Pré-Publicações do Departamento de Matemática
Universidade de Coimbra
Preprint Number 14–01

GENERALIZED YOUNG MEASURE SOLUTIONS FOR INEXTENSIBLE STRINGS

YASEMIN ŞENGÜL AND DMITRY VOROTNIKOV

Abstract: We study the equation of motion for inextensible strings with the "whip" boundary conditions. We prove existence of generalized Young measure solutions after transforming the equation into a system of conservation laws and approximating it with a regularized system for which we obtain uniform estimates of the energy and the tension.

Keywords: Inextensible string, generalized Young measure, conservation laws.
AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35D05, 35L65, 35Q72, 74H20.

1. Introduction

An inextensible string is defined (cf. [3]) to be the one for which the stretch is constrained to be equal to 1, whatever system of forces is applied to it. As in [24], some authors refer to it as a *chain* which is a long but very thin material that is inextensible but completely flexible, and hence mathematically described as a rectifiable curve of fixed length. Fluid-structure interactions, dynamics of pipes, flagella, or ribbons of rhythmic gymnastics, mechanism of whips, and galactic motion are only a few phenomena and applications that can be related to inextensible strings (see [5, 16, 14] for more details).

The motion governed by a homogeneous, inextensible string with unit length and density can be modeled by the system

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{tt}(t,s) = \big(\sigma(t,s)\,\eta_s(t,s)\big)_s + g, \ \ s \in [0,1], \\ |\eta_s| = 1, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $g \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the given gravity vector, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the unknown position vector for point s at time t. The unknown scalar multiplier σ, which is called

Received January 1, 2014.
We thank José Miguel Urbano for continuous support and encouragement. We are grateful to Constantine Dafermos, David Kinderlehrer, Léonard Monsaingeon, Evgeniy Panov, Ivan Yudin and Arghir Zarnescu for stimulating discussions. The research was partially supported by CMUC funded by the European Regional Development Fund through the program COMPETE and by the Portuguese Government through FCT under the project PEst-C/MAT/UI0324/2013, and by the projects PTDC/MAT/098060/2008, UTA-CMU/MAT/0007/2009, UTAustin/MAT/0035/2008, PTDC/MAT-CAL/0749/2012.

tension, satisfies the equation

$$\sigma_{ss}(t,s) - |\eta_{ss}(t,s)|^2\,\sigma(t,s) + |\eta_{st}(t,s)|^2 = 0 \tag{2}$$

(see Section 2.3 for the derivation of (2) from (1)). We are given the initial positions and velocities of the string as

$$\eta(0,s) = \alpha(s) \;\text{ and }\; \eta_t(0,s) = \beta(s). \tag{3}$$

There are several options for boundary conditions:

a) two fixed ends:

$$\eta(t,0) = \alpha(0) \;\text{ and }\; \eta(t,1) = \alpha(1) \tag{4}$$

b) two free ends:

$$\sigma(t,0) = \sigma(t,1) = 0 \tag{5}$$

c) the "ring" or periodic conditions (here it is convenient to consider $s \in \mathbb{R}$ instead of $s \in [0,1]$):

$$\eta(t,s) = \eta(t,s+1) \;\text{ and }\; \sigma(t,s) = \sigma(t,s+1) \tag{6}$$

d) the "whip" boundary conditions when one end is free and one is fixed:

$$\sigma(t,0) = 0 \;\text{ and }\; \eta(t,1) = 0. \tag{7}$$

We make the convention that $s = 0$ corresponds to the free end while the end $s = 1$ is fixed at the origin of the space.

Even though the analysis of the dynamics of inextensible strings subject to different kinds of boundary conditions is a notable problem which goes back to Galileo, Leibniz and Bernoulli (cf. [24, 3, 20]), and it has been investigated by many authors in various contexts (see e.g. [5, 17, 16, 27, 30]), there are still very few results about general well-posedness. One of the existence results available is by Reeken [25, 26] who proves well-posedness for an infinite string with gravity when the initial data is near the trivial (downwards vertical) stable stationary solution (close in H^{26}).

Another one is due to Preston [20] who considers (1) in the absence of gravity with the whip boundary conditions (7). He obtains local existence and uniqueness in weighted Sobolev spaces for which the energy is bounded. He uses the method of lines, approximating with a discrete system of chains. In his paper, he imagines that the graph of the whip extends smoothly through

[18] J. Kristensen and F. Rindler. Characterization of generalized gradient young measures generated by sequences in $W^{1,1}$ and BV. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 197:539–598, 2010.
[19] E Yu Panov. On existence and uniqueness of entropy solutions to the cauchy problem for a conservation law with discontinuous flux. *Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, 6(03):525–548, 2009.
[20] S. C. Preston. The motion of whips and chains. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 251:504–550, 2011.
[21] S. C. Preston. The geometry of whips. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*, 41:281–305, 2012.
[22] M. H. Protter and H. F. Weinberger. *Maximum principles in differential equations*. Springer, 1984.
[23] R. Redlinger. Pointwise a priori bounds for strongly coupled semi linear parabolic systems. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 36(2):441–454, 1987.
[24] M. Reeken. The equation of motion of a chain. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 155:219–237, 1977.
[25] M. Reeken. Classical solutions of the chain equation I. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 165:143–169, 1979.
[26] M. Reeken. Classical solutions of the chain equation II. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 166:67–82, 1979.
[27] D. Serre. Un modèle relaxé pour les câbles inextensibles. *Modélisation Mathématique et Analyse Numérique*, 25(4):465–481, 1991.
[28] László Székelyhidi and Emil Wiedemann. Young measures generated by ideal incompressible fluid flows. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 206(1):333–366, 2012.
[29] E. Wiedemann. *Weak and measure-valued solutions of the incompressible Euler equations*. PhD thesis, University of Bonn, 2012.
[30] C.W. Wong and K. Yasui. Falling chains. *Amer. J. Phys*, 74(6):490–496, 2006.

Yasemin Şengül
Ozyegin University, Department of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, 34794 Alemdağ, Istanbul, Turkey.
E-mail address: yasemin.sengul@ozyegin.edu.tr

Dmitry Vorotnikov
CMUC, Apartado 3008, EC Santa Cruz, 3001 - 501 Coimbra, Portugal.
E-mail address: mitvorot@mat.uc.pt

subsequence, if necessary) and obtain

$$\int_\Omega \langle \nu_{t,s}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t,s,\xi)\rangle \, ds\, dt - \int_\Omega \langle \nu_{t,s}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(t,s,\xi)\rangle \, ds\, dt$$
$$- \int_{\overline{\Omega}} \langle \nu^\infty_{t,s}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^\infty(t,s,\theta)\rangle \, \lambda(dt,ds) - \Xi_0(\alpha,\beta,\varphi) = 0, \tag{71}$$

which yields (39) as required. ∎

References

[1] J. J. Alibert and G. Bouchitté. Non-uniform integrability and generalized young measures. *Journal of Convex Analysis*, 4(1):129–147, 1997.

[2] Herbert Amann. Nonhomogeneous linear and quasilinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems. In *Function spaces, differential operators and nonlinear analysis (Friedrichroda, 1992)*, volume 133 of *Teubner-Texte Math.*, pages 9–126. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1993.

[3] S. S. Antman. *Nonlinear problems of elasticity*. Springer, 2005.

[4] Emmanuel Audusse and Benoît Perthame. Uniqueness for scalar conservation laws with discontinuous flux via adapted entropies. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh-A-Mathematics*, 135(2):253–266, 2005.

