

# Generalized symmetries and arithmetic applications

James Borger

Australian National University

Category Theory 2018  
University of the Azores  
Ponta Delgada, 2018/07/12

## Summary

- ▶ There is a concept of **generalized symmetry** specific to any category of algebras (groups, rings, . . .)

# Summary

- ▶ There is a concept of **generalized symmetry** specific to any category of algebras (groups, rings, . . . )
- ▶ In Ring, these include automorphisms, derivations (infinitesimal automorphisms), but also certain **non-linear symmetries**

# Summary

- ▶ There is a concept of **generalized symmetry** specific to any category of algebras (groups, rings, . . . )
- ▶ In Ring, these include automorphisms, derivations (infinitesimal automorphisms), but also certain **non-linear symmetries**
  - ▶ These are responsible for Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings.

# Summary

- ▶ There is a concept of **generalized symmetry** specific to any category of algebras (groups, rings, . . . )
- ▶ In Ring, these include automorphisms, derivations (infinitesimal automorphisms), but also certain **non-linear symmetries**
  - ▶ These are responsible for Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings.
  - ▶ Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings are important in arithmetic algebraic geometry

# Summary

- ▶ There is a concept of **generalized symmetry** specific to any category of algebras (groups, rings, . . . )
- ▶ In Ring, these include automorphisms, derivations (infinitesimal automorphisms), but also certain **non-linear symmetries**
  - ▶ These are responsible for Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings.
  - ▶ Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings are important in arithmetic algebraic geometry
  - ▶ but have famously complicated definitions.

# Summary

- ▶ There is a concept of **generalized symmetry** specific to any category of algebras (groups, rings, . . . )
- ▶ In Ring, these include automorphisms, derivations (infinitesimal automorphisms), but also certain **non-linear symmetries**
  - ▶ These are responsible for Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings.
  - ▶ Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings are important in arithmetic algebraic geometry
  - ▶ but have famously complicated definitions.
  - ▶ This can be explained by the non-linearity of the symmetries.

# Summary

- ▶ There is a concept of **generalized symmetry** specific to any category of algebras (groups, rings, . . . )
- ▶ In Ring, these include automorphisms, derivations (infinitesimal automorphisms), but also certain **non-linear symmetries**
  - ▶ These are responsible for Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings.
  - ▶ Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings are important in arithmetic algebraic geometry
  - ▶ but have famously complicated definitions.
  - ▶ This can be explained by the non-linearity of the symmetries.
- ▶ But generalized symmetries should be important everywhere

# Summary

- ▶ There is a concept of **generalized symmetry** specific to any category of algebras (groups, rings, . . . )
- ▶ In Ring, these include automorphisms, derivations (infinitesimal automorphisms), but also certain **non-linear symmetries**
  - ▶ These are responsible for Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings.
  - ▶ Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings are important in arithmetic algebraic geometry
  - ▶ but have famously complicated definitions.
  - ▶ This can be explained by the non-linearity of the symmetries.
- ▶ But generalized symmetries should be important everywhere
  - ▶ Are there other kinds of generalized symmetries on rings?

# Summary

- ▶ There is a concept of **generalized symmetry** specific to any category of algebras (groups, rings, . . . )
- ▶ In Ring, these include automorphisms, derivations (infinitesimal automorphisms), but also certain **non-linear symmetries**
  - ▶ These are responsible for Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings.
  - ▶ Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings are important in arithmetic algebraic geometry
  - ▶ but have famously complicated definitions.
  - ▶ This can be explained by the non-linearity of the symmetries.
- ▶ But generalized symmetries should be important everywhere
  - ▶ Are there other kinds of generalized symmetries on rings?
  - ▶ Are there new kinds of generalized symmetries in other categories of algebras?

# Summary

- ▶ There is a concept of **generalized symmetry** specific to any category of algebras (groups, rings, . . . )
- ▶ In Ring, these include automorphisms, derivations (infinitesimal automorphisms), but also certain **non-linear symmetries**
  - ▶ These are responsible for Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings.
  - ▶ **Witt vectors and  $\Lambda$ -rings are important in arithmetic algebraic geometry**
  - ▶ but have famously complicated definitions.
  - ▶ This can be explained by the non-linearity of the symmetries.
- ▶ But generalized symmetries should be important everywhere
  - ▶ Are there other kinds of generalized symmetries on rings?
  - ▶ Are there new kinds of generalized symmetries in other categories of algebras?
- ▶ Today: open questions, the work of other people, some of my own

# I. Basic example: Frobenius lifts

$p$ =prime

$R$ =ring (commutative, with 1)

# I. Basic example: Frobenius lifts

$p$ =prime

$R$ =ring (commutative, with 1)

Frobenius lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R/pR & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & R/pR \end{array}$$

$$\forall x \in R \exists x' \in R \\ \psi(x) = x^p + px'$$

# I. Basic example: Frobenius lifts

$p$ =prime

$R$ =ring (commutative, with 1)

Frobenius lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R/pR & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & R/pR \end{array}$$

$$\forall x \in R \exists x' \in R \\ \psi(x) = x^p + px'$$

- ▶ Rings with Frobenius lift naturally form a category

# I. Basic example: Frobenius lifts

$p$ =prime

$R$ =ring (commutative, with 1)

Frobenius lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R/pR & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & R/pR \end{array}$$

$$\forall x \in R \exists x' \in R \\ \psi(x) = x^p + px'$$

- ▶ Rings with Frobenius lift naturally form a category
- ▶ But not a good one! It doesn't have equalizers.

# I. Basic example: Frobenius lifts

$p$ =prime

$R$ =ring (commutative, with 1)

Frobenius lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R/pR & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & R/pR \end{array}$$

$$\forall x \in R \exists x' \in R \\ \psi(x) = x^p + px'$$

- ▶ Rings with Frobenius lift naturally form a category
- ▶ But not a good one! It doesn't have equalizers.
- ▶ No control over  $x'$ —it is only determined up to  $p$ -torsion.

# I. Basic example: Frobenius lifts

$p$ =prime

$R$ =ring (commutative, with 1)

Frobenius lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R/pR & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & R/pR \end{array}$$

$$\forall x \in R \exists x' \in R \\ \psi(x) = x^p + px'$$

- ▶ Rings with Frobenius lift naturally form a category
- ▶ But not a good one! It doesn't have equalizers.
- ▶ No control over  $x'$ —it is only determined up to  $p$ -torsion.
- ▶ Solution: make  $x'$  part of the data

# I. Basic example: Frobenius lifts

$p$ =prime

$R$ =ring (commutative, with 1)

Frobenius lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R/pR & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & R/pR \end{array}$$

$$\forall x \in R \exists x' \in R \\ \psi(x) = x^p + px'$$

- ▶ Rings with Frobenius lift naturally form a category
- ▶ But not a good one! It doesn't have equalizers.
- ▶ No control over  $x'$ —it is only determined up to  $p$ -torsion.
- ▶ Solution: make  $x'$  part of the data
- ▶ **Property** of existence  $\rightarrow$  a **structure**

