
Case 2: $j=i+1$
In this case we have $s=u \underline{i} \bar{i}+1$, with $t=u i$. If $u$ does not contain any letter $i$, then $u$ is a word in the alphabet $[i+1, n]$. But then, its associated permutation fixes $i$, so $\sigma(i+1)=i$. Since $\sigma(i+1)>\sigma(i+2)$, we have $i+1>i>\sigma(i+2)$, which from Lemma 3.9 implies that $t$ contains a segment $\underline{x} \bar{y}$ that moves the integer $\sigma(i+2)$, for some $x<y$. The rightmost such segment $\underline{x} \bar{y}$ must have $y=i+1$, and so we can write

$$
s=u^{\prime} \underline{x} \bar{i}+1 u^{\prime \prime} \underline{\underline{i}+1},
$$

for some words $u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime} \in[n]^{*}$. Since $x \leq i$, we have that $s$ contains a factor with repeated segments (the factor $\underline{x} \overline{\bar{i}+1} u^{\prime \prime} \underline{i} \overline{i+1}$ ).
For the case where $u$ contains letters $i$, we need to consider two sub-cases:
Sub-case 1: $u$ does not contain vales $i$
Our goal is to prove that $i+1>\sigma(i+2)$ in order to use the previous argument. If the first appereance of a letter $i$ in $t$ is preeced by a letter $i-1$, then $\sigma(i+1)=i+1$. If it's preceed by a letter $i+1$, then $\sigma(i+1)=i$. Since $\sigma(i+1)>\sigma(i+2)$ we have the result. Sub-case 2: $u$ contains vales $i$
We can write $s=u^{\prime} \underline{i} \bar{y} u^{\prime \prime} \underline{i} \bar{i}+1$. If $u^{\prime}$ is not empty, then $s$ contains two vales $i$ where neither is an endpoint, which from Proposition 3.6 implies that $s$ contains symmetric segments. If $u^{\prime}$ is empty, then $t=\underline{i} \bar{y} u^{\prime \prime} \underline{i}$, which from the proof of Proposition 3.3 we have $\sigma(i+1)=i+1$. Using the same argument as in Sub-case 1, we have that $s$ contains a factor with repeated segments.

We are now in condition to state and prove the main result of this section.
Theorem 3.12. Let $s \in[n]^{*}$ be a word formed by consecutive integers and let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ be the corresponding permutation. Then, $s \in R \cdot(\sigma)$ if and only if there is no factor of $s$ with repeated or symmetric segments.
Proof. From Theorem 3.4, if $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$, then $s$ cannot contain factors with repeated segments. Moreover, $s$ must satisfy condition 5 of Theorem 2.1 , which is equivalent to say that $s$ does not have a factor with symmetric segments by Proposition 3.6. Reciprocally, suppose that $s \notin R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ (we want to prove the contra-positive assertion). Then, $s$ cannot be a reduced word and so it must contain a left factor $s^{\prime}=t \cdot j$ where $t$ is reduced but $s^{\prime}$ is not, for some $j \in[n]$. From the previous proposition, $s^{\prime}$ contains a factor with repeated or symmetric segments and we have the result.

Notice that when a word formed by consecutive integers contains repeated or symmetric segments, then it repeats vales and pinnacles at the same time. Therefore, another way to interpret the previous is that a word formed by consecutive integers is reduced if and only if does not contain any factor that repeats vales and pinnacles at the same time.

## 4. One-ELEMENT CLASSES FOR INVOLUTIONS

In this section we give an explicit construction of one-element commutation classes for involutions and relate their existence with pattern avoidance problems. We start by recalling the following result proved in [5].

Lemma 4.1. The word $\underline{1} \bar{n}$ (resp. $\bar{n} \underline{1}$ ) is the only reduced word formed by consecutive integers with length $\geq n$ over the alphabet $[n]$, having left (resp. right) endpoint the letter 1 and right (resp. left) endpoint the letter $n$.

