# Resolvable and irresolvable spaces

### Lajos Soukup

#### HUN-REN Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics https://www.renyi.hu/en/researchers/lajos-soukup

38th Summer Conference on Topology and its Applications

- Hewitt, Ph.D Thesis, Harvard, 1942
- Def. A space X is resolvable iff X contains two disjoint dense subsets.
- Def. A space is irresolvable iff it is not resolvable.
- What makes a space (ir)resolvable?
- Fact. If X has an isolated point, then X is irresolvable
- Revised question: What makes a crowded space (ir)resolvable?

### • Are there irresolvable crowded spaces?

- the structure of refinements of a topology
- Assume that  $\langle X, \tau \rangle$  is a crowded 0-dimensional space
- Let  $\tau' \supset \tau$  be a **maximal 0-dimensional crowded** topology on *X*.
- Claim:  $\langle X, \tau' \rangle$  is irresolvable.
- Proof. Assume on the contrary that X has a partition {D<sub>0</sub>, D<sub>1</sub>} into dense sets
- You can refine the topology  $\tau'$  by declaring that  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  are open!
- $\tau^* = \langle \tau' \cup \{D_0, D_1\} \rangle_{gen}$  is 0-dimensional crowded
- Contradiction:  $\tau'$  was not maximal.

• Fact: If X is a space, then the closed subspace

 $Res(X) = \bigcup \{ Y \subset X : Y \text{ is resolvable} \}$ 

is resolvable.

- Fact. If X is irresolvable, then X \ Res(X) is a non-empty open, hereditarily irresolvable subspace.
- **Def.** A space is hereditarily irresolvable (HI) iff every crowded subspace is irresolvable
- Fact. If X is a space and every non-empty open subset of X contains a resolvable subspace, then X is resolvable.
- Fact. Assume that K is a family of regular spaces which is closed for regular-closed subsets. If every X ∈ K contains a resolvable subspace, then every X ∈ K is resolvable.

- Def. A topological space is κ-resolvable iff X contains κ disjoint dense subsets.
- Fact: If X is a space and  $\kappa$  is a cardinal, then the closed subspace

$$Res_{\kappa}(X) = \bigcup \{Y \subset X : Y \text{ is } \kappa \text{-resolvable} \}$$

is  $\kappa$ -resolvable.

- If *D* is **dense** and *U* is a non-empty **open** set, then  $U \cap D \neq \emptyset$ .
- if X is  $\kappa$ -resolvable then  $\kappa \leq \min\{|U| : U \in \tau_X^+\} = \Delta(X)$ .
- **Def.**  $\Delta(X)$  is the dispersion character of X.
- **Def.** A space X is maximally resolvable iff it is  $\Delta(X)$ -resolvable.

### A space X is **maximally resolvable** iff it is $\Delta(X)$ -resolvable.

Thm. A topological space X is maximally resolvable provided it is

- metric, or
- ordered, or
- compact.

### What about

- monotonically normal spaces?
- Lindelöf spaces?
- countably compact spaces?
- pseudocompact spaces?

### The expectation is that *nice* spaces should be maximally resolvable.

Soukup, L (Rényi Institute)

- **Def.** A topological space X is **neat** iff  $|X| = \Delta(X)$ .
- Every regular space contains a regular-closed neat subspace.
- Assume that K is a class of regular spaces which is closed for regular-closed subspaces.
  - If every neat  $X \in \mathbb{K}$  is  $\kappa$ -resolvable, then every  $X \in \mathbb{K}$  is  $\kappa$ -resolvable.
  - If every neat  $X \in \mathbb{K}$  is maximally resolvable, then every  $X \in \mathbb{K}$  is maximally resolvable.
- The class of compact (or countably compact, Lindelöf, monotonically normal, pseudocompact) spaces is closed for regular-closed subspaces.
- it is enough to investigate the resolvability of neat spaces.

## How to prove resolvability? Small *π*-weight

X is **neat** iff  $|X| = \Delta(X)$ .