## $p$ -derivations (Joyal, Buium)

A  $p$ -derivation on  $R$  is a function  $\delta: R \rightarrow R$  modeled on

$$\delta(x) = x' = \frac{\psi(x) - x^p}{p},$$

i.e., satisfying all the axioms it does when  $\psi$  is a Frobenius lift and  $R$  is  $p$ -torsion free:

## $p$ -derivations (Joyal, Buium)

A  $p$ -derivation on  $R$  is a function  $\delta: R \rightarrow R$  modeled on

$$\delta(x) = x' = \frac{\psi(x) - x^p}{p},$$

i.e., satisfying all the axioms it does when  $\psi$  is a Frobenius lift and  $R$  is  $p$ -torsion free:

[writes on blackboard]

## $p$ -derivations (Joyal, Buium)

A  $p$ -derivation on  $R$  is a function  $\delta: R \rightarrow R$  modeled on

$$\delta(x) = x' = \frac{\psi(x) - x^p}{p},$$

i.e., satisfying all the axioms it does when  $\psi$  is a Frobenius lift and  $R$  is  $p$ -torsion free:

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} x^i y^{p-i}$$

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y^p + x^p\delta(y) + p\delta(x)\delta(y)$$

$$\delta(0) = 0$$

$$\delta(1) = 0$$

## $p$ -derivations (Joyal, Buium)

A  $p$ -derivation on  $R$  is a function  $\delta: R \rightarrow R$  modeled on

$$\delta(x) = x' = \frac{\psi(x) - x^p}{p},$$

i.e., satisfying all the axioms it does when  $\psi$  is a Frobenius lift and  $R$  is  $p$ -torsion free:

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} x^i y^{p-i}$$

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y^p + x^p\delta(y) + p\delta(x)\delta(y)$$

$$\delta(0) = 0$$

$$\delta(1) = 0$$

Leibniz rules for multiplication *and* addition:  $\delta(x) = x' = \text{“}\partial x / \partial p\text{”}$

## $p$ -derivations (Joyal, Buium)

A  $p$ -derivation on  $R$  is a function  $\delta: R \rightarrow R$  modeled on

$$\delta(x) = x' = \frac{\psi(x) - x^p}{p},$$

i.e., satisfying all the axioms it does when  $\psi$  is a Frobenius lift and  $R$  is  $p$ -torsion free:

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} x^i y^{p-i}$$

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y^p + x^p\delta(y) + p\delta(x)\delta(y)$$

$$\delta(0) = 0$$

$$\delta(1) = 0$$

**Leibniz rules** for multiplication *and* addition:  $\delta(x) = x' = \text{“}\partial x / \partial p\text{”}$

Category:  $\delta$ -rings

## $p$ -derivations (Joyal, Buium)

A  $p$ -derivation on  $R$  is a function  $\delta: R \rightarrow R$  modeled on

$$\delta(x) = x' = \frac{\psi(x) - x^p}{p},$$

i.e., satisfying all the axioms it does when  $\psi$  is a Frobenius lift and  $R$  is  $p$ -torsion free:

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} x^i y^{p-i}$$

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y^p + x^p\delta(y) + p\delta(x)\delta(y)$$

$$\delta(0) = 0$$

$$\delta(1) = 0$$

**Leibniz rules** for multiplication *and* addition:  $\delta(x) = x' = \text{“}\partial x / \partial p\text{”}$

Category:  $\delta$ -rings

$\{p\text{-derivations on } R\} \rightarrow \{\text{Frobenius lifts on } R\}$

## $p$ -derivations (Joyal, Buium)

A  $p$ -derivation on  $R$  is a function  $\delta: R \rightarrow R$  modeled on

$$\delta(x) = x' = \frac{\psi(x) - x^p}{p},$$

i.e., satisfying all the axioms it does when  $\psi$  is a Frobenius lift and  $R$  is  $p$ -torsion free:

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} x^i y^{p-i}$$

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y^p + x^p\delta(y) + p\delta(x)\delta(y)$$

$$\delta(0) = 0$$

$$\delta(1) = 0$$

**Leibniz rules** for multiplication *and* addition:  $\delta(x) = x' = \text{“}\partial x / \partial p\text{”}$

Category:  $\delta$ -rings

$\{\text{\textit{p}}\text{-derivations on } R\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{Frobenius lifts on } R\}$ , if  $R$  is  $p$ -tor-free

## Divided power series = cofree differential ring

Consider usual derivations  $d$ , instead of  $p$ -derivations  $\delta$ :

$$\{d\text{-rings}\} \xrightarrow{U} \text{Ring}$$

## Divided power series = cofree differential ring

Consider usual derivations  $d$ , instead of  $p$ -derivations  $\delta$ :

$$\{d\text{-rings}\} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \mathcal{W}^{\text{diff}} \end{array} \text{Ring}$$

## Divided power series = cofree differential ring

Consider usual derivations  $d$ , instead of  $p$ -derivations  $\delta$ :

$$\{d\text{-rings}\} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Ring}$$

$W^{\text{diff}}$

$$W^{\text{diff}}(R) = \left\{ \sum_n a_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \mid a_n \in R \right\}, \quad d = d/dt$$

## Divided power series = cofree differential ring

Consider usual derivations  $d$ , instead of  $p$ -derivations  $\delta$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \leftarrow & \\ \{d\text{-rings}\} & \xrightarrow{\perp} & \text{Ring} \\ & \leftarrow & \\ & W^{\text{diff}} & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\text{diff}}(R) &= \left\{ \sum_n a_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \mid a_n \in R \right\}, \quad d = d/dt \\ &= \{(a_0, a_1, \dots)\}, \quad d = \text{shift} \end{aligned}$$

Multiplication law at the  $n$ -th component is given by the Leibniz rule for  $d^{\circ n}(xy)$ :

$$(a_0, \dots) \times (b_0, \dots) = (a_0 b_0, a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0, a_0 b_2 + 2a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_0, \dots)$$

Witt vectors = cofree  $\delta$ -ring (Joyal)

$$\{\delta\text{-rings}\} \longrightarrow \text{Ring}$$

Witt vectors = cofree  $\delta$ -ring (Joyal)

$$\{\delta\text{-rings}\} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{W} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Ring}$$

## Witt vectors = cofree $\delta$ -ring (Joyal)

$$\{\delta\text{-rings}\} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{W} \end{array} \text{Ring}$$

$$W(R) = R \times R \times R \times \cdots, \quad \delta(a_0, a_1, \dots) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

Multiplication at the  $n$ -th component is again given by the Leibniz rule for  $\delta^{\circ n}(xy)$ , but now the same is true for addition!

## Witt vectors = cofree $\delta$ -ring (Joyal)

$$\{\delta\text{-rings}\} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{W} \end{array} \text{Ring}$$

$$W(R) = R \times R \times R \times \cdots, \quad \delta(a_0, a_1, \dots) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

Multiplication at the  $n$ -th component is again given by the Leibniz rule for  $\delta^{\circ n}(xy)$ , but now the same is true for addition!