[5] B. Bernstein, D. A. Hall, and H. M. Trent. On the dynamics of a bull whip. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 30(12):1112–1115, 1958.

[6] Yann Brenier, Camillo De Lellis, and László Székelyhidi Jr. Weak-strong uniqueness for measure-valued solutions. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 305:351–361, 2011.

[7] Miroslav Buliček, Piotr Gwiazda, Josef Málek, and Agnieszka Świerczewska Gwiazda. On scalar hyperbolic conservation laws with a discontinuous flux. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 21(1):89–113, 2011.

[8] R. Choksi. The conservation law $\partial_y u + \partial_x \sqrt{1-u^2} = 0$ and deformations of fibre-reinforced materials. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 56(6):1539–1560, 1996.

[9] C. M. Dafermos. Structure of the solutions of the riemann problem for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 53(3):203–217, 1974.

[10] C. M. Dafermos. Generalized characteristics and the structure of solutions of hyperbolic conservation laws. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 26(6):1097–1119, 1977.

[11] C. M. Dafermos. *Hyperbolic Conservation Laws in Continuum Physics*, volume 325 of *A series of comprehensive studies in Mathematics*. Springer, second edition, 2005.

[12] C. M. Dafermos and R. J. DiPerna. The riemann problem for certain classes of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 20:90–114, 1976.

[13] C. M. Dafermos and X. Geng. Generalized characteristics in hyperbolic systems of conservation laws with special coupling. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics*, 116:245–278, 1990.

[14] R. W. Dickey. Dynamic behavior of the inextensible string. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, 62(1):135–161, 2004.

[15] Ronald J. DiPerna and Andrew J. Majda. Oscillations and concentrations in weak solutions of the incompressible fluid equations. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 108:667–689, 1987.

[16] J. A. Hanna and C. D. Santangelo. At the end of a moving string. *arXiv:1209.1332v2*.

[17] J. A. Hanna and C. D. Santangelo. Slack dynamics on an unfurling string. *Physical Review Letters*, 109:134301–1–134301–5, 2012.

the origin (which corresponds to the fixed end), and hence the tension extends to an even smooth function. This evenness leads to what he calls the compatibility boundary condition given by

$$\sigma_s(t,1) = 0.$$

This condition is related to the non-negativity of the tension, which we discuss in Section 2.3. We consider all possible boundary conditions together with some conditions on the presence of the gravity. To our knowledge, this is the only proof available for the non-negativity of the tension in this context.

There exist some papers where the problem is investigated from a more geometric point of view. In [21], for example, Preston studies on the space of arcs parametrized by the unit speed in the L^2 metric. He ignores the gravity and extends the curve through the fixed point by oddness to get a curve with two free endpoints. He notes that if periodic boundary conditions were used, the results of his paper would change, for example, he would work on ordinary Sobolev spaces on the circle, rather than weighted Sobolev spaces on the interval. Dickey [14], on the other hand, looks into two dimensional case, also ignoring the gravity. He defines a new variable as the angle the tangent to the string makes with the positive x-axis, and obtains a transformed system for which he discusses two asymptotic theories, one in which the amplitude of the angle is small and another in which the amplitude is large.

After certain transformations of (1) (see Section 2.2) we obtain the hyperbolic system of conservation laws in (8). This kind of systems are mentioned in the book by Dafermos [11, Chapter 7] as examples of balance laws in one-space dimension arising in the contexts of planar oscillations of thermoelastic medium and oscillations of flexible, extensible elastic strings (also [9, 10, 8, 12, 13] can be mentioned as related articles). To our knowledge, there is no existence result in this context for conservation laws as well as for the 1-Laplacian wave equation (17) which is derived from (1) by certain transformations (see Section 2.2). The difficulty of the problem is not surprising since the system of conservation laws (14) is not strictly hyperbolic, and its flux is discontinuous at zero.

Scalar hyperbolic conservation laws with a discontinuous flux were recently considered in [7]. Although the authors of that paper notice that their procedures do not work in the case of systems, we managed to find a similar approach in the case of our particular system (14). Note that a related but

different class of problems concerns scalar conservation laws with a flux that is discontinuous in the spatial and not in the unknown variable [4, 19].

The novelty of our paper is three-fold. Firstly, we show global existence of solutions for the equations of motion of the inextensible string without restrictions on the initial data. Secondly and thirdly, this seems to be the first treatment of well-posedness both for the systems of hyperbolic conservation laws with discontinuous flux and for the total variation wave equation.

We will work with the most complex boundary conditions, namely the "whip" conditions (7), but the results of the paper remain valid for any of (4), (5) or (6). In some places throughout the paper we emphasize the technical differences of those cases with respect to (7). Moreover, the three-dimensional space was chosen due to the physical meaning of the problem, but, mathematically, everything presented in the paper is true in any dimension.

The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2.1, we introduce the basic notation. In Section 2.2, we make a series of transformations of our problem and obtain a system of hyperbolic conservation laws with discontinuous flux and the total variation wave equation. In Section 2.3, we discuss the non-negativity of the tension which is crucial in our considerations. In Section 2.4, we make some preliminary observations related to the energy. In Section 3.1, we recall the main concepts of the theory of generalized Young measures. In Section 3.2, we define the generalized solutions to our system of conservation laws with discontinuous flux. In Section 4.1, we introduce an approximate problem and study its global well-posedness. In Section 4.2, we define the energy for the approximate problem, and show dissipativity of that problem. This allows us to derive, in Section 4.3, a crucial uniform L^1-bound for the tension. Finally, in Section 5, we prove the main result of the paper, which is the existence of generalized Young measure solutions to the initial-boundary value problem for the equations of motion of the inextensible string in terms of the velocity $v = \eta_t$ and the contact force $\kappa = \sigma\,\eta_s$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Basic notation. Throughout the paper we will denote $\Omega = (0,T)\times(0,1)$. The scalar product of any two vectors χ,ξ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is simply denoted by $\chi\xi$, and $|\chi|$ is the Euclidean norm $\sqrt{\chi\chi}$. The notation $Lip_1([0,1];\mathbb{R}^3)$ stands for the set of continuous functions $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying

$$|f(s_1)-f(s_2)|\le|s_1-s_2|,\ \ s_1,s_2\in[0,1].$$

uniformly in $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}^6$. From (65) we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \mathcal{A}(\gamma_n)\psi_t\,ds\,dt-\int_\Omega \mathcal{B}(\gamma_n)\varphi_s\,ds\,dt-\Xi_0(\alpha,\beta,\varphi)=\\ =\int_\Omega[\mathcal{A}(\gamma_n)-\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma_n)]\varphi_t\,ds\,dt\\ +\int_\Omega[\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma_n)-\mathcal{B}(\gamma_n)]\varphi_s\,ds\,dt\\ -\varepsilon_n\int_\Omega[\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma_n)-\mathcal{D}(\gamma_n)]\varphi_t\,ds\,dt\\ -\varepsilon_n\int_\Omega[\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma_n)-\mathcal{A}(\gamma_n)]\varphi_{ss}\,ds\,dt\\ -\varepsilon_n^2\int_\Omega[\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma_n)-\mathcal{D}(\gamma_n)]\varphi_{ss}\,ds\,dt\\ -\varepsilon_n\int_\Omega\mathcal{D}(\gamma_n)\varphi_t\,ds\,dt-\varepsilon_n\int_\Omega\mathcal{A}(\gamma_n)\varphi_{ss}\,ds\,dt\\ -\varepsilon_n^2\int_\Omega\mathcal{D}(\gamma_n)\varphi_{ss}\,ds\,dt+\Xi_0(\alpha_n-\alpha,\beta_n-\beta,\varphi).\end{aligned}\tag{70}$$

