# On Morita Equivalence of Categories

Bertalan Pécsi

october 2007.

## Heteromorphisms

**Def. 1.1.** Category  $\mathbb{H}$  is a *bridge*  $\mathbb{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{B}$ , if

·  $\mathbb{A}$ ,  $\mathbb{B}$  are disjoint, full subcategories of  $\mathbb{H}$ ,

 $\cdot \quad \mathsf{ObA} \cup \mathsf{ObB} = \mathsf{ObH}.$ 

 $\mathbb{H}$  is *directed bridge*  $\mathbb{A} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ , if moreover

 $\cdot (b \mid a)_{\mathbb{H}} = \emptyset$  for all  $a \in Ob\mathbb{A}, b \in Ob\mathbb{B}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K}$  be bridges  $\mathbb{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{B}$ . A functor  $T : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{K}$  is a *bridge morphism*, if  $\cdot T \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{A}} = \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{A}}$  and  $T \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{B}} = \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{B}}$ .

**Prop. 1.2.** Directed bridges  $\mathbb{A} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  are just the profunctors (i.e. functors  $\mathbb{A}^{op} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ et), corresponding (bridge morphisms  $\iff$  nat. transformations).

#### **Examples:**

Let  $\mathbb A$  be arbitrary, and  $\mathbb B \leqslant \mathbb A$  be a full subcat.

| bridge                                                | heteromorphisms                       |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| $\mathbb{S}$ et $\Rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ rp           | functions $S \to G$                   |
| $\mathbb{A}b\times\mathbb{A}b\Rightarrow\mathbb{A}b$  | bilinear morphisms $A \times B \to C$ |
| $\mathbb{A} \Rightarrow \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}$ | cones $a < c$                         |
| $\mathbb{S}et \Rightarrow \mathbb{S}et^{op}$          | relations between $A$ and $B$         |
| $\mathbb{B} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{A}$            | copies of arrows $b \to a \& a \to b$ |

A bridge between monoids is just a category with 2 objects.

#### **Profunctors**

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{A} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  be a profunctor.  $\cdot \mathbb{L}$  is induced by a functor  $\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$ iff  $\mathbb{B} \leq \mathbb{L}$  is reflective.  $\cdot \mathbb{L}$  is induced by a functor  $\mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{A}$ iff  $\mathbb{A} \leq \mathbb{L}$  is coreflective.  $\cdot \mathbb{L}$  is induced by an adjunction  $\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$ 

iff  $\mathbb{B} \leq \mathbb{L}$  is reflective and  $\mathbb{A} \leq \mathbb{L}$  is coreflective.

**Def. 1.4.** Composition of  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{B} \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}}$ :  $(a + c)_{\mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbb{G}} := \{\langle f, g \rangle \mid a \xrightarrow{f} b \xrightarrow{g} c, b \in \mathbb{ObB} \}_{/\sim}$ where  $\langle f \beta, g \rangle \sim \langle f, \beta g \rangle$  for  $\beta \in \mathbb{MorB}$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  the bicategory Prof (of categories, profunctors, bridge morphisms).

**Note.** A bridge  $\mathbb{H} : \mathbb{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{B}$  is determined by its parts  $\mathbb{H}^{>} : \mathbb{A} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}, \quad \mathbb{H}^{<} : \mathbb{B} \Rightarrow \mathbb{A},$ and compositions  $\mathbb{H}^{>} \cdot \mathbb{H}^{<} \to \mathbb{A}, \quad \mathbb{H}^{<} \cdot \mathbb{H}^{>} \to \mathbb{B}.$ 

### Equivalences

**Def. 2.1.**  $\mathbb{H} : \mathbb{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{B}$  is an *equivalence bridge*, if  $\forall a \in \mathsf{Ob}\mathbb{A} \exists b \in \mathsf{Ob}\mathbb{B} : a \cong b$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ , and  $\forall b \in \mathsf{Ob}\mathbb{B} \exists a \in \mathsf{Ob}\mathbb{A} : a \cong b$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.**  $\mathbb{A} \simeq \mathbb{B}$  iff  $\exists \mathbb{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{B}$  equiv. bridge.

