

Bicategories with lax units and Morita theory

Ülo Reimaa

University of Tartu

10.07.2018

For rings with identity and similar structures, several basic properties of the notion of **Morita equivalence** are a consequence of rings and bimodules forming a bicategory.

For rings with identity and similar structures, several basic properties of the notion of **Morita equivalence** are a consequence of rings and bimodules forming a bicategory and a consequence of the notion being essentially the same as the equivalence of objects of that bicategory.

For rings with identity and similar structures, several basic properties of the notion of **Morita equivalence** are a consequence of rings and bimodules forming a bicategory and a consequence of the notion being essentially the same as the equivalence of objects of that bicategory.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M \otimes N & & \\ & \frown & & \searrow & \\ R & \xrightarrow{M} & T & \xrightarrow{N} & S. \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

For rings with identity and similar structures, several basic properties of the notion of **Morita equivalence** are a consequence of rings and bimodules forming a bicategory and a consequence of the notion being essentially the same as the equivalence of objects of that bicategory.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M \otimes N & & \\ & \frown & & \searrow & \\ R & \xrightarrow{M} & T & \xrightarrow{N} & S. \end{array}$$

Note the direction of compositional flow.

We have the invertible associator maps

$$a: (M \otimes N) \otimes L \rightarrow M \otimes (N \otimes L)$$

and the invertible unitors

$$l: R \otimes M \rightarrow M, \quad r \otimes m \mapsto rm,$$

$$r: M \otimes R \rightarrow M, \quad r \otimes m \mapsto rm.$$

From this point forth, **ring** will mean one that structurally does not have an identity element.

From this point forth, **ring** will mean one that structurally does not have an identity element.

(Unless we specify that we mean a **ring with identity**.)

Rings (without structural identity) and bimodules do not form a bicategory solely because of the fact that the unitors

$$l: R \otimes M \rightarrow M, \quad r \otimes m \mapsto rm,$$

$$r: M \otimes R \rightarrow M, \quad m \otimes r \mapsto mr$$

need not be invertible.

Rings (without structural identity) and bimodules do not form a bicategory solely because of the fact that the unitors

$$l: R \otimes M \rightarrow M, \quad r \otimes m \mapsto rm,$$

$$r: M \otimes R \rightarrow M, \quad m \otimes r \mapsto mr$$

need not be invertible.

Problem:

How much Morita theory can we still do in a 2-categorical setting?

Let R be a ring and let M be a right R -module. In the monoidal category \mathbf{Ab} we have the coequalizer

$$M \odot R \odot R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\rho_M \odot 1} \\ \xrightarrow{1 \odot \mu_R} \end{array} M \odot R \longrightarrow M \otimes R$$

Let R be a ring and let M be a right R -module. In the monoidal category \mathbf{Ab} we have the coequalizer

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \odot R \odot R & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\rho_M \odot 1} \\ \xrightarrow{1 \odot \mu_R} \end{array} & M \odot R & \longrightarrow & M \otimes R \\
 & & & \searrow^{\rho_M} & \\
 & & & & M
 \end{array}$$

Let R be a ring and let M be a right R -module. In the monoidal category \mathbf{Ab} we have the coequalizer

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \odot R \odot R & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\rho_M \odot 1} \\ \xrightarrow{1 \odot \mu_R} \end{array} & M \odot R & \longrightarrow & M \otimes R \\
 & & & \searrow \rho_M & \downarrow r_M \\
 & & & & M
 \end{array}$$

Let R be a ring and let M be a right R -module. In the monoidal category \mathbf{Ab} we have the coequalizer

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \odot R \odot R & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\rho_M \odot 1} \\ \xrightarrow{1 \odot \mu_R} \end{array} & M \odot R \longrightarrow M \otimes R \\
 & & \swarrow \rho_M \quad \downarrow r_M \\
 & & M
 \end{array}$$

$m \mapsto m \odot 1$

Using the map $m \mapsto m \odot 1$ we can show that

$$M \odot R \rightarrow M$$

also coequalizes the pair.

The Morita theory of rings without identity and various other internal semigroups objects has been studied by several people and some aspects do fit in a 2-categorical setting.

The Morita theory of rings without identity and various other internal semigroups objects has been studied by several people and some aspects do fit in a 2-categorical setting.

The general themes are the following:

Modules for which the maps

$$l: R \otimes M \rightarrow M, \quad r \otimes m \mapsto rm,$$

$$r: M \otimes R \rightarrow M, \quad m \otimes r \mapsto mr$$

are isomorphisms.

The Morita theory of rings without identity and various other internal semigroups objects has been studied by several people and some aspects do fit in a 2-categorical setting.

The general themes are the following:

Modules for which the maps

$$l: R \otimes M \rightarrow M, \quad r \otimes m \mapsto rm,$$

$$r: M \otimes R \rightarrow M, \quad m \otimes r \mapsto mr$$

are surjections.

