

# Topological spaces, categorically

Dirk Hofmann \*

Since F.W. Lawvere’s famous 1973 paper [4] it is well-known that (generalised) metric spaces may be seen as examples of  $\mathbf{V}$ -categories for  $\mathbf{V} = [0, \infty]$ , “so that enriched category theory can suggest new directions of research in metric space theory and conversely”. Thanks to M. Barr [1] we know that topological spaces can be presented as categories as well, by interpreting the convergence relation  $\mathfrak{x} \rightarrow x$  between ultrafilters and points of a topological space  $X$  as arrows in  $X$ . Indeed, in this talk we wish to show that general categorical notions and results are meaningful and helpful in topology.

First of all we have to agree on a setting which incorporates both above mentioned sources and which enables us to develop the principal constructions and results. In particular our work on completeness [2] suggested that the concept of a *topological theory* as introduced in [3] fulfils these requirements. Here a topological theory is a triple  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbf{V}, \xi)$  consisting of a monad  $\mathbb{T} = (T, e, m)$ , a quantale  $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{V}, \otimes, k)$  and a map  $\xi : T\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  compatible with the monad and the quantale structure. Given such a theory  $\mathcal{T}$ , a  $\mathcal{T}$ -category is defined as a pair  $(X, a)$  with “hom-objects”  $a : TX \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  and with “identity” and “composition”, i.e. such that

$$k \leq a(e_X(x), x) \quad \text{and} \quad T_\xi a(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{r}) \otimes a(\mathfrak{r}, x) \leq a(m_X(\mathfrak{X}), x)$$

where  $\mathfrak{X} \in TTX$ ,  $\mathfrak{r} \in TX$  and  $x \in X$ , and  $T_\xi$  is the induced extension of the  $\mathbf{Set}$ -functor  $T$  to  $\mathbf{V}$ -relations. Accordingly, a  $\mathcal{T}$ -functor  $f : (X, a) \rightarrow (Y, b)$  is a  $\mathbf{Set}$ -map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$a(\mathfrak{r}, x) \leq b(Tf(\mathfrak{r}), f(x))$$

for all  $\mathfrak{r} \in TX$  and  $x \in X$ . Clearly, for each quantale  $\mathbf{V}$  we can consider the identity monad and choose  $\xi$  as the identity map, so that we obtain  $\mathbf{V}$ -categories and  $\mathbf{V}$ -functors. On the other hand, for the theory  $\mathcal{U}$  consisting of the ultrafilter monad, the two-element Boolean algebra  $\mathbf{2}$  and  $\xi$  (essentially) the identity map,  $\mathcal{U}$ -categories are precisely topological spaces and  $\mathcal{U}$ -functors continuous maps.

In this setting, and guided by notions and results of enriched category theory, we study

1. lax Hopf monads and monoidal structures,
2. the dual of a  $\mathcal{T}$ -category,

---

\*The talk is based on a joint work with M.M. Clementino and W. Tholen.

3.  $\mathcal{T}$ -modules,
4. the Yoneda Lemma,
5. Lawvere-completeness (resp. Cauchy completeness),
6. Morita equivalence.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Michael Barr, *Relational algebras*, In *Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar, IV*, pages 39–55, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 137. Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [2] Maria Manuel Clementino and Dirk Hofmann, *Lawvere completeness in Topology*, Technical report, University of Aveiro, 2007; [arXiv:math.CT/0704.3976](#).
- [3] Dirk Hofmann, *Topological theories and closed objects*, Technical report, University of Aveiro, 2006.
- [4] F. William Lawvere, *Metric spaces, generalized logic, and closed categories*, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano*, 43:135–166 (1974). Also in: *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.* 1:1–37 (electronic), 2002.