

Unifying Hypercomplex and Holomorphic Symplectic Structures

WEI HONG
MATHIEU STIÉNON



Poisson Geometry and Applications
Figueira da Foz, Portugal
June 13-16, 2011

1 Motivation

2 Generalized complex geometry

3 Main Theorem

4 Hypercomplex = Holomorphic Symplectic

1 Motivation

2 Generalized complex geometry

3 Main Theorem

4 Hypercomplex = Holomorphic Symplectic

Devise common framework for following two notions:

1 Hypercomplex manifold:

- M is smooth manifold
- i, j, k are three endomorphisms of T_M
- $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -\text{id}$
- integrability condition satisfied for each
(Nijenhuis tensor vanishes)

2 Holomorphic symplectic manifold:

- $X =$ complex manifold
- $\omega =$ holomorphic symplectic 2-form
(in every holomorphic chart, $\omega = \sum_{i < j} f_{ij} dz_i \wedge dz_j$ with $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_X$)

1 Motivation

2 Generalized complex geometry

3 Main Theorem

4 Hypercomplex = Holomorphic Symplectic

Given a smooth manifold M , consider vector bundle $T_M \oplus T_M^*$ endowed with

- nondegenerate symmetric pairing

$$\langle X + \xi, Y + \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\xi Y + \eta X)$$

- anchor map $T_M \oplus T_M^* \rightarrow T_M \quad X + \xi \mapsto X$

- Dorfman bracket

$$(X + \xi) \circ (Y + \eta) = [X, Y] + (L_X \eta - i_Y d\xi)$$

Hitchin, Gualtieri:

- almost complex structure: $J \in \text{End}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$ such that

$$J^2 = -\text{id} \text{ and } \langle Jv, w \rangle + \langle v, Jw \rangle = 0$$

- integrability condition

$$0 = \mathcal{N}_J(v, w) = Jv \circ Jw - J(v \circ Jw) - J(Jv \circ w) + J^2(v \circ w)$$

Examples:

1 Complex manifold X

- with complex structure $j : T_X \rightarrow T_X$
- $J = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j^* \end{pmatrix}$ is a c.s. on $T_X \oplus T_X^*$

2 Symplectic manifold (M, ω)

- $\omega_b : T_M \rightarrow T_M^*$
- $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_b^{-1} \\ -\omega_b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a c.s. on $T_M \oplus T_M^*$

3 Holomorphic Poisson manifold (X, π)

- complex structure $j : T_X \rightarrow T_X$
- $\pi = (\Re\pi) + i(\Im\pi)$ with $(\Im\pi)^\sharp = -j \circ (\Re\pi)^\sharp$
- $J = \begin{pmatrix} j & (\Re\pi)^\sharp \\ 0 & -j^* \end{pmatrix}$ is a c.s. on $T_X \oplus T_X^*$

Abstract set-up: “Courant algebroid” (Courant, Liu-Weinstein-Xu)

$E \rightarrow M$ smooth vector bundle endowed with

- \langle, \rangle nondegenerate symmetric pairing on fibers
- anchor $\rho : E \rightarrow T_M$ (bundle map)
 $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ (differential operator)
related by $\langle \mathcal{D}f, x \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\rho(x)f$
- Dorfman bracket \circ (\mathbb{R} -bilinear operation on $\Gamma(E)$)

satisfying

- $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z + y \circ (x \circ z)$
- $\rho(x \circ y) = [\rho(x), \rho(y)]$
- $x \circ fy = (\rho(x)f)y + f(x \circ y)$
- $x \circ y + y \circ x = 2\mathcal{D}\langle x, y \rangle$
- $\mathcal{D}f \circ x = 0$
- $\rho(x)\langle y, z \rangle = \langle x \circ y, z \rangle + \langle y, x \circ z \rangle$

Nijenhuis concomitant of $F, G \in \text{End}(E)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_{F,G}(v, w) = & Fv \circ Gw - F(v \circ Gw) - G(Fv \circ w) + FG(v \circ w) \\ & + Gv \circ Fw - G(v \circ Fw) - F(Gv \circ w) + GF(v \circ w)\end{aligned}$$

$$v, w \in \Gamma(E)$$

- Usually not a tensor.
- It is a tensor if F and G are skew-symmetric and $FG + GF = \lambda \text{id}$ (with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$).

