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## Relations

A relation $r$ from a set $X$ to a set $Y$, gives us a way of discerning which elements $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ are $r$-related, which is usually denoted by $x r y$.

We may present a relation $r$ as a subset or as a two-valued map:
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r \subseteq X \times Y, \quad r: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{2}=\{\perp, \top\}
$$
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A relation $r$ from a set $X$ to a set $Y$, gives us a way of discerning which elements $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ are $r$-related, which is usually denoted by $x r y$.

We may present a relation $r$ as a subset or as a two-valued map:

$$
r \subseteq X \times Y, \quad r: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{2}=\{\perp, \top\}
$$

$x r y$ may then be written as

$$
(x, y) \in r, \quad r(x, y)=T .
$$

## Relations

We will write $r: X \mapsto Y$ for a $r$ a relation from $X$ to $Y$. We may compose $r$ with $s: Y \longrightarrow Z$ using the usual relational composition:

$$
x(s \cdot r) z \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in Y(x r y \& y s z) .
$$

## Equivalently, this may be written as

We will write $r: X>Y$ for a $r$ a relation from $X$ to $Y$. We may compose $r$ with $s: Y \longrightarrow Z$ using the usual relational composition:

$$
x(s \cdot r) z \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in Y(x r y \& y s z) .
$$

Equivalently, this may be written as

$$
(s \cdot r)(x, z)=\bigvee_{y \in Y} r(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)
$$

## Orders

An (pre)order on a set $X$ is a relation $a: X \longrightarrow X$ such that,

$$
a \cdot a \leq a \quad \text { and } \quad 1_{X} \leq a .
$$

That is, $a$ is a transitive and reflexive relation on $X$. This may be written as

$$
x \leq y \& y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z \quad \text { and } \quad x \leq x,
$$

or equivalently, when presenting $r$ as a map $r: X \times X \rightarrow 2$ $a(x, y) \wedge a(y, z) \leq a(x, z) \quad$ and $\quad \top \leq a(x, x)$.

There is a clear similarity with these requirements and those of a (quasi)metric a $[0, \infty]$ on $X$

$$
a(x, y)+a(y, z) \geq a(x, z) \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \geq a(x, x) .
$$
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## Quantales

In the previous example we replaced 2 with $[0, \infty]_{+}$.
The generalized framework encompassing both examples that we are interested is provided by a quantale.

A quantale $V=(V, \leq, \otimes, k)$ is a complete lattice equipped with a binary operation $\otimes$ (instead of $\wedge$ or + ) respecting arbitrary joins in each variable and a $\otimes$-neutral element $k$ (instead of $T$ or 0 ).

As a category $V$ is a "thin"symmetric monoidal-closed category, with internal homs hom $(v, w)$ determined by

$$
u \leq \operatorname{hom}(v, w) \Leftrightarrow u \otimes v \leq w .
$$

A few examples:

- $2=(\{\perp, T\}, \leq, \wedge, T) ;$
- The powerset $(\mathcal{P} X, \subseteq, \cap, X)$, for any set $X$.
- The Lawvere quantale $[0, \infty]_{+}=([0, \infty], \geq,+, 0)$;
- $[0,1]_{\times}=([0,1], \leq, \times, 1)$.


## Quantales

In the previous example we replaced 2 with $[0, \infty]_{+}$.
The generalized framework encompassing both examples that we are interested is provided by a quantale.

A quantale $V=(V, \leq, \otimes, k)$ is a complete lattice equipped with a binary operation $\otimes$ (instead of $\wedge$ or + ) respecting arbitrary joins in each variable and a $\otimes$-neutral element $k$ (instead of $T$ or 0 ).

As a category $V$ is a "thin" symmetric monoidal-closed category, with internal homs hom $(v, w)$ determined by

A few examples:

- $2=(\{\perp, \top\}, \leq, \wedge, \top) ;$
- The powerset $(\mathcal{P} X, \subseteq, \cap, X)$, for any set $X$.
- The Lawvere quantale $[0, \infty]_{+}=([0, \infty], \geq,+, 0)$;



## Quantales

In the previous example we replaced 2 with $[0, \infty]_{+}$.
The generalized framework encompassing both examples that we are interested is provided by a quantale.

A quantale $V=(V, \leq, \otimes, k)$ is a complete lattice equipped with a binary operation $\otimes$ (instead of $\wedge$ or + ) respecting arbitrary joins in each variable and a $\otimes$-neutral element $k$ (instead of $T$ or 0 ).

As a category $V$ is a "thin" symmetric monoidal-closed category, with internal homs hom $(v, w)$ determined by

$$
u \leq \operatorname{hom}(v, w) \Leftrightarrow u \otimes v \leq w .
$$

A few examples:


## Quantales

In the previous example we replaced 2 with $[0, \infty]_{+}$.
The generalized framework encompassing both examples that we are interested is provided by a quantale.

A quantale $V=(V, \leq, \otimes, k)$ is a complete lattice equipped with a binary operation $\otimes$ (instead of $\wedge$ or + ) respecting arbitrary joins in each variable and a $\otimes$-neutral element $k$ (instead of $T$ or 0 ).

As a category $V$ is a "thin" symmetric monoidal-closed category, with internal homs hom ( $v, w)$ determined by

$$
u \leq \operatorname{hom}(v, w) \Leftrightarrow u \otimes v \leq w .
$$

A few examples:

- $2=(\{\perp, \top\}, \leq, \wedge, \top)$;
- The powerset $(\mathcal{P} X, \subseteq, \cap, X)$, for any set $X$.
- The Lawvere quantale $[0, \infty]_{+}=([0, \infty], \geq,+, 0)$;
- $[0,1]_{\times}=([0,1], \leq, \times, 1)$.


