

Advantages and challenges posed by PNmatrices*

SÉRGIO MARCELINO^{1,†} AND CARLOS CALEIRO²

SQIG - Instituto de Telecomunicações
Dep. Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico
Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal
{smarcel,ccal}@math.tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Partial non-deterministic matrices (PNmatrices) are algebraic-like structures that were introduced in the beginning of this century [1, 2, 4] as a generalization of logical matrices, by allowing the connectives to be functionally interpreted as partial multi-functions, rather than functions. Herein, we survey some recent results showing the advantages brought by taking PNmatrices, instead of logical matrices, as primary semantic structures, and also discuss the challenges raised by such a generalization.

PNmatrices allow for finite characterizations of a much wider class of logics and general recipes for various problems in logic, such as procedures to constructively update semantics when imposing new axioms [8, 7], or effectively combining semantics for two logics, capturing the effect of joining their axiomatizations [6, 13]. Whenever the underlying language is expressive enough, PNmatrices also allow for general techniques for effectively producing analytic calculi for the induced logics, over which a series of reasoning activities in a purely symbolic fashion can be performed, including proof-search and countermodel generation [16, 5, 14].

Although logics of finite PNmatrices are still decidable and in **coNP**, recently, it was shown that several relevant problem known to be decidable for finite matrices become undecidable due to the incorporation of non-determinism (and partiality). Namely, given finite PNmatrices, the problems of checking if the induced logic has theorems, checking if the induced logics have the same set of theorems, or checking if the induced logics (as consequence relations) are the same are no longer decidable [9, 15].

In future research, we aim at a deeper understanding of PNmatrices, and their behaviour with respect to homomorphisms (actually, strict homomorphisms that correspond to so-called rexpansions [3]), congruences, and other basic operations and relations, extending the scope of Abstract Algebraic Logic results concerning logical matrices [10]. These are challenging questions. Gräzer [11] has shown that every multi-algebra can be obtained as a quotient of an algebra by an equivalence relation. Still, a quotient of a PNmatrix by an equivalence relation respecting its filter is still a PNmatrix, but may be defining a weaker logic. Several alternative generalizations of the traditional Leibniz operator can be explored, but it seems difficult to obtain a reasonable notion of reduced PNmatrix that may allow for a Lindenbaum-like construction based on PNmatrices. It is also unclear, even for finite matrices, how to prove (or disprove) whether a given quotient defines the same logic. These difficulties seem to be connected with the unfamiliar behaviour of abbreviations in the presence of non-determinism, as defined connectives lose their relationship with the primitive connectives with which they were defined [12], and which complicates the association of (fragments of) logics with certain clones of multi-functions.

*Research funded by FCT/MCTES through national funds and when applicable co-funded by EU under the project UIDB/50008/2020.

[†]Speaker.

References

- [1] A. Avron and I. Lev. Non-deterministic multiple-valued structures. *Journal of Logic and Computation*, 15(3):241–261, 2005.
- [2] A. Avron and I. Zamansky. Non-deterministic semantics for logical systems. In D. Gabbay and F. Guenther, editors, *Handbook of Philosophical Logic*, volume 16, pages 227–304. Springer, 2011.
- [3] A. Avron and Y. Zohar. Rexpansions of non-deterministic matrices and their applications in non-classical logics. *Review of Symbolic Logic*, 12(1):173–200, 2019.
- [4] M. Baaz, O. Lahav, and A. Zamansky. Finite-valued semantics for canonical labelled calculi. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 51(4):401–430, 2013.
- [5] C. Caleiro and S. Marcelino. Analytic calculi for monadic PNmatrices. In R. Iemhoff, M. Moortgat, and R. de Queiroz, editors, *Logic, Language, Information, and Computation (WoLLIC 2019)*, volume 11541 of *LNCS*, pages 84–98. Springer-Verlag, 2019.
- [6] C. Caleiro and S. Marcelino. Modular semantics for combined many-valued logics, 2021. Submitted. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2202.02189.
- [7] C. Caleiro and S. Marcelino. On axioms and rexpansions. In O. Arieli and A. Zamansky, editors, *Arnon Avron on Semantics and Proof Theory of Non-Classical Logics*, volume 21 of *Outstanding Contributions to Logic*. Springer, Cham, 2021. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-71258-7_3.
- [8] A. Ciabattoni, O. Lahav, L. Spendier, and A. Zamansky. Taming paraconsistent (and other) logics: An algorithmic approach. *ACM Transactions on Computational Logic*, 16(1):5:1–5:16, 2014.
- [9] P. Filipe, C. Caleiro, and S. Marcelino. Monadicity of non-deterministic logical matrices is undecidable, 2021. In print.
- [10] J. Font. *Abstract algebraic logic*, volume 60 of *Mathematical Logic and Foundations*. College Publications, 2016.
- [11] G. Grätzer. *A representation theorem for multi-algebras*. *Arch. Math.*, 13:452–456, 1962.
- [12] S. Marcelino. An unexpected boolean connective. *Logica Universalis*, 2021. doi:10.1007/s11787-021-00280-7.
- [13] S. Marcelino and C. Caleiro. Disjoint fibring of non-deterministic matrices. In J. Kennedy and R. de Queiroz, editors, *Logic, Language, Information, and Computation (WoLLIC 2017)*, volume 10388 of *LNCS*, pages 242–255. Springer-Verlag, 2017.
- [14] S. Marcelino and C. Caleiro. Axiomatizing non-deterministic many-valued generalized consequence relations. *Synthese*, 2019. doi:10.1007/s11229-019-02142-8.
- [15] S. Marcelino, C. Caleiro, and P. Filipe. Computational properties of partial non-deterministic matrices and their logics. In *Logical Foundations of Computer Science (LFCS2022)*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 180–197. Springer, 2022. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-93100-1_12.
- [16] D. Shoesmith and T. Smiley. *Multiple-conclusion logic*. Cambridge University Press, 1978.