- Fact. If X is neat and  $\pi(X) \le |X|$ , then X is maximally resolvable.
- **Proof**: Write  $\kappa = \pi(X) \le |X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$
- Let  $\{B_{\eta} : \eta < \kappa\}$  be a  $\pi$ -base
- By transfinite recursion choose distinct points {d<sub>ξ,η</sub> : η < κ, ξ < λ} such that d<sub>ξ,η</sub> ∈ B<sub>η</sub>.
- Put  $D_{\xi} = \{ d_{\xi,\eta} : \eta < \kappa \}$  for  $\xi < \lambda$ .
- {D<sub>ξ</sub> : ξ < λ} is a family of pairwise disjoint dense sets. QED</li>
- Fact. Neat, compact crowded spaces are maximally resolvable.
- Thm. Compact spaces are maximally resolvable.

# How to prove resolvability? Small tightness

• Fact. If X is neat and t(X) < |X|, then X is maximally resolvable.

• **Proof**. Write 
$$\kappa = |X| = \Delta(X)$$
.

- If  $A \in [X]^{<\kappa}$ , then there is  $B \subset X \setminus A$  with  $A \subset \overline{B}$  and  $|B| \le |A| \cdot t(X)$ .
- Write  $X = \{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}.$
- By transfinite recursion on *α*, construct disjoint sets {*D*<sup>α</sup><sub>ξ</sub> : ξ < α < κ} such that {*x<sub>i</sub>* : *i* < α} ⊂ *D*<sup>α</sup><sub>ξ</sub> and |*D*<sup>α</sup><sub>ξ</sub>| ≤ |α| · *t*(*X*).
- Let  $D_{\xi} = \bigcup \{ D_{\xi}^{\alpha} : \xi < \alpha < \kappa \}.$
- $\{D_{\xi} : \xi < \kappa\}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint dense sets.
- Corollary: (Neat) metric spaces with Δ(X) > ω are maximally resolvable.
- Thm. Metric spaces are maximally resolvable.

- Fact. Every crowded, countably compact, regular space is resolvable.
- **Proof:** Let X be a crowded, countably regular compact space.
- Def. A subset D ⊂ X is strongly discrete (SD) if there is a neighborhood assignment U : D → τ<sub>X</sub> such that the sets {U(d) : d ∈ D} are pairwise disjoint.
- **Def.** A point  $x \in X$  is an **SD-point** iff there is an SD set  $D \subset X$  such that  $x \in D'$ .
- Let  $A = \{x \in X : x \text{ is an SD-point}\}.$
- A is dense in X.
- If  $B = X \setminus A$  is dense, then we are done.
- Assume that *B* is not dense. So *A* contains a non-empty open set  $U \in \tau_X^+$ .
- Construct *T* = {*x<sub>s</sub>* : *s* ∈ ω<sup><ω</sup>} ⊂ *U* by induction on |*s*| such that for each *s* ∈ ω<sup><ω</sup>

• 
$$\{x_{s \frown n} : n < \omega\}$$
 is SD, and  $x_s \in \{x_{s \frown n} : n < \omega\}'$ .

Soukup, L (Rényi Institute)

• **Def.** Given a space *X*, define the family of marked open sets as follows:

 $\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \big\{ \langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{U} \rangle \in \boldsymbol{X} \times \tau(\boldsymbol{X}) : \boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{U} \big\}$ 

• Def. A  $T_1$  space X is monotonically normal (MN) if X admits a monotone normality operator i.e. there is function  $H: \mathcal{M}(X) \to \tau(X)$  such that

•  $x \in H(x, U) \subset U$ ,

- if  $x \notin V$  and  $y \notin U$  then  $H(x, U) \cap H(y, V) = \emptyset$ .
- Metric and linearly ordered spaces are MN
- Are the monotonically normal spaces maximally resolvable?
- Thm. A dense-in-itself monotonically normal space is  $\omega$ -resolvable.
- Fact. In a crowded monotonically normal space every point is an SD-point.

# Problem (Ceder, Pearson 1967) Does ω-resolvable imply maximally resolvable?

Soukup, L (Rényi Institute)

11/25

X dense-in-itself, monotonically normal  $\stackrel{?}{\Longrightarrow}$  X maximally resolvable?

