Semicontinuity in pointfree topology: monotonization and semiregularization

Jorge Picado

Centre for Mathematics - University of Coimbra PORTUGAL

(joint work with J. Gutiérrez Garcia and T. Kubiak)

SEMICONTINUITY IN POINTFREE TOPOLOGY

MOTIVATION: J. Gutiérrez García and J. P.

On the algebraic representation of semicontinuity *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 210 (2007) 299-306.

SEMICONTINUITY IN POINTFREE TOPOLOGY

MOTIVATION: J. Gutiérrez García and J. P. On the algebraic representation of semicontinuity *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 210 (2007) 299-306.

J. Gutiérrez García, T. Kubiak and J. P.

Monotone insertion and monotone extension of frame homomorph.

To appear in Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra.

SEMICONTINUITY IN POINTFREE TOPOLOGY

MOTIVATION: J. Gutiérrez García and J. P. On the algebraic representation of semicontinuity *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 210 (2007) 299-306.

- J. Gutiérrez García, T. Kubiak and J. P.
 Monotone insertion and monotone extension of frame homomorph.
 To appear in *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*.
- J. Gutiérrez García, T. Kubiak and J. P.

Lower and upper regularizations of frame semicont. real functions *In preparation.*

POINTFREE TOPOLOGY





$(X, \mathcal{O}X) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}X, \subseteq) \qquad A \cap \bigcup B_i = \bigcup (A \cap B_i)$



POINTFREE TOPOLOGY

category of frames FRM

$$(X, \mathcal{O}X) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}X, \subseteq) \qquad \qquad A \cap \bigcup B_i = \bigcup (A \cap B_i)$$



POINTFREE TOPOLOGY



$$A \cap \bigcup B_i = \bigcup (A \cap B_i)$$

POINTFREE TOPOLOGY



$$A \cap \bigcup B_i = \bigcup (A \cap B_i)$$

f^{-1} preserves \bigcup and \cap

POINTFREE TOPOLOGY



abstraction

$$A \cap \bigcup B_i = \bigcup (A \cap B_i)$$

f^{-1} preserves \bigcup and \cap



POINTFREE TOPOLOGY



• frames (locales)

L

complete lattice

$$a \land \bigvee b_i = \bigvee (a \land b_i)$$

L

• frames (locales)

complete lattice

$$a \land \bigvee b_i = \bigvee (a \land b_i)$$

• homomorphisms

 $h: L \to M$

$$\begin{bmatrix} h(\bigvee a_i) = \bigvee h(a_i) \\ h(a \land b) = h(a) \land h(b) , \ h(1) = 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

L

• frames (locales)

complete lattice

$$a \land \bigvee b_i = \bigvee (a \land b_i)$$

homomorphisms

()

FRM

$$h: L \to M$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(\bigvee a_i) = \bigvee h(a_i) \\ h(a \land b) = h(a) \land h(b) , \ h(1) = 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

TOP

L

• frames (locales)

complete lattice

$$a \land \bigvee b_i = \bigvee (a \land b_i)$$

• homomorphisms

$$h: L \to M$$

$$h(\bigvee a_i) = \bigvee h(a_i)$$

$$h(a \land b) = h(a) \land h(b) , h(1) = 1$$

$$\stackrel{\mathcal{O}}{\longleftarrow} FRM \qquad \text{dual adjunction} \qquad \text{LOC} = FRM^{op}$$

BACKGROUND: THE FRAME OF REALS $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{R})$

(1)
$$(p,q) \land (r,s) = (p \lor r, q \land s)$$

(1)
$$(p,q) \land (r,s) = (p \lor r, q \land s)$$

(2)
$$p \le r < q \le s \Rightarrow (p,q) \lor (r,s) = (p,s)$$

(1)
$$(p,q) \land (r,s) = (p \lor r, q \land s)$$

(2) $p \le r < q \le s \Rightarrow (p,q) \lor (r,s) = (p,s)$
(3) $(p,q) = \bigvee \{(r,s) \mid p < r < s < q\}$

