

Combinatorial Howe duality

Thomas Gerber



(joint with J. Guilhot and C. Lecouvey)

11th Combinatorics Days

Lisboa

21 January 2022

Howe duality in type A

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and consider the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_m$ over \mathbb{C} .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{integral} \\ \text{dominant} \\ \text{weights for } \mathfrak{gl}_n \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{partitions} \\ \text{of length} \\ \text{at most } n \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Young diagrams} \\ \text{with at most} \\ n \text{ rows} \end{array} \right\}$$

- λ int. dominant \mathfrak{gl}_n -weight $\rightsquigarrow V_n(\lambda)$ irr. highest weight module,
- $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ natural $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_m$ -module $\rightsquigarrow \text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m), \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m)$.

Howe duality in type A

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and consider the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_m$ over \mathbb{C} .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{integral} \\ \text{dominant} \\ \text{weights for } \mathfrak{gl}_n \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{partitions} \\ \text{of length} \\ \text{at most } n \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Young diagrams} \\ \text{with at most} \\ n \text{ rows} \end{array} \right\}$$

- λ int. dominant \mathfrak{gl}_n -weight $\rightsquigarrow V_n(\lambda)$ irr. highest weight module,
- $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ natural $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_m$ -module $\rightsquigarrow \text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m), \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m)$.

Theorem (Howe duality)

We have the $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_m$ -module decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m) &\simeq \sum_{\text{len}(\lambda) \leq \min\{m, n\}} V_n(\lambda) \otimes V_m(\lambda), \\ \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m) &\simeq \sum_{\lambda \in [n \times m]} V_n(\lambda) \otimes V_m(\lambda'). \end{aligned}$$

Example

For $n = 3, m = 2$, consider the homogeneous component $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$.

By the previous theorem, we have, as $\mathfrak{gl}_3 \times \mathfrak{gl}_2$ -modules,

$$\Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) \simeq V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \otimes V_2(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \oplus V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \otimes V_2(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}).$$

Example

For $n = 3, m = 2$, consider the homogeneous component $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$.

By the previous theorem, we have, as $\mathfrak{gl}_3 \times \mathfrak{gl}_2$ -modules,

$$\Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) \simeq V_3(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \otimes V_2(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \oplus V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \otimes V_2(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}).$$

On the other hand, as \mathfrak{gl}_3 -modules,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) &\simeq \Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3) \\ &\simeq \Lambda^3\mathbb{C}^3 \oplus (\Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3) \oplus (\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^3) \oplus \Lambda^3\mathbb{C}^3 \\ &\simeq V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \oplus (V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \oplus V_3(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array})) \oplus (V_3(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \oplus V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array})) \oplus V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}). \end{aligned}$$

Example

For $n = 3, m = 2$, consider the homogeneous component $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$.

By the previous theorem, we have, as $\mathfrak{gl}_3 \times \mathfrak{gl}_2$ -modules,

$$\Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) \simeq V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \otimes V_2(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \oplus V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \otimes V_2(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}).$$

On the other hand, as \mathfrak{gl}_3 -modules,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) &\simeq \Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3) \\ &\simeq \Lambda^3\mathbb{C}^3 \oplus (\Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3) \oplus (\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^3) \oplus \Lambda^3\mathbb{C}^3 \\ &\simeq V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \oplus (V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \oplus V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array})) \oplus (V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \oplus V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array})) \oplus V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 4 &= \dim V_2(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}) && \text{copies of } V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \\ 2 &= \dim V_2(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) && \text{copies of } V_3(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \end{aligned}$$

This observation holds in general:

$$\Lambda^N(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m \\ |\nu| = N}} \underbrace{\Lambda^{\nu_1} \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \dots \otimes \Lambda^{\nu_m} \mathbb{C}^n}_{\Lambda^\nu \mathbb{C}^n}$$

and for $\nu \in [m \times n]$ and a partition $\lambda \in [n \times m]$, we have

$$[\Lambda^\nu \mathbb{C}^n : V_n(\lambda)] = \dim(V_m(\lambda'))_\nu. \quad (1)$$

Goal: Give a bijective proof of (1).