- If κ is an infinite cardinal, and F is an ultrafilter on κ define the space T<sub>F</sub> as follows
- The underlying set is the everywhere  $\kappa$ -branching tree of height  $\omega$ :  $\kappa^{<\omega}$ .
- $U \subset \kappa^{<\omega}$  is open iff for each  $t \in U$  the set  $\{\alpha < \kappa : t \cap \alpha \in U\} \in F$ .
- $T_F$  is monotonically normal:  $H(t, V) = \{u \in V : [t, u] \subset V\}$
- *T<sub>F</sub>* is ω-resolvable: if *I* ⊂ ω is infinite, then {*s* ∈ κ<sup><ω</sup> : |*s*| ∈ *I*} is dense in *T<sub>F</sub>*.

Natural conjecture : If U is a uniform ultrafilter on  $\omega_1$  then  $T_F$  is not  $\omega_1$ -resolvable

Ceder - Pearson: Does ω-resolvable imply maximally resolvable?

X dense-in-itself, monotonically normal  $\stackrel{?}{\Longrightarrow}$  X maximally resolvable?

Conjecture: If *F* is a uniform ultrafilter on  $\omega_1$  then  $T_F$  is **not**  $\omega_1$ -**resolvable** 

- Thm (Juhász-S-Szentmiklóssy) If *F* is a uniform ultrafilter on some κ < ℵ<sub>ω</sub>, then *T<sub>F</sub>* is maximally resolvable.
- Thm (Juhász-S-Szentmiklóssy) Assume that  $\kappa = cf(\kappa) \ge \lambda$ . Then the following are equivalent.
  - Every MN space with  $|X| = \Delta(X) = \kappa$  is  $\lambda$ -resolvable.
  - For every uniform ultrafilter *F* on  $\kappa$ , the space  $T_F$  is  $\lambda$ -resolvable.
- Corollary. Every MN space with  $|X| < \aleph_{\omega}$  is maximally resolvable.
- Thm: (Juhász-Magidor) The following are equiconsistent:
  - There is a MN space that is not maximally resolvable.
  - There is a MN space X with  $|X| = \Delta(X) = \aleph_{\omega}$  that is **not**  $\omega_1$ -resolvable.
  - There is a measurable cardinal.

- Since there are countable irresolvable spaces, and countable spaces are clearly Lindelöf, the following question of Malyhin is the natural one:
- Is it true that every Lindelöf space with  $\Delta(X) > \omega$  is resolvable?
- Pavlov: Any Lindelöf space X with  $\Delta(X) > \omega_1$  is  $\omega$ -resolvable.
- Filatova: Any regular Lindelöf space X with  $\Delta(X) = \omega_1$  is 2-resolvable.
- Juhász,S,Szentmiklóssy: a Lindelöf space with |X| = Δ(X) = ω<sub>1</sub> is maximally resolvable.
- Open: Is it true that every regular Lindelöf space of uncountable dispersion character is maximally resolvable?

14/25

- E. G. Pytkeev proved that crowded countably compact regular spaces are ω<sub>1</sub>-resolvable
- different proof working for crowded countably compact  $\pi$ -regular spaces.
- However, no one of these proofs can reach either 2<sup>ω</sup>-resolvability or maximal resolvability.
- Is it true that the countably compact, crowded regular spaces are maximally resolvable?

# Resolvability of pseudocompact (feebly compact) spaces

- a tougher problem: weaken countably compact to pseudocompact.
- Thm. A crowded pseudocompact space X is 2<sup>ω</sup>-resolvable provided
  (1) c(X) = ω (J. van Mill, 2016)
  - (2)  $c(X) \leq 2^{\omega}$  (A. H. Ortiz-Castillo and Y. F. Tomita (2018))
  - (3) every complete, crowded metric space M with density  $\leq c(X)$  has a "**Bernstein coloring**", i.e. a coloring  $f: M \to 2^{\omega}$  such that  $f''C = 2^{\omega}$  provided  $C \subset M$  is a topological copy of the Cantor set. (Juhász,S,Szentmiklóssy)
- Hajnal, Juhász and Shelah: the failure of (3) is a large cardinal assumption.
- Hence, it is consistent that every crowded pseudocompact space is 2<sup>ω</sup>-resolvable.
- Is it true that every pseudocompact, regular spaces X is resolvable (2<sup>\u03c6</sup>-resolvable)?
- Is it true (or just consistent) that every pseudocompact, regular spaces X is maximally resolvable?