## $V$-relations

As we just seen, a relation $r: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a map $X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$.
A $V$-relation $r: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map $X \times Y \rightarrow V$.
Given two $V$-relations $r: X \longrightarrow Y$, s: $Y \rightarrow Z$ their composition
$s \cdot r: X>Z$ is given by


Sets and $V$-relations define the category $V$-Rel.
The order from $V$ induces an order on $V$-relations $r, r^{\prime}: X \longrightarrow Y$,
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As we just seen, a relation $r: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a map $X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$.
A $V$-relation $r: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map $X \times Y \rightarrow V$.
Given two $V$-relations $r: X \longrightarrow Y$, s: $Y \longrightarrow Z$ their composition $s \cdot r: X \mapsto Z$ is given by

$$
(s \cdot r)(x, z)=\bigvee_{y \in Y} r(x, y) \otimes s(y, z)
$$

Sets and $V$-relations define the category $V$-Rel.
The order from $V$ induces an order on $V$-relations $r, r^{\prime}: X \mapsto Y$,

$$
r \leq r^{\prime} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y: r(x, y) \leq r^{\prime}(x, y)
$$

for any sets $X$ and $Y$.
$V$-Rel also comes with an involution $(-)^{\circ}$ defined by
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r^{\circ}(y, x)=r(x, y)
$$

for any $x \in X, y \in Y$.
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## Moreover, for every map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ we have that

that is, $f_{\circ} \dashv f^{\circ}$ in $V$-Rel.
$V$-Rel also comes with an involution $(-)^{\circ}$ defined by

$$
r^{\circ}(y, x)=r(x, y)
$$

for any $x \in X, y \in Y$.
Each map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces the $V$-relation $f_{\mathrm{o}}: X \mapsto Y$

$$
f_{0}(x, y)= \begin{cases}k & \text { if } f(x)=y \\ \perp & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, for every map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ we have that
that is, $f_{\circ} \dashv f^{\circ}$ in $V$-Rel.
$V$-Rel also comes with an involution $(-)^{\circ}$ defined by

$$
r^{\circ}(y, x)=r(x, y)
$$

for any $x \in X, y \in Y$.
Each map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces the $V$-relation $f_{\circ}: X \mapsto Y$

$$
f_{0}(x, y)= \begin{cases}k & \text { if } f(x)=y \\ \perp & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, for every map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ we have that

$$
f_{\circ} \cdot f^{\circ} \leq 1_{Y} \quad \text { and } \quad 1_{X} \leq f^{\circ} \cdot f_{\circ},
$$

that is, $f_{\circ} \dashv f^{\circ}$ in $V$-Rel.

## $V$-categories

A (pre)order $(X, a)$ is a set $X$ equipped with a relation $a: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ such that,

$$
a \cdot a \leq a \quad \text { and } \quad 1_{X} \leq a .
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## A $V$-category $(X, a)$ is a set $X$ equipped with a $V$-relation $a: X \times X \rightarrow V$,
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A morphism in $\operatorname{Ord} f:(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b)$ is a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
x \text { a } y \leq f(x) b f(y),
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

A $V$-functor $f:(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b)$ is a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $a(x, y) \leq b(f(x), f(y))$,
for all $x, y \in X$.
A $V$-functor is called fully faithful if the inequality is also an equality.
$V$-categories and $V$-functors define the category $V$-Cat.
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## V-Cat

The quantale $V$ is itself a $V$-category when equipped with its internal hom.
Given a $V$-category $(X, a)$ its opposite $V$-category is $(X, a)^{\mathrm{op}}=\left(X, a^{\circ}\right)$.
The order from $V$ induces an order in each $V$-category $(X, a)$ as follows

$$
x \leq y \Leftrightarrow k \leq a(x, y) .
$$

This order makes every $V$-functor monotone.
Now, given $V$-categories ( $X, a$ ), ( $Y, b)$, we order the hom-set $V$-Cat $(X, Y)$ pointwise using the order inherited from $Y$

$$
f \leq g \Leftrightarrow f(x) \leq g(x) \quad \forall x \in X,
$$

for any $V$-functors $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$.
$V$-Cat is a symmetric monoidal-closed category, with the unit given by $E=(\{*\}, k)$, where $k(*, *)=k$.
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## V-Dist

Given two $V$-categories $(X, a),(Y, b)$, a $V$-distributor $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $V$-relation $\psi: X \longrightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
b \cdot \psi \cdot a \leq \psi
$$

## Equivalently

$$
a\left(x^{\prime}, x\right) \otimes \psi(x, y) \otimes b\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq \psi\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

holds for any $x, x^{\prime} \in X, y, y^{\prime} \in Y$.

Under relational composition, $V$-categories and $V$-distributors form the category $V$-Dist.
In V-Dist, the identity morphism for each V-category is its structure.
Moreover, $V$-Dist inherits the 2-categorical structure of $V$-Rel.

Given two $V$-categories $(X, a),(Y, b)$, a $V$-distributor $\psi: X \mapsto Y$ is a $V$-relation $\psi: X \longrightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
b \cdot \psi \cdot a \leq \psi
$$

Equivalently

$$
a\left(x^{\prime}, x\right) \otimes \psi(x, y) \otimes b\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq \psi\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

holds for any $x, x^{\prime} \in X, y, y^{\prime} \in Y$.
Under relational composition, $V$-categories and $V$-distributors form the category $V$-Dist.
In $V$-Dist, the identity morphism for each $V$-category is its structure.
Moreover, $V$-Dist inherits the 2-categorical structure of $V$-Rel.

