

The symplectic left companion
of
a Littlewood-Richardson-Sundaram tableau
and
the Kwon property

Olga Azenhas

CMUC, Centre for Mathematics, University of Coimbra

January 27th, 2026

Branching rules

- Let G be a group and \hat{G} a complete set of representatives of the equivalence classes of certain irreducible G -modules. Let H be a subgroup of G .
- A natural and interesting problem is to determine how and if a given irreducible G -module $V \in \hat{G}$ decomposes into irreducible H -submodules:

$$V \simeq \bigoplus_{W \in \hat{H}} W^{c_W^V}.$$

- The multiplicity numbers c_W^V are called *branching coefficients* of the pair (G, H) .
- An explicit description of the branching coefficients is called a *branching rule* for the pair (G, H) : a rule associating a nice combinatorial set A to each pair (V, W) such that $\#A = c_W^V$.
- **Example.** The *Littlewood-Richardson rule* (LR), 1934, is a branching rule for the pair $(GL_m(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_m(\mathbb{C}), GL_m(\mathbb{C}))$: for $\mu, \nu, \lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{\leq m}$,

$$V(\mu) \otimes V(\nu) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda} V(\lambda)^{\oplus c_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}}.$$

The branching coefficient $c_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}$ (Littlewood-Richardson coefficient) equals $\#LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu) = \#LR(\lambda/\nu, \mu)$.

The pair $(GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C}))$

- $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) = \{M \in GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) : M^T S M = S\} \subset GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ with

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The polynomial irreducible representations of $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ respectively $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ are parameterized by partitions $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{\leq 2n}$ respectively $\mu \in \mathbf{P}_{\leq n}$.

The corresponding branching coefficient is c_{μ}^{λ} ,

$$\text{res}_{Sp_{2n}}^{GL_{2n}} V(\lambda) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathbf{P}_{\leq n}} V(\mu)^{\oplus c_{\mu}^{\lambda}}.$$

Sundaram branching rule



$$\text{res}_{SP_{2n}}^{gl_{2n}} V(\lambda) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathbf{P}_{\leq n}} V(\mu)^{\oplus c_{\mu}^{\lambda}}.$$

- *Sundaram branching rule*, 1986, counts certain Littlewood-Richardson (LR) tableaux, called Littlewood-Richardson-Sundaram (LRS) tableaux, or symplectic LR tableaux.
 - ▶ for $\mu \subset \lambda$, the branching coefficient c_{μ}^{λ} equals the cardinality of the set

$$LRS(\lambda, \mu) := \bigcup_{\nu \text{ even}} LRS(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$$

where the union is taken over all *even* partitions ν , and $LRS(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$ is the subset of $LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$ consisting of the Littlewood-Richardson tableaux of shape λ/μ and weight the even partition ν satisfying the *Sundaram property*.

LRS tableaux

- Let $\mu, \nu \subseteq \lambda$ such that $\ell(\mu) \leq n$ and ν an even partition.

A Littlewood-Richardson tableau T of shape λ/μ and weight ν on the alphabet $[2n]$ satisfies the *Sundaram property* if for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

- the odd entry $2i + 1$ appears in row $n + i$ or above in the Young diagram of λ .

In other words, $T(n + i, 1) = \text{odd} \Rightarrow T(n + i, 1) \geq 2i + 1$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

The set of $T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$ satisfying the Sundaram property is denoted by $LRS(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$ and called the set of *LR-Sundaram tableaux* or *symplectic LR tableaux*.

- $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{\leq 2n}$, $\mu \in \mathbf{P}_{\leq n}$, $\nu = (4, 4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ even, $\mu = (4, 3, 2, 1, 1)$

$$n = \ell(\mu) = 5 \quad T = \begin{array}{cccc|c} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 2 \\ & & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ & 2 & 4 & & \\ & 5 & & & \\ \mathbf{1} & 6 & & & \\ 2 & 7 & & & \\ 8 & & & & \end{array} \notin LRS, \quad n \geq \ell(\mu) + 1 \Rightarrow T \in LRS$$

LRS tableaux


$$T =$$

				1
			1	2
		1	2	3
	2	3	4	
	3	4	5	
1	6			
2	7			
4	8			
5	9			
6	10			
11				
12				

$$n = \ell(\mu) = 5, \quad n = \ell(\mu) = 5 + 1 \Rightarrow T \notin LRS$$

$$n = \ell(\mu) + 2 = 5 + 2 \Rightarrow T \in LRS$$

A crystal approach to the LR rule

- There is a bijection, G. Thomas, 1978,

$$B(\mu, m) \times B(\nu, m) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigsqcup_{\lambda} B(\lambda, m) \times LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$$

$$(U, V) \mapsto (P(U \otimes V), Q(U \otimes V)),$$

$P(U \otimes V) = U \leftarrow V$ (Schensted column insertion), and

$Q(U \otimes V) \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$ the recording tableau of $U \leftarrow V$

