

Equivariant means and \mathbb{Z}_2 -AR's

Ananda López Poo Cabrera ¹

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

38th Summer Conference on Topology and its Applications
July, 2024

¹Part of a joint work with Natalia Jonard

Let G be a compact topological group. We call a space X together with an action of G a G -**space**.

Let G be a compact topological group. We call a space X together with an action of G a **G -space**.

We say that a subset A of X is **invariant** if $gx \in A$ for every $g \in G$ and $x \in A$.

Let G be a compact topological group. We call a space X together with an action of G a **G -space**.

We say that a subset A of X is **invariant** if $gx \in A$ for every $g \in G$ and $x \in A$.

A continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between G -spaces is **equivariant** if $gf(x) = f(gx)$ for every $g \in G$ and $x \in X$.

We say that a metrizable space X is an **absolute retract** (denoted by AR) provided that for any metrizable space Y that contains X as a closed subset there exists a retraction $r : Y \rightarrow X$.

We say that a metrizable space X is an **absolute retract** (denoted by AR) provided that for any metrizable space Y that contains X as a closed subset there exists a retraction $r : Y \rightarrow X$.

We say that a metrizable G -space X is a **G -equivariant absolute retract** (denoted by G -AR) provided that for any metrizable G -space Y that contains X as a closed and invariant subset there exists an equivariant retraction $r : Y \rightarrow X$.

We say that a metrizable space X is an **absolute retract** (denoted by AR) provided that for any metrizable space Y that contains X as a closed subset there exists a retraction $r : Y \rightarrow X$.

We say that a metrizable G -space X is a **G -equivariant absolute retract** (denoted by G -AR) provided that for any metrizable G -space Y that contains X as a closed and invariant subset there exists an equivariant retraction $r : Y \rightarrow X$.

Let X be a G -space. For each subgroup H of G , the **H -fixed point set** X^H is the set

$$\{x \in X \mid hx = x \text{ for each } h \in H\}.$$

J. Jaworowski raised the following problem in the seventies.

Jaworowski's problem

Let G be a compact Lie group and X a metrizable G -space that has finitely many G -orbit types. Assume that for each closed subgroup H of G , the H -fixed point set X^H is an AR. Is then X a G -AR?

Recall that an involution on a space X is a continuous function $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha^2 = 1_X$.

Recall that an involution on a space X is a continuous function $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha^2 = 1_X$.

An action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on a space X induces an involution $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$, given by $\alpha(x) = -1 \cdot x$. Conversely, an involution α on X induces an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on X . We denote the resulting \mathbb{Z}_2 -space by (X, α) .

Recall that an involution on a space X is a continuous function $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha^2 = 1_X$.

An action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on a space X induces an involution $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$, given by $\alpha(x) = -1 \cdot x$. Conversely, an involution α on X induces an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on X . We denote the resulting \mathbb{Z}_2 -space by (X, α) .

If (X, α) and (Y, β) are \mathbb{Z}_2 -spaces, we say that α **is conjugate with** β if there exists an homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\alpha = h^{-1} \circ \beta \circ h$.

Let Q be the Hilbert cube $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} [-1, 1]$. The **standard involution** on Q is the function $\sigma : Q \rightarrow Q$ given by $\sigma(x) = -x$.

Let Q be the Hilbert cube $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} [-1, 1]$. The **standard involution** on Q is the function $\sigma : Q \rightarrow Q$ given by $\sigma(x) = -x$.

The next result was proved in [2] by S. Antonyan, using a theorem proved in [6] by J. West and R. Wong.

Theorem

Let X be a space that is homeomorphic to Q and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ be an involution with a unique fixed point. Then, (X, α) is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -AR if and only if α is conjugated with the standard involution $\sigma : Q \rightarrow Q$.

When $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, X is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q and X has a unique \mathbb{Z}_2 -fixed point, Jaworowski's problem is equivalent to the following problem raised by R. D. Anderson in the sixties.

Anderson's problem

Let $\alpha : Q \rightarrow Q$ be an involution with a unique fixed point. Is then α conjugate with the standard involution $\sigma : Q \rightarrow Q$?

Let \mathcal{K}_0^n denote the family of all closed convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin.

Let \mathcal{K}_0^n denote the family of all closed convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin.