Given two $V$-categories $(X, a),(Y, b)$, a $V$-distributor $\psi: X \mapsto Y$ is a $V$-relation $\psi: X \longrightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
b \cdot \psi \cdot a \leq \psi
$$

Equivalently

$$
a\left(x^{\prime}, x\right) \otimes \psi(x, y) \otimes b\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq \psi\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

holds for any $x, x^{\prime} \in X, y, y^{\prime} \in Y$.
Under relational composition, $V$-categories and $V$-distributors form the category $V$-Dist.
In $V$-Dist, the identity morphism for each $V$-category is its structure.
Moreover, $V$-Dist inherits the 2-categorical structure of $V$-Rel.

## $V-\operatorname{Dist}(X, Y) \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes Y, V\right)$

Given two $V$-categories $(X, a),(Y, b)$ and a $V$-relation $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ the following are equivalent:

- $\varphi:(X, a) \longrightarrow(Y, b)$ is a $V$-distributor;
- $\varphi:(X, a)^{\text {op }} \otimes(Y, b) \rightarrow(V$, hom $)$ is a $V$-functor.

This allows us to define a $V$-category structure on $V$ - $\operatorname{Dist}(X, Y)$ by using the structure of $V$-Cat $\left(X^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes Y, V\right)$.

Note that, in particular
$V-\operatorname{Dist}(X, E) \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\circ p} \otimes E, V\right) \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\circ p}, V\right)$.
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## V-Dist

Every $V$-functor $f:(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b)$ induces a pair of $V$-distributors

$$
f_{*}=b \cdot f_{\circ}: X \multimap Y \quad \text { and } \quad f^{*}=f^{\circ} \cdot b: Y \multimap X
$$

Moreover, we have the 2 -functors $(-)_{*}:$ V-Cat $\rightarrow$ V-Disto ${ }^{\text {co }}$ and $(-)^{*}: V-C a t ~ \rightarrow V-$ Dist $^{\circ p}$.
which map objects identically.

## V-Dist

Every $V$-functor $f:(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b)$ induces a pair of $V$-distributors

$$
f_{*}=b \cdot f_{0}: X \longrightarrow Y \quad \text { and } \quad f^{*}=f^{\circ} \cdot b: Y \leadsto X
$$

Moreover, we have the 2 -functors

$$
(-)_{*}: V \text {-Cat } \rightarrow V \text {-Dist }{ }^{c \circ} \quad \text { and } \quad(-)^{*}: V \text {-Cat } \rightarrow V \text {-Dist }{ }^{\circ p},
$$

which map objects identically.

## Presheaf monad

The adjunction

induces the presheaf monad $\mathbb{P}=(P, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{y})$ on $V$-Cat,


- $\mathfrak{n} X(x)=a(-, x)$;

for any $x \in X, f:(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b), \varphi \in P X$ and $\psi \in P P X$.
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- $P X=V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\mathrm{op}}, V\right) \cong V-\operatorname{Dist}(X, E)$.
- $P(f)(\varphi)=V-\operatorname{Dist}\left(f^{*}, E\right)(\varphi)=\varphi \cdot f^{*}=Y \stackrel{f^{*}}{\rightarrow} X \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} E$;
- $\mathfrak{y} X(x)=a(-, x)$;
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The adjunction

induces the presheaf monad $\mathbb{P}=(P, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{y})$ on $V$-Cat, where

- $P X=V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\text {op }}, V\right) \cong V-\operatorname{Dist}(X, E)$.
- $P(f)(\varphi)=V-\operatorname{Dist}\left(f^{*}, E\right)(\varphi)=\varphi \cdot f^{*}=Y \stackrel{f^{*}}{\bullet} X \xrightarrow{\varphi} E$;
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- $\mathfrak{m}_{X}(\Psi)=\psi \cdot(\mathfrak{y} X)_{*}=X \xrightarrow{\left(\mathfrak{n}_{x}\right)_{*}} P X \xrightarrow{\psi} E$.
for any $x \in X, f:(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b), \varphi \in P X$ and $\psi \in P P X$.


## Presheaf monad

## Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
V-\operatorname{Dist}(X, Y) & \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes Y, V\right) \\
& \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(Y, V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\mathrm{op}}, V\right)\right) \\
& =V-\operatorname{Cat}(Y, P X)
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that any $V$-distributor $X \rightarrow Y$ can be seen as a morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ in the Kleisli category $\mathrm{KI}(\mathbb{P})$.

In fact, we have that $V$-Dist $\cong \mathrm{KI}(\mathbb{P})$.

This parallels nicely the fact that $V-\operatorname{ReI} \cong \operatorname{KI}\left(\mathbb{P}_{d}\right)$, where $\mathbb{P}_{d}$ is the discrete presheaf monad on Set.

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
V-\operatorname{Dist}(X, Y) & \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes Y, V\right) \\
& \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(Y, V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\mathrm{op}}, V\right)\right) \\
& =V-\operatorname{Cat}(Y, P X)
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that any $V$-distributor $X \mapsto Y$ can be seen as a morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ in the Kleisli category $\mathrm{KI}(\mathbb{P})$.

In fact, we have that $V$-Dist $\cong \mathrm{KI}(\mathbb{P})$.
This parallels nicely the fact that $V-\operatorname{ReI} \cong K I\left(\mathbb{P}_{d}\right)$, where $\mathbb{P}_{d}$ is the discrete presheaf monad on Set.

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
V-\operatorname{Dist}(X, Y) & \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes Y, V\right) \\
& \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(Y, V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\mathrm{op}}, V\right)\right) \\
& =V-\operatorname{Cat}(Y, P X)
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that any $V$-distributor $X \mapsto Y$ can be seen as a morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ in the Kleisli category $\mathrm{KI}(\mathbb{P})$.