- This bijection lifts to a gl_m -crystal isomorphism giving the crystal version of the Littlewood-Richardson rule

$$B(\mu, m) \otimes B(\nu, m) \simeq \bigoplus_{T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)} B(\lambda, m) \times \{T\},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{\leq m}$ such that $\mu, \nu \subseteq \lambda$. Each copy of $B(\lambda)$ is uniquely parameterized by a $T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$

$$B(\mu) \otimes B(\nu) \simeq \bigoplus B(\lambda)^{c_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}}$$

The left and right companion (Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern) of an LR tableau

- Gelfand-Tsetlin (1950), Gelfand-Zelevinsky (1986), Berenstein-Zelevinsky (1989)
- Let $T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$
 - ▶ The *right companion tableau* of T , $G_\nu(T)$ of shape ν in the alphabet $2n$ and content $\lambda - \mu$, is obtained from T by recording in its row r the row coordinates of the r -cells of T for $r = 1, \dots, 2n$. We then get the defining nested sequence of partitions of $G_\nu(T)$
 $\nu^{(1)} \subseteq \nu^{(2)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \nu^{(2n)} = \nu$.
 - ▶ The *left companion tableau* of T , $G_\mu(T)$ of shape μ in the alphabet $[2n]$ and content $rev(\lambda - \nu)$ the reverse of $\lambda - \nu$, is obtained from T by recording the sequence of partitions $\mu^{(2n-r+1)}$ giving the shapes occupied by the entries $< r$, including the empty entries of the shape μ identified with 0, in rows $r, r+1, \dots, 2n$ of T , for $r = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$. We then get the defining nested sequence of partitions of $G_\mu(T)$

$$\mu = \mu^{(2n)} \supseteq \mu^{(2n-1)} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mu^{(2n-r+1)} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mu^{(1)}$$

- $(G_\mu(T), G_\nu(T))$ is the *companion pair* (or Gelfand-Tsetlin pair) of T .

Example

- $m = 6$

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & 1 & 1 \\ \hline & & & 1 & 2 \\ \hline & & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array},$$
$$G_\nu(T) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & 5 & \\ \hline 4 & 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$
$$G_\mu(T) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 3 & 5 & \\ \hline 4 & 6 & & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

0

3 0

3 2 0

4 2 1 0

4 3 1 0 0

4 3 2 1 0 0

$$\begin{aligned} \mu = \mu^{(6)} = (4, 3, 2, 1, 0, 0) \supseteq \mu^{(5)} = (4, 3, 1, 0, 0) \supseteq \mu^{(4)} = (4, 2, 1, 0) \supseteq \\ \supseteq \mu^{(3)} = (3, 2, 0) \supseteq \mu^{(2)} = (3, 0) \supseteq \mu^{(1)} = (0) \end{aligned}$$

A crystal approach to the LR tableau companion pair



$$B(\mu, m) \otimes B(\nu, m) \simeq \bigoplus_{T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)}^{\lambda} B(\lambda, m) \times \{T\},$$

- The highest weight element of $B(\lambda) \times \{T\}$ is

$$Y(\mu) \otimes G_{\nu}(T) \simeq Y(\lambda).$$

- The lowest weight element of $B(\lambda) \times \{T\}$ is

$$G_{\mu}(T) \otimes Y(\text{rev}\nu) \simeq Y(\text{rev}\lambda).$$

- $LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}$ is the set of *right companions* of $LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$:

$$LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda} = \{G_{\nu}(T) : T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)\} = \{G_{\nu} \in B(\nu) : Y(\mu) \otimes G_{\nu} \simeq Y(\lambda)\}$$

- ${}^{-}LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}$ is the set of *left companions* of $LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{-}LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda} &= \{G_{\mu}(T) : T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)\} \\ &= \{G_{\mu} \in B(\mu) : G_{\mu} \otimes Y(\text{rev}\nu) \simeq Y(\text{rev}\lambda)\} \end{aligned}$$

A crystal approach to the LR tableau companion pair

- $(G_\mu, G_\nu) \in {}^{-}LR_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda \times LR_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda$ is a companion (Gelfand-Tsetlin pair) pair of $LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$ if and only if
 - ▶ G_μ and G_ν are the lowest weight respectively highest weight elements of a connected component $B(\lambda, m) \times \{T\}$ of $B(\mu, m) \otimes B(\nu, m)$ for some $T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$.
 - ▶ the defining nested sequences of partitions $(\mu_j^{(i)})_{1 \leq j \leq i \leq 2n}$ and $(\nu_j^{(i)})_{1 \leq j \leq i \leq 2n}$ of G_μ respectively G_ν , satisfy

$$\mu_{j-1}^{(2n-i)} - \mu_{j-1+1}^{(2n-i+1)} = \nu_i^{(j)} - \nu_i^{(j-i)}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n.$$