For $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m) \in [m \times n]$, let

$$B_n^\nu = \{c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_m \mid c_j \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ s.t. } |c_j| = \nu_j\}.$$

For $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m) \in [m \times n]$, let

$$B_n^\nu = \{c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_m \mid c_j \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ s.t. } |c_j| = \nu_j\}.$$

Theorem

We have a bijection

$$\bigsqcup_{|\nu|=N} B_n^\nu \xrightarrow{*} \bigsqcup_{|\lambda|=N} B_m^\lambda$$
$$c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_m \mapsto d_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes d_n$$

where

$$d_i = \{1 \leq j \leq m \mid i \in c_j\}.$$

If $b = c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_m \in B_n^\nu$, each c_j is called (and represented by) a **column**.

Example Take $n = 4, m = 5, \nu = (3, 0, 2, 3, 2)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 b = & 1 & \otimes & \emptyset & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 1 & \longmapsto & 1 & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 3 & = & b^* \\
 & 2 & & & & 4 & & 2 & & 3 & & 3 & & 4 & & 5 & & 4 & & \\
 & 3 & & & & & & 4 & & & & 4 & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 5 &
 \end{array}$$

If $b = c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_m \in B_n^\nu$, each c_j is called (and represented by) a **column**.

Example Take $n = 4, m = 5, \nu = (3, 0, 2, 3, 2)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 b = & 1 & \otimes & \emptyset & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 1 & \longmapsto & 1 & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 3 & = & b^* \\
 & 2 & & & & 4 & & 2 & & 3 & & 3 & & 4 & & 5 & & 4 & & \\
 & 3 & & & & & & 4 & & & & 4 & & & & & & & & 5
 \end{array}$$

Observe that $b \in B_n^\nu \Leftrightarrow \text{weight}(b^*) = \nu$. In our example,

- $b \in B_4^{(3,0,2,3,2)}$ and $\text{weight}(b^*) = (\#1\text{'s in } b^*, \dots, \#m\text{'s in } b^*) = (3, 0, 2, 3, 2)$,
- $b^* \in B_5^{(4,2,2,2)}$ and $\text{weight}(b) = (4, 2, 2, 2)$.

Definition

- 1 An element $b \in B_n^\nu$ is called **Yamanouchi** if each prefix of its reading has at least as many i as $i + 1$ for all $1 \leq i < n$.
- 2 An element $a \in B_m^\lambda$ is called a **tableau** if removing the symbols “ \otimes ” yields a semistandard Young tableau.

Definition

- 1 An element $b \in B_n^\nu$ is called **Yamanouchi** if each prefix of its reading has at least as many i as $i + 1$ for all $1 \leq i < n$.
- 2 An element $a \in B_m^\lambda$ is called a **tableau** if removing the symbols " \otimes " yields a semistandard Young tableau.

Theorem (Combinatorial Howe duality)

b is Yamanouchi if and only if b^* is a tableau.

Example $b = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 2 \Leftrightarrow b^* = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 4$

2		2	3		2	3
					3	4

• $\text{read}(b) = 121132 \Rightarrow b$ is Yamanouchi.

• b^* yields the tableau

1	1	4
2	3	
3	4	

Why does this prove (1)?

On the one hand, it is well-known that

$$\# \left\{ a \in B_m^\lambda \text{ tableaux of weight } \nu \right\} = \dim(V(\lambda'))_\nu.$$

Why does this prove (1)?

On the one hand, it is well-known that

$$\# \left\{ a \in B_m^\lambda \text{ tableaux of weight } \nu \right\} = \dim(V(\lambda'))_\nu.$$

On the other hand,

- B_n^ν is the **crystal** of the representation $\Lambda^\nu \mathbb{C}^n$,
- $b \in B_n^\nu$ is Yamanouchi iff b is a **highest weight vertex**.