The first five terms on the right-hand side tend to zero by (67) – (69). Since $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are sublinear and subquadratic, respectively, (66) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{A}(\gamma_n)\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^6)}\le C,\\ \|\mathcal{D}(\gamma_n)\|_{L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^6)}\le C.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\alpha_n\to\alpha$, $\beta_n\to\beta$ in $L^2(0,1;\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, we conclude that the remaining terms on the right-hand side of (70) go to zero. Consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t,s,\xi)=\mathcal{A}(\xi)\varphi_t(t,s),\\ \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(t,s,\xi)=\mathcal{B}(\xi)\varphi_s(t,s).\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ are in the class $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\infty\equiv 0$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^\infty(t,s,\xi)=\mathcal{B}^\infty(\xi)\varphi_s(t,s)$. By Theorem 3, we can pass to the limit in (70) (passing to a

have

$$\int_\Omega v_n\phi_t\,ds\,dt = \int_\Omega H_{\varepsilon_n}(w_n)\phi_s\,ds\,dt - \int_0^1 \beta_n\phi|_{t=0}\,ds - \int_\Omega g\phi\,ds\,dt - \varepsilon_n\int_\Omega v_n\phi_{ss}\,ds\,dt,$$

$$\int_\Omega [w_n - H^*_{\varepsilon_n}(w_n) + \varepsilon_n H_{\varepsilon_n}(w_n)]\psi_t\,ds\,dt = \int_\Omega v_n\psi_s\,ds\,dt + \int_0^1 \alpha_n\psi_s|_{t=0}\,ds - \varepsilon_n\int_\Omega [w_n - H^*_{\varepsilon_n}(w_n) + \varepsilon_n H_{\varepsilon_n}(w_n)]\psi_{ss}\,ds\,dt.$$

These can be merged to give

$$\int_\Omega \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma_n)\varphi_t\,ds\,dt + \varepsilon_n\int_\Omega \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma_n)\varphi_t\,ds\,dt = \int_\Omega \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma_n)\varphi_s\,ds\,dt - \varepsilon_n\int_\Omega \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma_n)\varphi_{ss}\,ds\,dt - \varepsilon_n^2\int_\Omega \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma_n)\varphi_{ss}\,ds\,dt + \Xi_0(\alpha_n,\beta_n,\varphi). \tag{65}$$

Due to (57) and (60) we have

$$\|\gamma_n\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^6)} \le C. \tag{66}$$

By Lemma 6 we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma) \to \mathcal{A}(\gamma), \tag{67}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma) \to \mathcal{B}(\gamma), \tag{68}$$
$$\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_n}(\gamma) \to \mathcal{D}(\gamma), \tag{69}$$

Generic positive constants are denoted by C. The symbol S^{n-1} stands for the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $M^+(U)$ and $M^1(U)$ are the spaces of positive finite and probability measures, respectively, on a closed set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. $L^\infty_w(U_1,\mu;\mathcal{M}^1(U))$ is the space of μ-weakly*-measurable maps (cf. [28]) from an open or closed set $U_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ into $M^1(U)$ (the default measure μ on U_1 is the Lebesgue measure).

2.2. Changes of variables and formal transformations. 1. We make an ansatz that $\sigma \ge 0$ (cf. the discussion in Section 2.3). By putting $\kappa := \sigma\,\eta_s$ we get $\sigma = |\kappa|$ and $\eta_s = \frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}$. We can then formally rewrite (1) as

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{tt} = \kappa_s + g, \\ \eta_s = \dfrac{\kappa}{|\kappa|} \end{cases} \quad \underset{(v:=\eta_t)}{\Longleftrightarrow} \quad \begin{cases} v_t = \kappa_s + g, \\ v_s = \left(\dfrac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}\right)_t \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

From (7) we infer that the boundary conditions for κ take the form

$$\kappa(t,0) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \kappa_s(t,1) = -g. \tag{9}$$

The second condition follows from

$$v(t,1) = 0 \tag{10}$$

(the velocity of the fixed end is zero). Note that we can find the initial conditions for κ (and σ) using (2), (3) and first condition in (9). We also observe that

$$\frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}(0,s) = \eta_s(0,s) = \alpha_s(s) \tag{11}$$

and

$$v(0,s) = \eta_t(0,s) = \beta(s). \tag{12}$$

2. If $\alpha(1) = 0$, then by using

$$\eta(t,s) = \alpha(s) + \int_0^t v(r,s)\,dr \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = |\kappa|, \tag{13}$$

we can come back from the "velocity v – contact force κ" formulation (8)–(12) to the original "position η – tension σ" setting (1), (3), (7). We will return to this observation, which is not as trivial as it looks, in Section 2.3.

3. Let $\Upsilon = (v,\kappa) \in \mathbb{R}^6$, and define the map $F : \mathbb{R}^6 \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^6$, $(v,\kappa,t) \mapsto \left(\frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}, v - gt\right)$. Then (8) can be rewritten in the form

$$\Upsilon_s = [F(\Upsilon,t)]_t. \tag{14}$$

This is a system of conservation laws with discontinuous flux F, where s plays the role of time and t plays the role of space.

4. Let us now further define

$$\phi(t,s) := \int_0^t \kappa(z,s)\,dz. \tag{15}$$

From (8) we get

$$\int_0^t v_t\,dt = \int_0^t \kappa_s\,dt + \int_0^t g\,dt$$

which, by (12) and (15), gives

$$v = \phi_s + g\,t + \beta. \tag{16}$$

Together with (8) this leads to

$$\phi_{ss}(t,s) + \beta_s = \left(\frac{\phi_t}{|\phi_t|}\right)_t = \Delta_1\phi(t,s). \tag{17}$$

This is the 1-Laplacian wave equation, which can also be called the *total variation wave equation*. Here, once again, s plays the role of time and t plays the role of space.

The initial/boundary conditions for ϕ are

$$\phi(t,0) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \phi_s(t,1) = -g\,t, \tag{18a}$$

$$\phi(0,s) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \phi_t(0,s) = \kappa(0,s). \tag{18b}$$

5. By the transformation $u = \eta_s\sqrt{\sigma}, v = \eta_t$ we obtain

$$\begin{cases} v_t = \big(u\,|u|\big)_s + g, \\ v_s = \left(\dfrac{u}{|u|}\right)_t \end{cases}$$

Defining $\xi = (v,u)$ we can rewrite this in the form

$$\Phi(\xi)_t = \Psi(\xi)_s + (g,0) \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \Phi(\xi) = \left(v, \dfrac{u}{|u|}\right) \\ \Psi(\xi) = \big(u\,|u|, v\big) \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}: \mathbb{R}^6 \to \mathbb{R}^6$ be the projection $\xi \mapsto (0,u)$.

