

STRONGLY MAZURKIEWICZ MANIFOLDS

VLADIMIR TODOROV

Department of Mathematic; University of
Architecture, Civil Engineering and Geodesy;
Hristo Smirnenski Blvd. #1, 1046 Sofia, Bulgaria
E-mail address: tt.vladimir@gmail.com

In this note a space means a separable metric space. Cantor n -manifolds ($CM(n)$) were introduced by Urysohn in 1925 in attempt to describe what a surface is. This class is a generalization of Euclidean manifolds.

Recall that a space X is a Cantor n -manifold ($CM(n)$) if X cannot be separated by a closed $n - 2$ dimensional subset. In other words, X cannot be a union of two proper closed sets whose intersection is of covering dimension $n - 2$.

The class $CM(n)$ satisfies the following conditions:

CM-(1) Every connected topological n -dimensional manifold is $CM(n)$.

CM-(2) Every absolute boundary in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is a $CM(n)$.

CM-(3) Every compact metric space of dimension n contains a $CM(n)$.

In 1957 P. S. Alexandroff introduced the class of continua V^p .

Note that $V^n \in \text{CM}(n)$. Later, N. Hadziivanov (1964) introduced the so-called Strong Cantor n -manifolds ($\text{SCM}(n)$) and has shown that the class of $\text{SCM}(n)$ satisfies conditions (1) - (3). Following the line to consider stronger versions of Cantor n -manifolds, in a joint paper with Hadziivanov we introduced Mazurkiewicz n -manifolds ($\text{MM}(n)$).

A space X is $\text{MM}(n)$ if for every $(n - 2)$ -dimensional subset $M \subset X$ and every two disjoint closed fat (with non empty interiors) sets F and G in X there exists a continuum K such that $K \cap F \neq \emptyset \neq K \cap G$ and $K \cap M = \emptyset$. It is proven in [3] that the class of $\text{MM}(n)$ also satisfies conditions (1) - (3).

In the present note we introduce a new class of cantor n -manifolds, Strong Mazurkiewicz n -manifolds ($SMM(n)$): $X \in SMM(n)$ if for every subset $M \subset X$ with $\dim M \leq n - 2$ and for any two points $x, y \in X \setminus M$ there is a continuum K with $x, y \in K$ and $K \cap M = \emptyset$. Note that the class $SMM(n)$ does not satisfy conditions (2) - (3) but obviously $CM(n) \supsetneq SCM(n) \supsetneq MM(n) \supsetneq SMM(n)$. Note that $X \in SMM(n)$ doesn't implies $X \in V^n$.

So far I have not been able to offer a better description of the spaces $SMM(n)$.

But here's an easy way to construct $SMM(n)$.

And so, we suppose that:

- a) $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is a domain in \mathbb{R}^m which means that G is a closure of an open connected set, say $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$.
- b) Next let $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence with the following properties:
- c) Every U_k is an open region.
- d) The set $G_p = G \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^p U_k$ is a closed region for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
- e) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(U_k) = 0$
- f) The space $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty U_k$ is dimensional homogeneous, i.e. $\text{locdim}_x X = n$ for every $x \in X$.

Something about linear connectivity

It is normal to assume that the complement of a zero-dimensional subset of the set with a “big” dimension is linearly connected. As far as I know Vitushkin in his paper “Connection of the variation of a set with metric properties of complements”, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 114 (1957), 686–689. (Russian) was the first who gave an example of this kind. He constructed (quite a complex) example of a set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ for which $\dim V = 0$ and every two points (x, y, z) and (u, v, w) with $z \neq w$ cannot be joined by an arc which lies outside V .

In the present days we may say more: There exists a zero-dimensional set $M \subset I^{\aleph_0}$ (Hilbert's cube) for which $I^{\aleph_0} \setminus M$ does not contain an arc. To see that it is enough to take a countable base $\{B_i\}$ of I^{\aleph_0} with hereditarily indecomposable boundaries (we may do this according to the paper of R. H. Bing-1951). Then put $M = I^{\aleph_0} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial(B_i)$. Clearly $\dim M = 0$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial(B_i)$ does not contain an arc (it follows by Sierpinski's theorem).

Thank you!