

# Van der Waerden Variations

Manuel Silva

7th Combinatorics Day - Évora, May 26, 2017

(joint work with João Guerreiro e Imre Ruzsa)

## Ramsey problems

### Large and accessible sets

Infinite distance graphs

An accessible set which is not large

### Infinite ladders

## Coloring a complete graph

### Theorem (Ramsey - 1930)

*Given positive integers  $r$  and  $k$  if we  $r$ -color the edges of the complete graph with at least  $N = N(r, k)$  vertices, we can always find monochromatic complete subgraphs of order  $k$ .*

This result implies that we can always find a monochromatic copy of any finite subgraph  $H$ .

## Van der Waerden and arithmetic progression

The next statement is, with Ramsey's theorem for graphs, one of the most influential results in the combinatorics of unavoidable structures.

### Theorem (van der Waerden - 1927)

*Any finite partition of the positive integers contains large monochromatic arithmetic progressions.*

We could not ask for an infinite monochromatic progressions, as a coloring such as 01100011110000 shows.

## Density version - no coloring involved

Theorem (Szemerédi - 1969)

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  with positive upper density, i.e.,

$$d^*(A) := \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{n \in A : n \leq N\}}{N} > 0.$$

Then for any integer  $k \geq 1$ , the set  $A$  contains an arithmetic progression  $a, a + r, a + 2r, \dots, a + (k - 1)r$  of length  $k$ , where  $a, r$  are positive integers.

This result says that any “positive fraction” of the positive integers will **always** contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions  $a, a + r, a + 2r, \dots, a + (k - 1)r$

## Does density implies structure?

We should note that the natural **density version** for Ramsey's theorem on graphs fails.

In fact, the complete bipartite graph  $K(n, n)$  contains a positive fraction of all possible edges:  $|E(K)| = n^2$ . But,  $K(n, n)$  contains no triangle.

Unlike what happens for graphs, arithmetic progressions admit density versions.

## Large sets

A set  $L \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is **d-large** if for any  $d$ -coloring  $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [1, d]$  there are arbitrarily large monochromatic AP's with common difference  $r \in L$ . The set of odd numbers is not large as the coloring 010101... shows.

A **necessary condition** for a set  $L$  to be large is: given any positive integer  $n$ ,  $L$  contains a multiple of  $n$ .

**Theorem (Brown, Graham, Landman)**

*If  $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $A$  is large, then some  $A_i$  is large.*

**Problem (open)**

*Every 2-large set is necessarily  $k$ -large for every  $k > 2$ ?*

## Accessible sets - a weaker notion

A set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is **accessible** if for any finite coloring  $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [1, d]$  there are arbitrarily large monochromatic sets  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  such that  $a_{i+1} - a_i \in S$ . We will say that  $A$  is a monochromatic  $S$ -sequence. Two natural ways to construct accessible sets are:

- ▶ An infinite difference set  $S = A - A$  with  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  infinite.
- ▶ Use the fact that every “polynomial set” is large (Bergelson, Leibman). The set of the perfect squares or the cubes are large sets.

## Chromatic intersective

A set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is chromatic intersective if for any finite partition of

$$\mathbb{N} = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_d,$$

there is  $1 \leq i \leq d$  such that  $(A_i - A_i) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ .

It is clear that any accessible set is also chromatic intersective.

### Theorem

*Every chromatic intersective set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is also accessible.*

**Proof** Let  $k \geq 2$  be the maximal length for some monochromatic  $S$ -sequence over all possible finite colorings. Consider a coloring  $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [1, r]$  where this length can not be improved. Re-color now the last elements in all maximal  $S$ -sequences.

## An ultrafilter property

### Theorem

*If  $L = L_1 \cup L_2$  is accessible, then  $L_1$  is accessible or  $L_2$  is accessible.*

**Proof** Suppose  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are not accessible. By the previous theorem, both sets are not chromatic intersective. Let  $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [1, d]$  avoids differences from  $L_1$  and  $\beta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [1, r]$  avoids differences from  $L_2$ . Define  $\gamma(n) = (\alpha(n), \beta(n))$  avoids differences from  $L = L_1 \cup L_2$ . This implies that  $L$  is not chromatic intersective which contradicts the hypothesis.

## Density intersective sets

A set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is *density intersective* if, given any set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  with positive (upper) density, we have  $(A - A) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ .  $S$  intersects the difference  $A - A$  of all positive density sets  $A$ .