Inspired by the implicit constitutive theory (cf. [7]), we proceed as follows. We define

$$\Gamma(\xi) := \left(v, \frac{u}{|u|} + u\right).$$

However, the last inequality cannot hold for all k since by Lagrange's mean value theorem we have

$$\sqrt{r_k+\delta} - \sqrt{r_k} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r_k+c_0}}\delta \geq \frac{\delta}{2\sqrt{r_k+\delta}}$$

for some $c_0 \in (0,\delta)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{r_k+\delta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + (r_k+\delta)^2}} &= \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k+(r_k+\delta)^2} - \sqrt{(r_k+\delta)^2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k+(r_k+\delta)^2}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\varepsilon_k}{2\sqrt{(r_k+\delta)^2+c_k}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k+(r_k+\delta)^2}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2(r_k+\delta)^2} \\ &< \frac{\delta}{2\sqrt{r_k+\delta}} \end{aligned}$$

for k large enough and some $c_k \in (0,\varepsilon_k)$. Similarly, one shows that the inequality

$$|H^*_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}(\chi_k) - H^*_0(\chi_k)| \geq \delta$$

cannot hold. ∎

We now return to the proof of the theorem and introduce the functions $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}: \mathbb{R}^6 \to \mathbb{R}^6$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\gamma) &= \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(v,w) = (v, w - H^*_\varepsilon(w)), \\ \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\gamma) &= (H_\varepsilon(w), v), \\ \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(\gamma) &= (0, H_\varepsilon(w)), \\ \mathcal{D}(\gamma) &= (0, H_0(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\gamma_n = (v_n, w_n)$. Then, (61) and (62) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n})_t(\gamma_n) + \varepsilon_n(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_n})_t(\gamma_n) = \\ &\qquad = (\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_n})_s(\gamma_n) + \varepsilon_n(\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n})_{ss}(\gamma_n) + \varepsilon_n^2(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_n})_{ss}(\gamma_n) + (g,0). \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Moreover, by (61) and (62), the initial and boundary conditions (40c) - (40h), and the restriction $\alpha_n(1) = 0$, we find that for any $\varphi = (\phi,\psi) \in \tilde{C}^\infty(\Omega)$ we

Proof: Suppose there exists sequences ε_{n_k} and χ_k such that

$$|H_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}(\chi_k) - H_0(\chi_k)| \geq \delta$$

for some $\delta > 0$. In the sequel we write ε_k instead of ε_{n_k}. Due to the above inequality, we get

$$|h^{-1}_{\varepsilon_k}(|\chi_k|) - h_0^{-1}(|\chi_k|)| \geq \delta. \tag{63}$$

Without loss of generality, there exists $\overline{\chi} = \lim_{k\to\infty} |\chi_k|$, which can be equal to $+\infty$. Assume first that $\overline{\chi} \leq 1$. Then $h_0^{-1}(\overline{\chi}) = 0$, and, since $h_0^{-1}(|\chi_k|)$ is non-negative, we must have $d_k := h^{-1}_{\varepsilon_k}(|\chi_k|) \geq \delta$ for k large enough. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_k| = h_{\varepsilon_k}(d_k) &= \frac{d_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + d_k^2}} + \sqrt{d_k} \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + \delta^2}} + \sqrt{\delta} \to 1 + \delta \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption $\overline{\chi} \leq 1$. Now, consider the case $\overline{\chi} > 1$. Then without loss of generality $|\chi_k| > 1$ for all k. Denote $r_k = h_0^{-1}(|\chi_k|)$. Then, there exist numbers k_l for $l = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that either $r_{k_l} \geq d_{k_l}$ or $r_{k_l} \leq d_{k_l}$ for all l. To simplify the notation, we write r_k and d_k instead of r_{k_l} and d_{k_l}. Due to (63) we either have $r_k \geq d_k + \delta$ or $d_k \geq r_k + \delta$. In the first case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + d_k^2}} + \sqrt{d_k} = 1 + \sqrt{r_k} &\geq 1 + \sqrt{d_k + \delta} \\ &\geq \frac{d_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + d_k^2}} + \sqrt{d_k + \delta} \\ &> \frac{d_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + d_k^2}} + \sqrt{d_k} \end{aligned}$$

which gives a contradiction. In the second case we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sqrt{r_k} &= \frac{d_k}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + d_k^2}} + \sqrt{d_k} \\ &\geq \frac{r_k + \delta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k + (r_k + \delta)^2}} + \sqrt{r_k + \delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $\gamma = (v, w)$, we consider

$$\Gamma^{-1}(\gamma) = (v, \mathcal{M}(w)),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(w) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for} \quad |w| \leq 1, \\ w - \frac{w}{|w|} & \text{for} \quad |w| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Taking the derivative of $\Phi(\xi)$ with respect to time, we find

$$\Gamma(\xi)_t - \mathcal{P}\xi_t = \Psi(\xi)_s + (g, 0),$$

whence

$$\Gamma(\Gamma^{-1}(\gamma))_t - [\mathcal{P}(\Gamma^{-1}(\gamma))]_t = \Psi(\Gamma^{-1}(\gamma))_s + (g, 0).$$

We formally conclude that

$$\gamma_t - [\mathcal{P}(\Gamma^{-1}(\gamma))]_t = \Psi(\Gamma^{-1}(\gamma))_s + (g, 0).$$

Defining

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(\gamma) = \gamma - \mathcal{P}(\Gamma^{-1}(\gamma)), \\ \mathcal{B}(\gamma) = \Psi(\Gamma^{-1}(\gamma)) \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}(\gamma)_t = \mathcal{B}(\gamma)_s + (g, 0). \tag{19}$$

By the above analysis, we have killed the discontinuity since $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are both continuous with $\mathcal{A}$ being sublinear and $\mathcal{B}$ having at most quadratic growth. We will need to perform the same trick amid the weak formulation of our problem in Section 3.2.

2.3. The equation for the tension. From the first system in (8) we get

$$\eta_s\, \eta_{tt} = \frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}(\kappa_s + g) = |\kappa|_s + g\eta_s = \sigma_s + g\eta_s. \tag{20}$$

Differentiating the equation $|\eta_s|^2 = 1$ twice with respect to time we obtain

$$\eta_s\, \eta_{stt} + \eta_{st}\, \eta_{st} = 0.$$

Due to (20),

$$\eta_{ss}\, \eta_{tt} + \eta_s\, \eta_{stt} = \sigma_{ss} + g\eta_{ss}.$$

Combining these two equations we get

$$\sigma_{ss} - (\eta_{tt} - g)\eta_{ss} + |\eta_{st}|^2 = 0.$$

Expressing $(\eta_{tt} - g)$ by (1) gives

$$\sigma_{ss} - \sigma_s\, \eta_s\, \eta_{ss} - \sigma\eta_{ss}\eta_{ss} + |\eta_{st}|^2 = 0.$$

Differentiating $|\eta_s|^2 = 1$ with respect to s shows that the second term in the above equation vanishes. Hence we end up with

$$\sigma_{ss} - |\eta_{ss}|^2 \sigma + |\eta_{st}|^2 = 0. \tag{21}$$

Proposition 1. *Assume that one of the following assumptions holds;*
(i) *the boundary condition is* (5) *or* (6)*;*
(ii) *the boundary condition is* (7) *and* $g = 0$*;*
(iii) *the boundary condition is* (4)*,* $|\alpha(0) - \alpha(1)| < 1$ *and* $g = 0$.
Then $\sigma \geq 0$ *for all times.*

Proof: Assume that, for some t, the minimum of $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ is negative. Note that from (21) we have

$$\sigma |\eta_{ss}|^2 - \sigma_{ss} \geq 0.$$

By the maximum principle [22], either $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ is a negative constant, or the minimum is achieved at $s = 0$ or 1.

