

# Fractal dimension and common hypercyclicity

F. Bayart, F. Costa Jr., Q. Menet

38th Summer conference on topology and its applications



July 9, 2024

# Linear dynamical systems

## Definition : Linear dynamical system

A **linear dynamical system** is a pair  $(X, T)$  consisting of a Banach space (or Fréchet space)  $X$  and a continuous linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$ .

## Example

The pair  $(\ell^p, B)$  where  $B$  is given by

$$B(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots)$$

is a linear dynamical system.

# Hypercyclicity

## Definition : Hypercyclicity

Let  $(X, T)$  be a linear dynamical system. The operator  $T$  is said to be **hypercyclic** if there exists a vector  $x \in X$  (said to be hypercyclic) such that

$$\text{Orb}(x, T) := \{T^n x : n \geq 0\} \quad \text{is dense in } X.$$

Moreover, we denote by  $\text{HC}(T)$  the set of hypercyclic vectors of  $T$ .

## Theorem [Rolewicz 1969]

There is no hypercyclic operator on any finite-dimensional Banach space.

## Theorem [Ansari '97, Bernal '99, Bonet-Peris '98]

Every separable infinite-dimensional Fréchet space supports a hypercyclic operator.

# Examples of hypercyclic operators

- Birkhoff (1929) : the translation operators  $T_a$  on  $H(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$T_a f(z) = f(z + a), \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

- MacLane (1952) : the derivative operator  $D$  on  $H(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$Df = f'.$$

- Rolewicz (1969) : the multiples of backward shift  $\lambda B$  on  $\ell^p$  defined by

$$\lambda B(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda x_3, \lambda x_4, \dots), \quad |\lambda| > 1.$$

# How to prove that an operator is hypercyclic ?

## Birkhoff transitivity theorem (1920)

Let  $(X, T)$  be a linear dynamical system. If  $X$  is separable then the following assertions are equivalent :

- 1  $T$  is hypercyclic ;
- 2  $T$  is topologically transitive, *i.e.* for every non-empty open sets  $U, V$  in  $X$ , there exists  $n$  such that

$$T^n U \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

Moreover, if  $T$  is hypercyclic then  $HC(T)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -set.

Idea of the proof : Let  $(U_k)_{k \geq 0}$  be a countable open basis of  $X$ . We have

$$HC(T) = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T^{-n}(U_k).$$

# How to prove that an operator is hypercyclic?

## Hypercyclicity Criterion [Kitai '82, Gethner-Shapiro '87, Bès-Peris '99]

Let  $X$  be a separable Fréchet space and  $T \in L(X)$ . If there exist a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ , a dense set  $X_0$  in  $X$  and maps  $S_{n_k} : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$  such that for any  $x \in X_0$ ,

$$T^{n_k}x \rightarrow 0, \quad S_{n_k}x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T^{n_k}S_{n_k}x \rightarrow x \text{ as } k \text{ tends to } \infty,$$

then  $T$  is **hypercyclic**.

Idea of the proof : Let  $(y_j)_{j \geq 0}$  be a dense sequence in  $X_0$ . We can show that if  $(n_{k_l})$  grows sufficiently rapidly then the vector

$$x := y_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} S_{n_{k_l}} y_l$$

is well-defined and has a dense orbit.

# Hypercyclicity of $\lambda B$ with $|\lambda| > 1$

- 1 Birkhoff transitivity theorem** : Let  $U, V$  be non-empty open subsets of  $\ell^p$ . Let  $y = (y_0, \dots, y_N, 0, \dots) \in V$  and  $x = (x_0, \dots, x_N, 0, \dots) \in U$ . We can find  $n > N$  such that

$$z = (x_0, \dots, x_N, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{y_0}{\lambda^n}, \dots, \frac{y_N}{\lambda^n}, 0, \dots) \in U$$

since  $|\lambda| > 1$  and we have  $(\lambda B)^n z = y \in V$ .

- 2 Hypercyclicity Criterion** : We consider  $X_0 = c_{00}$ ,  $n_k = k$  and  $S_k x = \frac{1}{\lambda^k} F^k x$  where

$$F(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots).$$

Therefore we remark that for every  $x \in X_0$ , we have

$$(\lambda B)^k x \rightarrow 0, \quad S_k x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda B)^k S_k x \rightarrow x \text{ as } k \text{ tends to } \infty.$$

# Hypercyclicity of direct sums of $\lambda B$

## Proposition

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_d$  be separable Fréchet spaces and  $T_i \in L(X_i)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . If there exists a sequence  $(n_k)$  such that each operator  $T_i$  satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion along  $(n_k)$  then  $T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_d$  is hypercyclic on  $X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_d$ .