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots) + (b_0, b_1, \dots) = (a_0 + b_0, a_1 + b_1 - \sum_i \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} a_0^i b_0^{p-i}, \dots)$$

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots) \times (b_0, b_1, \dots) = (a_0 b_0, a_1 b_0^p + a_0^p b_1 + p a_1 b_1, \dots)$$

## Witt vectors = cofree $\delta$ -ring (Joyal)

$$\{\delta\text{-rings}\} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{W} \end{array} \text{Ring}$$

$$W(R) = R \times R \times R \times \cdots, \quad \delta(a_0, a_1, \dots) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

Multiplication at the  $n$ -th component is again given by the Leibniz rule for  $\delta^{\circ n}(xy)$ , but now the same is true for addition!

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots) + (b_0, b_1, \dots) = (a_0 + b_0, a_1 + b_1 - \sum_i \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} a_0^i b_0^{p-i}, \dots)$$

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots) \times (b_0, b_1, \dots) = (a_0 b_0, a_1 b_0^p + a_0^p b_1 + p a_1 b_1, \dots)$$

Leibniz rules:

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} x^i y^{p-i}$$

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y^p + x^p\delta(y) + p\delta(x)\delta(y)$$

## Remarks

- ▶ Warning: The ring structure on  $R \times R \times \cdots$  above is not equal to the Witt vector ring structure as it is usually defined! Only uniquely isomorphic to it.

## Remarks

- ▶ Warning: The ring structure on  $R \times R \times \cdots$  above is not equal to the Witt vector ring structure as it is usually defined! Only uniquely isomorphic to it.
- ▶ Ex:  $W(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{ring } \mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $p$ -adic integers

## Remarks

- ▶ Warning: The ring structure on  $R \times R \times \cdots$  above is not equal to the Witt vector ring structure as it is usually defined! Only uniquely isomorphic to it.
- ▶ Ex:  $W(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{ring } \mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $p$ -adic integers ← characteristic 0!

## Remarks

- ▶ Warning: The ring structure on  $R \times R \times \cdots$  above is not equal to the Witt vector ring structure as it is usually defined! Only uniquely isomorphic to it.
- ▶ Ex:  $W(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{ring } \mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $p$ -adic integers ← characteristic 0!
- ▶ More generally, the map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow W(R)$  is injective unless  $R = 0$ .

## Remarks

- ▶ Warning: The ring structure on  $R \times R \times \cdots$  above is not equal to the Witt vector ring structure as it is usually defined! Only uniquely isomorphic to it.
- ▶ Ex:  $W(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{ring } \mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $p$ -adic integers ← characteristic 0!
- ▶ More generally, the map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow W(R)$  is injective unless  $R = 0$ .
- ▶ Witt vectors are a machine for functorially lifting rings from characteristic  $p$  to characteristic 0

## Remarks

- ▶ Warning: The ring structure on  $R \times R \times \cdots$  above is not equal to the Witt vector ring structure as it is usually defined! Only uniquely isomorphic to it.
- ▶ Ex:  $W(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{ring } \mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $p$ -adic integers ← characteristic 0!
- ▶ More generally, the map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow W(R)$  is injective unless  $R = 0$ .
- ▶ Witt vectors are a machine for functorially lifting rings from characteristic  $p$  to characteristic 0
- ▶ Better: Witt vectors are a machine for adding a Frobenius lift to your ring, interpreted in an intelligent way

## de Rham–Witt complex (Bloch, Deligne, Illusie, 1970s–)

- ▶ de Rham cohomology has problems in characteristic  $p$ : any function  $f^p$  is a closed 0-form

$$d(f^p) = pf^{p-1} df = 0$$

## de Rham–Witt complex (Bloch, Deligne, Illusie, 1970s–)

- ▶ de Rham cohomology has problems in characteristic  $p$ : any function  $f^p$  is a closed 0-form

$$d(f^p) = pf^{p-1} df = 0$$

- ▶ One can lift rings/varieties to characteristic 0 using Witt vectors

## de Rham–Witt complex (Bloch, Deligne, Illusie, 1970s–)

- ▶ de Rham cohomology has problems in characteristic  $p$ : any function  $f^p$  is a closed 0-form

$$d(f^p) = pf^{p-1} df = 0$$

- ▶ One can lift rings/varieties to characteristic 0 using Witt vectors
- ▶ ... the de Rham–Witt complex  $W\Omega_X^*$

## de Rham–Witt complex (Bloch, Deligne, Illusie, 1970s–)

- ▶ de Rham cohomology has problems in characteristic  $p$ : any function  $f^p$  is a closed 0-form

$$d(f^p) = pf^{p-1} df = 0$$

- ▶ One can lift rings/varieties to characteristic 0 using Witt vectors
- ▶ ... the de Rham–Witt complex  $W\Omega_X^*$
- ▶ Calculates crystalline cohomology (with its Frobenius operator)

## de Rham–Witt complex (Bloch, Deligne, Illusie, 1970s–)

- ▶ de Rham cohomology has problems in characteristic  $p$ : any function  $f^p$  is a closed 0-form

$$d(f^p) = pf^{p-1} df = 0$$

- ▶ One can lift rings/varieties to characteristic 0 using Witt vectors
- ▶ ... the de Rham–Witt complex  $W\Omega_X^*$
- ▶ Calculates crystalline cohomology (with its Frobenius operator)
- ▶ Thus, if one is sufficiently enlightened, the concept of Frobenius lift, or  $p$ -derivation, leads automatically to crystalline cohomology.

## II. Generalized symmetries

(Tall–Wraith, Bergman–Hausknecht, Wieland & me, Stacey–Whitehouse)

$\mathcal{C}$  = a category of ‘algebras’ (rings, groups, Lie algebras, . . .)

## II. Generalized symmetries

(Tall–Wraith, Bergman–Hausknecht, Wieland & me, Stacey–Whitehouse)

$\mathcal{C}$  = a category of 'algebras' (rings, groups, Lie algebras, ...)

$U: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  comonadic, where the comonad  $W$  is representable:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, R) = \text{underlying set of } W(R)$$

## II. Generalized symmetries

(Tall–Wraith, Bergman–Hausknecht, Wieland & me, Stacey–Whitehouse)

$\mathcal{C}$  = a category of ‘algebras’ (rings, groups, Lie algebras, . . .)

$U: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  comonadic, where the comonad  $W$  is representable:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, R) = \text{underlying set of } W(R)$$

$$P = U(\text{free object of } \mathcal{D} \text{ on one generator})$$

$$= \{\text{natural 1-ary operations on objects of } \mathcal{D}\}$$

## II. Generalized symmetries

(Tall–Wraith, Bergman–Hausknecht, Wieland & me, Stacey–Whitehouse)

$C$  = a category of 'algebras' (rings, groups, Lie algebras, ...)

$U: D \rightarrow C$  comonadic, where the comonad  $W$  is representable:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_C(P, R) = \text{underlying set of } W(R)$$

$$P = U(\text{free object of } D \text{ on one generator})$$

$$= \{\text{natural 1-ary operations on objects of } D\}$$

▶  $G$ -rings  $\rightarrow$  Ring,  $G$  = group or monoid

$$P = \{\text{polynomials in elements of } G\} = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}G)$$

## II. Generalized symmetries

(Tall–Wraith, Bergman–Hausknecht, Wieland & me, Stacey–Whitehouse)

$\mathcal{C}$  = a category of ‘algebras’ (rings, groups, Lie algebras, ...)