The first alternative is impossible for (5) and (7), and in the remaining cases it implies $|\eta_{ss}(t, \cdot)| \equiv 0$, so the string should be straight, and thus

$$|\eta(t, 0) - \eta(t, 1)| = 1.$$

This obviously contradicts (6), whereas (4) would yield $|\alpha(0) - \alpha(1)| = 1$.

The second alternative can only hold [22] provided $\sigma_s(t, 0) > 0$ (if the minimum is at zero) or $\sigma_s(t, 1) < 0$ (if the minimum is at one). This immediately rules out the periodic case, so the negative minimum can only be achieved at fixed ends. But (20) implies that at such points $\sigma_s = -g\eta_s$, and we again arrive at a contradiction. ∎

This proof implies that, for the "whip" boundary condition (7), instead of assuming that the gravity is zero, it suffices to know a priori that $g\eta_s(t, 1) \leq 0$, whereas, for two fixed ends (4), it suffices to know a priori that $g\eta_s(t, 0) \geq 0$ and $g\eta_s(t, 1) \leq 0$. We believe that there exist much weaker hypotheses which guarantee non-negativity of the tension. Our conjecture is that, for both (4) and (7), if $\sigma_0(s) := \sigma(0, s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$, then $\sigma \geq 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. Remember that σ_0 is determined by α, β and the boundary conditions. For example, in the "whip" case (7) it is the solution of the problem

$$(\sigma_0)_{ss} - |\alpha_{ss}|^2 \sigma_0 + |\beta_s|^2 = 0, \;\; \sigma_0(0) = 0, \;\; (\sigma_0)_s(1) = -g\alpha_s(1). \tag{22}$$

Then (v_n, κ_n) where $\kappa_n = G(\tau_n)$ is a smooth solution to (40) with $\epsilon = \varepsilon_n$, $\alpha = \alpha_n$, and $\beta = \beta_n$. The uniform energy and tension bounds imply

$$\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(0,1))} \leq C, \tag{57}$$
$$\|\kappa_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C. \tag{58}$$

Let

$$w_n = \frac{\kappa_n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n + |\kappa_n|^2}} + \frac{\kappa_n}{\sqrt{|\kappa_n|}}. \tag{59}$$

Then

$$\|w_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C. \tag{60}$$

Consider the function $h_{\varepsilon_n} \colon \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ defined as

$$h_{\varepsilon_n}(r) = \frac{r}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n + r^2}} + \sqrt{r}.$$

We can easily check that this function is strictly increasing. Thus, there exists the inverse function $h_{\varepsilon_n}^{-1} \colon \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ which is continuous. Observe that $h_{\varepsilon_n}^{-1}(0) = 0$. Let us introduce the functions $H_{\varepsilon_n}, H_{\varepsilon_n}^* \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$H_{\varepsilon_n}(\chi) = \frac{\chi}{|\chi|} h_{\varepsilon_n}^{-1}(|\chi|), \quad H_{\varepsilon_n}^*(\chi) = \frac{\chi}{|\chi|} \sqrt{h_{\varepsilon_n}^{-1}(|\chi|)}, \quad H_{\varepsilon_n}(0) = H_{\varepsilon_n}^*(0) = 0.$$

Observe that these functions are continuous at zero (in fact everywhere). From (59) we find that

$$\kappa_n = H_{\varepsilon_n}(w_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\kappa_n}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n + |\kappa|^2}} = w_n - H_{\varepsilon_n}^*(w_n).$$

Now, (40a) and (40b) imply

$$(v_n)_t = \varepsilon_n (v_n)_{ss} + (H_{\varepsilon_n}(w_n))_s + g, \tag{61}$$

and

$$(v_n)_s = (\varepsilon_n H_{\varepsilon_n}(w_n) + w_n - H_{\varepsilon_n}^*(w_n))_t - \varepsilon_n (\varepsilon_n H_{\varepsilon_n}(w_n) + w_n - H_{\varepsilon_n}^*(w_n))_{ss}. \tag{62}$$

We need the following result to proceed.

Lemma 6. *We have*

$$H_{\varepsilon_n}(\chi) \to H_0(\chi), \quad H_{\varepsilon_n}^*(\chi) \to H_0^*(\chi)$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^3$.

as in (54). Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T I_6(t)\,dt &= \epsilon\int_0^T\int_0^1 g\left[\int_0^t \tau_s(r,s)\,dr\right]\,ds\,dt\\ &= -\epsilon\int_0^T\int_0^1 (t-T)g\tau_s\,ds\,dt\\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 |(t-T)g|^2\,ds\,dt+\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1|\tau_s|^2\,ds\,dt\\ &\le C+C\epsilon^2(1+\epsilon^{-3/2}).\end{aligned}\tag{55}$$

Therefore, from (53) we conclude that

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1 G(\tau)\tau\,ds\le C,$$

whence

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1\kappa\left(\epsilon\kappa+\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2}}\right)\,ds\,dt\le C.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega|\kappa(t,s)|\,ds\,dt &\le C+\int_{\Omega,\ |\kappa|\ge1}|\kappa|\,ds\,dt\\ &\le C+\int_{\Omega,\ |\kappa|\ge1}(\epsilon+(1+\epsilon)^{-1/2})|\kappa|\,ds\,dt\\ &\le C+\int_{\Omega,\ |\kappa|\ge1}\left(\epsilon|\kappa|+\frac{|\kappa|}{\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2}}\right)|\kappa|\,ds\,dt\\ &\le C+\int_\Omega\kappa\left(\epsilon\kappa+\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2}}\right)\,ds\,dt\le C.\end{aligned}\tag{56}$$

5. Existence of the Young measure solution

Theorem 5. *Given a pair $\alpha\in Lip_1([0,1];\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\beta\in L^2(0,1;\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\alpha(1)=0$, there exists a Young measure solution to (28).*

Proof: Take any sequence $\varepsilon_n\to0$. The data (α,β) can be approximated in $L^2(0,1;\mathbb{R}^6)$ by a sequence of C^3-functions (α_n,β_n) such that $|(\alpha_n)_s(s)|\le1$, $(\alpha_n)_s(0)=0$, $(\alpha_n)_{ss}(1)=0$, $\alpha_n(1)=0$, $(\beta_n)_s(0)=0$, $\beta_n(1)=0$. By Theorem 4 there exist smooth solutions (v_n,τ_n) to (42) with $\epsilon=\varepsilon_n$, $\alpha=\alpha_n$, $\beta=\beta_n$.

However, for non-zero gravity, σ can be negative at the initial moment of time and even for all times: for instance, (1) has an unstable stationary solution

$$\eta_u(s)=\alpha_u(s)=(s-1)\frac{g}{|g|},\ \sigma_u(s)=-|g|s,\tag{23}$$

which satisfies both (4) and (7).

Nevertheless, our ansatz $\sigma\ge0$ seems to be meaningful even for such "unstable" problems as (1), (3), (7) with the initial data

$$\alpha=\alpha_u,\ \beta=0.\tag{24}$$

There exist objects which can be interpreted as generalized solutions to these problems with non-negative tension.

Indeed, our Theorem 5 provides existence of a generalized solution to problem (28). But as we have seen in Section 2.2, any solution to (28) formally generates a solution to (1), (3), (7) with non-negative tension. In particular, in the case of the initial data (24), this observation yields existence of a generalized solution different from the stationary solution (23). This can be relevant in connection with the problem of falling of a string (or chain) which is initially in an upright position and then its upper end is released and the lower one remains fixed. Note that there exist many physical and mechanical works dealing with a related problem of falling of a chain which initially has two ends together and then one of them is released (see [30] for a review).