(1)
$$(p,q) \land (r,s) = (p \lor r,q \land s)$$

(2) $p \le r < q \le s \Rightarrow (p,q) \lor (r,s) = (p,s)$
(3) $(p,q) = \bigvee \{(r,s) \mid p < r < s < q\}$
(4) $\bigvee \{(p,q) \mid p,q \in \mathbb{Q}\} = 1 \rangle.$

$$(-,q) := \bigvee_{p \in \mathbb{Q}} (p,q)$$

(1)
$$(p,q) \land (r,s) = (p \lor r, q \land s)$$

(2) $p \le r < q \le s \Rightarrow (p,q) \lor (r,s) = (p,s)$
(3) $(p,q) = \bigvee \{(r,s) \mid p < r < s < q\}$
(4) $\bigvee \{(p,q) \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Q}\} = 1 \rangle.$

$$(-,q) := \bigvee_{p \in \mathbb{Q}} (p,q)$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{l}(\mathbb{R}) = \langle (-,q) \mid q \in \mathbb{Q} \rangle$$

(1)
$$(p,q) \land (r,s) = (p \lor r,q \land s)$$

(2) $p \le r < q \le s \Rightarrow (p,q) \lor (r,s) = (p,s)$
(3) $(p,q) = \bigvee \{(r,s) \mid p < r < s < q\}$
(4) $\bigvee \{(p,q) \mid p,q \in \mathbb{Q}\} = 1 \rangle.$

$$(-,q) := \bigvee_{p \in \mathbb{Q}} (p,q)$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} (p,-) := \bigvee_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} (p,q) \end{array} \right|$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{l}(\mathbb{R}) = \langle (-,q) \mid q \in \mathbb{Q} \rangle$$

(1)
$$(p,q) \land (r,s) = (p \lor r, q \land s)$$

(2) $p \le r < q \le s \Rightarrow (p,q) \lor (r,s) = (p,s)$
(3) $(p,q) = \bigvee \{(r,s) \mid p < r < s < q\}$
(4) $\bigvee \{(p,q) \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Q}\} = 1 \rangle.$

$$(-,q) := \bigvee_{p \in \mathbb{Q}} (p,q)$$
$$(p,-) := \bigvee_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} (p,q)$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{l}(\mathbb{R}) = \langle (-,q) \mid q \in \mathbb{Q} \rangle$$

 $\mathfrak{L}_u(\mathbb{R}) = \langle (p, -) \mid p \in \mathbb{Q} \rangle$



(SEMI)CONTINUOUS REAL FUNCTIONS



$$h: X \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_e)$$
 CONTINUOUS





(SEMI)CONTINUOUS REAL FUNCTIONS





(SEMI)CONTINUOUS REAL FUNCTIONS










$f \leq g \equiv f(-,q) \lor g(p,-) = 1$ for every $p < q \in Q$



$$g \leq f \equiv f(-,r) \land g(r,-) = 0$$
 for every $r \in \mathbb{Q}$



Given $f \in \mathsf{USC}(L)$, $\exists g \in \mathsf{LSC}(L) : g \leq f$?



Given
$$f \in USC(L)$$
, $\exists g \in LSC(L) : g \leq f$? strong usc $SUSC(L)$



Given
$$f \in USC(L)$$
, $\exists g \in LSC(L) : g \leq f$? strong usc $SUSC(L)$

In that case, there exists the largest such g:



Given
$$f \in USC(L)$$
, $\exists g \in LSC(L) : g \leq f$? strong usc $SUSC(L)$

In that case, there exists the largest such g:

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{V}}(p,-) = \bigvee_{p' > p} f(-,p')^*$$

LOWER REGULARIZATION OF f









$$\exists \ g \in \mathsf{LSC}(L) : f \leq g \\ g \leq f$$







The following conditions on a frame *L* are equivalent:

(1) *L* is extremally disconnected (i.e. $a^* \vee a^{**} = 1$).

(2) If $f \in SUSC(L)$ then f_{\vee} is continuous.

(3) If $g \in SLSC(L)$ then g^{\wedge} is continuous.

(4) If $g \in LSC(L)$, $f \in USC(L)$ and $g \leq f$, then there exists $h \in C(L)$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$.