$U: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  comonadic, where the comonad  $W$  is representable:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, R) = \text{underlying set of } W(R)$$

$$P = U(\text{free object of } \mathcal{D} \text{ on one generator})$$

$$= \{\text{natural 1-ary operations on objects of } \mathcal{D}\}$$

- ▶  $G$ -rings  $\rightarrow$  Ring,  $G$  = group or monoid  
 $P = \{\text{polynomials in elements of } G\} = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}G)$
- ▶  $d$ -rings  $\rightarrow$  Ring,  $W = W^{\mathrm{diff}} =$  divided power series functor  
 $P = \mathbb{Z}[e, d, d^{\circ 2}, \dots] =$  differential operators

## II. Generalized symmetries

(Tall–Wraith, Bergman–Hausknecht, Wieland & me, Stacey–Whitehouse)

$\mathcal{C}$  = a category of ‘algebras’ (rings, groups, Lie algebras, ...)

$U: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  comonadic, where the comonad  $W$  is representable:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, R) = \text{underlying set of } W(R)$$

$$P = U(\text{free object of } \mathcal{D} \text{ on one generator})$$

$$= \{\text{natural 1-ary operations on objects of } \mathcal{D}\}$$

- ▶  $G$ -rings  $\rightarrow$  Ring,  $G$  = group or monoid  
 $P = \{\text{polynomials in elements of } G\} = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}G)$
- ▶  $d$ -rings  $\rightarrow$  Ring,  $W = W^{\mathrm{diff}} =$  divided power series functor  
 $P = \mathbb{Z}[e, d, d^{\circ 2}, \dots] =$  differential operators
- ▶  $\delta$ -rings  $\rightarrow$  Ring,  $W =$  Witt vector functor  
 $P = \mathbb{Z}[e, \delta, \delta^{\circ 2}, \dots] =$  ‘ $p$ -differential operators’

## II. Generalized symmetries

(Tall–Wraith, Bergman–Hausknecht, Wieland & me, Stacey–Whitehouse)

$\mathcal{C}$  = a category of ‘algebras’ (rings, groups, Lie algebras, ...)

$U: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  comonadic, where the comonad  $W$  is representable:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, R) = \text{underlying set of } W(R)$$

$$P = U(\text{free object of } \mathcal{D} \text{ on one generator})$$

$$= \{\text{natural 1-ary operations on objects of } \mathcal{D}\}$$

- ▶  $G$ -rings  $\rightarrow$  Ring,  $G$  = group or monoid  
 $P = \{\text{polynomials in elements of } G\} = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}G)$
- ▶  $d$ -rings  $\rightarrow$  Ring,  $W = W^{\mathrm{diff}} =$  divided power series functor  
 $P = \mathbb{Z}[e, d, d^{\circ 2}, \dots] =$  differential operators
- ▶  $\delta$ -rings  $\rightarrow$  Ring,  $W =$  Witt vector functor  
 $P = \mathbb{Z}[e, \delta, \delta^{\circ 2}, \dots] =$  ‘ $p$ -differential operators’

A **composition object** of  $\mathcal{C}$  is an object  $P$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  plus a comonad structure on the functor it represents. (‘Tall–Wraith monad object’)

## Generalized symmetries, continued

- ▶ Since  $P$  is the set of natural operations on objects of  $D$ ,

## Generalized symmetries, continued

- ▶ Since  $P$  is the set of natural operations on objects of  $D$ , we may think of it as a system of **generalized symmetries** which may act on objects of  $C$

## Generalized symmetries, continued

- ▶ Since  $P$  is the set of natural operations on objects of  $D$ , we may think of it as a system of **generalized symmetries** which may act on objects of  $C$
- ▶ It is closed under composition and the all the operations of  $C$ 
  - ▶ E.g.: differential operators  $\mathbb{Z}[e, d, d^{\circ 2}, \dots]$

## Generalized symmetries, continued

- ▶ Since  $P$  is the set of natural operations on objects of  $D$ , we may think of it as a system of **generalized symmetries** which may act on objects of  $C$
- ▶ It is closed under composition and the all the operations of  $C$ 
  - ▶ E.g.: differential operators  $\mathbb{Z}[e, d, d^{\circ 2}, \dots]$
- ▶ An element  $f$  in a composition ring  $P$  is *linear* if it acts additively whenever  $P$  acts on a ring

## Generalized symmetries, continued

- ▶ Since  $P$  is the set of natural operations on objects of  $D$ , we may think of it as a system of **generalized symmetries** which may act on objects of  $C$
- ▶ It is closed under composition and the all the operations of  $C$ 
  - ▶ E.g.: differential operators  $\mathbb{Z}[e, d, d^{\circ 2}, \dots]$
- ▶ An element  $f$  in a composition ring  $P$  is *linear* if it acts additively whenever  $P$  acts on a ring
  - ▶ The  $p$ -derivation  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[e, \delta, \delta^{\circ 2}, \dots]$  is not linear, but the Frobenius lift  $\psi = e^p + p\delta$  is.

## Generalized symmetries, continued

- ▶ Since  $P$  is the set of natural operations on objects of  $D$ , we may think of it as a system of **generalized symmetries** which may act on objects of  $C$
- ▶ It is closed under composition and the all the operations of  $C$ 
  - ▶ E.g.: differential operators  $\mathbb{Z}[e, d, d^{\circ 2}, \dots]$
- ▶ An element  $f$  in a composition ring  $P$  is *linear* if it acts additively whenever  $P$  acts on a ring
  - ▶ The  $p$ -derivation  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[e, \delta, \delta^{\circ 2}, \dots]$  is not linear, but the Frobenius lift  $\psi = e^p + p\delta$  is.
  - ▶ In fact, the composition ring  $\mathbb{Z}[e, \delta, \delta^{\circ 2}, \dots]$  cannot be generated by linear operators! It is fundamentally nonlinear.

# Imperative task #1

Given  $C$ , determine all its composition objects  $P$

## Imperative task #1

Given  $C$ , determine all its composition objects  $P$

- ▶  $R$ -modules:  $P = (\text{noncomm.})$  ring with a map  $R \rightarrow P$

# Imperative task #1

Given  $C$ , determine all its composition objects  $P$

- ▶  $R$ -modules:  $P = (\text{noncomm.})$  ring with a map  $R \rightarrow P$
- ▶ Groups (Kan):  $P$  is the free group on some monoid  $M$ .  
So generalized symmetries are words in endomorphisms

# Imperative task #1

Given  $C$ , determine all its composition objects  $P$

- ▶  $R$ -modules:  $P =$  (noncomm.) ring with a map  $R \rightarrow P$
- ▶ Groups (Kan):  $P$  is the free group on some monoid  $M$ .  
So generalized symmetries are words in endomorphisms
- ▶ Monoids (Bergman–Hausknecht): Generalized symmetries are words in endomorphisms and anti-endomorphisms (but there can be relations!)