2.4. Conservation of energy. We define the kinetic and potential energies as

$$K(t)=\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1|\eta_t|^2\,ds\quad\text{and}\quad P(t)=-\int_0^1 g\,\eta\,ds.\tag{25}$$

Proposition 2. *The total energy $E(t):=K(t)+P(t)$ is conserved.*

Proof: From (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 |\eta_t|^2\,ds &= \int_0^1 \eta_{tt}\,\eta_t\,ds = \int_0^1 \kappa_s\,\eta_t\,ds + \int_0^1 g\,\eta_t\,ds\\ &= \big(\eta_t\,\kappa\big)_{s=0}^{s=1} - \int_0^1 \eta_{st}\,\kappa\,ds + \int_0^1 g\,\eta_t\,ds\\ &= \eta_t(t,1)\,\kappa(t,1) - \eta_t(t,0)\,\kappa(t,0) - \int_0^1 \eta_{st}\,\kappa\,ds + \int_0^1 g\,\eta_t\,ds\\ &= -\int_0^1 \eta_{st}\,\kappa\,ds + \int_0^1 g\,\eta_t\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

However, we have

$$1 = |\eta_s|^2 = \left|\frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}\right|^2.$$

Differentiating with respect to time gives

$$0 = \left(\frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}\right)_t \frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|} = \eta_{st}\frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta_{st}\,\kappa = 0.$$

This, by (25), proves that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big(K(t)+P(t)\big) = \int_0^1 g\,\eta_t\,ds - \int_0^1 g\,\eta_t\,ds = 0$$

as required. ∎

In the absence of the gravity, as also mentioned in [14], the energy of the whip is entirely kinetic due to the fact that it cannot stretch or compress and hence cannot store energy. In this case from Proposition 2 we obtain that

$$\int_0^1 |\eta_t(t,s)|^2\,ds = \int_0^1 |\eta_t(0,s)|^2\,ds = 2E(0),\ t>0.$$

In the general case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\eta_t(t,s)|^2\,ds &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\eta_t(0,s)|^2\,ds + \int_0^1 g(\eta-\alpha)\,ds\\ &= E(0) + \int_0^1 g\eta\,ds. \qquad (26)\end{aligned}$$

The time integral of the first integral is

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T I_1(t)\,dt &= -\epsilon\int_0^T\int_0^1 v_t\left[\int_0^t \tau_s(r,s)\,dr\right]ds\,dt\\ &= -\epsilon\int_0^1 v\Big|_{t=T}\left[\int_0^T \tau_s(r,s)\,dr\right]ds + \epsilon\int_0^T\int_0^1 v\tau_s\,ds\,dt\\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |v|^2\Big|_{t=T}ds + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\int_0^1\left[\int_0^T \tau_s(r,s)\,dr\right]^2 ds\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 |v|^2\,ds\,dt + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 |\tau_s|^2\,ds\,dt. \qquad (54)\end{aligned}$$

The first and third terms are bounded by the energy estimate (47), and the second and the fourth ones are bounded by $C\epsilon^2(1+\epsilon^{-3/2})$ due to (48).

For the second integral in (53) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T I_2(t)\,dt &= -\int_0^T\int_0^1 \left(\frac{|\eta|^2}{2}\right)_{tt} ds\,dt\\ &= -\int_0^1 \left(\frac{|\eta|^2}{2}\right)_t\Big|_{t=T} ds + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{|\eta|^2}{2}\right)_t\Big|_{t=0} ds\\ &= -\int_0^1 \eta\eta_t\Big|_{t=T} ds + \int_0^1 \alpha\beta\,ds\\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\eta_t|^2\Big|_{t=T} ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\eta|^2\Big|_{t=T} ds + \int_0^1 \alpha\beta\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

Here, the first integral is bounded by (47); the second integral is bounded since the linear operator $v\mapsto\eta$, i.e., $v(t)\mapsto\alpha(t)+\int_0^t v(r)\,dr$, is bounded in the Banach space $L^\infty(0,T;L^2(0,1;\mathbb{R}^3))$; the third integral is bounded due to (44).

Continuing from (53), I_3 and I_5 are bounded by the energy bound (47), and

$$\int_0^T I_4(t)\,dt = \epsilon\int_0^T\int_0^1 v\tau_s\,ds\,dt \le C + C\epsilon^2(1+\epsilon^{-3/2})$$

4.3. Estimate for the tension. The estimate obtained in this subsection, together with the one for kinetic energy, is crucial for the rest of the analysis. We let

$$\zeta(t,s) = \int_1^s \tau(t,w)\,dw. \tag{49}$$

From (42b) we find

$$\tau(t,s) = \eta_s(t,s) + \epsilon \int_0^t \tau_{ss}(r,s)\,dr.$$

Consequently,

$$\zeta(t,s) = \eta(t,s) + \epsilon \int_0^t \tau_s(r,s)\,dr. \tag{50}$$

From (46) we get

$$(|\eta|^2)_{tt} = 2\eta_{tt}\eta + 2\eta_t\eta_t = 2v_t\eta + 2|v|^2, \tag{51}$$

and from (42a) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 v_t\zeta\,ds &= \epsilon\int_0^1 v_{ss}\zeta\,ds + \int_0^1 (G(\tau))_s\zeta\,ds + \int_0^1 g\zeta\,ds\\ &= -\epsilon\int_0^1 v_s\tau\,ds - \int_0^1 G(\tau)\tau\,ds + \int_0^1 g\zeta\,ds.\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Combining (49) – (52), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 G(\tau)\tau\,ds &= -\epsilon\int_0^1 v_t\left[\int_0^t \tau_s(r,s)\,dr\right]ds - \int_0^1\left(\frac{|\eta|^2}{2}\right)_{tt}ds + \int_0^1 |v|^2\,ds\\ &\quad -\epsilon\int_0^1 v_s\tau\,ds + \int_0^1 g\eta\,ds + \epsilon\int_0^1 g\left[\int_0^t \tau_s(r,s)\,dr\right]ds\\ &=: I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t) + I_4(t) + I_5(t) + I_6(t).\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

If the initial energy is finite, with the help of Grönwall's lemma (26) implies

$$\int_0^1 |\eta_t(t,s)|^2\,ds \le C,\ \ t\in[0,T]. \tag{27}$$

(cf. the reasoning in Section 4.2). When at least one end is fixed, the potential energy is a priori bounded because of $|\eta_s| = 1$, and thus C in (27) does not depend on T.

3. Setting in the context of Young measures

3.1. Introduction. We will essentially follow [29] for a basic introduction to the generalized Young measures.

Let $m, l, d \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1,+\infty)$, $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be an open set. We define $\mathcal{F}_p$ as the collection of continuous functions $f\colon \Gamma\times\mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}^d$ for which the limit

$$f^\infty(x,z) := \lim_{\substack{x'\to x\\ z'\to z\\ s\to\infty}} \frac{f(x',sz')}{s^p}$$

exists for all $(x,z) \in \overline{\Gamma}\times\mathbb{R}^l$ and is continuous in (x,z). The function f^∞ is called the *L^p-recession function* of f. Note that it is p-homogeneous in z, i.e., $f^\infty(x,rz) = r^p f^\infty(x,z)$ for all $r \ge 0$.