Therefore, by general crystal theory,

$$\# \{ b \in B_n^\nu \text{ Yamanouchi of weight } \lambda \} = [\Lambda^\nu \mathbb{C}^n : V(\lambda)].$$

The case of type C

Replacing $\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_m$ by $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$, we have for $\nu \in [m \times 2n], \lambda \in [n \times m]$,

$$[\Lambda^\nu \mathbb{C}^{2n} : V_n(\lambda)] = \dim(V_m(\overline{\lambda'}))_{\overline{\nu}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\overline{(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m)} = (n - \nu_m, \dots, n - \nu_1)$.

The case of type C

Replacing $\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_m$ by $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$, we have for $\nu \in [m \times 2n], \lambda \in [n \times m]$,

$$[\Lambda^\nu \mathbb{C}^{2n} : V_n(\lambda)] = \dim(V_m(\overline{\lambda'}))_{\overline{\nu}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\overline{(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m)} = (n - \nu_m, \dots, n - \nu_1)$.

Plan of the proof

We use

- 1 an analogous crystal B_n^ν and a similar bijection $*$,
- 2 a combinatorial description of the highest weight vertices in B_n^ν , generalising the Yamanouchi property,
- 3 a notion of so-called **King tableaux** generalising usual tableaux and counting dimensions of the weight spaces.

We conclude by the same argument.

The bijection $*$ in type C

For $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m) \in [m \times 2n]$, let

$$B_n^\nu = \{c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_m \mid c_j \subseteq \{\bar{n} < \dots < \bar{1} < 1 < \dots < n\} \text{ s.t. } |c_j| = \nu_j\}.$$

Similarly, set for $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in [n \times 2m]$,

$$\dot{B}_m^\eta = \{d_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes d_n \mid d_i \subseteq \{1 < \bar{1} < \dots < n < \bar{n}\} \text{ s.t. } |d_i| = \eta_i\}.$$

The bijection $*$ in type C

For $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m) \in [m \times 2n]$, let

$$B_n^\nu = \{c_1 \otimes \dots \otimes c_m \mid c_j \subseteq \{\bar{n} < \dots < \bar{1} < 1 < \dots < n\} \text{ s.t. } |c_j| = \nu_j\}.$$

Similarly, set for $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in [n \times 2m]$,

$$\dot{B}_m^\eta = \{d_1 \otimes \dots \otimes d_n \mid d_i \subseteq \{1 < \bar{1} < \dots < n < \bar{n}\} \text{ s.t. } |d_i| = \eta_i\}.$$

Theorem

We have a bijection

$$\bigsqcup_{|\nu|=N} B_n^\nu \xrightarrow{*} \bigsqcup_{|\eta|=nm-N} \dot{B}_m^\eta$$
$$c_1 \otimes \dots \otimes c_m \longmapsto d_1 \otimes \dots \otimes d_n$$

where $d_i = \{j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \mid i \in c_j\} \sqcup \{\bar{j} \in \{\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{m}\} \mid \bar{i} \notin c_j\}$.

Example Take $n = 5, m = 2, \nu = (4, 6)$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 b = \bar{3} \otimes \bar{5} \\
 \bar{2} \quad \bar{2} \\
 4 \quad \bar{1} \\
 5 \quad 1 \\
 \quad 2 \\
 \quad 4
 \end{array}
 \xrightarrow{*}
 \begin{array}{c}
 1 \otimes \bar{2} \otimes 2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = b^* \\
 \bar{2} \quad \quad \quad \bar{1} \quad \bar{1} \\
 \quad \quad \quad 2 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \bar{2}
 \end{array}$$

Example Take $n = 5, m = 2, \nu = (4, 6)$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 b = \begin{array}{cc} \bar{3} & \otimes \bar{5} \\ \bar{2} & \bar{2} \\ 4 & \bar{1} \\ 5 & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 4 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{*} & \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \otimes & \bar{2} & \otimes & 2 & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 1 \\ \bar{2} & & & & & & \bar{1} & & \bar{1} \\ & & & & & & 2 & & \\ & & & & & & \bar{2} & & \end{array} = b^*
 \end{array}$$

