



Classes of $(0, 1)$ -matrices where the Bruhat order and the Secondary Bruhat order coincide

R. Fernandes, H.F. da Cruz and D. Salomão



Let S_n be the symmetric group of degree n , and let $\sigma \in S_n$. We can represent σ as a word by

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n,$$

with $\sigma(i) = \sigma_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.



A pair (i, j) , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, is called an **inversion** of σ if

$$i < j \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_i > \sigma_j.$$



We can define a partial order \preceq_B on S_n , the **Bruhat order**, saying

$$\sigma \preceq_B \tau,$$

if σ can be obtained from τ by a sequence of transformations where

$$\tau_1 \dots \tau_i \dots \tau_j \dots \tau_n$$

is replaced by

$$\tau_1 \dots \tau_j \dots \tau_i \dots \tau_n,$$

being (i, j) an inversion of τ .



Let P and Q be two permutation matrices of order n corresponding to permutations π and τ respectively. We write

$$P \preceq_B Q \text{ whenever } \pi \preceq_B \tau.$$



Note that an inversion in a permutation τ corresponds to a submatrix

$$L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

in the correspondent permutation matrix, Q .

Remove this inversion in τ is equivalent to replace the submatrix L_2 of Q by

$$I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Therefore, given two permutation matrices P and Q , we say that

$$P \preceq_B Q$$

if P can be obtained from Q by a sequence of one sided interchanges

$$L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



There is another way to define the Bruhat order on permutation matrices.

For any m -by- n matrix $A = [a_{i,j}]$ of real entries, let Σ_A denote the m -by- n matrix whose (r, s) -entry is

$$\sigma_{r,s}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq m, 1 \leq s \leq n.$$



For permutation matrices P and Q of order n we have

$$P \preceq_B Q,$$

if and only if, by the entrywise order

$$\Sigma_P \geq \Sigma_Q.$$



Let $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$, and $S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ be two positive integral vectors such that

$$r_1 + \dots + r_m = s_1 + \dots + s_n.$$

We denote by $A(R, S)$ the class of all m -by- n matrices of zeros and ones, the $(0, 1)$ -matrices, with row sum vector R , and column sum vector S .



The class of all n -by- n $(0, 1)$ -matrices with common row and column sum k is denoted by $A(n, k)$.

The class of n -by- n permutation matrices is the class $A(n, 1)$.



In 2004, Brualdi and Hwang extended the Bruhat order from $\mathcal{A}(n, 1)$ to any nonempty classes $\mathcal{A}(R, S)$.



Given $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}(R, S)$ we say that A_1 precedes A_2 by the Bruhat order, and write

$$A_1 \preceq_B A_2$$

if, by the entrywise order,

$$\Sigma_{A_1} \geq \Sigma_{A_2}.$$



Given $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}(R, S)$, we say that A_1 precedes A_2 by the **Secondary Bruhat order**, and write

$$A_1 \preceq_{\widehat{B}} A_2,$$

if A_1 can be obtained from A_2 by a sequence of one sided interchanges $L_2 \rightarrow I_2$ where

$$L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and I_2 is the identity matrix of order 2.



It is straightforward to verify that if $A_1 \preceq_{\widehat{B}} A_2$, then $A_1 \preceq_B A_2$.

In general, the Bruhat order and the Secondary Bruhat order **do not coincide** on $\mathcal{A}(R, S)$.

They coincide on $\mathcal{A}(n, 1)$, and Brualdi and Deaett proved, that they coincide on $\mathcal{A}(n, 2)$, but, with an example, they showed that the two partial orders are distinct on $\mathcal{A}(6, 3)$.



Theorem

Let $R = (2, 2, \dots, 2)$, and $S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ be two nonincreasing positive integral vectors such that $\mathcal{A}(R, S) \neq \emptyset$. Then the Bruhat order and the Secondary Bruhat order coincide on $\mathcal{A}(R, S)$.



Lemma (Brualdi and Deaett, 2007)

Let A and C be matrices in $\mathcal{A}(R, S)$ with $A \prec_B C$, and let i and j be integers with $\sigma_{i,j}(A) > \sigma_{i,j}(C)$. Let s and t be integers with (s, t) lexicographically maximal such that

$$(r, c) \in \{i, \dots, s-1\} \times \{j, \dots, t-1\} \implies \sigma_{rc}(A) > \sigma_{rc}(C).$$

Then, there exists $(i_0, j_0) \in \{i+1, \dots, s\} \times \{j+1, \dots, t\}$ with $a_{i_0 j_0} = 1$.



Lemma(Brualdi, Fernandes and Furtado, 2019)

Let A and C be matrices in $\mathcal{A}(R, S)$ with $A \prec_B C$, and let i and j be integers with $\sigma_{ij}(A) > \sigma_{ij}(C)$. Let s and t be integers with (s, t) lexicographically minimal such that

$$(r, c) \in \{s + 1, \dots, i\} \times \{t + 1, \dots, j\} \implies \sigma_{rc}(A) > \sigma_{rc}(C).$$

Then, there exists $(i_0, j_0) \in \{s + 1, \dots, i\} \times \{t + 1, \dots, j\}$ with $a_{i_0 j_0} = 1$.