### Between pseudocompactness and countably compactness

What can we say about resolvability of spaces with property *P*, where *P* is a property strictly stronger than pseudocompactness, but strictly weaker than countable compactness?

A zoo of spaces.

- X is countably compact
- X contains a dense subset D such that every A ∈ [D]<sup>ω</sup> has an accumulation point
  (D is relatively countably compact in X)
- X contains dense subsets {D<sub>n</sub> : n < ω} such that if a<sub>n</sub> ∈ D<sub>n</sub> for n < ω, then A = {a<sub>n</sub> : n < ω} has an accumulation point.</li>
- Player II has a winning strategy in the following game: in the n<sup>th</sup> turn of the game Player I chooses U<sub>n</sub> ∈ τ<sup>+</sup><sub>X</sub>, then Player II selects a<sub>n</sub> ∈ U<sub>n</sub>. Player II wins iff A = {a<sub>n</sub> : n < ω} has an accumulation point.</li>
- if {U<sub>n</sub> : n < ω} ⊂ τ<sup>+</sup><sub>X</sub>, then there are points a<sub>n</sub> ∈ U<sub>n</sub> for n < ω such that A = {a<sub>n</sub> : n < ω} has an accumulation point.</li>
  (X is sequentially pseudocompact)

- Any product of infinitely many non-singleton spaces is 2<sup>ω</sup>-resolvable
- What about the product of two crowded spaces?
- Fact. If X and Y are neat,  $|X| = \Delta(X) = |Y| = \Delta(Y)$ , then  $X \times Y$  is resolvable:
- Proof: Write  $X = \{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}$  and  $Y = \{y_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}$ .
- Let  $A = \{ \langle x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta} \rangle : \alpha < \beta < \kappa \}$  and  $B = \{ \langle x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta} \rangle : \beta \le \alpha < \kappa \}.$
- A and B are dense subsets in  $X \times Y$
- Problem: Assume that  $|X| = \Delta(X) = |Y| = \Delta(Y)$ . Is it true that  $X \times Y$  is 3-resolvable?

# Resolvability of product

- Fact. If X is  $\kappa$ -resolvable, then  $X \times Y$  is  $\kappa$ -resolvable.
- Thm. If X is  $\kappa$ -resolvable, and  $|Y| = \Delta(Y) = \kappa^+$ , then  $X \times Y$  is  $\kappa^+$ -resolvable.
- Problem(Ceder and Pearson): Is the product of a maximally resolvable space with any other space maximally resolvable?
- Eckertson gave a consistent counterexample modulo the existence of a measurable cardinal.
- We also gave two counterexamples with some additional properties. Both constructions used the existence of a measurable cardinal.
- Do we really need large cardinals to construct counteraxamples for Ceder-Pearson problem?
- The intuition is that the answer should be no.
- Answering Malyhin's question we proved that the following are equiconsistent:

ovictoria of a manaurable cardinal

• there are two crowded 0-dimensional *T*<sub>2</sub> -spaces whose product is irresolvable.

Soukup, L (Rényi Institute)

Resolvable and irresolvable spaces

SUMTOPO 2024

19/25

# Stepping up in resolvability

- Thm (Ceder and Pearson): there is an *n*-resolvable, but not *n* + 1 resolvable space for each 1 ≤ *n* < ω.</li>
- **Hint**. Construct a space *X<sub>n</sub>* which is the disjoint union of *n* dense, HI subsets.
- Then  $X_n$  is not n+1-resolvable.
- Thm: (Illanes) If a space X is *n*-resolvable for each  $n \in \omega$ , then X is  $\omega$ -resolvable as well.
- Problem (Ceder and Pearson): Is there a space X with Δ(X) > ω such that X is ω-resolvable, but not ω<sub>1</sub>-resolvable? (maximally resolvable)
- Assume that a space X is the union of countable many dense, HI subspaces. Is it true that X is not ω<sub>1</sub>-resolvable?
- consistent counterexamples (El'kin, Malykhin, Eckertson, and Hu)
- Juhász-S-Szentmiklóssy: *D*-forced spaces.

# Stepping up in resolvability

Def. If X is a topological space, D is a family of subsets of X, we define the notion of D-mosaic as follows: if U is a maximal cellular family of open sets, and D<sub>U</sub> ∈ D for each U ∈ U, then the set

 $M(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{ U \cap D_U : U \in \mathcal{U} \}$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -mosaic.

