

Topological Groupoids and Exponentiability

Susan Niefeld
(joint with Dorette Pronk)

July 2017

Overview

Goal: Study exponentiability in categories of topological groupoid.

Starting Point: Consider exponentiability in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$, where \mathcal{C} is:

- ▶ finitely complete
- ▶ cartesian closed
- ▶ locally cartesian closed

Application: Adapt to various categories of orbifoldoids.

Exponentiability in \mathcal{C}

Suppose \mathcal{C} is finitely complete. An object Y is called *exponentiable* if $- \times Y: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint, and \mathcal{C} is called *cartesian closed* if every object is exponentiable.

A morphism $Y \rightarrow B$ is *exponentiable* in \mathcal{C} if it is exponentiable in the slice category \mathcal{C}/B , and \mathcal{C} is called *locally cartesian closed* if every morphism is exponentiable.

Note that if $q: Y \rightarrow B$ is exponentiable and $r: Z \rightarrow B$, we follow the abuse of notation and write the exponential as $r^q: Z^Y \rightarrow B$.

Properties of Exponentiability

Composition of exponentiables is exponentiable, and pullback along any morphism preserves exponentiability.

If the diagonal $B \xrightarrow{\Delta} B \times B$ and Y are exponentiable, then every morphism $Y \xrightarrow{q} B$ is exponentiable, since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & B \\ & \searrow \langle id, q \rangle & \nearrow \pi_2 \\ & Y \times B & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\langle id, q \rangle} & Y \times B \\ q \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow q \times id \\ B & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & B \times B \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times B & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & B \\ \pi_1 \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Exponentiable Spaces

A space Y is exponentiable in **Top** iff $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ is a continuous lattice
(Day/Kelly, 1970)

A sober space is exponentiable in **Top** iff it is locally compact
(Hoffmann/Lawson, 1978)

A subspace inclusion is exponentiable in **Top** iff it is locally closed
(N, 1978)

Cartesian Closed Coreflective Subcategories of **Top**

Suppose $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbf{Top}$. Given a space X , let \hat{X} denote the set X with the topology generated by the set of continuous maps

$$\{f: M \rightarrow X \mid M \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

Say a X is \mathcal{M} -generated if $X = \hat{X}$, and let $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the full subcategory of \mathbf{Top} consisting of \mathcal{M} -generated space.

Proposition (N, 1978) If \mathcal{M} is a class of exponentiable spaces s.t. $M \times N \in \mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{M}}$, for all $M, N \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is cartesian closed.

Note $X \hat{\times} Y = \widehat{X \times Y}$ is the product and $Z^Y = \widehat{\lim_{M \rightarrow Y} Z^M}$ is the exponential in $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Examples

\mathcal{K} = compact T_2 spaces; $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{K}}$ = compactly generated spaces

\mathcal{E} = exponentiable spaces; $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{E}}$ = exponentially generated spaces

Note In both cases, one can show locally closed inclusions are exponentiable in $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Thus, if $\Delta: B \rightarrow B \hat{\times} B$ is locally closed, then the slice $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{M}}/B$ is cartesian closed.

Groupoids in \mathcal{C}

An object G of $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$ is a diagram in \mathcal{C} of the form

$$G_2 \xrightarrow{c} G_1 \begin{array}{c} \overset{i}{\curvearrowright} \\ \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xleftarrow{e} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{array} G_0$$

making G a category in \mathcal{C} in which every morphism is invertible, where $G_2 = G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1$. Unless otherwise stated, $G_1 \rightarrow G_0$ is t in the pullback when G_1 is on the left and s when it is on the right.

Morphisms $f: G \rightarrow H$ are pairs $f_i: G_i \rightarrow H_i$ ($i = 0, 1$) compatible with the groupoid structure, i.e., *homomorphisms*.

2-Cells $f \Rightarrow g: G \rightarrow H$ are morphisms $\varphi: G_0 \rightarrow H_1$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_1 & \xrightarrow{\langle \varphi s, g_1 \rangle} & H_2 \\ \langle f_1, \varphi t \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\ H_2 & \xrightarrow{c} & H_1 \end{array}$$

i.e., *natural transformations*.

Exponentiable Objects in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$

$\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$ is cartesian closed whenever \mathcal{C} is, and H^G is defined by

$$(H^G)_0 \twoheadrightarrow H_0^{G_0} \times H_1^{G_1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f_0} \\ \xrightarrow{g_0} \end{array} X_0$$

$$(H^G)_1 \twoheadrightarrow (H^G)_0 \times H_1^{G_0} \times (H^G)_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f_1} \\ \xrightarrow{g_1} \end{array} X_1$$

where (f_0, g_0) and (f_1, g_1) make H^G the “groupoid of homomorphisms” $G \rightarrow H$.

In particular, $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{K}})$ and $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{E}})$ are cartesian closed.

Exponentiable Objects in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$, cont.