# Imperative task #1

Given  $C$ , determine all its composition objects  $P$

- ▶  $R$ -modules:  $P =$  (noncomm.) ring with a map  $R \rightarrow P$
- ▶ Groups (Kan):  $P$  is the free group on some monoid  $M$ .  
So generalized symmetries are words in endomorphisms
- ▶ Monoids (Bergman–Hausknecht): Generalized symmetries are words in endomorphisms and anti-endomorphisms (but there can be relations!)
- ▶ Magnus Carlson (2016): If  $K$  is a field of characteristic 0, all composition objects of  $\text{CAlg}_K$  are freely generated by bialgebras of linear operators!

# Imperative task #1

Given  $C$ , determine all its composition objects  $P$

- ▶  $R$ -modules:  $P =$  (noncomm.) ring with a map  $R \rightarrow P$
- ▶ Groups (Kan):  $P$  is the free group on some monoid  $M$ .  
So generalized symmetries are words in endomorphisms
- ▶ Monoids (Bergman–Hausknecht): Generalized symmetries are words in endomorphisms and anti-endomorphisms (but there can be relations!)
- ▶ Magnus Carlson (2016): If  $K$  is a field of characteristic 0, all composition objects of  $\text{CAlg}_K$  are freely generated by bialgebras of linear operators!
- ▶ Is it possible to classify all composition objects in  $\text{Ring}$ ?

# Imperative task #1

Given  $C$ , determine all its composition objects  $P$

- ▶  $R$ -modules:  $P =$  (noncomm.) ring with a map  $R \rightarrow P$
- ▶ Groups (Kan):  $P$  is the free group on some monoid  $M$ .  
So generalized symmetries are words in endomorphisms
- ▶ Monoids (Bergman–Hausknecht): Generalized symmetries are words in endomorphisms and anti-endomorphisms (but there can be relations!)
- ▶ Magnus Carlson (2016): If  $K$  is a field of characteristic 0, all composition objects of  $\text{CAlg}_K$  are freely generated by bialgebras of linear operators!
- ▶ Is it possible to classify all composition objects in Ring?
  - ▶ Carlson: Yes, if we allow denominators
  - ▶ Buium: Some positive classification results for composition rings generated by a single operator
  - ▶ All known examples come from linear operators or lifting Frobenius-like constructions from char  $p$  to char 0.

## Imperative task #2 (with Garner)

Given  $C$  and an object  $X$  of interest.

- ▶ Everyone: To understand  $X$ , it is important to know all of its symmetries

## Imperative task #2 (with Garner)

Given  $C$  and an object  $X$  of interest.

- ▶ Everyone: To understand  $X$ , it is important to know all of its symmetries
- ▶ Also everyone: If  $X$  is a manifold/scheme/ring/..., this should be understood to include infinitesimal symmetries (vector fields and derivations)

## Imperative task #2 (with Garner)

Given  $C$  and an object  $X$  of interest.

- ▶ Everyone: To understand  $X$ , it is important to know all of its symmetries
- ▶ Also everyone: If  $X$  is a manifold/scheme/ring/..., this should be understood to include infinitesimal symmetries (vector fields and derivations)
- ▶ But it should really include *all* generalized symmetries!

## Imperative task #2 (with Garner)

Given  $C$  and an object  $X$  of interest.

- ▶ Everyone: To understand  $X$ , it is important to know all of its symmetries
- ▶ Also everyone: If  $X$  is a manifold/scheme/ring/..., this should be understood to include infinitesimal symmetries (vector fields and derivations)
- ▶ But it should really include *all* generalized symmetries!
- ▶ **Thm** (Bird): Given an object  $X$  of  $C$ , there is a terminal composition object acting on  $X$ .

## Imperative task #2 (with Garner)

Given  $C$  and an object  $X$  of interest.

- ▶ Everyone: To understand  $X$ , it is important to know all of its symmetries
- ▶ Also everyone: If  $X$  is a manifold/scheme/ring/..., this should be understood to include infinitesimal symmetries (vector fields and derivations)
- ▶ But it should really include *all* generalized symmetries!
- ▶ **Thm** (Bird): Given an object  $X$  of  $C$ , there is a terminal composition object acting on  $X$ .
- ▶ Call it  $\text{END}(X)$ , the **full symmetry composition object** of  $X$ .

## Imperative task #2 (with Garner)

Given  $C$  and an object  $X$  of interest.

- ▶ Everyone: To understand  $X$ , it is important to know all of its symmetries
- ▶ Also everyone: If  $X$  is a manifold/scheme/ring/..., this should be understood to include infinitesimal symmetries (vector fields and derivations)
- ▶ But it should really include *all* generalized symmetries!
- ▶ **Thm** (Bird): Given an object  $X$  of  $C$ , there is a terminal composition object acting on  $X$ .
- ▶ Call it  $\text{END}(X)$ , the **full symmetry composition object** of  $X$ .

If you are interested in  $X$ , you must determine  $\text{END}(X)$ , and then you should try to work “ $\text{END}(X)$ -equivariantly”

## Imperative task #2 (with Garner)

Given  $C$  and an object  $X$  of interest.

- ▶ Everyone: To understand  $X$ , it is important to know all of its symmetries
- ▶ Also everyone: If  $X$  is a manifold/scheme/ring/..., this should be understood to include infinitesimal symmetries (vector fields and derivations)
- ▶ But it should really include *all* generalized symmetries!
- ▶ **Thm** (Bird): Given an object  $X$  of  $C$ , there is a terminal composition object acting on  $X$ .
- ▶ Call it  $\text{END}(X)$ , the **full symmetry composition object** of  $X$ .

If you are interested in  $X$ , you must determine  $\text{END}(X)$ , and then you should try to work “ $\text{END}(X)$ -equivariantly”

- ▶  $\text{END}(\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{?}{=} \{\text{quasi-polynomials } \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\}$  (with Garner)

## Imperative task #2 (with Garner)

Given  $C$  and an object  $X$  of interest.

- ▶ Everyone: To understand  $X$ , it is important to know all of its symmetries
- ▶ Also everyone: If  $X$  is a manifold/scheme/ring/..., this should be understood to include infinitesimal symmetries (vector fields and derivations)
- ▶ But it should really include *all* generalized symmetries!
- ▶ **Thm** (Bird): Given an object  $X$  of  $C$ , there is a terminal composition object acting on  $X$ .
- ▶ Call it  $\text{END}(X)$ , the **full symmetry composition object** of  $X$ .

If you are interested in  $X$ , you must determine  $\text{END}(X)$ , and then you should try to work “ $\text{END}(X)$ -equivariantly”

- ▶  $\text{END}(\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{?}{=} \{\text{quasi-polynomials } \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\}$  (with Garner)
- ▶  $\text{END}(\mathbb{F}_p[t]) = ?$ . Includes derivation  $d/dt$ ,  $t$ -derivation  $f \mapsto (f - f^q)/t, \dots$

### III. Generalized-equivariant algebraic geometry

Principal categories of algebraic geometry:

$$\text{Ring}^{\text{op}} = \text{Aff} \subset \text{Sch} \subset \text{AlgSp} \subset \text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Aff}) \subset \text{PSh}(\text{Aff})$$

### III. Generalized-equivariant algebraic geometry

Principal categories of algebraic geometry:

$$\text{Ring}^{\text{op}} = \text{Aff} \subset \text{Sch} \subset \text{AlgSp} \subset \text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Aff}) \subset \text{PSh}(\text{Aff})$$

Is it possible to extend the theory of generalized symmetries from  $\text{Ring}$  to non-affine schemes?

