

Quantal sets, quantale modules, and groupoid actions

PEDRO RESENDE

(Joint work with M. Protin and E. Rodrigues)

Instituto Superior Técnico

Lisboa, Portugal

Motivations

- Sheaves on spaces or locales \sim *local* structure (e.g., scheme, smooth manifold, etc.)

Motivations

- Sheaves on spaces or locales \sim *local* structure (e.g., scheme, smooth manifold, etc.)
- Examples of *non-local* structure on a space X :
 - Equivalence relation on X
 - Group action on X
 - $X = G_0$ for a topological groupoid G

Motivations

- Sheaves on spaces or locales \sim *local* structure (e.g., scheme, smooth manifold, etc.)
- Examples of *non-local* structure on a space X :
 - Equivalence relation on X
 - Group action on X
 - $X = G_0$ for a topological groupoid G
- Sheaves on X need to be compatible with the non-local structure (e.g., equivariant sheaves on a groupoid)

Motivations

- Sheaves on spaces or locales \sim *local* structure (e.g., scheme, smooth manifold, etc.)
- Examples of *non-local* structure on a space X :
 - Equivalence relation on X
 - Group action on X
 - $X = G_0$ for a topological groupoid G
- Sheaves on X need to be compatible with the non-local structure (e.g., equivariant sheaves on a groupoid)
- Non-equivalent alternative: sheaves on quotient space X/G ; or on the orbit space of a groupoid; or on the primitive spectrum of a C^* -algebra, etc.

Motivations

- Quantaes generalize locales
- Several notions of “sheaf” on them: Borceux, van den Bossche, Gylys, Mulvey, Nawaz, van der Plancke, Stubbe, Walters, Zamora Ramos, etc.

Motivations

- Quantales generalize locales
- Several notions of “sheaf” on them: Borceux, van den Bossche, Gylys, Mulvey, Nawaz, van der Plancke, Stubbe, Walters, Zamora Ramos, etc.
- Quantales generalize (open) groupoids:
 étale groupoids = inverse quantal frames

Motivations

- Quantales generalize locales
- Several notions of “sheaf” on them: Borceux, van den Bossche, Gylys, Mulvey, Nawaz, van der Plancke, Stubbe, Walters, Zamora Ramos, etc.
- Quantales generalize (open) groupoids:
 étale groupoids = inverse quantal frames
- Question: how do equivariant sheaves of groupoids translate to the quantale language?

Preview

- Past talks:

equivariant sheaves on $G \sim$ “*certain modules*”
over quantale $\mathcal{O}(G)$

Preview

- Past talks:
 - equivariant sheaves on $G \sim$ “*certain modules*”
over quantale $\mathcal{O}(G)$
- This talk:
 - replace “*certain modules*” by a definition

Preview

- Past talks:
 - equivariant sheaves on $G \sim$ “*certain modules*”
over quantale $\mathcal{O}(G)$
- This talk:
 - replace “*certain modules*” by a definition
 - definition should be simple!

Preview

- Past talks:
 - equivariant sheaves on $G \sim$ “*certain modules*” over quantale $\mathcal{O}(G)$
- This talk:
 - replace “*certain modules*” by a definition
 - definition should be simple!
 - sheaf theory as “linear algebra”: matrices over locales à-la Fourman and Scott (1979); Hilbert Q -modules as in Paseka’s work

Preview

- Past talks:
 - equivariant sheaves on $G \sim$ “*certain modules*” over quantale $\mathcal{O}(G)$
- This talk:
 - replace “*certain modules*” by a definition
 - definition should be simple!
 - sheaf theory as “linear algebra”: matrices over locales à-la Fourman and Scott (1979); Hilbert Q -modules as in Paseka’s work
- For an étale groupoid G (at least if G_0 is, say, T1): classifying topos $\mathcal{B}G \sim$ category of (\dots) - $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -modules
- Ok for the étale groupoids arising in geometry and analysis

Notation and terminology

If X is a locale we refer to $\mathcal{O}(X)$ as itself in the dual category $\mathit{Frm} = \mathit{Loc}^{\text{op}}$ of frames.

