

TGTP - Thousands of Geometric problems for geometric Theorem Provers

Pedro Quaresma

CISUC, Department of Mathematics, University of Coimbra
3001-454 Coimbra, Portugal
pedro@mat.uc.pt

1 Extended Abstract

Automated theorem provers, applications, and libraries of problems are often developed separately. In some cases, joint efforts of many of researchers led to standards such as *DIMACS* (for propositional logic) [6] and *SMT* (for satisfiability modulo theory) [1] and libraries of problems such as *SAT-lib* (for propositional logic) [11], *TPTP* (for predicate logic) [18], *SMT-lib* (for satisfiability modulo theory) [1] etc. Such efforts, standards, and libraries are fruitful for easier exchange of problems, ideas, and even program code. However, this is often very demanding and there are not many systems smoothly integrating libraries of problems, theorem provers, and real-world applications.

The principal motivation in building *TGTP*¹ (Thousands of Geometric problems for geometric Theorem Provers) is to support the testing and evaluation of geometric automated theorem proving (GATP) systems, to help ensure that performance results accurately reflect the capabilities of the GATP system being considered.

TGTP is a library of problems, in geometry, for GATP systems. *TGTP* aims to supply the automated reasoning in geometry community with a comprehensive library of GATP test problems, in order to provide an overview and a simple, unambiguous reference mechanism. A common library of problems is necessary for meaningful system evaluations and comparisons, its size is important if the production of statistically significant results is intended.

TGTP problems are stored in an XML-based format for constructive descriptions of geometrical figures and geometrical proofs. The conversion of this format to the DGSs/GATPs format is done via XSLT files. A specific DTD document defines syntactical restrictions for constructing descriptions. This DTD document can then be used, in conjunction with the generic XML validation mechanism, for verifying whether a given description of a geometrical construction is legal [15].

The goal for building *TGTP* is, in a similar spirit of *TPTP* and other libraries, to provide the GATP community with a centralised problem collection with an easy access to all researchers.

TGTP tries to address all relevant issues. In particular:

¹ <http://hilbert.mat.uc.pt/TGTP>

- is Web-based and is thus easily available to the research community.
- is easy to use. Problems are presented in a specifically designed, easy to understand format. Automatic conversion to other known formats is being provided, thus eliminating the necessity for any other transcription.
- tries to cover the different forms of automated proving in geometry, e.g. synthetic proofs and algebraic proofs.
- aims to become large enough for statistically significant testing. In its current version it contains already over 120 problems.
- aims to become a comprehensive, up-to-date library.
- is independent of any particular GATP system.
- is well structured and documented. This allows effective and efficient use of the library. Useful background information, such as an overview of GATP application domains, is provided.
- documents each problem. This contributes to the unambiguous identification of each problem.
- provides a mechanism for adding new problems.

There are several systems integrating dynamic geometry softwares (DGS), GATPs, and a set of examples. For example:

*Java Geometry Expert*² (*JGEX*) is a new, Java version of *GEX*. *JGEX* is being developed from 2004, by Shang-Ching Chou, Xiao-Shan Gao, and Zheng Ye. *JGEX* combines dynamic geometry, automated geometry theorem proving, and, as its most distinctive part, visual dynamic presentation of proofs. *JGEX* implements the following methods for geometry theorem proving: Wu’s method, the Gröbner basis method, the full-angle method, the deductive database method, the area method and the vector method are still under development. It contains a large set of examples of proofs.

GEOTHER is an environment for manipulating and proving geometric theorems implemented in Maple, with drawing routines and the interface in Java. *GEOTHER* can work with a menu-driven graphic user interface and contains a collection of theorems in both elementary and differential geometry [10,19].

*Ludi Geometrici*³ has a vast library of problems in the area of classical constructive (ruler and compass only) Euclidean geometry. It does not provide an GATP. A user can only perform valid steps in the construction, using only a limited set of tools, and in this way the system is capable to recognise whenever a user has reach a solution of a problem. No formal proofs are provided.

*GeoThms*⁴ is a Web workbench in the field of constructive problems in Euclidean geometry. It links dynamic geometry software, geometry automatic theorem provers, and a library of geometry problems (geoDB), providing a common web interface for all these tools [16]

Many of the DGSs (e.g. *GeoGebra*⁵ [7], *Cabri*⁶, *Cinderella* [13,17,5], etc.), DGSs/GATPs (e.g. *GCLC* [12], *GeoView* [2], *GeoProof* [14], *Geometry Explorer* [20],

² <http://www.jgex.net/>

³ <http://www.polarprof.org/geometriagon/>

⁴ <http://hilbert.mat.uc.pt/GeoThms/>

⁵ <http://www.geogebra.org/cms/>

⁶ <http://www.cabri.com/>

MMP/Geometer [9,8], *GEX* [8], *Discover* [3]), and also GATPs like *Theorema* [4] come with a (some times, large) set of examples. However none of them try to provide a common platform for meaningful system evaluations and comparisons.