Proposition

Let $A, C \in \mathcal{A}(R, S)$ such that C covers A in the Bruhat order. Let p, f, g and l be integers, with $1 \leq p < l \leq m$, and $1 \leq f < g \leq n$, such that

$$A[\{p, l\}; \{f, g\}] = I_2,$$

and, for any $(r, c) \in \{p, \dots, l-1\} \times \{f, \dots, g-1\}$,

$$\sigma_{rc}(A) > \sigma_{rc}(C).$$

Then $A \preceq_{\widehat{B}} C$.



Outline of the Proof of Theorem 1:

Let A and C be matrices in $\mathcal{A}(R, S)$. We know that if

$$A \prec_{\widehat{B}} C,$$

then

$$A \prec_B C.$$

So we need to prove that if $A \prec_B C$, then $A \prec_{\widehat{B}} C$.



It suffices to show this when C covers A . So, from now on we assume that C covers A by the Bruhat order.

The strategy is to find integers p, f, g and l , with $1 \leq p < l \leq m$, and $1 \leq f < g \leq n$, such that

$$A[\{p, l\}; \{f, g\}] = I_2,$$

and for any $(r, c) \in \{p, \dots, l-1\} \times \{f, \dots, g-1\}$,

$$\sigma_{rc}(A) > \sigma_{rc}(C).$$

If this happens, then using Proposition 1 we get $A \prec_{\widehat{B}} C$.



Since $A \prec_B C$, there is a position (i, j) such that $a_{ij} = 1$ and $\sigma_{ij}(A) > \sigma_{ij}(C)$.

Applying the first Lemma, we choose

$(i_0, j_0) \in \{i + 1, \dots, m\} \times \{j + 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_{i_0 j_0} = 1$, and

for any $(r, c) \in \{i, \dots, i_0 - 1\} \times \{j, \dots, j_0 - 1\}$, $\sigma_{rc}(A) > \sigma_{rc}(C)$.



So

$$A[\{i, i_0\}; \{j, j_0\}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ * & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



So

$$A[\{i, i_0\}; \{j, j_0\}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



So

$$A[\{i, i_0\}; \{j, j_0\}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Then

$$A[\{i, i_0\}; \{j, j_0\}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

for any $(r, c) \in \{i, \dots, i_0 - 1\} \times \{j, \dots, j_0 - 1\}$, $\sigma_{rc}(A) > \sigma_{rc}(C)$.

Then

$$A \prec_{\widehat{B}} C.$$



Case 2: $a_{i_0j} = 1$.

$$A[\{i, i_0\}; \{j, j_0\}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Case 2: $a_{i_0 j} = 1$.

$$A[\{i, i_0\}; \{j, j_0\}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying Lemma 2, there is

$(i_1, j_1) \in \{1, \dots, i_0 - 1\} \times \{1, \dots, j - 1\}$ such that $a_{i_1, j_1} = 1$ and

for any $(r, c) \in \{i_1, \dots, i_0 - 1\} \times \{j_1, \dots, j - 1\}$, $\sigma_{r, c}(A) > \sigma_{r, c}(C)$.



We now consider three subcases.

Subcase 2.1: $i_1 = i$.

Then

$$A[\{i, i_0\}; \{j_1, j, j_0\}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & * \\ * & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



We now consider three subcases.

Subcase 2.1: $i_1 = i$.

Then

$$A[\{i, i_0\}; \{j_1, j, j_0\}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



We now consider three subcases.

Subcase 2.1: $i_1 = i$.

Then

$$A[\{i, i_0\}; \{j_1, j, j_0\}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Thus, $A[\{i, i_0\}; \{j_1, j_0\}] = I_2$ and

for any $(r, c) \in \{i, \dots, i_0 - 1\} \times \{j_1, \dots, j_0 - 1\}$, $\sigma_{r,c}(A) > \sigma_{r,c}(C)$.

So

$$A \prec_{\widehat{B}} C.$$



Corollary

Let $R = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ be two nonincreasing positive integral vectors such that $\mathcal{A}(R, S) \neq \emptyset$. Then the Bruhat order and the Secondary Bruhat order coincide on $\mathcal{A}(R, S)$.



Proof:

Let $A, C \in \mathcal{A}(R, S)$ such that $A \preceq_B C$. Let D be the m -by- $n + 1$ matrix such that the first column has all entries equal to one and removing the first column we have the matrix A . Similarly, let E be the m -by- $n + 1$ matrix such that the first column has all entries equal to one and removing the first column we have the matrix C . Then D and E are matrices in $\mathcal{A}(U, V)$ with $U = (2, 2, \dots, 2)$ and $V = (m, s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Since $A \preceq_B C$ we get $D \preceq_B E$. By last theorem, $D \preceq_{\widehat{B}} E$. Thus, as the first columns of D and E have all entries equal to one, $A \preceq_{\widehat{B}} C$.



R.A. Brualdi and S.-G. Hwang, A Bruhat order for the class of $(0, 1)$ -matrices with row sum vector R and column sum vector S , *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 12 (2004) 6-16.



R.A. Brualdi and L. Deaett, More on the Bruhat order for $(0, 1)$ -matrices, *Linear algebra and its Applications*, 421 (2007) 219-232.



R. Brualdi, R. Fernandes, S. Furtado, On the Bruhat order of labeled graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 258,(2019) 49-64.



Fernandes, R., da Cruz, H.F., Salomão, D. Classes of $(0,1)$ -matrices Where the Bruhat Order and the Secondary Bruhat Order Coincide. *Order*, 37 (2020) 207–221.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11083-019-09500-8>