Example Take $n = 5, m = 2, \nu = (4, 6)$.

$$\begin{array}{r}
 b = \bar{3} \otimes \bar{5} \\
 \bar{2} \quad \bar{2} \\
 4 \quad \bar{1} \\
 5 \quad 1 \\
 \quad 2 \\
 \quad 4
 \end{array}
 \xrightarrow{*}
 \begin{array}{r}
 1 \otimes \bar{2} \otimes 2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = b^* \\
 \bar{2} \quad \quad \quad \bar{1} \quad \bar{1} \\
 \quad \quad \quad 2 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \bar{2}
 \end{array}$$

Example Take $n = 5, m = 2, \nu = (4, 6)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 b = \begin{array}{cc} \bar{3} & \otimes & \bar{5} \\ \bar{2} & & \bar{2} \\ 4 & & \bar{1} \\ 5 & & 1 \\ & & 2 \\ & & 4 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{*} & \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \otimes & \bar{2} & \otimes & 2 & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 1 \\ \bar{2} & & & & & & \bar{1} & & \bar{1} \\ & & & & & & 2 & & \\ & & & & & & \bar{2} & & \end{array} = b^*
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, if we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{weight}(b) &= (\#\bar{n}\text{'s} - \#n\text{'s}, \dots, \#\bar{1}\text{'s} - \#1\text{'s}) \quad \text{and} \\
 \text{weight}(b^*) &= (\#m\text{'s} - \#\bar{m}\text{'s}, \dots, \#1\text{'s} - \#\bar{1}\text{'s}), \quad \text{we have}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$b \in B_n^\nu \Leftrightarrow \text{weight}(b^*) = \bar{\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad b^* \in B_m^\eta \Leftrightarrow \text{weight}(b) = \bar{\eta}.$$

Example Above, we have $\nu = (4, 6)$, so that $\bar{\nu} = (-1, 1)$ and $\eta = (0, -2, 1, 1, 0)$ so that $\bar{\eta} = (2, 1, 1, 4, 2)$.

King tableaux

Definition

A semistandard tableau on $\{1 < \bar{1} < \dots < m < \bar{m}\}$ is called **King** if each entry in row j is at least equal to j .

Example The tableau $\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \bar{1} & 2 & 2 \\ \bar{1} & 3 & \bar{3} & \\ & & & 3 \end{array}$ is semistandard but not King.

King tableaux

Definition

A semistandard tableau on $\{1 < \bar{1} < \dots < m < \bar{m}\}$ is called **King** if each entry in row j is at least equal to j .

Example The tableau $\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \bar{1} & 2 & 2 \\ & \bar{1} & 3 & \bar{3} \\ & & 3 & \end{array}$ is semistandard but not King.

Moreover, an element b is a highest weight vertex in the crystal B_n^ν if and only if each prefix of its reading has partition weight.

Remark \dot{B}_m^η is not a crystal!

King tableaux

Definition

A semistandard tableau on $\{1 < \bar{1} < \dots < m < \bar{m}\}$ is called **King** if each entry in row j is at least equal to j .

Example The tableau $\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \bar{1} & 2 & 2 \\ & \bar{1} & 3 & \bar{3} \\ & & 3 & \end{array}$ is semistandard but not King.

Moreover, an element b is a highest weight vertex in the crystal B_n^ν if and only if each prefix of its reading has partition weight.

Remark \dot{B}_m^η is not a crystal!

Theorem (G.-Guilhot-Lecouvey 2021)

b is a highest weight vertex iff b^* is a King tableau.