Consequences : Let  $\lambda \in ]1, \infty[^d$ . The operator  $\lambda B := \lambda_1 B \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_d B$  is hypercyclic on  $\ell^p \oplus \dots \oplus \ell^p$ .

Question :

Given  $\Lambda \subset ]1, \infty[^d$ , does the family  $(\lambda B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  share a common hypercyclic vector, i.e  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} HC(\lambda B) \neq \emptyset$ ?



# Common hypercyclicity

## Proposition

Let  $X$  be a separable Fréchet space and  $(T_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset L(X)$  a family of hypercyclic operators. If  $\Lambda$  is countable then the family  $T_\lambda$  shares a common hypercyclic vector.

Proof : Direct consequence of Birkhoff transitivity theorem.

Consequence : If  $\Lambda \subset ]1, \infty[^d$  is a countable set then the family  $(\lambda B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  shares a common hypercyclic vector.

## Theorem (Abakumov-Gordon 2003)

The family  $(\lambda B)_{\lambda \in ]1, \infty[}$  shares a common hypercyclic vector.

Idea of the proof : We extend the construction used in the proof of the Hypercyclicity Criterion. Given  $1 < a < b < \infty$ ,  $y \in c_{00} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we show that there exist  $N$  arbitrarily big and  $M$  such that if we let

$$x := \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{F^{N+IM} y}{\lambda_l^{N+IM}}$$

for some convenient choice of  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$  then for every  $\lambda \in [a, b]$  there exists  $1 \leq l \leq d$  such that

$$\|(\lambda B)^{N+IM} x - y\| < \varepsilon.$$

Good choice of  $\lambda_l$  :  $\lambda_1 = a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|y\|} \frac{1}{N+M}$  and  $\lambda_{l+1} = \lambda_l + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|y\|} \frac{1}{N+(l+1)M}$ .

## Theorem (Abakumov-Gordon 2003)

If  $d \geq 2$ , the family  $(\lambda B)_{\lambda \in ]1, \infty[^d}$  does not share a common hypercyclic vector.

Idea of the proof : Let  $\Lambda \subset ]1, \infty[^d$ . We can show that if  $(\lambda B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  shares a common hypercyclic vector then  $\text{Leb}(\Lambda) = 0$ . Indeed, if  $x$  is a common hypercyclic vector for  $(\lambda B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  then ,

$$\Lambda \subset \bigcap_{N \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq N} \{ \lambda \in ]1, \infty[^d : \|(\lambda B)^n x - (e_0, \dots, e_0)\| < \frac{1}{2} \}.$$

However, there exists a constant  $C$  such that for any  $n$

$$\text{Leb} \left( \{ \lambda \in ]1, \infty[^d : \|(\lambda B)^n x - (e_0, \dots, e_0)\| < \frac{1}{2} \} \right) \leq \frac{C}{n^d}.$$

Since  $d \geq 2$ , it implies that  $\text{Leb}(\Lambda) = 0$ .

# A (technical) characterization

## Theorem (Bayart, Costa Jr, M., 2022)

Let  $\Lambda \subset (0, +\infty)^d$  be  $\sigma$ -compact,  $X = \ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $p \in [1, +\infty)$  or  $X = c_0(\mathbb{N})$ . The following assertions are equivalent :

- 1  $(e^{\lambda(1)}B \times \dots \times e^{\lambda(d)}B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  shares a common hypercyclic vector.
- 2 For all  $\tau > 0$ , for all  $N \geq 1$ , for all  $K \subset \Lambda$  compact, there exist  $N \leq n_1 < n_1 + N \leq n_2 < \dots < n_{q-1} + N \leq n_q$  and  $(\lambda_k)_{k=1, \dots, q} \in (0, +\infty)^d$  such that
  - $K \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^q \prod_{i=1}^d \left[ \lambda_k(i) - \frac{\tau}{n_k}, \lambda_k(i) \right]$
  - for all  $k = 1, \dots, q-1$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$\lambda_{k+1}(i)n_{k+1} - \lambda_k(i)n_k \geq N.$$

# Main Results I

## Theorem (Bayart, Matheron 2007)

If  $\Lambda \subset (0, +\infty)^d$  is a monotonic Lipschitz curve then  $(e^{\lambda(1)}B \times \dots \times e^{\lambda(d)}B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  shares a common hypercyclic vector.

