

Piotr Jedrzejewicz  
 Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science  
 Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń, Poland

*Towards a categorification of integers*

The motivation comes from Stephen Schanuel’s question:

“Where are negative sets?”

Though ill-posed, the question is suggestive; a good answer should complete the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{N} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

where  $\mathbb{S}$  is the category of finite sets; we seek an enlargement  $\mathbb{E}$ , the isomorphism classes of which should give rise to all integers, rather than just natural numbers ([4]).”

We would like to present a background for constructing a positive answer to the above question, based on generalized multisets. A multiset is a set with multiple elements. The first known observation that one can define a generalized multiset with arbitrary integer (positive, negative or zero) multiplicities, belongs to Hassler Whitney ([5]). Systematic studies in this field started with the works of Wolfgang Reisig ([3], ch. 9), Wayne D. Blizard ([1]) and Daniel Loeb ([2]).

When we restrict multiplicities to: 1, 0,  $-1$ , we obtain a generalized set which is a pair of disjoint sets  $(A, B)$ , where  $A$  is the positive part and  $B$  is the negative one. Generalized union and intersection are defined by max and min of multiplicities, respectively, so

$$(A, B) \overset{\text{g}}{\cup} (C, D) = (A \cup C, B \cap D), \quad (A, B) \overset{\text{g}}{\cap} (C, D) = (A \cap C, B \cup D).$$

Inclusion is defined by inequality between multiplicities, so

$$(A, B) \overset{\text{g}}{\subset} (C, D) \Leftrightarrow A \subset C, D \subset B.$$

If  $A$  and  $B$  are finite disjoint sets, we put  $|A| - |B|$  to be the generalized cardinality of  $(A, B)$ . Natural candidates for a direct sum and a direct product of  $(A, B)$  and  $(C, D)$  are:

$$(A \sqcup C, B \sqcup D), \quad (A \times C \sqcup B \times D, A \times D \sqcup B \times C).$$

Now, we can precise Schanuel’s question if it is possible to define in some natural way maps between finite generalized sets in order to obtain a category extending the category of finite sets. It may be also interesting to look for some similar constructions in other categories, where two pairs of objects  $(A, B)$  and  $(C, D)$  are isomorphic if and only if  $A \oplus D$  and  $B \oplus C$  are isomorphic in the initial category.

REFERENCES:

- [1] W.D. Blizard, Negative membership, *Notre Dame J. Formal Logic* 31 (1990) 346–368.
- [2] D. Loeb, Sets with a negative number of elements, *Adv. Math.* 91 (1992) 64–74.
- [3] W. Reisig, Petri nets, An introduction, *Monogr. Theoret. Comput. Sci. EATCS 4*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [4] S. Schanuel, Negative sets have Euler characteristic and dimension, in: Category Theory, Como 1990, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1488, Springer, Berlin, 1991, 379–385.
- [5] H. Whitney, Characteristic functions and the algebra of logic, *Ann. of Math.* 34 (1933) 405–414.