### III. Generalized-equivariant algebraic geometry

Principal categories of algebraic geometry:

$$\text{Ring}^{\text{op}} = \text{Aff} \subset \text{Sch} \subset \text{AlgSp} \subset \text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Aff}) \subset \text{PSh}(\text{Aff})$$

Is it possible to extend the theory of generalized symmetries from  $\text{Ring}$  to non-affine schemes?

- ▶ Monoid and Lie algebra actions (linear symmetries) are OK:  
 $G$ -schemes,  $\mathfrak{g}$ -schemes
- ▶ Can this be done for  $p$ -derivations and similar non-linear symmetries? (Yes! See below.)

### III. Generalized-equivariant algebraic geometry

Principal categories of algebraic geometry:

$$\text{Ring}^{\text{op}} = \text{Aff} \subset \text{Sch} \subset \text{AlgSp} \subset \text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Aff}) \subset \text{PSh}(\text{Aff})$$

Is it possible to extend the theory of generalized symmetries from  $\text{Ring}$  to non-affine schemes?

- ▶ Monoid and Lie algebra actions (linear symmetries) are OK:  
 $G$ -schemes,  $\mathfrak{g}$ -schemes
- ▶ Can this be done for  $p$ -derivations and similar non-linear symmetries? (Yes! See below.)
- ▶ Can this be done for *every* composition ring?

### III. Generalized-equivariant algebraic geometry

Principal categories of algebraic geometry:

$$\text{Ring}^{\text{op}} = \text{Aff} \subset \text{Sch} \subset \text{AlgSp} \subset \text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Aff}) \subset \text{PSh}(\text{Aff})$$

Is it possible to extend the theory of generalized symmetries from  $\text{Ring}$  to non-affine schemes?

- ▶ Monoid and Lie algebra actions (linear symmetries) are OK:  
 $G$ -schemes,  $\mathfrak{g}$ -schemes
- ▶ Can this be done for  $p$ -derivations and similar non-linear symmetries? (Yes! See below.)
- ▶ Can this be done for *every* composition ring?
- ▶ Could there some kind of new generalized symmetry structures that exist only at the non-affine level?

## $\delta$ -structures on schemes (Greenberg, Buium, me)

Given a functor  $X: \text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , define

$$W_{n*}(X): C \mapsto X(W_n(C)),$$

where  $W_n(C)$  is the ring of truncated Witt vectors  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ .

## $\delta$ -structures on schemes (Greenberg, Buium, me)

Given a functor  $X: \text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , define

$$W_{n*}(X): C \mapsto X(W_n(C)),$$

where  $W_n(C)$  is the ring of truncated Witt vectors  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ .

- ▶  $W_n(C)$  is analogous to the truncated power series ring.  
So  $W_{n*}(X)$  is a Witt vector analogue of the  $n$ -th jet space, the “arithmetic jet space”

## $\delta$ -structures on schemes (Greenberg, Buium, me)

Given a functor  $X: \text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , define

$$W_{n*}(X): C \mapsto X(W_n(C)),$$

where  $W_n(C)$  is the ring of truncated Witt vectors  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ .

- ▶  $W_n(C)$  is analogous to the truncated power series ring.  
So  $W_{n*}(X)$  is a Witt vector analogue of the  $n$ -th jet space, the “arithmetic jet space”

**Thm:** If  $X$  is a scheme, then so is  $W_{n*}(X)$ . Likewise for algebraic spaces and sheaves in the étale topology.

## $\delta$ -structures on schemes (Greenberg, Buium, me)

Given a functor  $X: \text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , define

$$W_{n*}(X): C \mapsto X(W_n(C)),$$

where  $W_n(C)$  is the ring of truncated Witt vectors  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ .

- ▶  $W_n(C)$  is analogous to the truncated power series ring.  
So  $W_{n*}(X)$  is a Witt vector analogue of the  $n$ -th jet space, the “arithmetic jet space”

**Thm:** If  $X$  is a scheme, then so is  $W_{n*}(X)$ . Likewise for algebraic spaces and sheaves in the étale topology.

- ▶ This allows us to extend the theory of  $p$ -derivations,  $\delta$ -structures, and Witt vectors from rings to schemes  $\rightarrow$  “ $\delta$ -equivariant algebraic geometry”

## $\delta$ -structures on schemes (Greenberg, Buium, me)

Given a functor  $X: \text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , define

$$W_{n*}(X): C \mapsto X(W_n(C)),$$

where  $W_n(C)$  is the ring of truncated Witt vectors  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ .

- ▶  $W_n(C)$  is analogous to the truncated power series ring.  
So  $W_{n*}(X)$  is a Witt vector analogue of the  $n$ -th jet space, the “arithmetic jet space”

**Thm:** If  $X$  is a scheme, then so is  $W_{n*}(X)$ . Likewise for algebraic spaces and sheaves in the étale topology.

- ▶ This allows us to extend the theory of  $p$ -derivations,  $\delta$ -structures, and Witt vectors from rings to schemes  $\rightarrow$  “ $\delta$ -equivariant algebraic geometry”
- ▶ The proof (Illusie, van der Kallen, Langer–Zink, me) is not formal!

## Hilbert's 12th Problem

Given a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , is there an **explicit description** of  $K^{\text{ab}}$ , its maximal Galois extension with abelian Galois group?

- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ : Yes, the Kronecker–Weber theorem (1853–1896):  
adjoin all roots of unity  $\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$

## Hilbert's 12th Problem

Given a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , is there an **explicit description** of  $K^{\text{ab}}$ , its maximal Galois extension with abelian Galois group?

- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ : Yes, the Kronecker–Weber theorem (1853–1896): adjoin all roots of unity  $\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$
- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ ,  $d > 0$ : Yes, Kronecker's Jugendtraum (1850s–1920): adjoin certain special values of elliptic and modular functions to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$

# Hilbert's 12th Problem

Given a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , is there an **explicit description** of  $K^{\text{ab}}$ , its maximal Galois extension with abelian Galois group?

- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ : Yes, the Kronecker–Weber theorem (1853–1896): adjoin all roots of unity  $\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$
- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ ,  $d > 0$ : Yes, Kronecker's Jugendtraum (1850s–1920): adjoin certain special values of elliptic and modular functions to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$
- ▶ Nowadays, people usually express them in terms of adjoining the coordinates of **torsion points on commutative group schemes**, instead of special values of transcendental functions

# Hilbert's 12th Problem

Given a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , is there an **explicit description** of  $K^{\text{ab}}$ , its maximal Galois extension with abelian Galois group?

- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ : Yes, the Kronecker–Weber theorem (1853–1896): adjoin all roots of unity  $\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$
- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ ,  $d > 0$ : Yes, Kronecker's Jugendtraum (1850s–1920): adjoin certain special values of elliptic and modular functions to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$
- ▶ Nowadays, people usually express them in terms of adjoining the coordinates of **torsion points on commutative group schemes**, instead of special values of transcendental functions
- ▶ No other answers to H12 are known. But H12 is imprecise!

## Hilbert's 12th Problem

Given a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , is there an **explicit description** of  $K^{\text{ab}}$ , its maximal Galois extension with abelian Galois group?

- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ : Yes, the Kronecker–Weber theorem (1853–1896): adjoin all roots of unity  $\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$
- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ ,  $d > 0$ : Yes, Kronecker's Jugendtraum (1850s–1920): adjoin certain special values of elliptic and modular functions to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$
- ▶ Nowadays, people usually express them in terms of adjoining the coordinates of **torsion points on commutative group schemes**, instead of special values of transcendental functions
- ▶ No other answers to H12 are known. But H12 is imprecise!
- ▶ Class field theory (Hilbert–Takagi–Artin, 1896–1927) gives an explicit description of  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$ —but not of  $K^{\text{ab}}$ !

## Hilbert's 12th Problem

Given a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , is there an **explicit description** of  $K^{\text{ab}}$ , its maximal Galois extension with abelian Galois group?

- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ : Yes, the Kronecker–Weber theorem (1853–1896): adjoin all roots of unity  $\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$
- ▶  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ ,  $d > 0$ : Yes, Kronecker's Jugendtraum (1850s–1920): adjoin certain special values of elliptic and modular functions to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$
- ▶ Nowadays, people usually express them in terms of adjoining the coordinates of **torsion points on commutative group schemes**, instead of special values of transcendental functions
- ▶ No other answers to H12 are known. But H12 is imprecise!
- ▶ Class field theory (Hilbert–Takagi–Artin, 1896–1927) gives an explicit description of  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$ —but not of  $K^{\text{ab}}$ !
- ▶ New idea: Use **periodic points on  $\Lambda_K$ -schemes** instead!

## $\Lambda_K$ -structures

Fix a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denote its subring of algebraic integers. Let  $R$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra.

- ▶ A  $\Lambda_K$ -structure on  $R$  is a commuting family of endomorphisms  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , one for each nonzero prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv x^{N(\mathfrak{p})} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}R}$ , where  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}|$ .

## $\Lambda_K$ -structures

Fix a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denote its subring of algebraic integers. Let  $R$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra.

- ▶ A  $\Lambda_K$ -structure on  $R$  is a commuting family of endomorphisms  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , one for each nonzero prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv x^{N(\mathfrak{p})} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}R}$ , where  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}|$ .
- ▶ Similarly for schemes.

## $\Lambda_K$ -structures

Fix a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denote its subring of algebraic integers. Let  $R$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra.

- ▶ A  $\Lambda_K$ -structure on  $R$  is a commuting family of endomorphisms  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , one for each nonzero prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv x^{N(\mathfrak{p})} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}R}$ , where  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}|$ .
- ▶ Similarly for schemes.
- ▶ If there is nontrivial torsion, we have to interpret all this in the enlightened way, as with Frobenius lifts at a single prime.

## $\Lambda_K$ -structures

Fix a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denote its subring of algebraic integers. Let  $R$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra.

- ▶ A  $\Lambda_K$ -structure on  $R$  is a commuting family of endomorphisms  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , one for each nonzero prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv x^{N(\mathfrak{p})} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}R}$ , where  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}|$ .
- ▶ Similarly for schemes.
- ▶ If there is nontrivial torsion, we have to interpret all this in the enlightened way, as with Frobenius lifts at a single prime.
- ▶  $\rightarrow$  composition  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra  $\Lambda_K$ , again nonlinear!

## $\Lambda_K$ -structures

Fix a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denote its subring of algebraic integers. Let  $R$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra.

- ▶ A  $\Lambda_K$ -structure on  $R$  is a commuting family of endomorphisms  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , one for each nonzero prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv x^{N(\mathfrak{p})} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}R}$ , where  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}|$ .
- ▶ Similarly for schemes.
- ▶ If there is nontrivial torsion, we have to interpret all this in the enlightened way, as with Frobenius lifts at a single prime.
- ▶  $\rightarrow$  composition  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra  $\Lambda_K$ , again nonlinear!
- ▶ Wilkerson, Joyal:  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -ring =  $\lambda$ -ring as in K-theory

## $\Lambda_K$ -structures and Hilbert's 12th Problem (with de Smit)

- ▶ Given a  $\Lambda_K$ -scheme  $X$ , a point  $x$  is **periodic** if  $\psi_p(x)$  is periodic as a function of  $p$  (in a certain technical sense)

## $\Lambda_K$ -structures and Hilbert's 12th Problem (with de Smit)

- ▶ Given a  $\Lambda_K$ -scheme  $X$ , a point  $x$  is **periodic** if  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$  is periodic as a function of  $\mathfrak{p}$  (in a certain technical sense)

# $\Lambda_K$ -structures and Hilbert's 12th Problem (with de Smit)

- ▶ Given a  $\Lambda_K$ -scheme  $X$ , a point  $x$  is **periodic** if  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$  is periodic as a function of  $\mathfrak{p}$  (in a certain technical sense)
- ▶ E.g.  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $X(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = x^{\mathfrak{p}}$   
Then  $x$  is periodic  $\Leftrightarrow x$  is a root of unity

# $\Lambda_K$ -structures and Hilbert's 12th Problem (with de Smit)

- ▶ Given a  $\Lambda_K$ -scheme  $X$ , a point  $x$  is **periodic** if  $\psi_p(x)$  is periodic as a function of  $p$  (in a certain technical sense)
- ▶ E.g.  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $X(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\psi_p(x) = x^p$   
Then  $x$  is periodic  $\Leftrightarrow x$  is a root of unity
- ▶ **Thm:** The coordinates of the periodic points of  $X$  generate an abelian extension of  $K$  (if  $X$  is of finite type).

# $\Lambda_K$ -structures and Hilbert's 12th Problem (with de Smit)

- ▶ Given a  $\Lambda_K$ -scheme  $X$ , a point  $x$  is **periodic** if  $\psi_p(x)$  is periodic as a function of  $p$  (in a certain technical sense)
- ▶ E.g.  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $X(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\psi_p(x) = x^p$   
Then  $x$  is periodic  $\Leftrightarrow x$  is a root of unity
- ▶ **Thm:** The coordinates of the periodic points of  $X$  generate an abelian extension of  $K$  (if  $X$  is of finite type).
- ▶ An extension  $L/K$  is  **$\Lambda$ -geometric** if it can be generated by the periodic points of some such  $X$

## $\Lambda_K$ -structures and Hilbert's 12th Problem (with de Smit)

- ▶ Given a  $\Lambda_K$ -scheme  $X$ , a point  $x$  is **periodic** if  $\psi_p(x)$  is periodic as a function of  $p$  (in a certain technical sense)
- ▶ E.g.  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $X(C) = C^*$ ,  $\psi_p(x) = x^p$   
Then  $x$  is periodic  $\Leftrightarrow x$  is a root of unity
- ▶ **Thm:** The coordinates of the periodic points of  $X$  generate an abelian extension of  $K$  (if  $X$  is of finite type).
- ▶ An extension  $L/K$  is  **$\Lambda$ -geometric** if it can be generated by the periodic points of some such  $X$
- ▶ This allows for a yes/no formulation of Hilbert's 12th Problem:  
Is  $K^{\text{ab}}/K$  a  $\Lambda$ -geometric extension?