The following conditions on a frame *L* are equivalent:

```
(1) L is extremally disconnected (i.e. a^* \vee a^{**} = 1).
```

(2) If $f \in SUSC(L)$ then f_{\vee} is continuous.

(3) If $g \in SLSC(L)$ then g^{\wedge} is continuous.

(4) If $g \in LSC(L)$, $f \in USC(L)$ and $g \leq f$, then there exists $h \in C(L)$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$.

- constructive
- extends the corresponding classical result of M. Stone [1949]

A frame *L* is extremally disconnected iff for each open sublocale *S* of *L* and each $h \in C(S)$, there exists a continuous extension $\tilde{h} \in C(L)$ such that

A frame *L* is extremally disconnected iff for each open sublocale *S* of *L* and each $h \in C(S)$, there exists a continuous extension $\tilde{h} \in C(L)$ such that



WHAT IS A MONOTONIZATION?

CONCEPT:
$$\begin{cases} \text{sets } P, Q \\ \\ \theta : P \to Q \end{cases}$$

CONCEPT:
$$\begin{cases} \text{sets } P, Q \\ \\ \theta : P \to Q \end{cases}$$

posets
$$(P, \leq_P), (Q, \leq_Q)$$



CONCEPT:
$$\begin{cases} \text{sets } P, Q \\ \\ \theta : P \to Q \end{cases}$$

posets $(P, \leq_P), (Q, \leq_Q)$

 $\theta: (P, \leq_P) \to (Q, \leq_Q)$ is monotone

MONOTONE CONCEPT

CONCEPT:sets P, Qposets $(P, \leq_P), (Q, \leq_Q)$ $\theta: P \to Q$ $\theta: (P, \leq_P) \to (Q, \leq_Q)$ is monotone

MONOTONE CONCEPT

usually: specialization

CONCEPT:
$$\begin{cases} \text{sets } P, Q \\ \theta : P \to Q \qquad \theta : \end{cases}$$

posets $(P, \leq_P), (Q, \leq_Q)$

 $\theta: (P, \leq_P) \to (Q, \leq_Q)$ is monotone

MONOTONE CONCEPT

usually: specialization

concept ------ different monotonizations

[Classically: Kubiak, Good, Stares, Borges, Gutiérrez García, de Prada Vicente, ...]



 $L \in \mathsf{FRM}$

L is normal if

$a \lor b = 1 \ \Rightarrow \ \exists \ u, v \in L : u \land v = 0, a \lor u = 1 = b \lor v$

 $L \in \mathsf{FRM}$

L is normal if

$$a \lor b = 1 \ \Rightarrow \ \exists \ u, v \in L : u \land v = 0, a \lor u = 1 = b \lor v$$

$$\Leftrightarrow | a \lor b = 1 \implies \exists u \in L : a \lor u = 1 = b \lor u^*$$

 $L \in \mathsf{FRM}$

L is normal if

$$a \lor b = 1 \Rightarrow \exists u, v \in L : u \land v = 0, a \lor u = 1 = b \lor v$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mid a \lor b = 1 \; \Rightarrow \; \exists \; u \in L : a \lor u = 1 = b \lor u^*$$

 $\mathcal{D}_L = \{(a, b) \in L \times L \mid a \lor b = 1\}$

 $L \in \mathsf{FRM}$

L is normal if

$$a \lor b = 1 \implies \exists u, v \in L : u \land v = 0, a \lor u = 1 = b \lor v$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a \lor b = 1 \ \Rightarrow \ \exists \ u \in L : a \lor u = 1 = b \lor u^*$$

 $\mathcal{D}_L = \{(a, b) \in L \times L \mid a \lor b = 1\}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \Theta : \mathcal{D}_L \to L : a \lor \Theta(a, b) = 1 = b \lor \Theta(a, b)^*$$

 $L \in \mathsf{FRM}$

L is normal if

$$a \lor b = 1 \implies \exists u, v \in L : u \land v = 0, a \lor u = 1 = b \lor v$$

$$\Leftrightarrow | a \lor b = 1 \; \Rightarrow \; \exists \; u \in L : a \lor u = 1 = b \lor u^*$$