A *map of locales* $p : X \rightarrow B$ is defined by its *inverse image* frame homomorphism $p^* : \mathcal{O}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ in Frm .

Then $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is an $\mathcal{O}(B)$ -module by “change of ring” along p^* ; the action is given by, for $b \in \mathcal{O}(B)$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}(X)$,

$$bx = p^*(b) \wedge x .$$

Hence, $b1 = p^*(b)$, and thus the action satisfies $bx = b1 \wedge x$.

A frame $\mathcal{O}(X)$ equipped with such a module structure is called an *$\mathcal{O}(B)$ -locale*.

Notation and terminology

The category $\mathcal{O}(B)\text{-Loc}$ of $\mathcal{O}(B)$ -locales is the opposite of the category whose objects are the $\mathcal{O}(B)$ -locales and whose arrows are the $\mathcal{O}(B)$ -equivariant frame homomorphisms.

Recall that $p : X \rightarrow B$ is *open* if p^* has a left adjoint $p_!$ (the *direct image* of p) which is $\mathcal{O}(B)$ -equivariant. Then we say that $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is an *open* $\mathcal{O}(B)$ -locale.

If p is a local homeomorphism then $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is an *étale* $\mathcal{O}(B)$ -locale.

Theorem. $Loc/B \simeq \mathcal{O}(B)\text{-}Loc$

Theorem. $Loc/B \simeq \mathcal{O}(B)\text{-Loc}$

Theorem. *If $\mathcal{O}(X)$ has a monotone equivariant map*

$$\zeta : \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(B)$$

such that $(\zeta x)x = x$ then $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is open.

Theorem. $Loc/B \simeq \mathcal{O}(B)\text{-Loc}$

Theorem. If $\mathcal{O}(X)$ has a monotone equivariant map

$$\varsigma : \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(B)$$

such that $(\varsigma x)x = x$ then $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is open.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{O}(X)$ be an open B -locale. A *local section* of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is an element $s \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that for all $x \leq s$ we have $(\varsigma x)s = x$. The set of local sections is Γ_X .

Theorem. An open $\mathcal{O}(B)$ -locale $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is étale if and only if $\bigvee \Gamma_X = 1$.

Local sections as “basis vectors”

$$x = x \wedge 1 = x \wedge \bigvee \Gamma_X = \bigvee_{s \in \Gamma_X} x \wedge s = \bigvee_{s \in \Gamma_X} \zeta(x \wedge s)s = \bigvee_{s \in \Gamma_X} \langle x, s \rangle s$$

where $\langle x, y \rangle$, defined to be $\zeta(x \wedge y)$, is a symmetric “bilinear” form

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \mathcal{O}(X) \times \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(B)$$

with “Hilbert basis” Γ_X .

Theorem. $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is an étale $\mathcal{O}(B)$ -locale if and only if there is a symmetric bilinear form (the “inner product”)

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \mathcal{O}(X) \times \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(B)$$

and a subset $\Gamma \subset X$ (the “Hilbert basis”) such that for all $x \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ we have

$$x = \bigvee_{s \in \Gamma} \langle x, s \rangle s$$

The homomorphisms of such modules are adjointable (for any homomorphism $f : \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y)$ there is a unique $f^\dagger : \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $\langle f(x), y \rangle = \langle x, f^\dagger(y) \rangle$) and the resulting category $B\text{-HB}$ has two subcategories, resp. isomorphic to LH/B and to $(LH/B)^{\text{op}}$.

Theorem. *B -HB is equivalent to the category whose objects are the projection matrices over $\mathcal{O}(B)$,*

$$E : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(B)$$

$$E = E^2 = E^T$$

and whose morphisms $T : E \rightarrow F$ are the matrices such that

$$ET = T = TF$$

Groupoids

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & i & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ G_2 & \xrightarrow{m} & G_1 & \xrightarrow{r} & G_0 \\ & & \xleftarrow{u} & & \\ & & \xrightarrow{d} & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & G_1 \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\ G_1 & \xrightarrow{r} & G_0 \end{array}$$