A generalized Young measure on $\mathbb{R}^l$ with parameters in Γ is defined as a triple (ν,λ,ν^∞) such that

$$\begin{gathered}\nu \in L^\infty_w(\Gamma;\mathcal{M}^1(\mathbb{R}^l)),\\ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}^+(\overline{\Gamma}),\\ \nu^\infty \in L^\infty_w(\overline{\Gamma},\lambda;\mathcal{M}^1(S^{l-1})).\end{gathered}$$

Note that ν is defined Lebesgue-a.e. on Γ, and ν^∞ is defined λ-a.e. on $\overline{\Gamma}$; ν is called the *oscillation measure*, λ is the *concentration measure* and ν^∞ is the *concentration-angle measure*.

Now, we can state the fundamental theorem on generalized Young measures (see [1, 15, 18, 29]):

Theorem 3. *Let $\{w_n\} \subset L^p(\Gamma;\mathbb{R}^l)$ be an L^p-bounded sequence of maps. Then there exists a subsequence (not relabeled) and a generalized Young measure (ν,λ,ν^∞) such that, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_p$,*

$$\int_\Gamma f(x,w_n(x))dx \to \int_\Gamma \langle\nu_x, f(x,\xi)\rangle dx + \int_{\overline{\Gamma}}\langle\nu^\infty_x, f^\infty(x,\theta)\rangle\lambda(dx),$$

where

$$\langle \nu_x, f(x,\xi)\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^l} f(x,\xi)\nu_x(d\xi),\ \ \langle \nu_x^\infty, f^\infty(x,\theta)\rangle = \int_{S^{l-1}} f^\infty(x,\theta)\nu^\infty(d\theta).$$

Moreover, we then have that $\int_\Gamma \langle \nu_x, |\xi|^p\rangle dx < +\infty$.

3.2. Weak setting of the incompressible string problem. Consider the problem of finding a velocity field v and a contact force κ, which was derived in Subsection 2.2 from the original problem (1), (3), (7):

$$v_t = \kappa_s + g, \tag{28a}$$
$$v_s = \left(\frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}\right)_t, \tag{28b}$$
$$\kappa|_{s=0} = 0, \tag{28c}$$
$$\frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}\Big|_{t=0} = \alpha_s, \tag{28d}$$
$$v|_{s=1} = 0, \tag{28e}$$
$$v|_{t=0} = \beta. \tag{28f}$$

Let us define the auxiliary function $h_0\colon \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ with $h_0(r) = 1+\sqrt{r}$. Then we have

$$h_0^{-1}(r) = (r-1)^2,\ r \geq 1,$$

and we can continue h_0^{-1} by zero for $r \leq 1$. We also define $H_0, H_0^*\colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$H_0(\chi) = \frac{\chi}{|\chi|}h_0^{-1}(|\chi|),\quad H_0(0) = 0,\quad H_0^*(\chi) = \frac{\chi}{|\chi|}\sqrt{h_0^{-1}(|\chi|)},\quad H_0^*(0) = 0.$$

Let

$$w = \frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|} + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{|\kappa|}} = h_0(|\kappa|)\frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}.$$

Then

$$\kappa = H_0(w),\ \frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|} = \frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|} + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{|\kappa|}} - \frac{w}{|w|}\sqrt{|\kappa|} = w - \frac{w}{|w|}\sqrt{h_0^{-1}(|w|)} = w - H_0^*(w),$$

so we can rewrite (28a) and (28b) as

$$v_t = (H_0(w))_s + g, \tag{29a}$$
$$v_s = (w - H_0^*(w))_t\,. \tag{29b}$$

and define the energy as

$$E_\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |v|^2\,ds - \int_0^1 g\eta\,ds + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\int_0^1 |\kappa|^2\,ds + \sqrt{\epsilon} - \epsilon\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2}}\,ds + \epsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \nabla G(\tau)\tau_s\tau_s\,ds\,dt.$$

Then (45) yields

$$(E_\epsilon)_t = -\epsilon\int_0^1 v_s v_s\,ds \leq 0.$$

The initial energy

$$E_\epsilon(0) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\beta|^2\,ds - \int_0^1 g\alpha\,ds + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\int_0^1 |G(\alpha_s)|^2\,ds + \sqrt{\epsilon} - \epsilon\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon+|G(\alpha_s)|^2}}\,ds.$$

is bounded due to (41), (43), (44). Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |v|^2\,ds + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\int_0^1 |\kappa|^2\,ds + \epsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \nabla G(\tau)\tau_s\tau_s\,ds\,dt \leq C + \int_0^1 g\eta\,ds \leq C. \tag{47}$$

Note that the second inequality follows from the first one and the Grönwall lemma since

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 g\eta\,ds = \int_0^1 gv\,ds \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |v|^2\,ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |g|^2\,ds \leq \int_0^1 g\eta\,ds + C.$$

Finally, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{1+\epsilon^{-3/2}}\int_0^T\int_0^1 |\tau_s|^2\,ds\,dt \leq \epsilon\int_0^T\int_0^1 \Lambda_1(\tau)|\tau_s|^2\,ds\,dt \leq \epsilon\int_0^T\int_0^1 \nabla G(\tau)\tau_s\tau_s\,ds\,dt \leq C. \tag{48}$$

Multiplying (42a) by v and integrating with respect to s gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 v_t v\,ds &= \epsilon\int_0^1 v_{ss}v\,ds+\int_0^1 (G(\tau))_s v\,ds+\int_0^1 gv\,ds\\ &= -\epsilon\int_0^1 v_s v_s\,ds-\int_0^1 G(\tau)v_s\,ds+\int_0^1 gv\,ds\\ &= -\epsilon\int_0^1 v_s v_s\,ds+\epsilon\int_0^1 G(\tau)\tau_{ss}\,ds-\int_0^1 G(\tau)\tau_t\,ds+\int_0^1 gv\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}-\epsilon\int_0^1 v_s v_s\,ds &= \int_0^1 v_t v\,ds-\int_0^1 gv\,ds\\ &\quad+\epsilon\int_0^1 \nabla G(\tau)\tau_s\tau_s\,ds+\int_0^1 G(\tau)\tau_t\,ds.\end{aligned}\tag{45}$$

Considering the last term,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 G(\tau)\tau_t\,ds &= \int_0^1 \kappa\left(\epsilon\kappa+\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2}}\right)_t ds\\ &= \epsilon\int_0^1 \kappa\kappa_t\,ds+\int_0^1 \kappa\left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2}}\right)_t ds\\ &= \epsilon\int_0^1 \kappa\kappa_t d\,s+\int_0^1 \kappa\frac{\kappa_t}{\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2}}ds-\int_0^1 \frac{|\kappa|^2\kappa}{(\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2})^3}\kappa_t\,ds\\ &= \epsilon\int_0^1 \kappa\kappa_t ds+\epsilon\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa\kappa_t}{(\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2})^3}\,ds\\ &= \epsilon\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1\Big(\frac{|\kappa|^2}{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2}}\Big)\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\eta(t,s)=\alpha(s)+\int_0^t v(r,s)\,dr\tag{46}$$

Define the space $\tilde{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ of test functions to be the set of pairs $\varphi=(\phi,\psi)$, $\phi,\psi\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega};\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\phi|_{s=1}=0,\quad \phi_s|_{s=0}=0,\quad \phi|_{t=T}=0,\tag{30a}$$
$$\psi|_{s=0}=0,\quad \psi_s|_{s=1}=0,\quad \psi|_{t=T}=0.\tag{30b}$$

Take any $\varphi=(\phi,\psi)\in\tilde{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$. Multiplying (28a) (or (29a)) by ϕ and integrating in space and time gives

$$\int_\Omega v\phi_t\,ds\,dt=\int_\Omega H_0(w)\phi_s\,ds\,dt-\int_0^1\beta\phi|_{t=0}\,ds-\int_\Omega g\phi\,ds\,dt.\tag{31}$$

Doing the same with (28b) (or (29b)) and ψ gives

$$\int_\Omega[w-H_0^*(w)]\psi_t\,ds\,dt=\int_\Omega v\psi_s\,ds\,dt+\int_0^1\alpha\psi_s|_{t=0}\,ds.\tag{32}$$

Observe that we have taken into account (28c) - (28f), and the setting (31) - (32) already incorporates the initial and boundary conditions. We also used the assumption $\alpha(1)=0$.