 $\mathcal{D}_L = \{(a, b) \in L \times L \mid a \lor b = 1\}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \Theta : \mathcal{D}_L \to L : a \lor \Theta(a, b) = 1 = b \lor \Theta(a, b)^*$$

NORMALITY OPERATOR

AN ILLUSTRATION: monotonically normal frames

$L \in \mathsf{FRM}$

 \mathcal{D}_L carries a natural order:

 \mathcal{D}_L carries a natural order:

$$(a,b) \leq (c,d) \ \equiv \ c \leq a, \ b \leq d$$

 \mathcal{D}_L carries a natural order:

$$(a,b) \le (c,d) \equiv c \le a, \ b \le d$$

What happens if one requires Θ to be monotone?

 \mathcal{D}_L carries a natural order:

$$(a,b) \le (c,d) \equiv c \le a, b \le d$$

What happens if one requires Θ to be monotone?

$$\exists \Theta : (\mathcal{D}_L, \leq) \to L : a \lor \Theta(a, b) = 1 = b \lor \Theta(a, b)^*$$
$L \in \mathsf{FRM}$

 \mathcal{D}_L carries a natural order:

$$(a,b) \le (c,d) \equiv c \le a, b \le d$$

What happens if one requires Θ to be monotone?

$$\exists \Theta : (\mathcal{D}_L, \leq) \to L : a \lor \Theta(a, b) = 1 = b \lor \Theta(a, b)^*$$

MONOTONE NORMALITY OPERATOR

 $L \in \mathsf{FRM}$

 \mathcal{D}_L carries a natural order:

$$(a,b) \le (c,d) \equiv c \le a, b \le d$$

What happens if one requires Θ to be monotone?

$$\exists \Theta : (\mathcal{D}_L, \leq) \to L : a \lor \Theta(a, b) = 1 = b \lor \Theta(a, b)^*$$

MONOTONE NORMALITY OPERATOR

new concept: monotonically normal frame



monotonization procedure



monotonization procedure

monotone variant



monotonization procedure

monotone variant

pointfree insertion theorems



monotonization procedure

monotone variant

pointfree insertion theorems

monotonization procedure



monotonization procedure

monotone variant

monotone variant?

pointfree insertion theorems

monotonization procedure



Pointfree Katětov-Tong Insertion Theorem:

L is normal iff for every usc real function $f : \mathfrak{L}_l(\mathbb{R}) \to L$ and every lsc real function $g : \mathfrak{L}_u(\mathbb{R}) \to L$ with $f \leq g$ there exists a continuous real function $h : \mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{R}) \to L$ such that $f \leq h \leq g$. $\mathsf{UL}(L) = \{(f,g) \in \mathsf{USC}(L) \times \mathsf{LSC}(L) : f \leq g\}$

ordered by $(f_1, g_1) \leq (f_2, g_2) \equiv f_2 \leq f_1, g_1 \leq g_2$.

 $\mathsf{UL}(L) = \{(f,g) \in \mathsf{USC}(L) \times \mathsf{LSC}(L) : f \leq g\}$

ordered by $(f_1, g_1) \leq (f_2, g_2) \equiv f_2 \leq f_1, g_1 \leq g_2$.

MONOTONE KATĚTOV-TONG INSERTION THEOREM:

The following conditions on a frame *L* are equivalent:

- (1) *L* is monotonically normal.
- (2) There exists a monotone $\Theta : UL(L) \rightarrow C(L)$ such that $f \leq \Theta(f,g) \leq g$ for every $(f,g) \in UL(L)$.

 $\mathsf{UL}(L) = \{ (f,g) \in \mathsf{USC}(L) \times \mathsf{LSC}(L) : f \leq g \}$

ordered by $(f_1, g_1) \leq (f_2, g_2) \equiv f_2 \leq f_1, g_1 \leq g_2$.

MONOTONE KATĚTOV-TONG INSERTION THEOREM:

The following conditions on a frame *L* are equivalent:

- (1) *L* is monotonically normal.
- (2) There exists a monotone $\Theta : UL(L) \rightarrow C(L)$ such that $f \leq \Theta(f,g) \leq g$ for every $(f,g) \in UL(L)$.

EXTENSION THEOREM ...