In other words, there are no peaks between letters 1 and $n$ in a one-element commutation class. As a consequence, we have the following.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\sigma$ be a permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$. If $|R \cdot(\sigma)|>0$, then $\sigma(n+1)=1$ or $\sigma(1)=n+1$. Moreover, if $\sigma(n+1)=1$ (resp. $\sigma(1)=n+1)$ every one-element commutation class of $\sigma$ contains a segment $\underline{1} \bar{n}$ (resp. $\underline{n} \overline{1}$ ).

Proof. Since 1 and $n+1$ are not fixed points of $\sigma$, every reduced word for $\sigma$ must contain at least a letter 1 and a letter $n$. Let $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ and suppose that there is a letter 1 proceeded by a letter $n$ in $s$. By Lemma 4.1, we can write $s$ as

$$
s=u \underline{1} \bar{n} v,
$$

where $u$ is a word that does not contain letters 1 and $v$ is a word that does not contain letters $n$. But then, the permutation associated to $u$ fixes the integer 1 , and so the segment $\underline{1} \bar{n}$ will move the integer 1 to position $n+1$. Since the permutation associated to $v$ fixes the integer $n+1$, we have $\sigma(n+1)=1$. With analogous arguments one can prove that if there is a letter $n$ proceeded by a letter 1 , then $\sigma(1)=n+1$ and every one-element commutation class contains a segment $\bar{n} \underline{1}$.

The previous lemma gives us a necessary condition for a permutation that does not fix 1 nor $n+1$ to contain one-element commutation classes. It is not, however, a sufficient condition; consider for instance the permutation $\sigma=[3,4,5,2,1] \in \mathfrak{S}_{5}$, which does not fix 1 nor 5 and $\sigma(5)=1$. One can check that this permutation contains 4 commutation classes and neither of them is a one-element commutation class. In the case of involutions, one can get more information. Before that, let's recall that the symmetries of a word $s$ are the words $s, s^{r}, s^{c}$ and $s^{c r}$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\sigma$ be an involution in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$. If $\left|R_{\bullet}(\sigma)\right|>0$, then $\sigma(n+1)=1$ and $\sigma(1)=n+1$. Moreover, if $s \in R \cdot(\sigma)$, then $s$ is a symmetry of $\underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1} t$, for some word $t \in[2, n-1]^{*}$.
Proof. The equalities $\sigma(1)=n+1$ and $\sigma(n+1)=1$ follows from the previous lemma and from the fact that $\sigma$ is an involution. We have also from the previous lemma that, if $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$, then $s$ contains a segment $\underline{1} \bar{n}$ (because $\sigma(n+1)=1$ ) and a segment $\bar{n} \underline{1}$ (because $\sigma(1)=n+1$ ). The only possibility for $s$ to contain those segments at the same
time is to have the factor $\underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1}$ or $\bar{n} \underline{n} \bar{n}$, which must contain an endpoint, by Theorem 3.12.

The previous two lemmas can be generalized for any permutation $\sigma$ by replacing 1 and $n+1$ with the minimum and maximum non-fixed points of $\sigma$, respectively.

Notice that there is always an endpoint of a one-element commutation class of an involution that does not fix 1 nor $n+1$ that is the letter 1 or $n$. We have the following.

Proposition 4.4. Let $\sigma$ be an involution in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$. Then:
(1) $\sigma$ has a one-element commutation class that contains a letter 1 as endpoint if and only if $\sigma=\prod_{k=1}^{l}\left(k, j_{k}+1\right)$, with $j_{1}=n>j_{2}>\cdots>j_{l}$ for some integer $l$, and $k<j_{k}+1$ for all $k \in[l]$.
(2) $\sigma$ has a one-element commutation that contains a letter $n$ as endpoint if and only if $\sigma=\prod_{k=1}^{l}\left(i_{k}, n+2-k\right)$, with $i_{1}=1<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{l}$ for some integer $l$, and $i_{k}<n+2-k$ for all $k \in[l]$.