In fact, we have that $V$-Dist $\cong \mathrm{KI}(\mathbb{P})$.
This parallels nicely the fact that $V-\operatorname{Rel} \cong K I\left(\mathbb{P}_{d}\right)$, where $\mathbb{P}_{d}$ is the discrete presheaf monad on Set.

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
V-\operatorname{Dist}(X, Y) & \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes Y, V\right) \\
& \cong V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(Y, V-\operatorname{Cat}\left(X^{\mathrm{op}}, V\right)\right) \\
& =V-\operatorname{Cat}(Y, P X)
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that any $V$-distributor $X \mapsto Y$ can be seen as a morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ in the Kleisli category $\operatorname{KI}(\mathbb{P})$.

In fact, we have that $V$-Dist $\cong \mathrm{KI}(\mathbb{P})$.
This parallels nicely the fact that $V$ - $\operatorname{Rel} \cong \mathrm{KI}\left(\mathbb{P}_{d}\right)$, where $\mathbb{P}_{d}$ is the discrete presheaf monad on Set.

## A new Beck-Chevalley type condition

A commutative square in Set

is said to be a $(B C)$-square if the following diagram commutes in Rel


A commutative square in $V$-Cat

is said to be a $(B C)^{*}$-square if the following diagram commutes in $V$-Dist

(In fact, it's enough to verify that if $h^{*} \cdot f_{*} \leq I_{*} \cdot g^{*}$ )

## A new Beck-Chevalley type condition

It's well known that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a monomorphism if and only if

is a $(B C)$-square.

In parallel with this, a $V$-functor $(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b)$ being fully faithful is equivalent to

being a ( $B C)^{*}$-square.

## $(B C)^{*}$ functors and $(B C)^{*}$ natural transformations

Consider the following:

- A Set-endofunctor is said to satisfy $(B C)$ if it preserves ( $B C$ )-squares.
- A natural transformation $\alpha: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ between Set-endofunctors satisfies ( $B C$ ) if, for each morphism in Set, its naturality square is a ( $B C$ )-square.


## Analogously, we define: <br> - A V-Cat-endofunctor is said to satisfy $(B C)^{*}$ if it preserves $(B C)^{*}$-squares. <br> - A natural transformation $\alpha: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ between $V$-Cat-endofunctors satisfies $(B C)^{*}$ if, for each morphism $f$ in $V$-Cat, its naturality square is a $(B C)^{*}$-square.

## $(B C)^{*}$ functors and $(B C)^{*}$ natural transformations

Consider the following:

- A Set-endofunctor is said to satisfy $(B C)$ if it preserves ( $B C$ )-squares.
- A natural transformation $\alpha: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ between Set-endofunctors satisfies ( $B C$ ) if, for each morphism in Set, its naturality square is a ( $B C$ )-square.

Analogously, we define:

- A $V$-Cat-endofunctor is said to satisfy $(B C)^{*}$ if it preserves $(B C)^{*}$-squares.
- A natural transformation $\alpha: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ between $V$-Cat-endofunctors satisfies $(B C)^{*}$ if, for each morphism $f$ in $V$-Cat, its naturality square is a ( $B C)^{*}$-square.

A monad $\mathbb{T}=(T, \mu, \eta)$ on Set is said to:

- satisfy $(B C)$ if $T$ and $\mu$ satisfy ( $B C$ ).
- satisfy fully $(B C)$ if $T, \mu$ and $\eta$ satisfy ( $B C$ ).
$\square$
Theorem (Clementino, F)
The presheaf monad $\mathbb{P}=(P, m, \mathfrak{y})$ satisfies fully $(B C)^{*}$

A monad $\mathbb{T}=(T, \mu, \eta)$ on Set is said to:

- satisfy $(B C)$ if $T$ and $\mu$ satisfy ( $B C$ ).
- satisfy fully $(B C)$ if $T, \mu$ and $\eta$ satisfy $(B C)$.

A monad $\mathbb{T}=(T, \mu, \eta)$ on $V$-Cat is said to:

- satisfy $(B C)^{*}$ if $T$ and $\mu$ satisfy $(B C)^{*}$.
- satisfy fully $(B C)^{*}$ if $T, \mu$ and $\eta$ satisfy $(B C)^{*}$.


A monad $\mathbb{T}=(T, \mu, \eta)$ on Set is said to:

- satisfy $(B C)$ if $T$ and $\mu$ satisfy ( $B C$ ).
- satisfy fully $(B C)$ if $T, \mu$ and $\eta$ satisfy $(B C)$.

A monad $\mathbb{T}=(T, \mu, \eta)$ on $V$-Cat is said to:

- satisfy $(B C)^{*}$ if $T$ and $\mu$ satisfy $(B C)^{*}$.
- satisfy fully $(B C)^{*}$ if $T, \mu$ and $\eta$ satisfy $(B C)^{*}$.


## Theorem (Clementino, F)

The presheaf monad $\mathbb{P}=(P, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{y})$ satisfies fully $(B C)^{*}$.

## $(B C)^{*}$ and lax idempotency

A monad $\mathbb{T}=(T, \mu, \eta)$ is lax idempotent if it satisfies $T \eta \leq \eta_{T}$.

## Proposition (Clementino, F) Given a monad $\mathbb{T}=(T, \mu, \eta)$ on $V$-Cat, the following are equivalent: (i): $\mathbb{T}$ is lax idempotent. (ii): For each $V$-category $(X, a)$, the diagram


is a $(B C)^{*}$-square.

## $(B C)^{*}$ and lax idempotency

A monad $\mathbb{T}=(T, \mu, \eta)$ is lax idempotent if it satisfies $T \eta \leq \eta_{T}$.

## Proposition (Clementino, F)

Given a monad $\mathbb{T}=(T, \mu, \eta)$ on $V$-Cat, the following are equivalent:
(i): $\mathbb{T}$ is lax idempotent.
(ii): For each $V$-category $(X, a)$, the diagram

is a $(B C)^{*}$-square.