- Fact. if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a family of dense sets, then  $M(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{U})$  is dense.
- Thm. Assume that
  - $X = \langle \kappa, \tau \rangle$  is a homeomorphic to a dense subset of  $D(2)^{2^{\kappa}}$ , and
  - $\mathcal{D}$  is a family of  $\tau$ -dense subsets of X.

Then we can modify the topology  $\tau$  to obtain a topology  $\tau'$  such that

•  $X' = \langle \kappa, \tau' \rangle$  is homeomorphic to a dense subset  $D(2)^{2^{\kappa}}$ , X' is nodec, and

• a set  $A \subset \kappa$  is  $\tau'$ -dense iff it contains a  $\mathcal{D}$ -mosaic.

- Corr. For each infinite cardinal λ there is 0-dimensional T<sub>2</sub> space X which is is λ-resolvable, but not λ<sup>+</sup>-resolvable.
- Proof  $X \subset D(2)^{2^{\lambda}}$  dense,  $|X| = \lambda$ ,  $X = \bigcup \{D_{\alpha} : \alpha < \lambda\} \subset Dense(X)$ , pairwise disjoint.

Soukup, L (Rényi Institute)

 $\forall \lambda \geq \omega$  there is 0-dimensional  $T_2$  space X which is is  $\lambda$ -resolvable, but not  $\lambda^+$ -resolvable.

- Natural question: Assume that λ is a limit cardinal and a space X is μ-resolvable for each μ < λ. Should X be λ-resolvable as well?</li>
- Illanes: YES provided  $\lambda = \omega$ .
- Bashkara Rao: YES provided  $cf(\lambda) = \omega$
- Juhász, S, Szentmiklóssy: NO provided  $\lambda$  is regular (that is, inaccessible).
- Assume that λ is a singular cardinal with cf(λ) > ω and X is a topological space that is μ-resolvable for all μ < λ. Is it true then that X is also λ-resolvable?</li>

link

# Resolvability in c.c.c generic extensions Adrienne Stanley, S

- Every crowded space X is  $\omega$ -resolvable in  $V^{Fn(|X|,2)}$ .
- What we can say about λ-resolvability for λ > ω?
- A topological space is *monotonically*  $\omega_1$ -*resolvable* if there is a function  $f: X \to \omega_1$  such that for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ :

$$\{x \in X : f(x) \ge \alpha\} \subset^{dense} X.$$

- Thm. (Adrienne Stanley, S) TFAE:
  - X is  $\omega_1$ -resolvable in some c.c.c. generic extension;
  - X is monotonically  $\omega_1$ -resolvable;
  - X is  $\omega_1$ -resolvable in the Cohen-generic extension  $V^{Fn(\omega_1,2)}$ .
- Thm. (St, S) If X is c.c.c., and  $\omega_1 \leq \Delta(X) \leq |X| < \aleph_{\omega}$ , then X is monotonically  $\omega_1$ -resolvable.
- Thm. (St, S) It is consistent, modulo the existence of a measurable cardinal, that there is a space Y with |Y| = Δ(Y) = ℵ<sub>ω</sub> which is not monotonically ω<sub>1</sub>-resolvable.

Natural question: is it true that crowded spaces from the ground model
 Soukup, L (Rényi Institute) Resolvable and irresolvable spaces SUMTOPO 2024 23/25

- Thm. (A. H. Stone): Every partially ordered set (P, ≤) without maximal elements can be partitioned into two cofinal subsets.
- Corr. Every  $\pi$ -base of a crowded space can be decomposed into two  $\pi$ -bases.
- **Def.** A space X is base resolvable if every base of X can be decomposed into two bases.
- Thm. Every crowded metric space is base resolvable.
- Thm. (D. Soukup) Crowded Lindelöf spaces are base resolvable
- **Thm.** (S) It is consistent that there is a first countable, 0-dimensional, *T*<sub>2</sub> space which is not base-resolvable.

### • ZFC example?

• What about linearly ordered spaces? (Hereditarily) separable spaces? Paracompact spaces?

Thank you.

Soukup, L (Rényi Institute)

Resolvable and irresolvable spaces

SUMTOPO 2024

25/25