

# Combinatorial Categories

J. Rosický

joint work with M. Makkai and L. Vokřínek

Coimbra 2012

**Definition 1.** A *combinatorial category* is a locally presentable category  $\mathcal{K}$  equipped with a set  $\mathcal{X}$  of morphisms.

*Cellular* morphisms are transfinite compositions of pushouts of morphisms from  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Cofibrant* morphisms are retracts of cellular ones in  $\mathcal{K}^2$ .

$$\text{cof}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Rt cell}(\mathcal{X})$$

*$\kappa$ -combinatorial* means that  $\mathcal{K}$  is locally  $\kappa$ -presentable and  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq (K_\kappa)^2$ .

A combinatorial category  $\mathcal{K}$  is equipped with a weak factorization system

$$(\text{cof}(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{X}^\square)$$

A weak factorization of the codiagonal

$$\nabla : K + K \xrightarrow{c_K} C(K) \xrightarrow{s_K} K$$

provides the cylinder object  $C(K)$  for  $K$ .

Thus we can do homotopy theory in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

The adjective “combinatorial” has the same meaning as for model categories.

The term “cofibration category” is occupied by categories equipped with cofibrations and weak equivalences.

**Proposition 1.** (Lurie) Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $\kappa$ -combinatorial category. Then

$$\mathrm{cof}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{cell\,cof}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Here,  $\mathrm{cof}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{cof}(\mathcal{X}) \cap (\mathcal{K}_{\kappa})^2$ .

The result means that  $\mathrm{Rt}$  and  $\mathrm{cell}$  can be interchanged.

The proof is quite complex and uses good colimits.

A poset  $P$  is good if it is well-founded and has a least element  $\perp$ .  
Well-ordered sets and shape posets for pushouts are good.

An element  $x \in P$  is *isolated* if there is a top element  $x^-$  strictly below  $x$ .

A non-isolated element distinct from  $\perp$  is called *limit*.

A *good* diagram  $D : P \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is such that  $D_x$  is a colimit of the restriction of  $D$  on elements strictly below  $x$  for each limit  $x$ .

The *composition* of  $D$  is the component  $\delta_\perp$  of the colimit cocone.

*Links* of  $D$  are morphisms  $D(x^- \rightarrow x)$  for  $x$  isolated.

**Proposition 2.** (Lurie) Let  $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$  be a weak factorization system in a category  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then the composition of a good diagram with links in  $\mathcal{L}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$ .

There is a stronger result;  $\text{Po}(\mathcal{X})$  denotes pushouts of morphisms from  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a class of morphisms in a cocomplete category  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then the composition of a good diagram with links in  $\text{Po}(\mathcal{X})$  belongs to  $\text{cell}(\mathcal{X})$ .

A good poset is  $\kappa$ -good if all its principal ideals  $\downarrow x$  have cardinality  $< \kappa$ .

**Proposition 4.** Let  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X})$  be a  $\kappa$ -combinatorial category. Then every cellular morphism is a composition of a  $\kappa$ -good  $\kappa$ -directed diagram with links in  $\text{Po}(\mathcal{X})$ .

This result may be called a *fat small object argument* because it replaces a thin transfinite composition containing large objects by a fat good composition of small objects.

Let  $\text{Po}_\kappa(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Po}(\mathcal{X}) \cap (\mathcal{K}_\kappa)^2$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X})$  be a  $\kappa$ -combinatorial category. Then every cellular morphism with the domain in  $\mathcal{K}_\kappa$  is a composition of a  $\kappa$ -good  $\kappa$ -directed diagram with links in  $\text{Po}_\kappa(\mathcal{X})$ .

An object  $K$  of a combinatorial category is *cofibrant* if a unique morphism  $0 \rightarrow K$  from an initial object is cofibrant.

**Corollary 2.** Any cofibrant object in a  $\kappa$ -combinatorial category is a  $\kappa$ -filtered colimit of  $\kappa$ -presentable cofibrant objects.

A functor  $F$  between combinatorial categories is called *combinatorial* if it preserves colimits and cofibrant morphisms. Combinatorial functors are left adjoints and correspond to left Quillen functors between model categories.

**Theorem.** COMB is closed in CAT under PIE-limits.

This extends the Limit Theorem of M. Makkai and R. Paré. Good colimits are an indispensable tool.

Consequently, COMB is closed under pseudolimits and lax limits.

**Corollary 3.** (Lurie) Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a combinatorial category and  $\mathcal{C}$  a small category. Then  $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{C}}$  is combinatorial (with respect to pointwise cofibrant morphisms).

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the category of left  $R$ -modules over a ring  $R$ . A monomorphism  $f$  is called an  $\mathcal{S}$ -monomorphism if its cokernel belongs to  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ .

An object  $K$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -filtered if a unique morphism  $0 \rightarrow K$  is a transfinite composition of  $\mathcal{S}$ -monomorphisms.

For example, if  $\mathcal{S}$  consists of simple modules then  $\mathcal{S}$ -filtered modules are precisely semiartinian ones, i.e., those belonging to the localizing subcategory generated by simple modules.

A class  $\mathcal{C}$  is *deconstructible* if it is a class of  $\mathcal{S}$ -filtered modules for a set  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Proposition 5.** A class  $\mathcal{C}$  is deconstructible if and only if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a combinatorial category with cellular morphisms being  $\mathcal{C}$ -monomorphisms.

Objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  are precisely cellular objects.

This relates module theoretic investigations (Gillespie, Trlifaj, Šťovíček) to our framework. Good colimits are replaced there by the use of generalized Hill lemma.

**Corollary 4.** (Šťovíček) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a deconstructible class in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $\text{Comp}(\mathcal{C})$  is deconstructible in  $\text{Comp}(\mathcal{K})$ .



**Problem.** Can the Theorem be extended to model categories?