This construction of H^G uses only the fact that G_0 , G_1 , and G_2 are exponentiable and not that \mathcal{C} is cartesian closed, and so:

Proposition If G_0 , G_1 , and G_2 are exponentiable in \mathcal{C} , then G is exponentiable in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition If G is exponentiable in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$, then G_0 is exponentiable in \mathcal{C} . The converse holds if s or t is exponentiable in \mathcal{C} .

Note If G is an étale groupoid, then all structure morphisms are exponentiable in \mathbf{Top} . We conjecture that, in this case, H^G is étale when H is also étale and G_1/G_0 is compact.

Example

If \mathcal{C} also has finite coproducts, we can consider $\mathbb{I}: 0 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I}R} 1$, which is exponentiable in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$.

$(B^{\mathbb{I}})_0 = B_1$, the “object of morphisms $\beta: b \rightarrow \bar{b}$ ”

$(B^{\mathbb{I}})_1 = B_2 \times_{B_1} B_2$ via c , the “object of squares”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b_s & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & b_t \\ \beta_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_t \\ \bar{b}_s & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & \bar{b}_t \end{array}$$

with $s(\beta_s \xrightarrow[\bar{\alpha}]{\alpha} \beta_t) = \beta_s$, $t(\beta_s \xrightarrow[\bar{\alpha}]{\alpha} \beta_t) = \beta_t$, ...

$(B^{\mathbb{I}})_2$ is the “object of horizontally composable squares”

Note $B^{\mathbb{I}}$ is an orbifold when B is.

Exponentiable Morphisms in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$

$G \xrightarrow{q} B$ is a *fibration* if $G_1 \xrightarrow{\langle s, q_1 \rangle} G_0 \times_{B_0} B_1$ has a right inverse in \mathcal{C} .

If \mathcal{C} is locally cartesian closed, then every fibration $G \xrightarrow{q} B$ is exponentiable in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$ and $r^q: H^G \rightarrow B$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (H^G)_0 &\longrightarrow H_0^{G_0} \times_{B_0} (B_0 \times_{B_1} H_1^{G_1}) \xrightarrow[f_0]{g_0} X_0 \\ (H^G)_1 &\longrightarrow (H^G)_0 \times_{B_0} H_1^{G_1} \times_{B_0} (H^G)_0 \xrightarrow[g_1]{f_1} X_1 \end{aligned}$$

making $(H^G)_0$ “the object of homomorphisms $G_b \xrightarrow{\sigma} H_b$,” and $(H^G)_1$ “the object of 2-cells between distributors”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_b & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & H_b \\ G_\beta \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & \downarrow H_\beta \\ G_{\bar{b}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & H_{\bar{b}} \end{array}$$

Note Composition is defined using the fibration assumption.

Exponentiable Morphisms in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$, cont.

The construction of H^G uses only that $G \xrightarrow{q} B$ is a fibration with the q_i exponentiable, and not that \mathcal{C} is locally cartesian closed.

Proposition If $G \rightarrow B$ is a fibration with $G_i \rightarrow B_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) exponentiable in \mathcal{C} , then $G \rightarrow B$ is exponentiable in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$.

Corollary Suppose the diagonals $B_i \xrightarrow{\Delta_i} B_i \times B_i$ ($i = 0, 1$) are exponentiable in a cartesian closed category \mathcal{C} . Then every fibration $G \rightarrow B$ is exponentiable in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$

Note This implies that fibrations $G \rightarrow B$ are exponentiable in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{M}})$, for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K}$ or \mathcal{E} , if $B_i \xrightarrow{\Delta_i} B_i \hat{\times} B_i$ are locally closed.

Example Revisited

Define $B^{\mathbb{I}} \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{smallmatrix} B$ by $s_0 = s$, $t_0 = t$, $s_1: B_2 \times_{B_1} B_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B_1$, and $t_1: B_2 \times_{B_1} B_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} B_1$, i.e., $s_1(\beta_s \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} \beta_t) = \alpha$, $t_1(\beta_s \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} \beta_t) = \bar{\alpha}$.

Proposition $B^{\mathbb{I}} \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{smallmatrix} B$ are fibrations in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. $\langle s, s_1 \rangle: (B^{\mathbb{I}})_1 \rightarrow (B^{\mathbb{I}})_0 \times_{B_0} B_1$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b_s \xrightarrow{\alpha} b_t & & b_s \xrightarrow{\alpha} b_t \\ \beta_s \downarrow & \downarrow \beta_t & \downarrow \beta_t \\ \bar{b}_s \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{b}_t & \mapsto & \bar{b}_s \end{array} \quad \text{and so} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} b_s \xrightarrow{\alpha} b_t & & b_s \xrightarrow{\alpha} b_t \\ \beta_s \downarrow & & \beta_s \downarrow \\ \bar{b}_s & & \bar{b}_s \end{array} \quad \mapsto \quad \begin{array}{ccc} b_s \xrightarrow{\alpha} b_t & & b_s \xrightarrow{\alpha} b_t \\ \beta_s \downarrow & & \beta_s \downarrow \\ \bar{b}_s \xrightarrow{\alpha \beta_s^{-1}} \bar{b}_t & & \bar{b}_s \xrightarrow{\alpha \beta_s^{-1}} \bar{b}_t \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \downarrow id \\ \downarrow id \end{array}$$

is a right inverse. The proof for t is similar.