## $\Lambda_K$ -structures and Hilbert's 12th Problem (with de Smit)

- ▶ Given a  $\Lambda_K$ -scheme  $X$ , a point  $x$  is **periodic** if  $\psi_p(x)$  is periodic as a function of  $p$  (in a certain technical sense)
- ▶ E.g.  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $X(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\psi_p(x) = x^p$   
Then  $x$  is periodic  $\Leftrightarrow x$  is a root of unity
- ▶ **Thm:** The coordinates of the periodic points of  $X$  generate an abelian extension of  $K$  (if  $X$  is of finite type).
- ▶ An extension  $L/K$  is  **$\Lambda$ -geometric** if it can be generated by the periodic points of some such  $X$
- ▶ This allows for a yes/no formulation of Hilbert's 12th Problem:  
**Is  $K^{\text{ab}}/K$  a  $\Lambda$ -geometric extension?**
- ▶ **Thm:** Yes, in the Kroneckerian cases:  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ .

## $\Lambda_K$ -structures and Hilbert's 12th Problem (with de Smit)

- ▶ Given a  $\Lambda_K$ -scheme  $X$ , a point  $x$  is **periodic** if  $\psi_p(x)$  is periodic as a function of  $p$  (in a certain technical sense)
- ▶ E.g.  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $X(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\psi_p(x) = x^p$   
Then  $x$  is periodic  $\Leftrightarrow x$  is a root of unity
- ▶ **Thm:** The coordinates of the periodic points of  $X$  generate an abelian extension of  $K$  (if  $X$  is of finite type).
- ▶ An extension  $L/K$  is  **$\Lambda$ -geometric** if it can be generated by the periodic points of some such  $X$
- ▶ This allows for a yes/no formulation of Hilbert's 12th Problem:  
**Is  $K^{\text{ab}}/K$  a  $\Lambda$ -geometric extension?**
- ▶ **Thm:** Yes, in the Kroneckerian cases:  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ .
- ▶ Any answer, positive or negative, for any other  $K$  would be very interesting!

## IV. Concluding questions

- ▶ Given any composition ring  $P$ , can the notion of  $P$ -structure be extended from rings to schemes?
  - ▶ Yes in the cases we care most about so far: linear,  $\delta$ -structures,  $\Lambda$ -structures

## IV. Concluding questions

- ▶ Given any composition ring  $P$ , can the notion of  $P$ -structure be extended from rings to schemes?
  - ▶ Yes in the cases we care most about so far: linear,  $\delta$ -structures,  $\Lambda$ -structures
  - ▶ But the non-linear ones here require real theorems!

## IV. Concluding questions

- ▶ Given any composition ring  $P$ , can the notion of  $P$ -structure be extended from rings to schemes?
  - ▶ Yes in the cases we care most about so far: linear,  $\delta$ -structures,  $\Lambda$ -structures
  - ▶ But the non-linear ones here require real theorems!
  - ▶ However, that might be enough in general if there is a classification result for composition rings

## IV. Concluding questions

- ▶ Given any composition ring  $P$ , can the notion of  $P$ -structure be extended from rings to schemes?
  - ▶ Yes in the cases we care most about so far: linear,  $\delta$ -structures,  $\Lambda$ -structures
  - ▶ But the non-linear ones here require real theorems!
  - ▶ However, that might be enough in general if there is a classification result for composition rings
- ▶ Can we make sense of  $\text{END}(X)$  for non-affine schemes?

## IV. Concluding questions

- ▶ Given any composition ring  $P$ , can the notion of  $P$ -structure be extended from rings to schemes?
  - ▶ Yes in the cases we care most about so far: linear,  $\delta$ -structures,  $\Lambda$ -structures
  - ▶ But the non-linear ones here require real theorems!
  - ▶ However, that might be enough in general if there is a classification result for composition rings
- ▶ Can we make sense of  $\text{END}(X)$  for non-affine schemes?
  - ▶ If so, we might hope to find new  $\Lambda_K$ -schemes, and hence say something about Hilbert's 12th Problem, by looking at  $\text{END}(X)$  for specific  $X$ , say  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^2$

## IV. Concluding questions

- ▶ Given any composition ring  $P$ , can the notion of  $P$ -structure be extended from rings to schemes?
  - ▶ Yes in the cases we care most about so far: linear,  $\delta$ -structures,  $\Lambda$ -structures
  - ▶ But the non-linear ones here require real theorems!
  - ▶ However, that might be enough in general if there is a classification result for composition rings
- ▶ Can we make sense of  $\text{END}(X)$  for non-affine schemes?
  - ▶ If so, we might hope to find new  $\Lambda_K$ -schemes, and hence say something about Hilbert's 12th Problem, by looking at  $\text{END}(X)$  for specific  $X$ , say  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^2$
- ▶ Can one classify the composition objects in  $\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}}$ ?

## IV. Concluding questions

- ▶ Given any composition ring  $P$ , can the notion of  $P$ -structure be extended from rings to schemes?
  - ▶ Yes in the cases we care most about so far: linear,  $\delta$ -structures,  $\Lambda$ -structures
  - ▶ But the non-linear ones here require real theorems!
  - ▶ However, that might be enough in general if there is a classification result for composition rings
- ▶ Can we make sense of  $\text{END}(X)$  for non-affine schemes?
  - ▶ If so, we might hope to find new  $\Lambda_K$ -schemes, and hence say something about Hilbert's 12th Problem, by looking at  $\text{END}(X)$  for specific  $X$ , say  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^2$
- ▶ Can one classify the composition objects in  $\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}}$ ?
  - ▶ There are nonlinear ones! Use positivity instead of integrality!

## IV. Concluding questions

- ▶ Given any composition ring  $P$ , can the notion of  $P$ -structure be extended from rings to schemes?
  - ▶ Yes in the cases we care most about so far: linear,  $\delta$ -structures,  $\Lambda$ -structures
  - ▶ But the non-linear ones here require real theorems!
  - ▶ However, that might be enough in general if there is a classification result for composition rings
- ▶ Can we make sense of  $\text{END}(X)$  for non-affine schemes?
  - ▶ If so, we might hope to find new  $\Lambda_K$ -schemes, and hence say something about Hilbert's 12th Problem, by looking at  $\text{END}(X)$  for specific  $X$ , say  $\mathbb{P}_{O_K}^2$
- ▶ Can one classify the composition objects in  $\text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$ ?
  - ▶ There are nonlinear ones! Use positivity instead of integrality!
- ▶ There must be many examples of other categories of algebras with generalized symmetries which are interesting and important!