Recall that a monad morphism between two monads $(T, \mu, \eta),\left(T^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$ on a category $C$ is a natural transformation $\sigma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\prime}$ such that the following diagrams commute


A submonad of $(P, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{y})$ is a monad $(T, \mu, \eta)$ on $V$-Cat with a monad morphism $\sigma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that each $\sigma_{X}$ is an embedding for every $V$ category $X$.

Recall that a monad morphism between two monads $(T, \mu, \eta),\left(T^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$ on a category $C$ is a natural transformation $\sigma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\prime}$ such that the following diagrams commute


A submonad of $(P, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{y})$ is a monad $(T, \mu, \eta)$ on $V$-Cat with a monad morphism $\sigma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that each $\sigma_{X}$ is an embedding for every $V$ category $X$.

## Submonads of the Presheaf monad - $(B C)^{*}$

Given a $V$-functor $f$, we have the adjunction

$$
f_{*} \dashv f^{*} .
$$

It follows that we have an adjunction

$$
P f=() \cdot f^{*} \dashv() \cdot f_{*}=Q f
$$

```
Theorem (Clementino, F)
For a monad TT on V-Cat, the following assertions are equivalent:
(i): }\mathbb{T}\mathrm{ is a submonad of }\mathbb{P}
(ii): }\mathbb{T}\mathrm{ is lax idempotent, }\eta\mathrm{ satisfies (BC)* and both }\etax\mathrm{ and Q \X · ท TX
    are fully faithful for each V-category ( }X,a)\mathrm{ .
```


## Submonads of the Presheaf monad $-(B C)^{*}$

Given a $V$-functor $f$, we have the adjunction

$$
f_{*} \dashv f^{*} .
$$

It follows that we have an adjunction

$$
P f=() \cdot f^{*} \dashv() \cdot f_{*}=Q f
$$

## Theorem (Clementino, F)

For a monad $\mathbb{T}$ on $V$-Cat, the following assertions are equivalent:
(i): $\mathbb{T}$ is a submonad of $\mathbb{P}$.
(ii): $\mathbb{T}$ is lax idempotent, $\eta$ satisfies $(B C)^{*}$ and both $\eta_{X}$ and $Q \eta_{X} \cdot \mathfrak{y}_{T X}$ are fully faithful for each $V$-category $(X, a)$.

## Submonads of the Presheaf monad - Admissible classes

We say that a $\Phi$ class of $V$-distributors is admissible if, for every $V$-functor $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $V$-distributors $\varphi: Z \multimap Y$ and $\psi: X \mapsto Z$ in $\Phi$,
(i): $f^{*} \in \Phi$;
(ii): $\psi \cdot f^{*} \in \Phi$ and $f^{*} \cdot \varphi \in \Phi$;
(iii): $\varphi \in \Phi \Leftrightarrow(\forall y \in Y) y^{*} \cdot \varphi \in \Phi$;
(iv): for every $V$-distributor $\gamma: P X \longrightarrow E$, if the restriction of $\gamma$ to $\Phi X$ belongs to $\Phi$, then $\gamma \cdot(\mathfrak{y} x)_{*} \in \Phi$.
Given a class of $\Phi$ of $V$-distributors, for every $V$-category $X$ let

have the $V$-category structure inherited from $P X$


We say that a $\Phi$ class of $V$-distributors is admissible if, for every $V$-functor $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $V$-distributors $\varphi: Z \multimap Y$ and $\psi: X \mapsto Z$ in $\Phi$,
(i): $f^{*} \in \Phi$;
(ii): $\psi \cdot f^{*} \in \Phi$ and $f^{*} \cdot \varphi \in \Phi$;
(iii): $\varphi \in \Phi \Leftrightarrow(\forall y \in Y) y^{*} \cdot \varphi \in \Phi$;
(iv): for every $V$-distributor $\gamma: P X \longrightarrow E$, if the restriction of $\gamma$ to $\Phi X$ belongs to $\Phi$, then $\gamma \cdot(\mathfrak{y} x)_{*} \in \Phi$.
Given a class of $\Phi$ of $V$-distributors, for every $V$-category $X$ let

$$
\Phi X=\{\varphi: X \longrightarrow E \mid \varphi \in \Phi\}
$$

have the $V$-category structure inherited from $P X$.


We say that a $\Phi$ class of $V$-distributors is admissible if, for every $V$-functor $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $V$-distributors $\varphi: Z \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi: X \rightarrow Z$ in $\Phi$,
(i): $f^{*} \in \Phi$;
(ii): $\psi \cdot f^{*} \in \Phi$ and $f^{*} \cdot \varphi \in \Phi$;
(iii): $\varphi \in \Phi \Leftrightarrow(\forall y \in Y) y^{*} \cdot \varphi \in \Phi$;
(iv): for every $V$-distributor $\gamma: P X \multimap E$, if the restriction of $\gamma$ to $\Phi X$ belongs to $\Phi$, then $\gamma \cdot\left(\mathfrak{y}_{X}\right)_{*} \in \Phi$.
Given a class of $\Phi$ of $V$-distributors, for every $V$-category $X$ let

$$
\Phi X=\{\varphi: X \rightarrow E \mid \varphi \in \Phi\}
$$

have the $V$-category structure inherited from $P X$.

## Theorem (Clementino, Hofmann)

For a monad $\mathbb{T}$ on $V$-Cat, the following assertions are equivalent:
(i): $T$ is isomorphic to $\Phi$, for some admissible class of $V$-distributors $\Phi$.
(ii): $\mathbb{T}$ is a submonad of $\mathbb{P}$.