The right and left companion bijections

- The right companion bijection c

$$c : LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu) \xrightarrow{\sim} LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}, \quad T \mapsto G_{\nu}(T)$$

- The left companion bijection c^{-}

$$c^{-} : LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{-}LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}, \quad T \mapsto G_{\mu}(T).$$

Henriques-Kamnitzer gl_m -crystal commuter

- The Henriques-Kamnitzer map (following an idea of A. Berenstein, 2006

$$B(\mu) \otimes B(\nu) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(\nu) \otimes B(\mu)$$

$$a \otimes b \mapsto S(S(b) \otimes S(a)), \quad S \text{ evacuation or Schützenberger involution}$$

does give a crystal isomorphism from $B(\mu) \otimes B(\nu)$ to $B(\nu) \otimes B(\mu)$ and thereby a crystal commuter.



$$B(\mu, m) \otimes B(\nu, m) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \\ T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)}} B(\lambda, m) \times \{T\},$$

$$B(\nu, m) \otimes B(\mu, m) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \\ \tilde{T} \in LR(\lambda/\nu, \mu)}} B(\lambda, m) \times \{\tilde{T}\}$$

- G_μ (G_ν) is the left (right) companion of $T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu) \Leftrightarrow$
 $\Leftrightarrow G_\mu \otimes Y_{rev\nu}$ ($Y_\mu \otimes G_\nu$) lowest (highest) weight of $B(\lambda, m) \times \{T\}$
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists^1 \tilde{T} \in LR(\lambda/\nu, \mu), S(Y_\nu \otimes S(G_\mu))$ ($S(S(G_\nu) \otimes Y_{rev\mu})$)
 is the lowest (highest) weight of $B(\lambda, m) \times \{\tilde{T}\}$
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists^1 \tilde{T} \in LR(\lambda/\nu, \mu), Y_\nu \otimes S(G_\mu)$ ($S(G_\nu) \otimes Y_{rev\mu}$)
 is the highest (lowest weight) of $B(\lambda, m) \times \{\tilde{T}\}$
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists^1 \tilde{T} \in LR(\lambda/\nu, \mu), S(G_\mu)$ ($S(G_\nu)$) the right (left) companion of \tilde{T}
- (G_μ, G_ν) is the companion pair of $T \Leftrightarrow (S(G_\nu), S(G_\mu))$ is the companion pair of \tilde{T}
- In other words, it does give the LR commuter

$$\rho : LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu) \xrightarrow{\sim} LR(\lambda/\nu, \mu), T \mapsto \tilde{T}$$

for $T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$ with companion pair (G_μ, G_ν) , $\rho(T) = \tilde{T}$ has companion pair given by $(S(G_\nu), S(G_\mu))$.

- The Henriques-Kamnitzer bijection gives



$$S : LR_{\nu, \mu}^{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} -LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}, G \mapsto S(G)$$

$$S(LR_{\nu, \mu}^{\lambda}) = -LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}$$

and

- ▶ the Henriques-Kamnitzer commuter between $LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}$ and $LR_{\nu, \mu}^{\lambda}$

$$LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda} \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu) \xrightarrow{c^{-}} -LR_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda} \xrightarrow{S} LR_{\nu, \mu}^{\lambda}$$

The pair $(GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C}))$: Kwon branching rule

- *Kwon branching rule*, 2018: the branching coefficient c_μ^λ equals the cardinality of the set

$$LRK(\lambda, \mu) := \bigcup_{\nu \text{ even}} LRK_{\nu, \mu}^\lambda$$

$LRK_{\nu, \mu}^\lambda$ is the subset of $LR_{\nu, \mu}^\lambda$ consisting of the right companions of $LR(\lambda/\nu, \mu)$ (note ν and μ are swapped) whose evacuation (or Schützenberger involution S) satisfies the Kwon property or symplectic property,

$$LRK_{\nu, \mu}^\lambda = \{G \in LR_{\nu, \mu}^\lambda : S(G) \in -LR_{\mu, \nu}^\lambda \\ \text{satisfies Kwon (or symplectic) property} \}$$

Lecouvey-Lenart conjecture

- Lecouvey-Lenart conjecture, 2018: The bijection of Hendriques-Kamnitzer between $LR_{\nu,\mu}^\lambda$ and $LR_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda$ restricts to a bijection between $LRS(\nu/\mu, \lambda)$ and $LRK_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda$.
- It turns out that those symplectic tableaux $S(G) \subseteq -LR_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda$ are precisely the left companions of $LRS(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$, the Littlewood-Richardson-Sundaram tableaux

$$S(LRK_{\nu,\mu}^\lambda) = -LRS_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda$$

- ▶ Kumar-Torres, 2025, via flagged hives (Kushwaha-Raghavan-Viswanath, 2021):
An hive, 1999, can be seen as the interlocking of a Gelfand-Tsetlin pair.
- ▶ directly via the construction of the left companion.