Proof. We prove only statement 1 (the proof of 2 is analogous). Since $\sigma$ is an involution, if there is a one-element commutation class of $\sigma$ that contains a letter 1 as endpoint, there must be $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ such that $s=\underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1} t$, for some word $t \in[2, n-1]^{*}$. Note that the permutation associated to $t$ (call it $\pi$ ) is also an involution because the left factor $\underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1}$ is a reduced word for the cycle $(1, n+1)$ and $\pi$ fixes 1 and $n+1$. Since the left-endpoint of $t$ is a letter 2 , we must have $t=\underline{2} \underline{j} \underline{2} t^{\prime}$ for some $j<n$ and $t^{\prime} \in[3, j-1]^{*}$. Continuing this procedure, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\left(1 \cdots j_{1} \cdots 1\right)\left(2 \cdots j_{2} \cdots 2\right) \cdots\left(l \cdots j_{l} \cdots l\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n=j_{1}>\cdots>j_{l}$. Notice that $l$ can be equal to $j_{l}$ if the right endpoint of $s$ is a pinnacle. In that case $\left(l \cdots j_{l} \cdots l\right)$ would be just the letter $l$. Each factor $\left(k \cdots j_{k} \cdots k\right)$ encodes the involution $\left(k, j_{k}+1\right)$, so $\sigma=\prod_{k=1}^{l}\left(k, j_{k}+1\right)$. Moreover, $s$ does not contain any factor with repeated or symmetric segments. Therefore, from Theorem 3.12, $s$ is a reduced word of $\sigma$.
For the converse, just consider $s$ as in (3), which is a reduced word for $\sigma$ and is a word formed by consecutives integers.


Figure 8. Possible diagrams for a one-element commutation class of an involution that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$

If $s=\underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1} t$, then its associated permutation is completly determined by its pinnacles, as we saw in the previous proof. Hence we can conclude the following.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\sigma$ be an involution in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$. Then, there is at most one word in $R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ of the form $\underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1} t$ (the same is true for its symmetries).

Before stating one of the main results of this section, we need two auxiliar lemmas.
Lemma 4.6. Let $\sigma$ be an involution in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$ and $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$. If $\boldsymbol{p}(s)$ or $\boldsymbol{v}(s)$ is a symmetry of the word $12 \cdots l$ for some $l$, then $s^{c} \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$.

Proof. Suppose that $s=\underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1} t$ for some $t \in[2, n-1]^{*}$ (the other cases are analogous). Since $\mathbf{v}(s)$ is not strict we must have that $\mathbf{p}(s)$ is the string that belong to the symmetries of $12 \cdots l$ for some $l$. From the proof of the previous lemma $\sigma=\prod_{k=1}^{l}(k, n+2-k)$, and since $w_{0} \sigma w_{0}=\sigma$, we have $s^{c} \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$.

Lemma 4.7. Let $\sigma$ be an involution in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$ and $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$. If $s=s^{r}$, then $s \equiv \underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1}$ or $s \equiv \bar{n} \underline{n} \bar{n}$.

Proof. The assumption that $s=s^{r}$ implies that its endpoints are equal. Therefore, both of its endpoints are the letter 1 or $n$, which from Lemma 4.5 we have the result.

Theorem 4.8. Let $\sigma$ be an involution in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$. Suppose that $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$.
(1) If $n=1$, then $R_{\bullet}(\sigma)=\{1\}$.
(2) If $\sigma=(1, n+1)$, then $R_{\bullet}(\sigma)=\{\underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1}, \bar{n} \underline{1} \bar{n}\}$.
(3) If $n>1$ and neither $\boldsymbol{p}(s)$ nor $\boldsymbol{v}(s)$ is a symmetry of the word $12 \cdots l$ for some integer l, then $R_{\bullet}(\sigma)=\left\{s, s^{r}\right\}$.
(4) If $n>1, \sigma \neq(1, n+1)$ and one of the strings $\boldsymbol{p}(s)$ or $\boldsymbol{v}(s)$ is a symmetry of the word $12 \cdots l$, then $R \cdot(\sigma)=\left\{s, s^{r}, s^{c}, s^{c r}\right\}$