## Eilenberg-Moore Algebras

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be lax idempotent monad on $V$-Cat.

For a $V$-category $X$, the following assertions are equivalent:
(i): $\alpha: T X \rightarrow X$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-algebra structure on $X$;
(ii): there is a $V$-functor $\alpha: T X \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha \cdot \eta_{X}=1_{X}$;
(iii): $\alpha: T X \rightarrow X$ is a split epimorphism in $V$-Cat.

Given $\mathbb{T}$-algebras $(X, \alpha)$ and $(Y, \beta)$
for every $V$-functor $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

## Eilenberg-Moore Algebras

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be lax idempotent monad on $V$-Cat.
For a $V$-category $X$, the following assertions are equivalent:
(i): $\alpha: T X \rightarrow X$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-algebra structure on $X$;
(ii): there is a $V$-functor $\alpha: T X \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha \cdot \eta_{X}=1_{X}$;
(iii): $\alpha: T X \rightarrow X$ is a split epimorphism in $V$-Cat.

Given $\mathbb{T}$-algebras $(X, \alpha)$ and $(Y, \beta)$
for every $V$-functor $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

## Eilenberg-Moore Algebras

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be lax idempotent monad on $V$-Cat.
For a $V$-category $X$, the following assertions are equivalent:
(i): $\alpha: T X \rightarrow X$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-algebra structure on $X$;
(ii): there is a $V$-functor $\alpha: T X \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha \cdot \eta_{X}=1_{X}$;
(iii): $\alpha: T X \rightarrow X$ is a split epimorphism in $V$-Cat.

Given $\mathbb{T}$-algebras $(X, \alpha)$ and $(Y, \beta)$

$$
\beta \cdot T f \leq f \cdot \alpha,
$$

for every $V$-functor $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

## Extensions

In $V$-Dist, given a $V$-distributor $\varphi:(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b)$, the functor ()$\cdot \varphi$ preserves suprema, and therefore it has a right adjoint $[\varphi,-]$ :


For each distributor $\psi: X \rightarrow-Z$,
where $[\varphi, \psi]: Y \rightarrow Z$ is defined by $[\varphi, \psi](y, z)=\bigwedge_{x \in X} \operatorname{hom}(\varphi(x, y), \psi(x, z))$.

In $V$-Dist, given a $V$-distributor $\varphi:(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b)$, the functor ()$\cdot \varphi$ preserves suprema, and therefore it has a right adjoint [ $\varphi,-]$ :


For each distributor $\psi: X \rightarrow Z$,

where $[\varphi, \psi]: Y \longrightarrow Z$ is defined by $[\varphi, \psi](y, z)=\bigwedge_{x \in X} \operatorname{hom}(\varphi(x, y), \psi(x, z))$.

## Algebras and Weighted Colimits

Given a $V$-functor $f: X \rightarrow Z$ and a distributor $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$, a $\varphi$-colimit of $f$ is a $V$-functor $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $g_{*}=\left[\varphi, f_{*}\right]$, if it exists.


One says then that $g$ represents $\left[\varphi, f_{*}\right]$.
The $\mathbb{T}$-algebras for any $\mathbb{T}$ submonad of $\mathbb{P}$ can be characterized as follows:

## Theorem

(i): A map $\alpha: T X \rightarrow X$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-algebra structure if, and only if, for each distributor $\varphi: X \longrightarrow E$ in $T X, \alpha(\varphi)_{*}=\left[\varphi,(1 X)_{*}\right]$.
(ii): Given $\mathbb{T}$-algebras $X$ and $Y$, a $V$-functor $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-algebra morphism if and only if, $f$ preserves $\varphi$-colimits for any $\varphi \in T X$.

The space of formal balls is an important tool in the study of (quasi)metric spaces.

Given a (quasi)metric space $(X, d)$ its space of formal balls is simply the collection of all pairs $(x, r)$, where $x \in X$ and $r \in[0, \infty[$.

This space can itself be equipped with a (quasi)metric. This construction can naturally be made into a lax idempotent monad.

The formal ball monad $\mathbb{B}=(B, \eta, \mu)$ is given by:

## $B:$ Met $\rightarrow$ Met


where the distance in $B X$ is given by


The formal ball monad $\mathbb{B}=(B, \eta, \mu)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B: \text { Met } & \rightarrow \text { Met } \\
(X, a) & \mapsto B X=X \times[0, \infty[ \\
(f: X \rightarrow Y) & \mapsto(B f: B X \rightarrow B Y) \\
(x, r) & \mapsto(f(x), r)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the distance in $B X$ is given by

$$
B X((x, r),(y, s))=\operatorname{hom}(r, a(x, y)+s)=\max \{0, a(x, y)+s-r\}
$$

The formal ball monad $\mathbb{B}=(B, \eta, \mu)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B: \text { Met } & \rightarrow \text { Met } \\
(X, a) & \mapsto B X=X \times[0, \infty[ \\
(f: X \rightarrow Y) & \mapsto(B f: B X \rightarrow B Y) \\
(x, r) & \mapsto(f(x), r)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the distance in $B X$ is given by

$$
B X((x, r),(y, s))=\operatorname{hom}(r, a(x, y)+s)=\max \{0, a(x, y)+s-r\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta: X & \rightarrow B X \\
x & \mapsto(x, 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu: B B X & \rightarrow B X \\
((x, r), s) & \mapsto(x, r+s)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extended formal ball monad

The extended formal ball monad $\mathbb{B}_{\bullet}=\left(B_{\bullet}, \eta, \mu\right)$ is given by:


## Extended formal ball monad

The extended formal ball monad $\mathbb{B}_{\bullet}=\left(B_{\bullet}, \eta, \mu\right)$ is given by:

$$
B_{0}: V \text {-Cat } \rightarrow V \text {-Cat }
$$


where the structure in $B_{\bullet} X$ is given by


The extended formal ball monad $\mathbb{B}_{\bullet}=\left(B_{\bullet}, \eta, \mu\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\bullet}: V \text {-Cat } & \rightarrow V \text {-Cat } \\
(X, a) & \mapsto B_{0} X=X \times V
\end{aligned}
$$

where the structure in $B_{0} X$ is given by

$$
B_{0} \times((x, r),(y, s))=\operatorname{hom}(r, a(x, y) \otimes s)
$$

The extended formal ball monad $\mathbb{B}_{\bullet}=\left(B_{\bullet}, \eta, \mu\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\bullet}: V \text {-Cat } & \rightarrow V \text {-Cat } \\
(X, a) & \mapsto B_{\mathbf{\bullet}} X=X \times V \\
(f: X \rightarrow Y) & \mapsto\left(B_{\bullet} f: B_{\mathbf{\bullet}} X \rightarrow B_{\mathbf{\bullet}} Y\right) \\
(x, r) & \mapsto(f(x), r)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the structure in $B_{0} X$ is given by

$$
B_{\bullet} X((x, r),(y, s))=\operatorname{hom}(r, a(x, y) \otimes s)
$$

The extended formal ball monad $\mathbb{B}_{\bullet}=\left(B_{\bullet}, \eta, \mu\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\mathbf{\bullet}}: V \text {-Cat } & \rightarrow V \text {-Cat } \\
(X, a) & \mapsto B_{\mathbf{0}} X=X \times V \\
(f: X \rightarrow Y) & \mapsto\left(B_{\mathbf{\bullet}} f: B_{\mathbf{\bullet}} X \rightarrow B_{\mathbf{\bullet}} Y\right) \\
(x, r) & \mapsto(f(x), r)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the structure in $B_{0} X$ is given by

$$
B_{\bullet} X((x, r),(y, s))=\operatorname{hom}(r, a(x, y) \otimes s)
$$

The extended formal ball monad $\mathbb{B}_{\bullet}=\left(B_{\bullet}, \eta, \mu\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\bullet}: V \text {-Cat } & \rightarrow V \text {-Cat } \\
(X, a) & \mapsto B_{\mathbf{\bullet}} X=X \times V \\
(f: X \rightarrow Y) & \mapsto\left(B_{\mathbf{\bullet}} f: B_{\mathbf{\bullet}} X \rightarrow B_{\mathbf{\bullet}} Y\right) \\
(x, r) & \mapsto(f(x), r)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the structure in $B_{0} X$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{\bullet} X((x, r),(y, s))=\operatorname{hom}(r, a(x, y) \otimes s) \\
& \eta: X \rightarrow B . X \\
& x \mapsto(x, k) \\
& \mu: B \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\bullet} X \rightarrow B \mathbf{\bullet} X \\
& ((x, r), s) \mapsto(x, r \otimes s)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (Clementino, F)

The natural transformation $\sigma: \mathbb{B} \bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ with components defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{X}: & B_{\bullet} X
\end{aligned} \rightarrow P X, ~(x, r) \mapsto a(-, x) \otimes r: X \rightarrow E
$$

for each $V$-category $(X, a)$, is a pointwise fully faithful monad morphism.

Note that $\sigma: \mathbb{B}_{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is not injective on objects; indeed, if $r=\perp$, then $\sigma_{X}(x, \perp): X \rightarrow E$ is the distributor that is constantly $\perp$, for any $x \in X$.

## Theorem (Clementino, F)

The natural transformation $\sigma: \mathbb{B} \bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ with components defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{X}: & B_{\bullet} X
\end{aligned} \rightarrow P X, ~(x, r) \mapsto a(-, x) \otimes r: X \rightarrow E
$$

for each $V$-category $(X, a)$, is a pointwise fully faithful monad morphism.

Note that $\sigma: \mathbb{B}_{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is not injective on objects; indeed, if $r=\perp$, then $\sigma_{X}(x, \perp): X \leftrightarrow E$ is the distributor that is constantly $\perp$, for any $x \in X$.

## B.-Algebras

## Proposition (Clementino, F)

For a $V$-category $(X, a)$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i): $(X, a)$ has a $\mathbb{B}_{\bullet}$-algebra structure $\alpha: B_{\bullet} X \rightarrow X$;
(ii): $(\forall x \in X)(\forall r \in V)(\exists x \oplus r \in X)(\forall y \in X)$

$$
a(x \oplus r, y)=\operatorname{hom}(r, a(x, y))
$$

(iii): for all $(x, r) \in B_{\bullet} X$, every diagram of the sort

has a (weighted) colimit.

## $\mathbb{B}_{\bullet}$-Algebras

The $V$-categories $X$ satisfying (iii) are called tensored. This notion was originally introduced by Borceux and Kelly for general $V$-categories.

Thanks to condition (ii), we also get the following characterization of tensored categories:

## Corollary

A $V$-category $(X, a)$ is tensored if, and only if, for every $x \in X$,

## B.-Algebras

The $V$-categories $X$ satisfying (iii) are called tensored. This notion was originally introduced by Borceux and Kelly for general $V$-categories.

Thanks to condition (ii), we also get the following characterization of tensored categories:

## Corollary

A $V$-category $(X, a)$ is tensored if, and only if, for every $x \in X$,

is an adjunction in $V$-Cat.

## $\mathbb{B}_{\circ}$ is a submonad of $\mathbb{P}$ in $V$-Cat sep <br> (for certain quantales)

$\mathbb{B}_{0}$ is the submonad of $\mathbb{B}$ • obtained when we only consider formal balls with radius different from $\perp$.

