# Lecture 4

# Multigrid

New Directions in Mathematics

Adérito Araújo (alma@mat.uc.pt) April 12, 2024

# **Defect Correction**

• Let Ax = b,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  nonsingular, and  $x^{(0)} \simeq x$  with residual

$$r^{(0)} = b - Ax^{(0)} \neq 0$$

Goal: try to improve the accuracy by writing

$$x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} + \delta^{(0)}$$

• If the defect correction  $\delta^{(0)}$  is computed by

$$A\delta^{(0)}=r^{(0)},$$

then  $x^{(1)} = x$ 

• Defect correction princilpe: let  $A_{approx}^{-1} \simeq A^{-1}$  and consider

$$x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} + \delta^{(0)}, \quad ext{with } \delta^{(0)} = A_{approx}^{-1} r^{(0)}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めへぐ

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



#### Two-Grid Method: Coarse and Fine Grids

Idea: use the defect correction principle with

$$A_{approx}^{-1} = A_{coarse}^{-1} \simeq A_{fine}^{-1} = A^{-1}$$

- What we need: transfer vectors corresponding to the fine grid to vectors corresponding to the coarse grid and vice-versa
- Applications
  - Improve the accuracy of an approximate solution obtained for example by Gaussian elimination
  - Develop fast iterative solutions of linear system arising in the discretization of differential and integral equations

## Example: Elliptic Equation (1D)

Boundary value problem:

$$-u_{xx} = f$$
,  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ 

Discretizing the problem (FDM)

$$A_h u_h = f_h,$$

with

$$A_{h} = \frac{1}{h^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad h = \frac{1}{n+1},$$

and 
$$f_{h,j} = f(x_j)$$
,  $u_{h,j} \simeq u(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, ..., n$ , on the grid  

$$0 = x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_n < x_{n+1} = 1, \quad x_j = jh$$

# Example: Elliptic Equation (1D)

Solve the linear system by the JOR method (see: Lecture 1)

$$u_h^{(k+1)} = u_h^{(k)} + \omega D_h^{-1}(\underbrace{f_h - A_h u_h^{(k)}}_{\text{residual}}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

• Exercise 4.1: Prove that the eigenvalues of  $I - \omega D_h^{-1} A_h$  are

$$\lambda_{h,j} = 1 - 2\omega \sin^2 \frac{\pi j h}{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and the corresponding eigenvectures are

$$\mathbf{v}_{h,j} = [\sin(\pi j h), \dots, \sin(\pi n j h)]^T, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

that form an orthogonal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めぬぐ

### Choice of the Damping Factor

From Theorem 1.7

$$\omega_{opt} = \frac{2}{2 - \lambda_{h,max} - \lambda_{h,min}} \Rightarrow \omega_{opt} \simeq 1$$

- Use Damped/Underrelaxed Jacobi Iteration (DJI) (i.e, JOR with 0 < ω < 1)</li>
- DJI with w = 0.5 is a smoothing iteration
  - Since  $v_j$  is an orthogonal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the error satisfies

$$u_h - u_h^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{(k)} v_{h,j}$$

and it may proven that  $\alpha_j^{(k+1)} = \lambda_{h,j} \alpha_j^{(k)}$ 

• Exercise 4.2: Prove that, for  $\omega = 0.5$ , we have

$$\left|\alpha_{j}^{(k+1)}\right| = \cos^{2}\frac{\pi jh}{2}\left|\alpha_{j}^{(k)}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left|\alpha_{j}^{(k)}\right|, \quad j = \underbrace{(n+1)/2, \dots, n}_{\text{high frequencies}}.$$

Ð,

590

Fast convergence for high frequencies

### Coarse Grid Correction

- We consider a fine grid with mesh size h and a coarse grid with mesh size 2h (n is odd)
- Restriction







#### Defect Correction Iteration

Let

$$A_{coarse}^{-1} = P_{2h}A_{2h}^{-1}R_h$$

and compute

$$u_h^{(k+1)} = u_h^{(k)} + A_{coarse}^{-1}(f_h - A_h u_h^{(k)}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

- How to compute  $\delta_h^{(k)} = A_{coarse}^{-1} r_h^{(k)} = P_{2h} A_{2h}^{-1} R_h r_h^{(k)}$ 
  - 1. Restrict  $r_h^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $r_{2h}^{(k)} = R_h r_h^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$
  - 2. Solve the  $\frac{n-1}{2} \times \frac{n-1}{2}$  system  $A_{2h}\delta_{2h}^{(k)} = r_{2h}^{(k)}$
  - 3. Prolong the solution  $\delta_{2h}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$  to  $\delta_{h}^{(k)} = P_{2h} \delta_{2h}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

### Two-Grid Iteration Scheme

Two-Grid Iteration Scheme: Combining this coarse grid correction with N steps of the DJI we obtain

$$u_{h}^{(k+1)} = u_{h}^{(k,N)} + P_{2h}A_{2h}^{-1}R_{h}(f_{h} - A_{h}u_{h}^{(k,N)}),$$

for k = 0, 1, ..., where  $u_h^{(k,N)} = \mathcal{J}^N(A_h, u^{(k)}, f_h)$  denotes the result of N steps of DJI starting with  $u^{(k)}$ .