The left companion of an LRS tableau is a symplectic tableau

- A semistandard tableau $G \in SST_{2n}(\gamma)$ is said to be symplectic if

$$G(k, 1) \geq 2k - 1, \text{ for all } k \in [\ell(\gamma)].$$

Let $SpT_{2n}(\gamma)$ denote the set of all symplectic tableaux of shape γ on the alphabet $[2n]$.

- Let $n = 7$,

$$T = \begin{array}{cccc} & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 2 \\ & & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ & 2 & 3 & 4 & \\ & 3 & 4 & 5 & \\ \mathbf{1} & 6 & & & \\ 2 & 7 & & & \\ 4 & 8 & & & \\ \mathbf{5} & 9 & & & \\ 6 & 10 & & & \\ \mathbf{11} & & & & \\ 12 & & & & \end{array}$$

$$G_\mu(T) = \begin{array}{cccc} 5 & & & \\ 6 & & & \\ 7 & & & \\ 8 & & & \\ 12 & & & \end{array}$$

- T is LRS and $G_\mu(T)$ is symplectic.

Sundaram /Symplectic Kwon property violation characterization

- Let $T \in LR(\lambda/\mu, \nu)$ with ν even, on the alphabet $[2n]$, and $\ell(\mu) \leq n$. T does not satisfy the Sundaram property if and only if $G_\mu(T)$ is not symplectic.

Moreover, in this case, there exists a unique $t \geq 0$ such that

- $n + t + 1$ is the minimal row of T where the Sundaram property violation occurs.
- $T(n + 1, 1) \geq 2$,
 $T(n + 2, 1) \geq 4$,
 \vdots
 $T(n + t + 1 - 2, 1) \geq 2(t + 1 - 2)$,
 $T(n + t, 1) = 2t$, $\mathbf{T}(n + t + 1, 1) = 2t + 1$, $T(n + t + 2, 1) = 2(t + 1)$.
- the maximal row of $G_\mu(T)$ where a symplectic violation occurs is among the bottom most $t + 1$ cells
 $(\ell(\mu), 1), (\ell(\mu) - 1, 1), \dots, (\ell(\mu) - t, 1)$ of the first column of $G_\mu(T)$.

- Let $n = 5 = \ell(\mu)$

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline & & & 1 & 2 \\ \hline & & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline & 1 & 3 & 4 & \\ \hline & 2 & 4 & 5 & \\ \hline 2 & 3 & & & \\ \hline 4 & 6 & & & \\ \hline \mathbf{5} & 7 & & & \\ \hline 6 & 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad G_\mu(T) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & \\ \hline \mathbf{4} & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

T fails the Sundaram property, and $G_\mu(T)$ is not symplectic with $t + 1 = 3$.

- $T(n + 1, 1) = 2$, $T(n + 2, 1) = 4 = 2 \times 2$,
 $\mathbf{T}(n + 3, \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{5} = \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1}$, $T(n + 4, 1) = 2 \times 3$.

- For $n = 5 = \ell(\mu)$

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & & 1 \\ \hline & & & 1 & 2 \\ \hline & & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & \\ \hline & 3 & 4 & 5 & \\ \hline 1 & 6 & & & \\ \hline 2 & 7 & & & \\ \hline 4 & 8 & & & \\ \hline 5 & 9 & & & \\ \hline 6 & 10 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$G_\mu(T) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & & & \\ \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & \\ \hline 4 & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad t+1 = 1$$

T fails the Sundaram property and $G_\mu(T)$ is not symplectic with $t+1 = 1$.

- For $n = 6 = \ell(\mu) + 1$, $t+1 = 3$, T is not Sundaram, $T(6+1, 1) = 2$, $T(6+2, 1) = 4$, $\mathbf{T(6+3, 1) = 5 \not\cong 2 \times 3 + 1}$, $T(6+4, 1) = 6$

$$G_\mu(T) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & & & \\ \hline 4 & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{is not symplectic}$$

$$G(3, 1) = 5 = 2 \times 3 - 1; \quad \mathbf{G(4, 1) = 6 \not\cong 2 \times 4 - 1},$$