Theorem (L. Higuera Montaña, N. Jonard, 2023)

1. \mathcal{K}_0^n , equipped with the Attouch-Wets metric, is homeomorphic to Q .
2. Every involution $\alpha : \mathcal{K}_0^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_0^n$ with a unique fixed point that is decreasing with respect to the inclusion order (i.e. if $A, B \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and $A \subseteq B$, then $\alpha(B) \subseteq \alpha(A)$) is conjugate with $\sigma : Q \rightarrow Q$.

Weak version of Jaworowski's problem

Let (X, α) be a metrizable \mathbb{Z}_2 -space. Assume that X and $X^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ are AR's and that there exists a lattice structure (X, \leq, \wedge, \vee) such that α is decreasing with respect to the partial order \leq . Is then (X, α) a \mathbb{Z}_2 -AR?

Theorem (S. Antonyan, 2005)

Let G be a compact Lie group and X a metrizable G -space that is an AR and has a unique G -fixed point. if X is G -contractible, then it is a G -AR.

Theorem (S. Antonyan, 2005)

Let G be a compact Lie group and X a metrizable G -space that is an AR and has a unique G -fixed point. If X is G -contractible, then it is a G -AR.

We say a G -space X is **G -contractible** if there exists a homotopy $H : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ from the identity map Id_X to a constant function, such that $H(gx, t) = gH(x, t)$ for every $g \in G$, $x \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem (N. J., A. L.)

Let (X, α) be a metrizable \mathbb{Z}_2 -space such that X is homeomorphic to Q and α has a unique fixed point. Then, (X, α) is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -AR if and only if there exists a continuous function $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ that satisfies that, for every $x, y \in X$,

- 1) $g(x, x) = x$,
- 2) $g(x, y) = g(y, x)$,
- 3) $g(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) = \alpha(g(x, y))$.

Theorem (N. J., A. L.)

Let (X, α) be a metrizable \mathbb{Z}_2 -space such that X and $X^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ are AR's. If there exists a continuous function $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ that satisfies that, for every $x, y \in X$,

- 1) $g(x, x) = x$,
- 2) $g(x, y) = g(y, x)$,
- 3) $g(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) = \alpha(g(x, y))$,

then X is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -AR.

Definition

A **mean** on a topological space X is a continuous function $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ that satisfies that, for every $x, y \in X$,

- 1) $g(x, x) = x$,
- 2) $g(x, y) = g(y, x)$.

Definition

A **mean** on a topological space X is a continuous function $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ that satisfies that, for every $x, y \in X$,

- 1) $g(x, x) = x$,
- 2) $g(x, y) = g(y, x)$.

Definition

Let (X, α) be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -space. We will say that an **equivariant mean** is a continuous function $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ that satisfies that, for every $x, y \in X$,

- 1) $g(x, x) = x$,
- 2) $g(x, y) = g(y, x)$,
- 3) $g(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) = \alpha(g(x, y))$.

Consider the \mathbb{Z}_2 -space (Q, σ) . The function $g : Q \times Q \rightarrow Q$, given by

$$g((x_n), (y_n)) = \left(\frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right),$$

is an equivariant mean.

V. Milman and L. Rotem defined an equivariant mean on the family $\mathcal{K}_{(0),b}^n$ of all compact convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin in their interior, equipped with the Hausdorff metric.

This is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -space if we consider the polar involution $A \rightarrow A^\circ$, given by

$$A^\circ = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sup_{a \in A} \langle a, x \rangle \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let $x, y > 0$. The sequences (a_n) and (h_n) given by

$$a_0 = x, \quad h_0 = y,$$

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n + h_n}{2}, \quad h_{n+1} = \left(\frac{a_n^{-1} + h_n^{-1}}{2} \right)^{-1},$$

satisfy that (a_n) is decreasing, (h_n) is increasing, $h_n \leq a_n$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = \sqrt{xy}$.

Let $K, T \in \mathcal{K}_{(0),b}^n$. The sequences (A_n) and (H_n) given by

$$A_0 = K, \quad H_0 = T,$$

$$A_{n+1} = \frac{A_n + H_n}{2}, \quad H_{n+1} = \left(\frac{A_n^\circ + H_n^\circ}{2} \right)^\circ,$$

satisfy that (A_n) is decreasing with respect to inclusion order, (H_n) is increasing, $H_n \subseteq A_n$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n$.