Notation:

- Objects of a bicategory: A, B, \dots
- 1-cells of a bicategory: M, N, \dots
- 2-cells of a bicategory: f, g, \dots
- unit 1-cells: I_A

Lax-unital bicategories

Definition

A **lax-unital bicategory** \mathcal{B} is given by the same data as a bicategory. The properties the data is required to satisfy are also the same, with the following exceptions:

Lax-unital bicategories

Definition

A **lax-unital bicategory** \mathcal{B} is given by the same data as a bicategory. The properties the data is required to satisfy are also the same, with the following exceptions:

- the unitors

$$l: IM \rightarrow M,$$

$$r: MI \rightarrow M$$

need not be invertible,

Lax-unital bicategories

Definition

A **lax-unital bicategory** \mathcal{B} is given by the same data as a bicategory. The properties the data is required to satisfy are also the same, with the following exceptions:

- the unitors

$$l: IM \rightarrow M,$$

$$r: MI \rightarrow M$$

need not be invertible,

- coherence follows from the diagrams below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 ((MN)L)K & \xrightarrow{a} & (MN)(LK) \\
 \downarrow a_1 & & \searrow a \\
 (M(NL))K & \xrightarrow{a} & M((NL)K) \nearrow 1a \\
 & & M(N(LK)),
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (MI)N & & \\ \downarrow a & \searrow r1 & \\ M(IN) & \xrightarrow{1l} & MN \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (MI)N & & \\
 a \downarrow & \searrow r1 & \\
 M(IN) & \xrightarrow{1l} & MN,
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (MN)I & & \\
 a \downarrow & \searrow r & \\
 M(NI) & \xrightarrow{1r} & MN,
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (IM)N & & \\
 a \downarrow & \searrow l1 & \\
 I(MN) & \xrightarrow{l} & MN,
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & l & \\
 II & \curvearrowright & I. \\
 & r &
 \end{array}$$

Definition

A **Morita context** $\Gamma: A \rightarrow B$ consists of 1-cells

$$P_\Gamma: A \rightarrow B \quad Q_\Gamma: B \rightarrow A$$

and 2-cells

$$\theta_\Gamma: PQ \rightarrow I \quad \phi_\Gamma: QP \rightarrow I.$$

such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q(PQ) & \xrightarrow{1\theta} & QI \\ \uparrow a & & \downarrow r \\ (QP)Q & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & IQ \\ & & \uparrow l \\ & & Q, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(QP) & \xrightarrow{1\phi} & PI \\ \uparrow a & & \downarrow r \\ (PQ)P & \xrightarrow{\theta_1} & IP \\ & & \uparrow l \\ & & P. \end{array}$$

Lax functors

- natural comparison 2-cells
 $\Phi_{M,N}: F(M)F(N) \rightarrow F(MN),$
- comparison 2-cells $\Phi_A^0: I_{F(A)} \rightarrow F(I_A).$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(M)I_{F(B)} & \xrightarrow{1\Phi_B^0} & F(M)F(I_B) \\ r_{F(M)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_{M,I} \\ F(M) & \xleftarrow{F(r)} & F(MI_B), \end{array}$$

Lax functors

- natural comparison 2-cells
 $\Phi_{M,N}: F(M)F(N) \rightarrow F(MN),$
- comparison 2-cells $\Phi_A^0: F(I_A) \rightarrow I_{F(A)}.$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(M)I_{F(B)} & \xleftarrow{1\Phi_B^0} & F(M)F(I_B) \\ r_{F(M)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_{M,I} \\ F(M) & \xleftarrow{F(r)} & F(MI_B), \end{array}$$

Lax functors take Morita contexts to Morita contexts.

$$PQ \xrightarrow{\theta} I$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$F(P)F(Q) \xrightarrow{\Phi} F(PQ) \xrightarrow{\theta} F(I) \xrightarrow{\Phi^0} I.$$

From this point forth we will suppose that every hom-category $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$ carries an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$, where

\mathcal{E} = strongly epimorphic 2-cells

and

\mathcal{M} = monomorphic 2-cells.

From this point forth we will suppose that every hom-category $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$ carries an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$, where

\mathcal{E} = strongly epimorphic 2-cells

and

\mathcal{M} = monomorphic 2-cells.

The composition functor of \mathcal{B} is required to map elements of \mathcal{E} into \mathcal{E} .

From this point forth we will suppose that every hom-category $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$ carries an orthogonal factorization system $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$, where

\mathcal{E} = strongly epimorphic 2-cells

and

\mathcal{M} = monomorphic 2-cells.

The composition functor of \mathcal{B} is required to map elements of \mathcal{E} into \mathcal{E} .

$$f \in \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow f1 \in \mathcal{E} \wedge 1f \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Definition

We define a 1-cell $M: A \rightarrow B$ to be

- **right unitary** when $r: MI \rightarrow M$ lies in \mathcal{E} ,
- **right firm** when $r: MI \rightarrow M$ is invertible.

Definition

An object A is called *firm* or *unitary* if the corresponding 1-cell I_A is so.

Definition

Let $\Gamma: A \rightarrow B$ be a Morita context. When P_Γ and Q_Γ are unitary 1-cells and the 2-cells θ_Γ and ϕ_Γ belong to \mathcal{E} , we will call Γ an \mathcal{E} -Morita context.