- Almost hypercomplex structure on Courant algebroid:
 $I, J, K \in \text{End}(E)$ skew-symmetric (w.r.t. the pairing)
 $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -\text{id}$
- Integrability condition:
 $\mathcal{N}_{I,J}, \mathcal{N}_{J,K}, \mathcal{N}_{K,I}, \mathcal{N}_{I,I}, \mathcal{N}_{J,J},$ and $\mathcal{N}_{K,K}$ vanish

Examples:

1 Hypercomplex manifold $(M; i, j, k)$:

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i^* \end{pmatrix} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j^* \end{pmatrix} \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & -k^* \end{pmatrix}$$

2 Holomorphic symplectic manifold (X, ω) :

$$\omega = \omega_1 - i\omega_2 \quad (\omega_2)_b = (\omega_1)_b \circ j$$

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\omega_1)_b^{-1} \\ -(\omega_1)_b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j^* \end{pmatrix}$$
$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\omega_2)_b^{-1} \\ -(\omega_2)_b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

3 Hyper-Poisson manifold:

- $(i, j, k) =$ classical hypercomplex triple on manifold M
- $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3 \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$
- $\pi_2 - \sqrt{-1}\pi_3$ is holomorphic Poisson w.r.t. i
- $\pi_3 - \sqrt{-1}\pi_1$ is holomorphic Poisson w.r.t. j
- $\pi_1 - \sqrt{-1}\pi_2$ is holomorphic Poisson w.r.t. k
-

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} i & \pi_3^\sharp \\ 0 & -j^* \end{pmatrix} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j^* \end{pmatrix} \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} k & -\pi_1^\sharp \\ 0 & -k^* \end{pmatrix}$$

Hyper-Kähler

Lemma: Hyper-Poisson $\implies [\pi_\alpha, \pi_\beta] = 0, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

Lemma: Every complex structure F on a Courant algebroid $E \rightarrow M$ gives rise to a Poisson bracket on the base manifold M :

$$\{f, g\} = \langle F\mathcal{D}f, \mathcal{D}g \rangle, \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(M).$$

$\mathcal{N}_{F,F} = 0 \implies$ Jacobi identity

Theorem:

IF (I, J, K) is a hypercomplex triple on a Courant algebroid and π_I, π_J, π_K are the corresponding Poisson bivectors,

THEN $[\pi_\alpha, \pi_\beta] = 0 \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \{I, J, K\}$.

1 Motivation

2 Generalized complex geometry

3 Main Theorem

4 Hypercomplex = Holomorphic Symplectic

Courant algebroid $(E, \rho, \langle, \rangle, \circ)$ endowed with almost hypercomplex triple (I, J, K) .

Theorem: The following assertions are equivalent:

1 all six Nijenhuis concomitants vanish identically;

2 $\mathcal{N}_{I,J} = 0$;

3 $\mathcal{N}_{I,I} = \mathcal{N}_{I,J} = 0$;

4 there exists a hypercomplex connection ∇ satisfying

$$\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$T^\nabla(v, w) = I\mathcal{D}\langle v, Iw \rangle + J\mathcal{D}\langle v, Jw \rangle + K\mathcal{D}\langle v, Kw \rangle.$$

Courant algebroid $(E, \rho, \langle, \rangle, \circ)$ endowed with almost hypercomplex triple (I, J, K) .

Definition (Hypercomplex connection):

- $\Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Gamma(E)$ (\mathbb{R} -bilinear)
- such that $\nabla_{fv} w = f \nabla_v w$
and $\nabla_v(fw) = (\rho(v)f)w + f(\nabla_v w) - \Delta_f^{I,J,K}(v, w)$
- where

$$\Delta_f^{I,J,K}(v, w) = \langle v, w \rangle Df + \langle Iv, w \rangle IDf + \langle Jv, w \rangle JDf + \langle Kv, w \rangle K Df$$

- Torsion: $T^\nabla(v, w) = \nabla_v w - \nabla_w v - \frac{v \circ w - w \circ v}{2}$
Curvature: $R^\nabla(v, w)x = \nabla_v \nabla_w x - \nabla_w \nabla_v x - \nabla_{\frac{v \circ w - w \circ v}{2}} x$

Theorem: The following assertions are equivalent:

1 all six Nijenhuis concomitants vanish identically;

2 $\mathcal{N}_{I,J} = 0$;

3 $\mathcal{N}_{I,I} = \mathcal{N}_{I,J} = 0$;

4 there exists a hypercomplex connection ∇ satisfying
 $\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0$ and
 $T^\nabla(v, w) = I\mathcal{D}\langle v, Iw \rangle + J\mathcal{D}\langle v, Jw \rangle + K\mathcal{D}\langle v, Kw \rangle$.

■ This connection is unique and given by the explicit formula
 $\nabla_v w = -\frac{1}{2}K(Jw \circ Iv - J(w \circ Iv) - I(Jw \circ v) + JI(w \circ v))$.