Groupoids

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & i & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 G_2 & \xrightarrow{m} & G_1 & \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{r} \\ \xleftarrow{u} \\ \xrightarrow{d} \end{array} & G_0
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & G_1 \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\
 G_1 & \xrightarrow{r} & G_0
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & G_1 \\
 m \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\
 G_1 & \xrightarrow{d} & G_0
 \end{array}$$

Groupoids

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & i & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 G_2 & \xrightarrow{m} & G_1 & \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{r} \\ \xleftarrow{u} \\ \xrightarrow{d} \end{array} & G_0
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & G_1 \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\
 G_1 & \xrightarrow{r} & G_0
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & G_1 \\
 m \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\
 G_1 & \xrightarrow{d} & G_0
 \end{array}$$

d open $\Rightarrow m$ open

d local homeomorphism $\Rightarrow m$ local homeomorphism

Étale groupoids

$$\mathcal{O}(G_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G_2) \xrightarrow{m!} \mathcal{O}(G_1)$$

$$\mathcal{O}(G_1) \xrightarrow[\cong]{i!} \mathcal{O}(G_1)$$

$\mathcal{O}(G_1)$ is an involutive quantale. It is unital if and only if G is étale:

$$e = G_0 \in \mathcal{O}(G_1)$$

We denote this quantale by $\mathcal{O}(G)$.

Involutive quantales

Definition. A *unital involutive quantale* Q is an involutive monoid,

$$(ab)c = a(bc)$$

$$ae = a$$

$$ea = a$$

$$a^{**} = a$$

$$(ab)^* = b^*a^* ,$$

in the monoidal category of sup-lattices:

$$(\bigvee a_i)b = \bigvee a_ib$$

$$b(\bigvee a_i) = \bigvee ba_i$$

$$(\bigvee a_i)^* = \bigvee a_i^* .$$

Notation. $1 = \bigvee Q$ $0 = \bigvee \emptyset$

Groupoid quantales

Theorem. (R 2007) *Let Q be an inverse quantal frame, i.e., a unital involutive quantale that is also a locale satisfying simple properties, in particular*

$$1 = \bigvee \mathcal{I}(Q)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}(Q) = \{a \in Q \mid aa^* \leq e, a^*a \leq e\} \quad (\text{“partial units” of } Q)$$

(= inverse semigroup)

Then $Q \cong \mathcal{O}(G)$ for an étale groupoid G .

Groupoid quantales

From an inverse quantal frame Q with multiplication

$$\mu : Q \otimes_{\downarrow(e)} Q \rightarrow Q$$

define

$$\mathcal{G}(Q) = G_2 \xrightarrow{m} G_1 \begin{array}{c} \overset{i}{\curvearrowright} \\ \xrightarrow{r} \\ \xleftarrow{u} \\ \xrightarrow{d} \end{array} G_0$$

$$\mathcal{O}(G_1) = Q$$

$$\mathcal{O}(G_0) = \downarrow(e)$$

Groupoid quantales

From an inverse quantal frame Q with multiplication

$$\mu : Q \otimes_{\downarrow(e)} Q \rightarrow Q$$

define

$$\mathcal{G}(Q) = G_2 \xrightarrow{m} G_1 \begin{array}{c} \overset{i}{\curvearrowright} \\ \xrightarrow{r} \\ \xleftarrow{u} \\ \xrightarrow{d} \end{array} G_0$$

$$\mathcal{O}(G_1) = Q$$

$$\mathcal{O}(G_0) = \downarrow(e)$$

$$m^* = \mu_* \quad \text{(Tricky!)}$$

Groupoid actions

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_1 & \text{acts on} & X \\
 d \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\
 & & G_0 \\
 r \downarrow & & \\
 G_0 & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G_1 \times_{r,p} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X & & \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & & \text{pullback} & \downarrow p \\
 G_1 & \xrightarrow{d} & G_0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Groupoid actions

