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- $K$ field, $K\left[V_{G}\right]=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right], K\left[E_{G}\right]=K\left[t_{i j} \mid\{i, j\} \in E_{G}\right]$.
- $\eta: K\left[E_{G}\right] \rightarrow K\left[V_{G}\right]$ defined by $t_{i j} \mapsto x_{i} x_{j}$.
- Defn. Let $I\left(X_{G}\right) \subset K\left[E_{G}\right]$ be the ideal given by:

$$
I\left(X_{G}\right)=\eta^{-1}\left(x_{i}^{2}-x_{j}^{2} \mid i, j \in V_{G}\right) .
$$
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$I\left(X_{G}\right)$ has the following minimal generating set:

$>t_{13}^{2}-t_{12}^{2}, \ldots, t_{56}^{2}-t_{12}^{2}$,
$-t_{13} t_{25}-t_{12} t_{35}, \quad t_{12} t_{25}-t_{13} t_{35}, \quad t_{12} t_{13}-t_{25} t_{35}$,
$I\left(X_{G}\right)$ has the following minimal generating set:

$>t_{13}^{2}-t_{12}^{2}, \ldots, t_{56}^{2}-t_{12}^{2}$,

- $t_{13} t_{25}-t_{12} t_{35}, \quad t_{12} t_{25}-t_{13} t_{35}, \quad t_{12} t_{13}-t_{25} t_{35}$,
$\rightarrow t_{14} t_{35}-t_{13} t_{45}, t_{13} t_{35}-t_{14} t_{45}, t_{13} t_{14}-t_{35} t_{45}$,
$I\left(X_{G}\right)$ has the following minimal generating set:
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$-t_{14} t_{35}-t_{13} t_{45}, \quad t_{13} t_{35}-t_{14} t_{45}, \quad t_{13} t_{14}-t_{35} t_{45}$,
$-t_{14} t_{25}-t_{12} t_{45},\left(t_{12} t_{25}-t_{14} t_{45},\right) t_{12} t_{14}-t_{25} t_{45}$.
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- Its set of zeros is $X_{G} \subset\{-1,1\}^{\left|E_{G}\right|} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\left|E_{G}\right|-1}$.
- Theorem [N., Vaz Pinto, Villarreal]

$$
\left|X_{G}\right|= \begin{cases}2^{n-b_{0}}(\text { bipartite }) & \text { or } \\ 2^{n-b_{0}-1} & \text { (non-bipartite) }\end{cases}
$$
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- Defn. $\operatorname{reg}(G):=r$, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity.
- Aim: relate $\operatorname{reg}(G)$ with an invariant of $G$.

C-M regularity of graphs
$-\operatorname{reg}\left(\mathcal{K}_{a, b}\right)=\max \{a, b\}-1$;
[González, Rentería, 2008]

C-M regularity of graphs
$-\operatorname{reg}\left(\mathcal{K}_{a, b}\right)=\max \{a, b\}-1$;
[González, Rentería, 2008]

- $G=$ tree or $\mathcal{C}_{2 k+1}, \operatorname{reg}(G)=\left|E_{G}\right|-1$;
[Sarmiento, Vaz Pinto, Villarreal, 2011]

C-M regularity of graphs
$-\operatorname{reg}\left(\mathcal{K}_{a, b}\right)=\max \{a, b\}-1$;
[González, Rentería, 2008]

- $G=$ tree or $\mathcal{C}_{2 k+1}, \operatorname{reg}(G)=\left|E_{G}\right|-1$;
[Sarmiento, Vaz Pinto, Villarreal, 2011]
$-\operatorname{reg}\left(\mathcal{K}_{n}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor, n \geq 4$;
[González, Rentería, Sarmiento, 2013]
$-\operatorname{reg}\left(\mathcal{K}_{a, b}\right)=\max \{a, b\}-1$;
[González, Rentería, 2008]
- $G=$ tree or $\mathcal{C}_{2 k+1}, \operatorname{reg}(G)=\left|E_{G}\right|-1$;
[Sarmiento, Vaz Pinto, Villarreal, 2011]
$-\operatorname{reg}\left(\mathcal{K}_{n}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor, n \geq 4$;
[González, Rentería, Sarmiento, 2013]
$-\operatorname{reg}\left(\mathcal{C}_{2 k}\right)=k-1$.
[N., Vaz Pinto, Villarreal, 2015]
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- Defn. $\varphi(G)$ is the minimum number of even length ears in an ear decomposition of $G$.


$$
\varphi(G)=1
$$

[Frank, 1993]
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- Defn. A join of a graph is a set of edges, $J \subset E_{G}$, such that, for every circuit $C,\left|J \cap E_{C}\right| \leq|C| / 2$.
- Defn. The max. cardinality of a join is denoted by $\mu(G)$. [Maximum vertex join number, Solé and Zaslavsky, 1993]
- Theorem [Frank, 1993] If $G$ is 2-connected, then

$$
\mu(G)=\frac{n+\varphi(G)-1}{2}
$$
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- Theorem [N.]

If $G$ is bipartite and is endowed with a nested ear decomposition with $\epsilon$ even length ears then,

$$
\operatorname{reg}(G)=\frac{n+\epsilon-3}{2}
$$

- Corollary

In a nested ear decomposition of a bipartite graph the number of even length ears does not change.

- Theorem [N., Vaz Pinto, Villarreal] $\operatorname{reg}(G) \geq \mu(G)-1$, with equality if $G$ is bipartite.
- Theorem [N., Vaz Pinto, Villarreal]

$$
\operatorname{reg}(G) \geq \mu(G)-1, \text { with equality if } G \text { is bipartite. }
$$

- Corollary

If $G$ is bipartite and is endowed with a nested ear decomposition then $\varphi(G)$ is attained for any nested ear decomposition.