We (co)restricted $\mathbb{B}_{0}$ to $V$-Cat sep $_{\text {sep }}$ to obtain some results regarding $\mathbb{B}_{0}$ embeddings.

Unfortunately $X$ being separated does not entail $B_{\circ} X$ being so. this we needed also to restrict our attention to the cancellative quantales:

Definition
A quantale $V$ is said to be cancellative if

## $\mathbb{B}_{\circ}$ is a submonad of $\mathbb{P}$ in $V$-Cat sep <br> (for certain quantales)

$\mathbb{B}_{0}$ is the submonad of $\mathbb{B}$ • obtained when we only consider formal balls with radius different from $\perp$.

We (co)restricted $\mathbb{B}_{0}$ to $V$-Cat sep $_{\text {sep }}$ to obtain some results regarding $\mathbb{B}_{0}$ embeddings.

Unfortunately $X$ being separated does not entail $B_{\circ} X$ being so. Because of this we needed also to restrict our attention to the cancellative quantales:

## Definition

A quantale $V$ is said to be cancellative if $\forall r, s \in V, r \neq \perp: r=s \otimes r \Rightarrow s=k$.

## $\mathbb{B}_{\circ}$ is a submonad of $\mathbb{P}$ in $V$-Cat sep (for certain quantales)

## Proposition

Let $V$ be an integral $(k=T)$ quantale. The following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $B_{0} V$ is separated;
(ii) $V$ is cancellative;
(iii) If $X$ is separated then $B_{0} X$ is separated.

## Lastly

## Proposition

Let $V$ be a cancellative integral quantale. Then $\mathbb{B}_{\circ}$ is a submonad of $\mathbb{P}$ in $V$-Cat

## Proposition

Let $V$ be an integral $(k=T)$ quantale. The following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $B_{\circ} V$ is separated;
(ii) $V$ is cancellative;
(iii) If $X$ is separated then $B_{\circ} X$ is separated.

Lastly

## Proposition

Let $V$ be a cancellative integral quantale. Then $\mathbb{B}_{\circ}$ is a submonad of $\mathbb{P}$ in $V$-Cat sep .
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The characterisation of $\mathbb{B}$-algebras given in [GL19] can readily be generalised to $V$-Cat as follows:

## Proposition (Clementino, F)

For a $V$-functor $\alpha: B X \rightarrow X$ the following conditions are equivalent.

- $\alpha$ is a $\mathbb{B}$-algebra structure.
- For every $x \in X, r, s \in V \backslash\{\perp\}, \alpha(x, k)=x$ and $\alpha(x, r \otimes s)=\alpha(\alpha(x, r), s)$.
- For every $x \in X, r \in V \backslash\{\perp\}, \alpha(x, k)=x$ and $a(x, \alpha(x, r)) \geq r$.
- For every $x \in X, \alpha(x, k)=x$.


## Corollary (Clementino, F)

If $B X \xrightarrow{-\oplus-} X$ is a $\mathbb{B}$-algebra structure, then, for $x \in X, r, s \in V \backslash\{\perp\}$ :
(i): $x \oplus k=x$;
(ii): $x \oplus(r \otimes s)=(x \oplus r) \oplus s$;
(iii): $a(x, x \oplus r) \geq r$.

## Powerset Monad

The powerset monad $\mathcal{P}=(\mathcal{P},\{\cdot\}, \cup)$ is given by:

$$
\mathcal{P}: \text { Set } \rightarrow \text { Set }
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}: \text { Set } & \rightarrow \text { Set } \\
X & \mapsto \mathcal{P} X=\{X \rightarrow \mathbf{2}\} \\
(f: X \rightarrow Y) & \mapsto(\mathcal{P} f: \mathcal{P} X \rightarrow \mathcal{P} Y) \\
A & \mapsto f(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{\cdot\}: & X \\
& \rightarrow \mathcal{P} X \\
x & \mapsto\{x\}
\end{aligned}
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$$
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\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}: \text { Ord } & \rightarrow \text { Ord } \\
X & \mapsto \mathcal{D} X=\left\{X^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The downset monad $\mathcal{D}=(\mathcal{D}, \downarrow\{\cdot\}, \cup)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}: \text { Ord } & \rightarrow \text { Ord } \\
X & \mapsto \mathcal{D} X=\left\{X^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}\right\} \\
(f: X \rightarrow Y) & \mapsto(\mathcal{D} f: \mathcal{D} X \rightarrow \mathcal{D} Y) \\
& A \mapsto \downarrow f(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\downarrow\{\cdot\}: & X \\
x & \rightarrow \mathcal{D} X \\
x & \mapsto\{x\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\cup: \mathcal{D D} X \rightarrow \mathcal{D} X
$$

$$
\mathcal{A} \mapsto \bigcup \mathcal{A}
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Presheaf Monad
The presheaf monad $\mathbb{P}=(P, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{m})$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P: V \text {-Cat } & \rightarrow V \text {-Cat } \\
(X, a) & \mapsto P X=\left\{X^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow V\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



The presheaf monad $\mathbb{P}=(P, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{m})$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P: V \text {-Cat } & \rightarrow V \text {-Cat } \\
(X, a) & \mapsto P X=\left\{X^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow V\right\} \\
(f:(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b)) & \mapsto(P f: P X \rightarrow P Y) \\
\varphi & \mapsto \varphi \cdot f^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathfrak{y} x:(X, a) & \rightarrow P X \\
x & \mapsto x^{*}: X^{\mathrm{op}}
\end{array}\right) V V, \begin{aligned}
y & \mapsto a(y, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m}_{x}: P P X & \rightarrow P X \\
\Psi & \mapsto \Psi \cdot\left(\mathfrak{y}_{X}\right)_{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