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_1 & \text{acts on} & X \\
 d \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\
 & & G_0 \\
 r \downarrow & & \\
 G_0 & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G_1 \times_{r,p} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X & & \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & & \text{pullback} & \downarrow p \\
 G_1 & \xrightarrow{d} & G_0 & &
 \end{array}$$

d open $\Rightarrow \alpha$ open

Groupoid actions as modules

$\mathcal{O}(X)$ is a left $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -module:

$$\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G_1 \times_{r,p} X) \xrightarrow{\alpha!} \mathcal{O}(X)$$

Groupoid actions as modules

$\mathcal{O}(X)$ is a left $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -module:

$$\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G_1 \times_{r,p} X) \xrightarrow{\alpha!} \mathcal{O}(X)$$

The assignment $X \mapsto \mathcal{O}(X)$ is functorial (due to Beck–Chevalley): we obtain a faithful functor

$$BG \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(G)\text{-Mod})^{\text{op}}$$

Groupoid actions as modules

$\mathcal{O}(X)$ is a left $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -module:

$$\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G_1 \times_{r,p} X) \xrightarrow{\alpha!} \mathcal{O}(X)$$

The assignment $X \mapsto \mathcal{O}(X)$ is functorial (due to Beck–Chevalley): we obtain a faithful functor

$$BG \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(G)\text{-Mod})^{\text{op}}$$

The functor is also full for some groupoids (for instance if G_0 is a T1 space).

Hilbert modules

Definition. Let Q be an involutive quantale. A *Hilbert Q -module* with a *Hilbert basis* is a left Q -module M equipped with a Q -valued “inner product”

$$\langle -, - \rangle : M \times M \rightarrow Q$$

$$\left\langle \bigvee S, y \right\rangle = \bigvee_{x \in S} \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$\langle ax, y \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$\langle y, x \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^*$$

and a subset $\Gamma \subset M$ such that for all $x \in M$ we have

$$x = \bigvee_{s \in \Gamma} \langle x, s \rangle s$$

Properties

Parseval's formula: $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \langle x, s \rangle \langle s, y \rangle$.

Properties

Parseval's formula: $\langle x, y \rangle = \bigvee_{s \in \Gamma} \langle x, s \rangle \langle s, y \rangle$.

Restriction of $\langle -, - \rangle$ to $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ is a projection matrix
 $E : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q$:

$$E = E^* = E^2$$

Properties

Parseval's formula: $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \langle x, s \rangle \langle s, y \rangle$.

Restriction of $\langle -, - \rangle$ to $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ is a projection matrix $E : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q$:

$$E = E^* = E^2$$

From such a matrix construct Hilbert Q -module M :

$$M = Q^\Gamma E$$

The Hilbert basis of M can be identified with the set of rows of E .

Equivalence of categories!

Groupoid sheaves as Hilbert modules

Now G is étale and $X \rightarrow G_0$ is a l.h.

Hence, $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is an étale $\mathcal{O}(G_0)$ -locale, and the action of G on X restricts to an action

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}(G)) \times \Gamma_X \rightarrow \Gamma_X .$$

Groupoid sheaves as Hilbert modules

Now G is étale and $X \rightarrow G_0$ is a l.h.

Hence, $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is an étale $\mathcal{O}(G_0)$ -locale, and the action of G on X restricts to an action

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}(G)) \times \Gamma_X \rightarrow \Gamma_X .$$

Define matrix $A : \Gamma_X \times \Gamma_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G)$ as follows:

For each pair $s, t \in \Gamma_X$ let

$$a_{st} = \bigvee \{ f \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}(G)) \mid ff^* \leq \zeta s, f^*f \leq \zeta t, ft \leq s \}$$

Hilbert modules as groupoid sheaves

Q : inverse quantal frame of the étale groupoid G

M : A *Hilbert étale Q -locale*, i.e., a locale which is also a Hilbert Q -module with basis Γ such that

$$\bigvee \Gamma = 1$$

Hilbert modules as groupoid sheaves

Q : inverse quantal frame of the étale groupoid G

M : A *Hilbert étale Q -locale*, i.e., a locale which is also a Hilbert Q -module with basis Γ such that

$$\bigvee \Gamma = 1$$

Theorem. *There is a (unique up to iso) l.h. $X \rightarrow G_0$ with a G -action such that*

$$M \cong \mathcal{O}(X)$$

as left Q -modules.