Example

Take $n = 4, m = 3$.

$$b = \begin{array}{cccc} \bar{4} & \otimes & \bar{2} & \otimes & \bar{4} & \Leftrightarrow & b^* = & 1 & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 2 & \otimes & 2 \\ & & \bar{3} & & \bar{1} & & & \bar{2} & & 3 & & \bar{3} & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & 3 & & & & & & & 3 \end{array}$$

- $\text{read}(b) = \bar{4}\bar{3}\bar{2}\bar{1}1\bar{4}\bar{3}3 \Rightarrow b$ is a highest weight vertex,
- b^* is a King tableau: $\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ \bar{2} & 3 & \bar{3} & \\ 3 & & & \end{array}$.

Example

Take $n = 4, m = 3$.

$$b = \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{4} & \otimes & \bar{2} & \otimes & \bar{4} \\ \bar{3} & & \bar{1} & & \bar{3} \\ & & 1 & & 3 \end{array} \Leftrightarrow b^* = \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \otimes & 1 & \otimes & 2 \\ \bar{2} & & 3 & & \bar{3} \\ & & 3 & & \end{array}$$

- $\text{read}(b) = \bar{4}\bar{3}\bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{4}\bar{3}\bar{3} \Rightarrow b$ is a highest weight vertex,
- b^* is a King tableau: $\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ \bar{2} & 3 & \bar{3} & \\ & 3 & & \end{array}$.

We have

$$\nu = (2, 3, 3)$$

$$\lambda = (2, 1, 1, 0) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\text{so } \bar{\nu} = (1, 2, 2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{so } \bar{\lambda}' = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} = (4, 3, 1).$$

Generalised Howe duality in type C

It is possible to prove a more general duality.

In (2), replace

$$\Lambda^\nu \mathbb{C}^{2n} = V_n(1^{\nu_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n(1^{\nu_m}) \quad \text{by}$$
$$V_n^{X_1}(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n^{X_r}(\mu^{(r)})$$

where for all $1 \leq j \leq r$,

- $X_j \in \{A, C\}$,
- $\mu^{(j)}$ is a partition in $[n \times m_j]$ with $\sum m_j = m$,
- $V_n^{X_j}(\mu^{(j)}) = \text{irr. rep. of type } X_j \text{ with highest weight } \mu^{(j)}$.

For $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$, let $\widehat{\mu} = (\widehat{\mu}^{(r)}, \dots, \widehat{\mu}^{(1)})$ where $\widehat{\alpha} = \overline{\alpha'}$.

Consider the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} where

$$\mathfrak{g} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{gl}_{m_j} & \text{if } X_j = A \\ \mathfrak{sp}_{2m_j} & \text{if } X_j = C. \end{cases}$$

$\widehat{\mu}$ is a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow$ irr. h.w. representation $V_m(\widehat{\mu})$.

For $\lambda \in [n \times m]$, set

$$M_{\widehat{\mu}}^{\widehat{\lambda}} = \text{multiplicity of } V_m(\widehat{\mu}) \text{ in } \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}} V_m(\widehat{\lambda}).$$

For $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$, let $\widehat{\mu} = (\widehat{\mu}^{(r)}, \dots, \widehat{\mu}^{(1)})$ where $\widehat{\alpha} = \overline{\alpha'}$.

Consider the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} where

$$\mathfrak{g} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{gl}_{m_j} & \text{if } X_j = A \\ \mathfrak{sp}_{2m_j} & \text{if } X_j = C. \end{cases}$$

$\widehat{\mu}$ is a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow$ irr. h.w. representation $V_m(\widehat{\mu})$.

For $\lambda \in [n \times m]$, set

$$M_{\widehat{\mu}}^{\widehat{\lambda}} = \text{multiplicity of } V_m(\widehat{\mu}) \text{ in } \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}} V_m(\widehat{\lambda}).$$

Theorem (G.-Guilhot-Lecouvey 2021)

$$M_{\widehat{\mu}}^{\widehat{\lambda}} = [V_n^{X_1}(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes V_n^{X_r}(\mu^{(r)}) : V_n(\lambda)].$$

Remark $r = m$, $m_j = 1$, $X_j = C \Rightarrow$ Identity (2).