Let $K, T \in \mathcal{K}_{(0),b}^n$. The sequences (A_n) and (H_n) given by

$$A_0 = K, \quad H_0 = T,$$

$$A_{n+1} = \frac{A_n + H_n}{2}, \quad H_{n+1} = \left(\frac{A_n^\circ + H_n^\circ}{2} \right)^\circ,$$

satisfy that (A_n) is decreasing with respect to inclusion order, (H_n) is increasing, $H_n \subseteq A_n$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n$.

They defined $g : \mathcal{K}_{(0),b}^n \times \mathcal{K}_{(0),b}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{(0),b}^n$ by

$$g(K, T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n.$$

Definition

Let (X, α) be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -space. We will say that a continuous function $E : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is **good** if, for every $x, y \in X$, the sequences (A_n) and (H_n) given by

$$A_0 = x, \quad H_0 = y,$$

$$A_{n+1} = E(A_n, H_n), \quad H_{n+1} = \alpha(E(\alpha(A_n), \alpha(H_n)))$$

satisfy that (A_n) is decreasing, (H_n) is increasing, they are both convergent and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n$.

In the following, (X, α) is a metrizable \mathbb{Z}_2 -space such that X and $X^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ are ARs. We assume that there exists a lattice structure (X, \leq, \wedge, \vee) such that α is decreasing with respect to the partial order \leq . We also assume that \wedge and \vee are continuous.

In the following, (X, α) is a metrizable \mathbb{Z}_2 -space such that X and $X^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ are ARs. We assume that there exists a lattice structure (X, \leq, \wedge, \vee) such that α is decreasing with respect to the partial order \leq . We also assume that \wedge and \vee are continuous.

Proposition (N. J., A. L.)

Suppose X is compact. If $E : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is a good mean, then $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$, given by

$$g(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(x, y),$$

is an equivariant mean, and therefore (X, α) is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -AR.

Proposition (N. J., A. L.)

Suppose that X is compact. If $E : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is a mean that satisfies that, for every $x, y \in X$,

1. $x \leq E(x, y) < y$ if $x < y$,
2. $E(x, y) \geq \alpha(E(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)))$,

then E is good.

Proposition (N. J., A. L.)

Suppose that X is compact. If $E : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is a mean that satisfies that, for every $x, y \in X$,

1. $x \leq E(x, y) < y$ if $x < y$,
2. $E(x, y) \geq \alpha(E(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)))$,

then E is good.

These conditions can be exchanged for

1. $x < E(x, y) \leq y$ if $x < y$,
2. $E(x, y) \leq \alpha(E(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)))$.

Proposition (N. J., A. L.)

If (X, \leq, \wedge, \vee) is a modular lattice (i.e., $x \leq b$ implies $x \vee (a \wedge b) = (x \vee a) \wedge b$ for all $x, a, b \in X$), then there exists and equivariant mean $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$, and therefore X is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -AR.

Proposition (N. J., A. L.)

If (X, \leq, \wedge, \vee) is a modular lattice (i.e., $x \leq b$ implies $x \vee (a \wedge b) = (x \vee a) \wedge b$ for all $x, a, b \in X$), then there exists and equivariant mean $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$, and therefore X is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -AR.

Consider the lattice (Q, \leq, \wedge, \vee) given by $(x_n) \leq (y_n)$ if and only if $x_n \leq y_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(x_n) \wedge (y_n) = (\min \{x_n, y_n\}),$$

$$(x_n) \vee (y_n) = (\max \{x_n, y_n\}).$$

This is a modular lattice and \wedge and \vee are continuous functions.

Definition

Let (X, α) be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -space. We will say that an **equivariant mean** is a continuous function $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ that satisfies that, for every $x, y \in X$,

- 1) $g(x, x) = x$,
- 2) $g(x, y) = g(y, x)$,
- 3) $g(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) = \alpha(g(x, y))$.

Definition

Let (X, α) be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -space. We will say that an **equivariant mean** is a continuous function $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ that satisfies that, for every $x, y \in X$,

- 1) $g(x, x) = x$,
- 2) $g(x, y) = g(y, x)$,
- 3) $g(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) = \alpha(g(x, y))$.

Definition

Let X be a G -space. We will say that an **equivariant n -mean** is a continuous function $p : X^n \rightarrow X$ that satisfies that, for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$,

- 1) $p(x, \dots, x) = x$,
- 2) $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(n)})$ for every permutation τ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.
- 3) $p(gx_1, \dots, gx_n) = gp(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for every $g \in G$.