In the *GeoThms* system the author of this article and Predrag Janičić already addressed some of the issues that are now being laid down for *TGTP*, namely the XML common format, and the list of problems. Where the *GeoThms* goal is to have a publicly accessible and widely used Internet based framework for constructive geometry with a strong integration of DGSs, GATPs and a library of problems to those tools, the *TGTP* goal is to provide the GATP community with a centralised problem collection, independent of any particular GATP system.

The development of *TGTP* problem library is an ongoing project, aiming to provide all of the desired properties described above.

References

1. Clark Barrett, Silvio Ranise, Aaron Stump, and Cesare Tinelli. The Satisfiability Modulo Theories Library (SMT-LIB). <http://www.smt-lib.org/>, 2008.
2. Yves Bertot, Frédérique Guilhot, and Loïc Pottier. Visualizing geometrical statements with GeoView. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 103:49–65, 2004.
3. Francisco Botana and José L. Valcarce. A dynamic-symbolic interface for geometric theorem discovery. *Computers and Education*, 38:21–35, 2002.
4. B. Buchberger, A. Craciun, T. Jebelean, L. Kovacs, T. Kutsia, K. Nakagawa, F. Piroi, N. Popov, J. Robu, M. Rosenkranz, and W. Windsteiger. Theorema: Towards computer-aided mathematical theory exploration. *Journal of Applied Logic*, 4(4):470–504, 2006.
5. Cinderella Web site. <http://www.cinderella.de>.
6. DIMACS: Satisfiability suggested format. <http://dimacs.rutgers.edu/Challenges/Seventh/>, 2010.
7. Karl Fuchs and Markus Hohenwarter. Combination of dynamic geometry, algebra and calculus in the software system geogebra. In *Computer Algebra Systems and Dynamic Geometry Systems in Mathematics Teaching Conference 2004*, pages 128–133, Pécs, Hungary, 2004.
8. Xiao-Shan Gao. Software packages. <http://www.mmrc.iss.ac.cn/~xgao/software.html>.
9. Xiao-Shan Gao and Qiang Lin. MMP/Geometer - a software package for automated geometric reasoning. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2930:44–66, 2004.
10. GEOTHER web site. <http://www-calfor.lip6.fr/~wang/GEOTHER/>.
11. Holger Hoos and Thomas Stütze. SATLIB: An online resource for research on SAT. In T.Walsh I.P.Gent, H.v.Maaren, editor, *SAT 2000*, pages 283–292. IOS Press, 2000.
12. Predrag Janičić. GCLC — a tool for constructive euclidean geometry and more than that. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 4151:58–73, 2006.
13. Ulrich Kortenkamp and Jürgen Richter-Gebert. Using automatic theorem proving to improve the usability of geometry software. In *Proceedings of the Mathematical User-Interfaces Workshop 2004*, 2004.
14. Julien Narboux. A graphical user interface for formal proofs in geometry. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, to appear.

15. P. Quaresma, Tomašević J. Janičić, P., M. V.-Janičić, and D. Tošić. *Communicating Mathematics in The Digital Era*, chapter XML-Bases Format for Descriptions of Geometric Constructions and Proofs, pages 183–197. A. K. Peters, Ltd., 2008.
16. Pedro Quaresma and Predrag Janičić. Geothms – a web system for euclidean constructive geometry. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 174(2):35 – 48, 2007. Proceedings of the 7th Workshop on User Interfaces for Theorem Provers (UITP 2006).
17. Jürgen Richter-Gebert and Ulrich Kortenkamp. *The Interactive Geometry Software Cinderella*. Springer, 1999.
18. Geoff Sutcliffe. The TPTP problem library. <http://www.cs.miami.edu/~tptp/TPTP/TR/TPTPTR.shtml>.
19. Dongming Wang. GEOTHER 1.1: Handling and proving geometric theorems automatically. *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, 2930:194–215, 2004.
20. Sean Wilson and Jacques Fleuriot. Combining dynamic geometry, automated geometry theorem proving and diagrammatic proofs. In *Proceedings of the European Joint Conferences on Theory and Practice of Software (ETAPS) Satellite Workshop on User Interfaces for Theorem Provers (UITP)*. Springer, 2005.