Proof. Condition 1 is trivial. From Lemma 4.5, we have at most one word $s \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$ with left (resp. right) endpoint the letter 1 or with left (resp. right) endpoint the letter $n$. If $\sigma=(1, n+1)$, then $\underline{1} \overline{1} \underline{1}$ and $\bar{n} \underline{n}$ are one-element commutation classes and they must be the only ones. To prove conditions 3 and 4 , we are going to assume that $s=\underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1} t$ (the other cases are analogous). We need to consider two cases:
Case 1: $\mathbf{p}(s)$ is not a symmetry of $12 \cdots l$
From Lemma 4.4 we have $\sigma=\prod_{k=1}^{l}\left(k, j_{k}+1\right)$, where $j_{k}$ is the $k$-th pinnacle of $s$ and $k<j_{k}$. Our goal is to show that $\sigma$ cannot contain one-element commutation classes with endpoint the letter $n$. If it contains such class, from Lemma 4.4 we have $\sigma=\prod_{k=1}^{l}\left(i_{k}, n+2-k\right)$. Since $i_{k}<n+2-k$ for all $k \in[l]$, and since $\sigma$ has a unique decomposition into disjoint cycles, we must have $\mathbf{p}(s)=j_{1} j_{2} \cdots j_{l}=n n \cdots n+1-l$, which is a symmetry of the word $12 \cdots l$, contradicting our assumption. Since $s^{r} \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$, we have $R_{\bullet}(\sigma)=\left\{s, s^{r}\right\}$. Case 2: $\mathbf{p}(s)$ is a symmetry $12 \cdots l$
From Lemma 4.6 we have $s^{c} \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$, and since $\sigma$ is an involution, $s^{c r} \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$. The fact that $\sigma \neq(1, n+1)$ implies that $s \neq s^{r}$. Therefore, we have $R_{\bullet}(\sigma)=\left\{s, s^{r}, s^{c}, s^{c r}\right\}$.

For an arbitrary involution $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$, the previous theorem can be generalized by defining the complementary word of $s=i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{l}$ as

$$
\left(M+m-1-i_{1}\right)\left(M+m-1-i_{2}\right) \cdots\left(M+m-1-i_{l}\right),
$$

where $m$ and $M$ are the minimum and maximum non-fixed points of $\sigma$, respectively.
We end this section with a relation between involutions that contains one-element commutation classes and pattern avoidance problems.
Definition 4.1. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ and $p \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ with $k \leq n+1$. We say that $\sigma$ contains the patters $p$ if there is a substring of the one-line notation of $\sigma$ order isomorphic to $p$. If not, we say that $\sigma$ is $p$-avoiding.

When writting permutation as patterns, we drop the brackets and commas. For instance, the permutation $[4,1,2,5,3]$ is 321 -avoiding, but contains two patterns 123 , namely the substrings 125 and 123 .
Proposition 4.9. Let $\sigma$ be an involution in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$. If $\sigma$ is 132 and 3412 -avoiding, then $\sigma(1)=n+1$ and $\sigma(n+1)=1$.
Proof. Assume by contradiction that $\sigma(1) \neq n+1$ and $\sigma(1) \neq 1$. Since $\sigma$ does not fix 1 nor $n+1$, we must have

$$
\sigma=[i, \ldots, 1, \ldots, n+1, \ldots, j]
$$

or

$$
\sigma=[i, \ldots, n+1, \ldots, 1, \ldots, j]
$$

for some integers $i, j \in[2, n]$. The first case cannot hold because we have the subword $1 n+1 j$, which is a 132 -pattern. In the second case, if $i<j$, then $i n+1 j$ is a 132-pattern. If $i>j$, then $\sigma$ contains the substring $i n+11 j$, which is a 3412-pattern. Therefore, we must have $\sigma(1)=n+1$ or $\sigma(1)=n+1$. The fact that $\sigma$ is an involution implies $\sigma(1)=n+1$ and $\sigma(n+1)=1$.

Before stating the main result of this section, we need to recall two known results about pattern avoidance.
Proposition 4.10 ([8]). A permutation $\sigma$ is 2143 and 3412 -avoiding if and only if $\sigma$ can be partitioned into an increasing and decreasing sequence.