Theorem (N. J., A. L.)

Let (X, α) be a metrizable \mathbb{Z}_2 -space such that X and $X^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ are AR's. If there exists an equivariant mean $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$, then X is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -AR.

Theorem (N. J., A. L.)

Let (X, α) be a metrizable \mathbb{Z}_2 -space such that X and $X^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ are AR's. If there exists an equivariant mean $g : X \times X \rightarrow X$, then X is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -AR.

Theorem (N. J., A. L.)

Let G be a finite group. Let X be a metrizable G -space such that for each closed subgroup H of G the set X^H is an AR. If for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = |H|$ for some closed subgroup H of G there exists an equivariant n -mean $p : X^n \rightarrow X$, then X is a G -AR.

We say that a metrizable G -space X is a **G -equivariant absolute neighborhood retract** (denoted by G -ANR) provided that for any metrizable G -space Y that contains X as a closed and invariant subset there exist an invariant neighborhood U of X in Y and an equivariant retraction $r : U \rightarrow X$.

We say that a metrizable G -space X is a **G -equivariant absolute neighborhood retract** (denoted by G -ANR) provided that for any metrizable G -space Y that contains X as a closed and invariant subset there exist an invariant neighborhood U of X in Y and an equivariant retraction $r : U \rightarrow X$.

Theorem (H. Juárez-Anguiano, 2020)

Let X be a metrizable G -space that is a G -ANR and suppose that for each closed subgroup H of G , X^H is connected and has finitely generated homology groups such that almost all vanish. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- 1) There exists an equivariant n -mean $p : X^n \rightarrow X$ for every $n \geq 2$.
- 2) There exists an equivariant n -mean $p : X^n \rightarrow X$ for some $n \geq 2$.
- 3) X is a G -AR.

In [4], H. Juárez-Anguiano asked the following question.

Question

Let X be a compact and connected metrizable G -space that is a G -ANR. If there exists an equivariant n -mean $p : X^n \rightarrow X$ for some $n \geq 2$, then is X a G -AR?

In [4], H. Juárez-Anguiano asked the following question.

Question

Let X be a compact and connected metrizable G -space that is a G -ANR. If there exists an equivariant n -mean $p : X^n \rightarrow X$ for some $n \geq 2$, then is X a G -AR?

Theorem (N. J., A. L.)

Let G be a finite group. Let X be a compact metrizable G -space that is a G -ANR. If there exists an equivariant n -mean $p : X^n \rightarrow X$ for $n = |G|$, then X is a G -AR.

Theorem (N. J., A. L.)

Let (X, d) be a proper metric G -space that is a G -ANR. Suppose that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist an equivariant function $p : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, n} d(x_i, p(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \leq \lambda \max_{j, k=1, \dots, n} d(x_j, x_k)$$

for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. Then, X is a G -AR.

Theorem (N. J., A. L.)

Let (X, d) be a proper metric G -space that is a G -ANR. Suppose that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist an equivariant function $p : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, n} d(x_i, p(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \leq \lambda \max_{j, k=1, \dots, n} d(x_j, x_k)$$

for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. Then, X is a G -AR.

Let G be a compact topological group acting on the circle \mathbb{S}^1 .

Consider $p : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ defined by $p(x, y) = x$. Then, $\max \{d(x, p(x, y)), d(y, p(x, y))\} = d(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

References I



S. Antonyan. *A characterization of equivariant absolute extensors and the equivariant Dugundji Theorem*. Houston Jour. Math. 31 (2005), 451-462.



S. Antonyan. *Some open problems in equivariant infinite-dimensional topology*. Topology Appl. 311 (2022).





L. Higuera-Montaño and N. Jonard. *A topological insight into the polar involution of convex sets*. Accepted in Israel Journal of Mathematics.



H. Juárez-Anguiano. *Equivariant retracts and a topological social choice model*. Topology Appl. 279 (2020).

References II

-  V. Milman and L. Rotem. *Non-standard constructions in convex geometry: geometric means of convex bodies*. In Convexity and concentration, Vol. 161 (2017), Springer, New York, 361-390.
-  J. West and R. Wong. *Based-free actions of finite groups on Hilbert cubes with absolute retract orbit spaces are conjugate*. In Geometric Topology (Proc. Georgia Topology Conf., Athens, Ga., 1977) (1979), Academic Press, New York - London, 655-672.