Denote $\gamma=(v,w)\in\mathbb{R}^6$, and define functions $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}\colon\mathbb{R}^6\to\mathbb{R}^6$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(\gamma)=\mathcal{A}(v,w)=(v,w-H_0^*(w)),\tag{33}$$
$$\mathcal{B}(\gamma)=\mathcal{B}(v,w)=(H_0(w),v),\tag{34}$$

and also the operator

$$\Xi_0(\alpha,\beta,\varphi)=\Xi_0(\alpha,\beta,\phi,\psi)=-\int_0^1\beta\phi|_{t=0}\,ds+\int_0^1\alpha\psi_s|_{t=0}\,ds-\int_\Omega g\phi\,ds\,dt.$$

Then (31) and (32) can be merged to get

$$\int_\Omega\mathcal{A}(\gamma)\varphi_t\,ds\,dt=\int_\Omega\mathcal{B}(\gamma)\varphi_s\,ds\,dt+\Xi_0(\alpha,\beta,\varphi).\tag{35}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are in the class $\mathcal{F}_2$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{A}$ is sublinear, $\mathcal{A}^\infty\equiv 0$, whereas it can be checked that $\mathcal{B}^\infty(v,w)=(w|w|,0)$.

These considerations and analogy with [6, 15, 28, 29] suggest:

Definition 1. *A triple* $(\nu, \lambda, \nu^\infty)$ *with*

$$\nu \in L^\infty_w(\Omega; \mathcal{M}^1(\mathbb{R}^6)), \int_\Omega \langle \nu_{t,s}, |\xi|^2 \rangle \, ds\, dt < +\infty, \tag{36}$$

$$\lambda \in \mathcal{M}^+(\overline{\Omega}), \tag{37}$$

$$\nu^\infty \in L^\infty_w(\overline{\Omega}, \lambda; \mathcal{M}^1(S^5)), \tag{38}$$

is a Young measure solution *to* (28) *provided*

$$\int_\Omega \langle \nu_{t,s}, \mathcal{A}(\xi)\rangle \varphi_t(t,s)\, ds\, dt = \int_\Omega \langle \nu_{t,s}, \mathcal{B}(\xi)\rangle \varphi_s(t,s)\, ds\, dt + \int_{\overline{\Omega}} \langle \nu^\infty_{t,s}, \mathcal{B}^\infty(\theta)\rangle \varphi_s(t,s) \lambda(dt, ds) + \Xi_0(\alpha, \beta, \varphi) \tag{39}$$

for every $\varphi \in \tilde{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$.

4. Well-posedness and uniform bounds for the approximate problem

4.1. Global regularity. Let $\epsilon \in (0,1]$ be a constant and consider the problem

$$v_t = \epsilon v_{ss} + \kappa_s + g, \tag{40a}$$

$$v_s = \left(\epsilon\kappa + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\epsilon + |\kappa|^2}}\right)_t - \epsilon \left(\epsilon\kappa + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\epsilon + |\kappa|^2}}\right)_{ss}, \tag{40b}$$

$$\kappa|_{s=0} = 0, \tag{40c}$$

$$\left(\epsilon\kappa + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\epsilon + |\kappa|^2}}\right)\Bigg|_{t=0} = \alpha_s, \tag{40d}$$

$$v|_{s=1} = 0, \tag{40e}$$

$$v|_{t=0} = \beta, \tag{40f}$$

$$\left(\epsilon\kappa + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\epsilon + |\kappa|^2}}\right)_s\Bigg|_{s=1} = 0, \tag{40g}$$

$$v_s|_{s=0} = 0. \tag{40h}$$

Denote $\tau = \epsilon\kappa + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\epsilon+|\kappa|^2}}$. Then, $\kappa = G(\tau)$, where G is a function with positive-semidefinite Jacobian matrix, and $G(0) = 0$. Moreover, observe that the eigenvalues of $\nabla G^{-1}(\kappa)$ are $\epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{(\epsilon+|\kappa|^2)^{3/2}}$ and $\epsilon + \frac{1}{(\epsilon+|\kappa|^2)^{1/2}}$. Thus, the eigenvalues of $\nabla G(\tau)$ are

$$\Lambda_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{\epsilon + (\epsilon + |G(\tau)|^2)^{-1/2}} \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon + \epsilon^{-1/2}}$$

and

$$\Lambda_2(\tau) = \frac{\epsilon^{-1}}{1 + (\epsilon + |G(\tau)|^2)^{-3/2}} \leq \epsilon^{-1}.$$

Observe also that

$$|\kappa| \geq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\tau| \geq \epsilon + (1+\epsilon)^{-1/2} > 1,$$

and, consequently,

$$|\tau| \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |G(\tau)| < 1. \tag{41}$$

We can rewrite the problem (40) as

$$v_t = \epsilon v_{ss} + (G(\tau))_s + g, \tag{42a}$$

$$\tau_t = v_s + \epsilon \tau_{ss}, \tag{42b}$$

$$\tau|_{s=0} = 0, \quad \tau_s|_{s=1} = 0, \tag{42c}$$

$$v|_{s=1} = 0, \quad v_s|_{s=0} = 0, \tag{42d}$$

$$\tau|_{t=0} = \alpha_s, \quad v|_{t=0} = \beta. \tag{42e}$$

Theorem 4. *Let* $\alpha, \beta \in C^3([0,1]; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\alpha_s(0) = 0$, $\alpha_{ss}(1) = 0$, $\beta_s(0) = 0$, $\beta(1) = 0$. *Then there exists a unique solution* (v, τ) *to* (42) *in the class* $C^\infty((0,T] \times [0,1]; \mathbb{R}^6) \times C(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^6)$.

Proof: *(Sketch)* The term $(G(\tau))_s$ can be written as $\nabla G(\tau)\tau_s = \tilde{G}(t,x)\tau_s$, where $\tilde{G}$ is a bounded matrix-valued function. By [23, Theorem 2] we obtain an L^∞-bound on the solution. The result follows from [2, Theorems 14.6 and 15.5, Corollary 14.7]. ∎

4.2. Uniform energy estimates. Hereafter in Section 4 we assume that

$$|\alpha_s(s)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1, \tag{43}$$

that

$$\alpha|_{s=1} = 0,$$

and that there is a constant C_* such that

$$\int_0^1 |\alpha|^2(s)\, ds + \int_0^1 |\beta|^2(s)\, ds \leq C_*. \tag{44}$$