For instance, the permutation $\sigma=[3,6,4,7,5,2,1]$ is 2143 and 3412 -avoiding because we can partioned $\sigma$ into the sequences 347 and 6521 .

Proposition 4.11 ([2]). Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ and $p \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ with $k \leq n+1$. Then $\sigma$ contains the pattern $p$ if and only if $w_{0} \sigma w_{0}$ contains the patterns $w_{0} p w_{0}$.

We have the following.
Theorem 4.12. Let $\sigma$ be an involution in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$. Then, $\left|R_{\bullet}(\sigma)\right|>0$ if and only if $\sigma$ avoids the patterns 132 and 3412 or the patterns 213 and 3412.

Proof. Assume that $\left|R_{\bullet}(\sigma)\right|>0$. Using Lemma 4.4, we start by consider $\sigma=\prod_{k=1}^{l}\left(k, j_{k}+\right.$ 1 ), with $j_{1}=n>j_{2}>\cdots>j_{l}$ for some integer $l$. To prove that $\sigma$ is 3412 -avoiding, just notice that the non-fixed points forms a decreasing sequence and the fixed points forms an increasing sequence. Then, by Proposition 4.10 we have the result. It remains to prove that $\sigma$ is 132 -avoiding. By way of contradiction, assume that $\sigma$ contains a pattern 132. Then $\sigma$ contains a subword $x z y$ such that $x<y, x<z$ and $z>y$. We then have two
cases.
Case 1: $x$ is not a fixed point.
Since the sequence of non-fixed points of $\sigma$ is decreasing, we have that $y$ and $z$ are fixed points. But that cannot happen because $z>y$ and the sequence of fixed points is increasing.
Case 2: $x$ is a fixed point.
If $x$ is a fixed point of $\sigma$, then $x>l$. We have that all non-fixed points of $\sigma$ that are to the right of $x$ are smaller than $x$. Since $x<y$ and $x<z$, the integers $y$ and $z$ are also fixed points of $\sigma$. But that cannot be possible because $z>y$. Therefore, $\sigma$ must be 132-avoiding.
Now considering the case where $\sigma=\prod_{k=1}^{l}\left(i_{k}, n+2-k\right)$, with $i_{1}=1<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{l}$ for some integer $l$, we have that $w_{0} \sigma w_{0}=\prod_{k=1}^{l}\left(k, n+2-i_{k}\right)$, which we already proved is 132 and 3412-avoiding. From Proposition 4.11, $\sigma$ is 213 and 3412 -avoiding.

For the converse, suppose that $\sigma$ avoids the patterns 132 and 3412. From Lemma 4.9, we have $\sigma(1)=n+1$ and $\sigma(n+1)=1$, so $\sigma$ contains the cycle $(1, n+1)$ in its disjoint cycle decomposition. Without loss of generality, the disjoint decomposition of $\sigma$ can be written as

$$
\sigma=\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right) \cdots\left(i_{l}, j_{l}\right),
$$

with $1=i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3}<\cdots<i_{l}, j_{1}=n+1$ and $i_{k}<j_{k}$ for all $k \in[l]$. Notice that $i_{2}=2$, otherwise $2<i_{2}<j_{2}$ and 2 would be a fixed point of $\sigma$ implying that $2 j_{2} i_{2}$ would be a substring of $\sigma$, which is a 132 -pattern. We also have that $n+1=j_{1}>j_{2}$. Now suppose that

$$
\sigma=(1 n+1)\left(2 j_{2}\right) \cdots\left(m j_{m}\right)\left(i_{m+1} j_{m+1}\right) \cdots\left(i_{l}, j_{l}\right),
$$