Exercise 4.3: Prove that the iteration matrix corresponding to this two-grid method is given by

$$T_N = \underbrace{(I - P_{2h}A_{2h}^{-1}R_hA_h)}_{\text{coarse-grid correction}} \left(I - \frac{1}{2}D_h^{-1}A_h\right)^N.$$

N = 1 (T<sub>1</sub> = T): alternate one step of the DJI (fine grid) with a coarse-grid correction by elimination (coarse grid)

・ロト・1日・1日・1日・1日・

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### **HW** Exercises

• Exercise 4.4: Prove that, for  $j = 1, \ldots, (n-1)/2$ ,

$$R_h v_{h,j} = c_j^2 v_{2h,j}, \quad R_h v_{h,n+1-j} = -s_j^2 v_{2h,j},$$

and

$$P_{2h}v_{2h,j} = c_j^2 v_{h,j} - s_j^2 v_{h,n+1-j},$$

with

$$c_j = \cos \frac{j\pi h}{2}, \quad s_j = \sin \frac{j\pi h}{2}.$$

• Exercise 4.5: Prove that, for  $j = 1, \ldots, (n-1)/2$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} Tv_{h,j} \\ Tv_{h,n+1-j} \end{bmatrix} = s_j^2 c_j^2 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{Q} \begin{bmatrix} v_{h,j} \\ v_{h,n+1-j} \end{bmatrix},$$

and, since  $R_h v_{h,(n+1)/2} = 0$ ,

$$Tv_{h,(n+1)/2} = \frac{1}{2}v_{h,(n+1)/2}.$$

## Convergence od Two-Grid Method

#### Theorem 4.1

For the spectral radius of T we have that  $\rho(T) = 0.5$ . Consequently, the two-grid iterations converge.

• Proof: From Exercise 4.1 we have, for  $j = 1, \ldots, (n-1)/2$ 

$$A_{2h}v_{2h,j} = \frac{1}{h^2}\sin^2(\pi jh)v_{2h,j} = \frac{4}{h^2}c_j^2s_j^2v_{2h,j},$$

and then

$$A_{2h}^{-1}v_{2h,j} = \frac{h^2}{4c_j^2 s_j^2} v_{2h,j}.$$

From Exercise 4.5, since the matrix Q has eigenvalues 0 and 2, it can be proved that the matrix T has the eigenvalues

$$2c_j^2 s_j^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sin^2 \pi jh, \quad j = 1, \dots, (n+1)/2,$$

and the eigenvalue zero of multiplicity (n-1)/2.



### Smoothing Step

• Define a smoother S (e.g., DJI, SOR, ... ) and consider

$$u_h^{(N)} = \mathcal{S}^N(A_h, u_h^{(0)}, f_h)$$

Smoothing iterations

$$u_h^{(j+1)} = S_h u_h^{(j)} + g_h, \quad j = 0, \dots, N-1,$$

where  $S_h$  is the smoothing iteration matrix

Exercise 4.6: Prove that

$$u_h^{(j+1)} = u_h^{(j)} + B_h(f_h - A_h u_h^{(j)}), \quad j = 0, \dots, N-1,$$

with  $B_h = (I - S_h)A_h^{-1}$ ,  $S_h = I - B_hA_h$ ,  $g_h = B_hf_h$ .

• The error  $e_h^{(N)}$  and residual  $r_h^{(N)}$  after N smoothing steps are

$$e_{h}^{(N)} = S_{h}^{N} e_{h}^{(0)} = (I - B_{h} A_{h})^{N} e_{h}^{(0)}, \quad r_{h}^{(N)} = (I - A_{h} B_{h})^{N} r_{h}^{(0)}$$



# Two-Grid Cycle

One iteration of the 2-grid algoritms corresponds to

$$u_h^{(1)} = T_h u_h^{(0)} + g_{M_h}$$

• If  $f_h \equiv 0$ 

$$u_{h}^{(1)} = S_{h}^{M}(S_{h}^{N}u_{h}^{(0)} + P_{H}A_{H}^{-1}R_{h}(-A_{h}S_{h}^{N}u_{h}^{(0)}))$$

The 2-grid iteration operator is

$$T_h = S_h^M \underbrace{(I - P_h A_H^{-1} R_h A_h)}_{M} S_h^N$$

coarse-grid correction



## V-cycle Multigrid Algorithm: V-cycle Multigrid function $u_h = V_{cycle}(A_h, u_h^{(0)}, f_h, N, M)$ $u_h = S^N(A_h, u_h^{(0)}, f_h)$ % Pre-smooth $r_h = f_h - A_h u_h$ % Get residual % Coarsen $r_H = R_h r_h$ If $(H == h_0)$ Solve $A_H \delta_H = r_H$ else $\delta_H = V_{cvcle}(A_H, 0, r_H, N, M)$ % Recursion end if $u_h = u_h + P_H \delta_H$ % Correct $u_h = S^M(A_h, u_h, f_h)$ % Post-smooth end function • Note: H stands for 2h and $h_0$ for the coarsest mesh-size Sac

### W-cycle Multigrid

- More corrections, say γ times on a coarse grid before returning to a finer level
- Algorithm: W-cycle Multigrid





## Full Multigrid

- Slightly different approach: find an approximation to the solution with only one sweep through the levels, going from bottom to top
- The system is first solved (or smoothed) on a very coarse grid, then one goes to the next finer grid and smoothes the system on this grid and so on, until the finest grid is reached
- Algorithm: Full Multigrid

Set 
$$h = h_0$$
 and solve  $A_h u_h = f_h$   
for  $k = 1$  to  $p$  do  
 $u_{h/2} = \hat{P}_h u_h$   
 $h = h/2$   
 $u_h = MG^{\mu}(A_h, u_h, f_h, N, M, \gamma)$ 

#### end for

• Note: the interpolation operator  $\hat{P}_h$  is typically of a lower order than  $P_h$ 



# Basic Convergence Results

- Cost (storage and computing time)
  - overall costs are dominated by the costs of the finest grid

#### Speed of convergence

- significant acceleration compared with relaxation methods
- the convergence rate is independent of the number of unknowns
- constant number of multigrid steps to obtain a given number of digits
- overall computational work increases only linearly with the number of unknowns

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三 の < ⊙