### Theorem

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  be a density intersective set and  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  a positive density set. Then, the set  $A$  contains arbitrarily large  $S$ -sequences.

**Proof** Choose the set of positive density which gives the smallest possible  $S$ -sequences.

We define  $ord(n)$  to be the maximal length over all  $S$ -sequences

$$x_0 = n, x_1, \dots, x_k, x_i \in A.$$

Let  $A_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : ord(n) = k\}$  be the set of elements of

$A_0 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : ord(n) = 0\}$ , which is **not empty!**

$$A = A_k \cup A_0 \cup B.$$

## Infinite distance graph

Given a set of positive integers  $D$  we define the *distance graph*  $G_D$  where  $V(G) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $E(G) = \{(x, y) \in V \times V : |x - y| \in D\}$ .

If  $D \subset \mathbb{N}$  is 2-intersective, then the associate distance graph  $G_D$  has infinite chromatic number.

If we take  $D = \{n^3 : n \geq 1\}$  then  $G_D$  has infinite chromatic number but (by Fermat Last theorem) contains no triangle.

## Large monochromatic paths

What can we say about the structure of this graph?

### Theorem

*Let  $D \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G_D$  has infinite chromatic number and a finite  $d$ -coloring of the vertices  $\alpha : V \rightarrow [1, d]$ . There exist arbitrarily large monochromatic upwards paths.*

In fact, the result is true for any graph with infinite chromatic number.

## An elementary construction

### Theorem

If  $S = \{m^{2k-1} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , for  $m \geq 5$ , then  $S - S$  is accessible and is not 3-large.

**Remark:** Jungic proved an analogous result using a **non explicit** construction from Furstenberg.

**Proof**  $S - S$  is accessible by the previous lemma. We will show that  $S - S$  is not 3-large.

Let  $f_i(n)$  be the  $i$ -th digit in the base  $m$  representation of

$$n = \sum f_i(n)m^i.$$

## An elementary construction

Consider the following 3-coloring of the positive integers,

$$f(n) = |\{i : f_{2i}(n) = 2\}| \bmod 3.$$

There are no monochromatic AP with length  $n > m^2 + m + 1$ . We conclude that  $S - S$  is not 3-large.

**Note:** We can not decide if this sets are 2-large.

## Infinite monochromatic walks

If we take  $S = A - A$  with  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  an infinite set, then given any finite coloring there will always exist infinite monochromatic  $S$ -walks.

Given a set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  we define its *order*  $ord(S)$  to be the larger positive integer  $d$  for which every  $d$ -coloring contains infinite monochromatic  $S$ -walks. If no such larger  $d$  exists, then we say that  $ord(S) = \infty$  and that  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is a *infinite ladder*.

### Theorem

If  $S = \{n^2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is the set of squares, then  $ord(S) \geq 2$ .

The proof uses the pythagorean triples description.

## Infinite monochromatic walks

The next result says that the gaps in an infinite ladder can not increase too much.

### Theorem

Let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$  be an infinite ladder. Then,  $\liminf(s_{i+k} - s_i)$  is finite.

**Proof** [ $k = 1$ ] We will use 4 colors. We start by coloring blocks  $I_1, I_2, \dots$  black and white, with lengths increasing fast enough.

# Squares and cubes

## Corollary

Let  $S_k = \{n^k : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be the set of  $k$ -powers. Then,  $\text{ord}(S_k) \leq 4$ .

## Problem (1)

What is the order for the set of squares? What is the order for the set of cubes?

For the squares we know that  $2 \leq \text{ord}(S_2) \leq 3$ . For the cubes we know  $1 \leq \text{ord}(S_3) \leq 3$ .

## Problem (2)

Is it true that every set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $\text{ord}(S) = \infty$  contains an infinite difference set:  $A - A \subset S$  with  $A$  an infinite set of integers?

## Bibliography

1. J. Guerreiro, I. Ruzsa, M. Silva, *Monochromatic paths for the integers*, European Journal of Comb. 58 (2016), 283–288.
2. T.C. Brown, R. L. Graham, and B. M. Landman, *On the set of common differences in van der Waerden's theorem on arithmetic progressions*, Canadian Math. Bull. 42 (1999) 25-36.
3. V. Jungic, *On Brown's conjecture on Accessible Sets*, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 110(1) (2005), 175-178.