with $n+1>j_{2}>j_{3}>\cdots j_{m}$ and $i_{k}<j_{k}$ for all $k \in[m+1, l]$. Our goal is to show that $i_{m+1}=m+1$ and $j_{m}>j_{m+1}$. If $i_{m+1} \neq m+1$, then $m+1<i_{m+1}<j_{m+1}$ and we have two cases. If $m+1$ is a fixed point, then $\sigma$ will contain the subword $m+1 j_{m+1} i_{m+1}$, which is a 132-pattern. If $m+1$ is not a fixed point, then $\left(m, j_{m}\right)=(m, m+1)$. But then, $\sigma$ will contain the subword $m j_{m+1} i_{m+1}$, which is a 132-pattern. Therefore $i_{m+1}=m+1$. If $j_{m}<j_{m+1}$, then $\sigma$ will contain the subword $j_{m} j_{m+1} m m+1$, which is a 3412pattern. Using an inductive argument we show that $\sigma=\left(1, j_{1}\right)\left(2, j_{2}\right) \cdots\left(l, j_{l}\right)$, with $n+1=j_{1}>j_{2}>\cdots>j_{l}$. From Lemma 4.4, we have that $\sigma$ contains a one-element commutation class with some endpoint the letter 1. If $\sigma$ avoids the patters 213 and 3412, then $w_{0} \sigma w_{0}$ avoids the patterns 132 and 3412 from Proposition 4.11, which we proved to have a one-element commutation class $s$. Hence $s^{c}$ is a one-element commutation class for $\sigma$, proving that $R_{\bullet}(\sigma)>0$.

Notice that this result is not true in general for any permutation that does not fix 1 nor $n+1$. For instance, the permutation $[3,4,5,2,1]$ is 132,213 and 3412 - avoiding and does not contain one-element commutation classes.

As a corollary, we have a necessary and sufficient condition for an involution to contain 4 one-element commutation classes.

Corollary 4.13. Let $\sigma$ be an involution in $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ that fixes neither 1 nor $n+1$. Assume that $\sigma \neq(1, n+1)$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $\left|R_{\bullet}(\sigma)\right|=4$.
(2) $\sigma$ is 132, 213 and 3412-avoiding.

Proof. From Theorem 4.8, if $\left|R_{\bullet}(\sigma)\right|=4$, then $R_{\bullet}(\sigma)=\left\{s, s^{r}, s^{c}, s^{c r}\right\}$ for some word $s \in[n]^{*}$ formed by consecutive integers. We can assume that $s=\underline{1} \bar{n} \underline{1} t$ for some word $t \in[2, n-1]^{*}$. From the proof of the previous theorem, its associated permutation is 132 and 3412 avoiding. Since $s^{c} \in R_{\bullet}(\sigma)$, we have $\sigma=w_{0} \sigma w_{0}$ and from Proposition 4.11, $\sigma$ will also avoid the pattern 213. Reciprocally, since $\sigma$ avoids the patterns 132 and 3412 , from the proof of the previous theorem we have that $\sigma$ contains a one-element commutation class $s$ with some endpoint the letter 1 . We also have that $\sigma$ is 213 and 3412-avoiding, so $\sigma$ contains a one-element commutation class $t$ with some endpoint the letter $n$. Since $\sigma \neq(1, n+1)$, from Lemma 4.5 we have $R_{\bullet}(\sigma)=\left\{s, s^{r}, t, t^{r}\right\}$.

Corollary 4.13 allow us to recover the result from [5] and [12] which states that for $n>1$ the longest permutation $w_{0}$ contains 4 one-element commutation classes, since $w_{0}$ is an involution that fix neither 1 nor $n+1$ and the only patterns of length 3 and 4 that $w_{0}$ contains are 321 and 4321, respectively. One can generalize the previous results to any involution.

Corollary 4.14. Let $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ an involution such that $m$ and $M$ are the minimum and maximum non-fixed points of $\sigma$. Consider the permutation $\pi=[\sigma(m), \sigma(m+1), \cdots, \sigma(M)]$. Then:
(1) $\left|R_{\bullet}(\sigma)\right|>0$ if and only if $\pi$ avoids the patterns 132 and 3412 or the patterns 213 and 3412.
(2) Suppose that $\sigma \neq(m, M)$. Then $\left|R_{\bullet}(\sigma)\right|=4$ if and only if $\pi$ avoids the patterns 132, 213 and 3412.
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