Definition

Let $\Gamma: A \rightarrow B$ be a Morita context. When P_Γ and Q_Γ are unitary 1-cells and the 2-cells θ_Γ and ϕ_Γ belong to \mathcal{E} , we will call Γ an \mathcal{E} -Morita context.

Proposition

The relation of \mathcal{E} -equivalence is a transitive and symmetric relation on the objects of a lax-unital bicategory.

Definition

Let $\Gamma: A \rightarrow B$ be a Morita context. When P_Γ and Q_Γ are unitary 1-cells and the 2-cells θ_Γ and ϕ_Γ belong to \mathcal{E} , we will call Γ an \mathcal{E} -Morita context.

Proposition

The relation of \mathcal{E} -equivalence is a transitive and symmetric relation on the objects of a lax-unital bicategory.

Proposition

Let A and B be arbitrary objects of a lax-unital bicategory and suppose that there exists an \mathcal{E} -Morita context from A to B . Then A and B are unitary.

Theorem

Suppose that $\Gamma: A \rightarrow B$ is a Morita context in a lax-unital bicategory \mathcal{B} , where either all left unitors or all right unitors are epimorphisms. Then, if $\theta_\Gamma: PQ \rightarrow I$ is in \mathcal{E} , it is a monomorphism.

In practice some lax-unital bicategories have a certain absorption property.

In practice some lax-unital bicategories have a certain absorption property.

Absorption

If M is right unitary and N is left unitary, then the 2-cell

$$MIN \rightarrow MN$$

is invertible.

In practice some lax-unital bicategories have a certain absorption property.

Absorption

If M is right unitary and N is left unitary, then the 2-cell

$$MIN \rightarrow MN$$

is invertible.

Corollary

If $M: A \rightarrow B$ is right unitary and B is unitary, then $AI: A \rightarrow B$ is right firm.

In practice some lax-unital bicategories have a certain absorption property.

Absorption

If M is right unitary and N is left unitary, then the 2-cell

$$MIN \rightarrow MN$$

is invertible.

Corollary

If $M: A \rightarrow B$ is right unitary and B is unitary, then $AI: A \rightarrow B$ is right firm.

Corollary

If A is unitary, then $II: A \rightarrow A$ is a firm 1-cell.

Using the (StrongEpis, Monos)-factorizations, we can define a lax functor

$$U: \mathcal{B}^U \rightarrow U\mathcal{B}^U .$$

Using the (StrongEpis, Monos)-factorizations, we can define a lax functor

$$U: \mathcal{B}^U \rightarrow U\mathcal{B}^U.$$

We can turn 1-cells right unitary using the identity on objects lax-functor

$$R: \mathcal{B}^U \rightarrow U^R\mathcal{B}^U.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & r_M & & \\
 & \overbrace{\hspace{15em}} & & \searrow & \\
 MI & \xrightarrow{e_M} & R(M) & \xrightarrow{m_M} & \dot{M} \\
 f_1 \downarrow & & \vdots R(f) & & \downarrow f \\
 NI & \xrightarrow{e_N} & R(N) & \xrightarrow{m_N} & N. \\
 & \underbrace{\hspace{15em}} & & \nearrow & \\
 & & r_N & &
 \end{array}$$

This lax functor is locally right adjoint to the inclusion

$$U^R \mathcal{B}^U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^U .$$

If we do the construction of R starting from \mathcal{B} , we get a locally well-copointed lax functor

$$R' : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} .$$

If the transfinite sequence of 1-cells

$$\dots \rightarrow R'^2(M) \rightarrow R'^1(M) \rightarrow M$$

always converges, then we get a lax functor

$$R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow U^R \mathcal{B} .$$

Problem

What if we do the same for the transfinite sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow MII \rightarrow MI \rightarrow M?$$

Does this converge in the main examples?

$$\dots \rightarrow M \otimes R \otimes R \rightarrow M \otimes R \rightarrow M.$$

Problem

What if we do the same for the transfinite sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow MII \rightarrow MI \rightarrow M?$$

Does this converge in the main examples?

$$\dots \rightarrow M \otimes R \otimes R \rightarrow M \otimes R \rightarrow M.$$

We can assume that M is unitary.

We want the inclusions

$$U\mathcal{B}^U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^U$$

and

$$F\mathcal{B}^F \rightarrow U\mathcal{B}^F$$

to locally have right adjoints, because that allows us to carry any closed structure on \mathcal{B} onto $U\mathcal{B}^U$ and $F\mathcal{B}^F$.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{B} be a right closed lax-unital bicategory in which the 2-cell factorizations in \mathcal{B} are given by the epimorphic and the monomorphic 2-cells. Then, if two firm objects A and B of \mathcal{B} are \mathcal{E} -equivalent, the categories $F^R\mathcal{B}(C, A)$ and $F^R\mathcal{B}(C, B)$ are also equivalent for any firm object C of \mathcal{B} .