Proof sketch

Step 1: show that M is an étale $\downarrow(e)$ -locale with inner product $\langle x, y \rangle_\ell = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge e$

Proof sketch

Step 1: show that M is an étale $\downarrow(e)$ -locale with inner product $\langle x, y \rangle_\ell = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge e$

Step 2: show that action $Q \otimes M \rightarrow M$ restricts to $\mathcal{I}(Q) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_X$

Proof sketch

Step 1: show that M is an étale $\downarrow(e)$ -locale with inner product $\langle x, y \rangle_\ell = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge e$

Step 2: show that action $Q \otimes M \rightarrow M$ restricts to $\mathcal{I}(Q) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_X$

Step 3: show that previous action extends to action on the join-completions of $\mathcal{I}(Q)$ and Γ_X :

$$\alpha : \mathcal{L}^\vee(\mathcal{I}(Q)) \times_{\downarrow(e)} \mathcal{L}^\vee(\Gamma_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\vee(\Gamma_X)$$

Proof sketch

Step 1: show that M is an étale $\downarrow(e)$ -locale with inner product $\langle x, y \rangle_\ell = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge e$

Step 2: show that action $Q \otimes M \rightarrow M$ restricts to $\mathcal{I}(Q) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_X$

Step 3: show that previous action extends to action on the join-completions of $\mathcal{I}(Q)$ and Γ_X :

$$\alpha : \mathcal{L}^\vee(\mathcal{I}(Q)) \times_{\downarrow(e)} \mathcal{L}^\vee(\Gamma_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\vee(\Gamma_X)$$

Step 4: we know from [R 2007] that $\mathcal{L}^\vee(\mathcal{I}(Q)) \cong Q$ and a similar argument shows that $\mathcal{L}^\vee(\Gamma_X) \cong M$.

Proof sketch

Step 1: show that M is an étale $\downarrow(e)$ -locale with inner product $\langle x, y \rangle_\ell = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge e$

Step 2: show that action $Q \otimes M \rightarrow M$ restricts to $\mathcal{I}(Q) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_X$

Step 3: show that previous action extends to action on the join-completions of $\mathcal{I}(Q)$ and Γ_X :

$$\alpha : \mathcal{L}^\vee(\mathcal{I}(Q)) \times_{\downarrow(e)} \mathcal{L}^\vee(\Gamma_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\vee(\Gamma_X)$$

Step 4: we know from [R 2007] that $\mathcal{L}^\vee(\mathcal{I}(Q)) \cong Q$ and a similar argument shows that $\mathcal{L}^\vee(\Gamma_X) \cong M$.

Step 5: show that α_* preserves joins: proof similar to that of multiplicativity in [R 2007]

Final remarks

- Étale is great!

Final remarks

- Étale is great!
- Full and faithful functor $\mathcal{B}G \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(G)\text{-HilbEtaleLoc})^{\text{op}}$; equivalence for many groupoids

Final remarks

- Étale is great!
- Full and faithful functor $\mathcal{B}G \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(G)\text{-HilbEtaleLoc})^{\text{op}}$; equivalence for many groupoids
- Quantale homomorphisms versus geometric morphisms of toposes...

Final remarks

- Étale is great!
- Full and faithful functor $\mathcal{B}G \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(G)\text{-HilbEtaleLoc})^{\text{op}}$; equivalence for many groupoids
- Quantale homomorphisms versus geometric morphisms of toposes...
- Abelian sheaves... (\rightarrow cohomology, smooth quantales)

Final remarks

- Étale is great!
- Full and faithful functor $\mathcal{B}G \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(G)\text{-HilbEtaleLoc})^{\text{op}}$; equivalence for many groupoids
- Quantale homomorphisms versus geometric morphisms of toposes...
- Abelian sheaves... (\rightarrow cohomology, smooth quantales)
- General comment: in [Joyal & Tierney 1984] locales are the “commutative algebra” of topos theory; but the complete ring-theoretic analogy requires more general quantales:
 - Non-local geometry \sim non-commutative (and non-idempotent) algebra