■ Isotropic and involutive subbundles of E are Lie algebroids (Dirac structures).

IF a Dirac structure L is stable under I , J , and K , THEN the hypercomplex connection ∇ on E induces a Lie algebroid connection on L .

Examples:

1 Hypercomplex manifold $(M; i, j, k)$:

- Given (the tangent distribution of a) foliation \mathcal{F} , we get the isotropic and involutive subbundle $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}^\perp$.
- IF \mathcal{F} is stable under i, j , and k , THEN $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}^\perp$ is stable under I, J , and K .
- Get torsionfree connection ∇ on the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}^\perp$ such that $\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0$.
- Taking $\mathcal{F} = T_M$:

Corollary (Obata 1956): Given a hypercomplex triple (i, j, k) on a smooth manifold M , the formula

$$\nabla_X Y = -\frac{1}{2}k((L_{jY}i - jL_Y i)X)$$

defines a torsionfree connection on M such that $\nabla i = \nabla j = \nabla k = 0$.

2 Holomorphic symplectic manifold $(X; \omega)$:

- Complex Lagrangian foliation \mathcal{L} yields Dirac subbundle $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^\perp$ stable under I , J , and K .
- Get torsionfree connection ∇ on the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^\perp$ such that $\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0$.

Corollary: Given a holomorphic symplectic structure $\omega = \omega_1 - \sqrt{-1}\omega_2$ on a complex manifold X , the formula

$$\nabla_X Y = -\frac{1}{2}(\omega_2)_b^{-1}((L_{jY}(\omega_1)_b)X + j^*(L_Y(\omega_1)_b)X)$$

defines a torsionfree connection on the tangent bundle to the Lagrangian foliation \mathcal{L} .

- This connection appears in Behrend & Fantechi's recent construction of a Gerstenhaber algebra structure on the "structure sheaf" of a Lagrangian intersection in a holomorphic symplectic manifold. (motivation: Donaldson-Thomas invariants)

1 Motivation

2 Generalized complex geometry

3 Main Theorem

4 Hypercomplex = Holomorphic Symplectic

Extended Poisson Manifolds (introduced by Chen-S-Xu)

Motivation: semi-classical limit of algebroid stacks arising in DQ of complex manifolds.

- Consider complex mfd X , complex structure $j : T_X \rightarrow T_X$.
- Get complex structure $J = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j^* \end{pmatrix}$ on $T_X \oplus T_X^*$.
- Eigenbundles:

$$L = T^{1,0} \oplus (T^{0,1})^* \quad \text{for } \lambda = +\sqrt{-1},$$

$$L^* = T^{0,1} \oplus (T^{1,0})^* \quad \text{for } \lambda = -\sqrt{-1}.$$

- $\wedge^2 L = \wedge^2 T^{1,0} \oplus (T^{1,0} \otimes (T^{0,1})^*) \oplus \wedge^2 (T^{0,1})^*$
- An extended Poisson structure on X is a section H of $\wedge^2 L$ satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\bar{\partial}H + \frac{1}{2}[H, H] = 0.$$

Extended Symplectic Manifolds

- $X =$ complex manifold
 $L = T^{1,0} \oplus (T^{0,1})^*$
- Choose $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 L)$ s.t. $\Omega^\sharp \circ \overline{\Omega}^\sharp = -\text{id}_L$ (nondegeneracy).
- Get almost hypercomplex triple

$$I = \Omega^\sharp + \overline{\Omega}^\sharp \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j^* \end{pmatrix} \quad K = i(\overline{\Omega}^\sharp - \Omega^\sharp).$$

- The following assertions are equivalent:
 - $d_L^* \Omega + [\Omega, \Omega] = 0$
 - $[\Omega, \Omega] = 0$
 - $d_L^* \Omega = 0$
 - (I, J, K) is integrable

The above construction is a special instance of the following

Theorem: Given a complex structure J on a Courant algebroid (with eigenbundles L and L^*) and a section Ω of $\wedge^2 L$ such that $\Omega^\sharp \circ \overline{\Omega}^\sharp = -\text{id}_L$, set $I = \Omega^\sharp + \overline{\Omega}^\sharp$ and $K = i(\overline{\Omega}^\sharp - \Omega^\sharp)$. The triple (I, J, K) is hypercomplex iff $d_{L^*}\Omega = 0$.

Philosophy: **“In the generalized context, hypercomplex = holomorphic symplectic.”**

— THANK YOU —

Obrigado aos nossos anfitriões
Portugueses por ter tão bem
organizado esta conferência
maravilhosa.