**Note.** Axiom of choice is used in constructing a functor from an equivalence bridge. (cf. Makkai: "Avoiding the Axiom of Choice...") **Def. 2.3.**  $\mathbb{M} : \mathbb{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{B}$  is a *Morita bridge*, if every morphism is composition of heteromorphisms.

**Def. 2.4** (*Idempotent completion*).

- ·  $Ob(\mathbb{A}^{id}) := \{e \in Mor\mathbb{A} \mid e^2 = e\},\$
- $\cdot \ (e \mid f)_{\mathbb{A}^{id}} := \{ \alpha \mid e\alpha f = \alpha \}.$

**Theorem 2.5.** The followings are equivalent: a) There are profunctors  $\mathbb{F}_{A \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_{A \Rightarrow A}$ , such that  $\mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbb{G} \cong \mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{G} \cdot \mathbb{F} \cong \mathbb{B}$ . b) There is a Morita bridge  $\mathbb{M} : \mathbb{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{B}$ . c)  $\mathbb{A}^{id} \simeq \mathbb{B}^{id}$ . **Theorem 2.5.** The followings are equivalent: a) There are profunctors  $\mathbb{F}_{A \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_{A \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}}$ ,  $\mathbb{B}_{B \Rightarrow A}$ , such that  $\mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbb{G} \cong \mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{G} \cdot \mathbb{F} \cong \mathbb{B}$ . b) There is a Morita bridge  $\mathbb{M} : \mathbb{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{B}$ . c)  $\mathbb{A}^{id} \simeq \mathbb{B}^{id}$ .

Proof. a)  $\Rightarrow$  b):  $\mathbb{M} := \mathbb{F} \cup \mathbb{G}$  can be made a bridge by Lemma 2.6. Let  $f : A \to B$ ,  $g : B \to A$  be an equivalence in a bicategory, with isomorphisms  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ .  $fg \to 1_A$   $gf \to 1_B$ Then  $\exists \psi' : f \cdot \psi' = \varphi \cdot f$  and  $\psi' \cdot g = g \cdot \varphi$ .  $gf \to 1_B$   $fgf \to f$   $gfg \to g \cdot \varphi$ .

b)  $\Rightarrow$  c): Consider  $\mathbb{M}^{id}$ .

c)  $\Rightarrow$  b): Let  $\mathbb{H} : \mathbb{A}^{id} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{B}^{id}$  be an equivalence bridge. Set  $\mathbb{M} := \mathbb{H} \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{A} \cup \mathbb{B}}$ . It is a Morita bridge.

b)  $\Rightarrow$  a): Consider the parts of M:  $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{M}^{>}$  and  $\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{M}^{<}$ . They give an equivalence by Lemma 2.7. Let  $\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{B}$  be a bridge, with surjective composition  $\chi : \mathbb{K}^{>} \cdot \mathbb{K}^{<} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ . Then  $\chi$  is isomorphism. A next level in abstraction

**Def. 3.1.** In a bicategory,  

$$\langle \begin{array}{c} f \\ A \rightarrow B \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} g \\ B \rightarrow A \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \varphi \\ fg \rightarrow 1_A \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \psi \\ gf \rightarrow 1_B \end{array} \rangle$$
 is a *bridge*, if  
 $\cdot f \cdot \psi = \varphi \cdot f$  and  $\psi \cdot g = g \cdot \varphi$ .  
 $\begin{array}{c} fgf \rightarrow f \end{array}$ 

#### **Examples:**

Let Bimod be the bicategory of (rings, bimodules). Then  $_{R}(R^{1\times n})_{R^{n\times n}}$  with  $_{R^{n\times n}}(R^{n\times 1})_{R}$ 

is a bridge in  $\mathbb{B}$ imod.

By lemma 2.6 every equivalence in a bicategory can be made a bridge.

Note that lemma 2.7 also holds in  $\mathbb{B}$ imod.

#### Question.

Search for more examples of bridges.

# Bertalan Pécsi ELTE University, Budapest

aladar@renyi.hu http://www.renyi.hu/~aladar