## \*-Autonomous functor categories

## J.M. Egger \*

Given a mere functor between \*-autonomous categories,  $M: \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{K}$ , it is possible to define a second functor  $G: \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{K}$  by  $G(x) = (M(x^*))^*$  which should be intuitively thought of as the dual of M. [In the non-symmetric case, it is natural to consider two dual functors:  $G^+(x) = (M(*x))^*$ , and  $G^-(x) = *(M(x)^*)$ . The remarks below assume symmetry for ease of presentation only.]

A particular case of interest is when M is (lax) monoidal with respect to the tensor structures on  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ . [Recall that a \*-autonomous category has, in general, two monoidal structures: one closed, the other co-closed; the former is called *tensor* and the latter, *par*.] Then, of course, G is comonoidal (oplax monoidal) with respect to the par structures on  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ . But, as pointed out by Cockett and Seely [2], this is really only the beginning: M and G are related by four natural transformations (*linear strengths* and *linear costrengths*) which, intuitively, describe a two-sided action of M on G and a two-sided coaction of G on M. These natural transformations are required to satisfy coherence conditions which, again intuitively, say that the action of M on G is G-coequivariant, and that the coaction of G on M is M-equivariant.

Certainly, in the case where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the terminal category, these intuitions are borne out, as shown by the author in [3].

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  has colimits of size  $\mathcal{J}$ ; then it is possible to define a *convolution tensor* on the functor category  $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{J}}$  in such a way that monoidal functors  $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{K}$  are in bijective correspondence with monoids in  $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{J}}$ , and such that actions of monoidal functors on mere functors are just that: actions of a monoid on an object. Dually, if  $\mathcal{K}$  has limits of size  $\mathcal{J}$ , then we can also define a *co-convolution par* on  $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{J}}$  such that comonoidal functors  $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{K}$  correspond to comonoids in  $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{J}}$ .

But in order to fully substantiate our intuitions, it is necessary to first construct linear distributions relating the convolution tensor and the co-convolution par without them we have, for instance, no means of describing a G-coaction on  $M \otimes G$ , and therefore cannot make sense of the idea that the left action  $M \otimes G \to G$  should be G-coequivariant. The construction of such linear distributions is our first main result. [This is done in greater generality than that presented above: it suffices, for example, that  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  be *bilinear*, in the sense of [1].]

Given the existence of a linear distributive structure on  $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{J}}$ , we can revisit original intuition: that (even in the case where M is a mere functor) the functor G should be regarded as the dual of M. Our second main result is that one can construct a

<sup>\*</sup>Joint work with D. Kruml.

*linear adjoint* overlying M and G; this entails that the functor category  $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{J}}$  is itself \*-autonomous and that G is indeed (isomorphic to)  $M^*$ . [In the non-symmetric case,  $G^+ \cong M^*$  and  $G^- \cong *M$ .]

These theorems were first proven in the case where  $\mathcal{J} = \rightarrow$  and  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{Sup}$  [4]; the concept of *cyclic Frobenius monoid* in the \*-autonomous category  $\mathbf{Sup}^{\rightarrow}$  has proven surprisingly useful, and will be discussed in David's talk.

## References

- J. R. B. Cockett and R. A. G. Seely, Proof theory for full intuitionistic linear logic, bilinear logic, and MIX categories, Theory Appl. Categ. 3 (1997) 85–131.
- [2] J. R. B. Cockett and R. A. G. Seely, *Linearly distributive functors*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 143 (1999) 155–203.
- [3] J.M. Egger, The Frobenius relations meet linear distributivity, submitted to Theory and Applications of Categories.
- [4] J.M. Egger and David Kruml, *Girard couples in quantale theory*.