## The $T_D$ axiom in a pointfree setting

## ANNELIESE SCHAUERTE\*

University of Cape Town, South Africa Anneliese.Schauerte@uct.ac.za

A topological space X is  $T_D$  if, for each  $x \in X$ , there is an open set U containing x for which  $U \setminus \{x\}$  is also open. In [2], Banaschewski and Pultr link this notion with pointfree topology by providing a dual equivalence between the category of  $T_D$  spaces and continuous maps, and the category of  $T_D$ -spatial frames and D-homomorphisms. Arrieta and Suarez, in [1], substantially extend this topic, using sublocales and the coframe of sublocales as fundamental tools.

We are interested in understanding the similarities and the differences between these ideas in the context of frames and spaces, and our context of partial frames and partial spaces (see below for some details). That things are not identical is immediately clear: in classical topology, the  $T_D$  axiom lies between  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ , but there exists a partial space that is compact, regular, Hausdorff and yet not  $T_D$ .

We discuss appropriate tools, in particular, linked pairs, slicing points and *D*-homomorphisms, and use these to establish a dual equivalence between the category of  $T_D$  partial spaces and continuous maps, and the category of  $T_D$ -spatial partial frames and *D*-homomorphisms. We investigate the embedding of a partial frame into its free frame, and into its congruence frame, showing that the behaviour of slicing points differs from that of (ordinary) points.

Our broad context:

Partial frames are meet-semilattices where, in contrast with frames, not all subsets need have joins. A selection function, S, specifies, for all meet-semilattices, certain subsets under consideration, which we call the "designated" ones; an S-frame then must have joins of (at least) all such subsets and binary meet must distribute over these. A small collection of axioms suffices to delineate the selection functions we wish to consider; these axioms are sufficiently general to include as examples of partial frames bounded distributive lattices, sigma-frames, kappa-frames and frames.

Partial spaces relate to partial frames in exactly the way spaces do to frames: the open set and spectrum functors provide an adjunction, in which the fixed objects are the sober partial spaces and the spatial partial frames.

## References

- [1] Arrieta, I. and Suarez, A.L., The coframe of *D*-sublocales of a locale and the  $T_D$ -duality, Topology and its Applications, 291 (2021) 107614.
- [2] Banaschewski, B. and Pultr, A., Pointfree aspects of the  $T_D$  axiom of classical topology, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 33 (2010) 369 385.

<sup>\*</sup>This is joint work with John Frith (University of Cape Town).