Note Can also show $B^{\mathbb{I}} \times_B G \xrightarrow{s\pi_1} B$ is a fibration, for all $G \rightarrow B$.

Example Revisited, cont.

Proposition $B^{\mathbb{I}} \times_B G \xrightarrow{s\pi_1} B$ is exponentiable in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$, if the components are exponentiable in \mathcal{C} .

Corollary Suppose the diagonals $B_i \xrightarrow{\Delta_i} B_i \times B_i$ ($i = 0, 1$) are exponentiable in a cartesian closed category \mathcal{C} . Then $B^{\mathbb{I}} \times_B G \xrightarrow{s\pi_1} B$ is exponentiable, for all $G \rightarrow B$ in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$.

Note So, $B^{\mathbb{I}} \times_B G \xrightarrow{s\pi_1} B$ is exponentiable in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{M}})$, for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K}$ or \mathcal{E} , if the diagonals $B_i \xrightarrow{\Delta_i} B_i \hat{\times} B_i$ are locally closed.

The Pseudo-Slice 2-Category $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})//B$

The functor $(G \rightarrow B) \mapsto (B^{\mathbb{I}} \times_B G \xrightarrow{s\pi_1} B)$ is part of a 2-monad on the 2-category $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})/B$ induced by the internal groupoid

$$B^{\mathbb{I}} \times_B B^{\mathbb{I}} \xrightarrow{c} B^{\mathbb{I}} \begin{array}{c} \overset{i}{\curvearrowright} \\ \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xleftarrow{e} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{array} B$$

and the 2-Kleisli category is the pseudo-slice $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})//B$ whose objects are homomorphism $q: G \rightarrow B$, morphisms are triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f} & H \\ & \searrow^{\varphi} & \swarrow_{r} \\ & q & B \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\langle \hat{\varphi}, f \rangle} & B^{\mathbb{I}} \times_B H \\ & \searrow^q & \swarrow_{s\pi_1} \\ & & B \end{array}$$

and 2-cells $\theta: (f, \varphi) \rightarrow (g, \psi)$ are 2-cells $\theta: f \rightarrow g$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \varphi & q & \psi \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow \\ rf & \xrightarrow{r\theta} & rg \end{array}$$

Pseudo-Exponentiability in 2-Kleisli Categories

An object Y is *pseudo-exponentiable* in a 2-category \mathcal{K} if, for every Z , there is an object Z^Y and natural equivalences

$$\mathcal{K}(X \times Y, Z) \simeq \mathcal{K}(X, Z^Y)$$

Theorem (N, 2007) Suppose that \mathcal{K} is a 2-category with finite products and T, η, μ is a 2-monad on \mathcal{K} such that $\eta T \cong T\eta$ and $T(X \times TY) \rightarrow TX \times TY$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{K} , for all X, Y . If TY is 2-exponentiable in \mathcal{K} , then $T(TZ^{TY}) \rightarrow TZ^{TY}$ is an equivalence and Y is pseudo-exponentiable in the Kleisli 2-category \mathcal{K}_T .

Remarks

1. $|\mathcal{K}_T| = |\mathcal{K}|$, $\mathcal{K}_T(X, Y) = \mathcal{K}(X, TY)$, with composition via μ .
2. One can show that the 2-monad on $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})/B$ related to $B^{\mathbb{I}}$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem.

Pseudo-Exponentiability in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})//B$

Corollary $G \rightarrow B$ is pseudo-exponentiable in $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})//B$, if the components of $B^{\mathbb{I}} \times_B G \xrightarrow{S\pi_1} B$ are exponentiable in \mathcal{C} .

Corollary $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})//B$ is pseudo-cartesian closed, if the diagonals $B_i \xrightarrow{\Delta_i} B_i \times B_i$ are exponentiable in a cartesian closed category \mathcal{C} .

Note So, $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{M}})//B$ is pseudo-cartesian closed, for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K}$ or \mathcal{E} , if the diagonals $B_i \xrightarrow{\Delta_i} B_i \hat{\times} B_i$ are locally closed.

Corollary If \mathcal{C} is locally cartesian closed category, then $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathcal{C})$ is pseudo-locally cartesian closed.

Note A proof that $\mathbf{Gpd}(\mathbf{Sets})$ is pseudo-locally cartesian closed appeared in a 2003 paper by Palmgren posted on the arxiv.