## Theorem (Bayart, Costa Jr, M. 2022)

If  $\Lambda \subset (0, +\infty)^d$  is a Lipschitz curve then  $(e^{\lambda(1)}B \times \dots \times e^{\lambda(d)}B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  shares a common hypercyclic vector.

## Main Results II

We recall that the Hausdorff dimension of a set  $\Lambda$  is given by

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) = \inf\{s > 0 : \mathcal{H}^s(\Lambda) = 0\}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}^s(\Lambda) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{\text{diam}(A_i) < \varepsilon} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(A_i)^s \right\}.$$

### Theorem (Bayart, Costa Jr, M. 2022)

If  $\Lambda \subset (0, +\infty)^d$  satisfies  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) > 1$  then  $(e^{\lambda(1)}B \times \dots \times e^{\lambda(d)}B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  does not admit a common hypercyclic vector.

Consequence : There exists  $\Lambda \subset (0, +\infty)^2$  with  $\text{Leb}(\Lambda) = 0$  and  $(e^{\lambda(1)}B \times \dots \times e^{\lambda(d)}B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  has no common hypercyclic vector.

# Main Results III

## Definition : Homogeneous box dimension

Let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be compact. We say that  $\Lambda$  has *homogeneous box dimension at most*  $\gamma \in (0, d]$  if there exist  $r \geq 2$ ,  $C(\Lambda) > 0$  and, for all  $m \geq 1$ , a family  $(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k} \in \{1, \dots, r\}^m}$  of compact subsets of  $\Lambda$  such that for all  $m \geq 1$ ,

- for all  $\mathbf{k} \in \{1, \dots, r\}^m$ ,  $\text{diam}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}) \leq C(\Lambda) \left( \frac{1}{r^{1/\gamma}} \right)^m$ ;
- $\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{k} \in \{1, \dots, r\}^m} \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ ;
- for all  $\mathbf{k} \in \{1, \dots, r\}^m$ ,  $\Lambda_{k_1, \dots, k_m} \subset \Lambda_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}$ .

The *homogeneous box dimension* of  $\Lambda$  is defined as the infimum of the  $\gamma \in (0, d]$  such that  $\Lambda$  has homogeneous box dimension at most  $\gamma$  and will be denoted  $\dim_{HB}(\Lambda)$ .

## Theorem (Bayart, Costa Jr, M. 2022)

If  $\Lambda \subset (0, +\infty)^d$  satisfies  $\dim_{HB}(\Lambda) < 1$  then  $(e^{\lambda(1)}B \times \dots \times e^{\lambda(d)}B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  admits a common hypercyclic vector.

# Main Results IV

For every compact  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) \leq \dim_{HB}(\Lambda).$$

If  $\Lambda$  is selfsimilar with respect to  $r$  similarities with ratio  $\rho$  then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) = \dim_{HB}(\Lambda) = \frac{-\log(r)}{\log(\rho)}.$$

In this context, it remains the case  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) = 1$  !

This case has begun to be studied by Costa Jr (2024) that gives a positive answer under some additional connectedness assumptions on  $\Lambda$  and a study of the non-connected case is in progress.



# Main Results IV

For every compact  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) \leq \dim_{HB}(\Lambda).$$

If  $\Lambda$  is selfsimilar with respect to  $r$  similarities with ratio  $\rho$  then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) = \dim_{HB}(\Lambda) = \frac{-\log(r)}{\log(\rho)}.$$

In this context, it remains the case  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) = 1$  !

This case has begun to be studied by Costa Jr (2024) that gives a positive answer under some additional connectedness assumptions on  $\Lambda$  and a study of the non-connected case is in progress.

**Warning :** There exists a set  $\Lambda \subset (0, +\infty)^2$  with  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) = 1$  such that  $(e^{\lambda(1)}B \times e^{\lambda(2)}B)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  does not admit a common hypercyclic vector.

Thank you for your attention.